

# High-resolution distributions of $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ on the northern slope of the South China Sea and estimates of net community production

Chuan Qin<sup>1,2</sup>, Guiling Zhang<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Wenjing Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Yu Han<sup>1,3</sup>, Sumei Liu<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory and Technology, Ministry of Education/Institute for Advanced Ocean Study, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, 266100 Qingdao, P. R. China

2. Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao 266237, P. R. China

3. Hainan Tropical Ocean University, Sanya 572022, P. R. China

\* Correspondence to: Guiling Zhang (guilingzhang@ouc.edu.cn)

## Abstract

Dissolved oxygen-to-argon ratio ( $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ ) in the oceanic mixed layer has been widely used to estimate net community production (NCP), which is the difference between gross primary production and community respiration and is a measure for the strength of the biological pump. In order to obtain the high-resolution distribution of NCP and improve our understanding of its regulating factors in the slope region of the Northern South China Sea (SCS), we conducted continuous measurements of dissolved  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{Ar}$ , and  $\text{CO}_2$  by membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) during two cruises in October 2014 and June 2015. An overall autotrophic condition was observed in the study region in both cruises with an average  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  of  $1.1\% \pm 0.9\%$  in October 2014 and  $2.7\% \pm 2.8\%$  in June 2015. NCP was on average  $11.5 \pm 8.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in October 2014 and  $11.6 \pm 12.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in June 2015. Correlations between dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN),  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , and NCP were observed in both cruises, indicating that NCP is subject to the nitrogen limitation in the study region. In June 2015, we observed a rapid response of the ecosystem to the episodic nutrient supply induced by eddies. Eddy-entrained shelf water intrusion, which supplied large amounts of terrigenous nitrogen to the study region, promoted NCP in the study region by potentially more than threefold. In addition, upwelling brought large uncertainties to the estimation of NCP in the core region of the cold eddy (cyclone) in June 2015. The deep euphotic depth in the SCS and the absence of correlation between NCP and the average photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) in the mixed layer in the autumn

indicate that light availability may not be a significant limitation on NCP in the SCS. This study helps to understand the carbon cycle in the highly dynamic shelf system.

**Keywords:** O<sub>2</sub>/Ar; Net community production; Nutrients; Eddy; Northern slope of South China Sea

## 1. Introduction

The oceanic carbon sequestration is partially regulated by the production and export process of biological organic carbon in the surface ocean. Net community production (NCP) corresponds to gross primary production (GPP) minus community respiration (CR) in the water (Lockwood et al., 2012) and is an important indicator of carbon export. At steady state, NCP is equivalent to the rate of organic carbon export, and is a measure for the strength of the biological pump (Lockwood et al., 2012). NCP effectively couples carbon cycle and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) production through photosynthesis and respiration in the euphotic layer, thus many previous researches measured the mass balance of O<sub>2</sub> to quantify NCP (e.g., Emerson et al., 1991; Hendricks et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2012; Reuer et al., 2007). Argon (Ar), a biological inert gas, was commonly used to normalize the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in these researches. Based on the similar solubility properties of O<sub>2</sub> and Ar, oxygen-to-argon ratio (O<sub>2</sub>/Ar) can remove the influences of physical processes (i.e., temperature and pressure change, bubble injection) on the mass balance of O<sub>2</sub> (Craig and Hayward, 1987). Dissolved O<sub>2</sub>/Ar has been developed as a proxy for NCP in a water mass (Kaiser et al., 2005). The biological production in the open oceans (i.e., Southern Ocean, Pacific, Arctic Ocean) has been inferred using the O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratio to estimate NCP in numerous researches (e.g., Hamme et al., 2012; Lockwood et al., 2012; Ulfsbo et al., 2014; Shadwick et al., 2015; Stanley et al., 2010). During recent years, several high-resolution measurements of O<sub>2</sub>/Ar and NCP in coastal waters have been reported (Tortell et al., 2012; Tortell et al., 2014; Eveleth et al., 2017; Izett et al., 2018). Despite the coastal waters such as shelves and estuaries only accounting for 7 % of the global ocean surface area, they are known to

contribute to 15–30 % of the total oceanic primary production (Bi et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2011) and play an important role in marine carbon cycle and production. However, these regions still suffer from low resolution measurements that can't provide representative high-resolution NCP data.

The South China Sea (SCS) is one of the largest marginal seas in the world with complex ecological characteristics. River runoff from the Pearl and Mekong Rivers introduces large amounts of dissolved nutrients into the SCS (Ning et al., 2004). Due to the influence of seasonal monsoons, the surface circulation in the SCS changes from a basin-scale cyclonic gyre in winter to an anticyclonic gyre in summer (Hu et al., 2000). The surface water masses on the northern slope of SCS can be categorized into three regimes: shelf water, offshore water (e.g., the intruded Kuroshio water), and the SCS water (Feng, 1999; Li et al., 2018). The shelf water is mixed with fresh water from rivers or coastal currents and thus usually has low salinity ( $S < 33$ ) and low density (Uu and Brankart, 1997; Su and Yuan, 2005; Cheng et al., 2014). Both offshore water and SCS water originate from the Northern Pacific. Thus offshore water has similar hydrographic characteristics of high temperature and high salinity as the Northern Pacific water. But the SCS water has changed a lot in its hydrographic property because of the mixing processes, heat exchange and precipitation during its long residence time of about 40 years in the SCS (Feng et al., 1999; Li et al., 2018; Su and Yuan, 2005). The distributions of phytoplankton and primary productivity of the SCS show great temporal and spatial variation (Ning et al., 2004). Low chlorophyll a (Chl a) and primary production are the significant characteristics of the SCS basin which is considered an oligotrophic region, and macronutrients (i.e. nitrogen) are the main limitations of phytoplankton growth and productivity (Ning et al., 2004; Lee Chen, 2005; Han et al., 2013). The excessive runoff from Pearl River can result in high N/P (nitrogen/phosphorus) ratio of  $> 100$ , shifting the nutritive state from nitrogen deficiency to phosphorus deficiency in the coastal region of SCS (Lee Chen and Chen, 2006). Dissolved iron is also a potential limitation on primary production, especially in the high nutrient low chlorophyll (HNLC) regions (Cassar et al., 2011). But on the northern slope of the SCS, the concentration of dissolved iron is high enough to support

the growth of phytoplankton in the surface water (Zhang et al., 2019). The northern slope of the SCS is an important transition region between coastal area and the SCS basin. In the summer, the shelf water intrusion is an important process changing the nutritive state in the northern slope region of the SCS (He et al., 2016; Lee Chen and Chen, 2006). But so far, the NCP enhancement caused by this process is still unknown.

Previous studies about the organic carbon export in the SCS were mostly conducted on particulate organic carbon (POC) flux (e.g., Bi et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2015; Chen et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2011). Little research has been conducted on NCP in the SCS to date. Chou et al. (2006) estimated NCP in the northern SCS during the summertime to be  $4.47 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  based on the time change rate of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in the mixed layer at the South East Asia Time-Series Station (SEATS) from 2002 to 2004. Wang et al. (2014) used GPP and CR data from a light/dark bottle incubation experiment to calculate NCP in the northern SCS and obtained a range from  $-179.0$  to  $377.6 \text{ mmol O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  ( $-129.7$  to  $273.6 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). Huang et al. (2018) estimated monthly NCP from July 2014 to July 2015 based on in situ  $\text{O}_2$  measurements on an Argo profiling float and reported the cumulative NCP to be  $0.29 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$  ( $9.67 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) during the northeast monsoon period and  $0.17 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$  ( $5.67 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) during the southwest monsoon period in the SCS basin. However, most of these studies in the SCS were constrained by methodological factors attributed to discrete sampling and cannot reveal the rapid productivity response to highly dynamic environmental fluctuations of coastal systems. Discrete sampling suffers from low spatial resolution, and cannot adequately resolve variabilities caused by small-scale physical or biological processes in the dynamic marine systems. In addition, each of the three methods for NCP estimate mentioned above has its limitation. DIC-based NCP estimate is not suitable for the coastal region, because instead of biological metabolism, the terrestrial runoff can be the strongest factor influencing the DIC in the coastal system (Mathis et al., 2011). The unavoidable difference between in situ circumstance and on-deck incubation condition can introduce uncertainties to the NCP derived from light/dark bottle incubation (Grande et al., 1989). Though Argo profiling float partly gets rid of the limitation of

discrete sampling, it's hard to control its movement in the study region. However, no high-resolution measurement of NCP has been reported for the SCS so far.

In this paper, we present high-resolution NCP estimates in the northern slope region of the SCS based on continuous shipboard dissolved O<sub>2</sub>/Ar measurement. We discuss the regulating factors of NCP based on ancillary measurements of other hydrographic parameters. Our high-resolution measurements caught the rapid response of the ecosystem to the episodic nutrient supply induced by eddies and help us to quantify the contribution of eddy-entrained shelf water intrusion to NCP in the summer cruise.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Continuous underway sampling and measurement

Continuous measurements of dissolved gases (O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and CO<sub>2</sub>) were obtained using membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS, HPR 40, Hiden Analytical, UK) (Tortell, 2005) onboard the RV *Nanfeng* during two cruises in the northern slope region of the SCS (Figures 1a, 1b) from 13 to 23 October 2014 and from 13 to 29 June 2015. In addition, a cyclonic-anticyclonic eddy pair was observed in June 2015 (Figure 1c) and resulted in dramatic influences on the study region.

We developed a continuous shipboard measurement system of dissolved gases following the method described by Guéguen and Tortell (2008). Surface seawater was collected continuously using the ship's underway intake system (~5 m depth) and was divided into different lines for various underway scientific measurements. Seawater from the first line passed through a chamber at a flowrate of 2–3 L min<sup>-1</sup> to remove macroscopic bubbles and to avoid pressure bursts. A flow of ~220 mL min<sup>-1</sup> was continuously pumped from the chamber using a Masterflex Peristaltic Pump equipped with L/S® multichannel cartridge pump heads (Cole Parmer). In order to minimize the O<sub>2</sub>/Ar fluctuations due to temperature effects and water vapor pressure variations, the water samples flowed through a stainless steel coil (~6 m) with 0.6 mm wall thickness immersed in a water bath (Shanghai Bilon Instrument Co. Ltd, China) to achieve a constant temperature (~2 °C below the sea surface temperature), which avoided

temperature-induced supersaturation and subsequent bubble formation. Then the water samples were introduced into a cuvette with a silicone membrane mounted on the inside. The analyte gases were monitored by a Faraday cup detector in the vacuum chamber after diffusion through the silicone membrane, and the signal intensities at the relevant mass to charge ( $m/z$ ) ratios (32, 40 and 44 for  $O_2$ , Ar and  $CO_2$ , respectively) were recorded by MASsoft. Based on the continuous measurement of 50 L air-equilibrated seawater, the long-term signal stability (measured as the coefficient of variation) over 12 h was 1.57 %, 3.75 % and 2.21 % for  $O_2$ , Ar and  $CO_2$ , respectively. Seawater from the second line passed through a flow chamber, where an RBR Maestro (RBR, Canada) was installed to continuously record temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and Chl a. We didn't obtain continuous DO data in October 2014 because the DO sensor of RBR broke down. A third line was used to drain the excess seawater. Underway pipelines were flushed with freshwater or bleach every day, to avoid possible in-lines biofouling. The data from the underway transects were exported to spreadsheets and compiled into 5 min averages, and the comparisons of the gas data with other hydrographic variables were based on the UTC time recorded for each measurement.

The  $O_2$ /Ar ratio measurements were calibrated with air-equilibrated seawater samples at about 6–8 h intervals to monitor instrument drift and calculate  $\Delta(O_2/Ar)$ . These air-equilibrated seawater samples were prefiltered (0.22  $\mu m$ ) and bubbled with ambient air for at least 24 h to reach equilibrium at sea surface temperature (Guéguen and Tortell, 2008). For calibration, 800 mL of air-equilibrated seawater sample was transferred into glass bottles and immediately drawn into the cuvette, where the first 200 mL of the sample was used to flush the cuvette and pipelines. After 3 min recirculation of the sample, the average signal intensity was obtained to calculate  $O_2/Ar$ . During the course of measurements, flow rate and the temperature of water bath were both kept the same as the underway measurements. The precision of MIMS-measured  $O_2/Ar$  was 0.22 %, based on analyses of 20 duplicate samples in the laboratory test, which is comparable to previous studies and sufficient to detect biologically driven gas fluctuations in seawater (Tortell, 2005).

The instrumental  $CO_2$  ion current was calibrated at about 12–24 h intervals using

equilibrated seawater standards as per Guéguen and Tortell (2008) during the survey in June 2015. Prefiltered seawater (0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was gently bubbled with dry  $\text{CO}_2$  standards (200, 400, and 800 ppm, provided by the Chinese National Institute of Metrology) at in situ temperature. After 2 days of equilibrium, these standards were analyzed by MIMS following the same procedure for measuring air-equilibrated seawater samples to obtain a calibration curve between  $\text{CO}_2$  signal intensity and mole fraction. The reproducibility of these measurements was better than 5 % within 15 days. Then we used the empirical equations reported by Takahashi et al. (2009) to convert the  $\text{CO}_2$  mole fraction derived from the calibration curve to the in situ partial pressure of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ).

Chlorophyll-a (Chl a) data from the RBR sensor were linear calibrated against extracted Chl a measurements of discrete seawater samples taken from the same seawater outlet as for MIMS measurements. Samples were filtered through polycarbonate filters (0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The filter membranes were then packed with pre-sterilized aluminum foil and stored in a freezer ( $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) until extraction by acetone and analysis using a fluorimetric method (F-4500, HITACHI, Japan) described by Parsons (1984). The mean residual of this calibration was  $0.00 \pm 0.07\text{ }\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ .

## 2.2 Estimation of NCP based on $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ measurements

NCP in the mixed layer was estimated by the  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  mass balance from continuous measurements. Due to similar physical properties of  $\text{O}_2$  and Ar,  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  is used as a proxy of the biological  $\text{O}_2$  supersaturation and is defined as (Craig and Hayward, 1987):

$$\Delta(\text{O}_2 / \text{Ar}) = \frac{([\text{O}_2]/[\text{Ar}])}{([\text{O}_2]/[\text{Ar}])_{\text{eq}}} - 1 \quad (1)$$

where  $[\text{O}_2]/[\text{Ar}]$  is the measured dissolved  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  ratio of the mixed layer and  $([\text{O}_2]/[\text{Ar}])_{\text{eq}}$  is the measured dissolved  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  ratio of the air-equilibrated seawater samples.  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  is the percent deviation of the measured  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  ratio from the equilibrium. Assuming a steady state and negligible physical supply, NCP is the air-sea biological  $\text{O}_2$  flux and can be estimated as (Reuer et al., 2007):

$$\text{NCP} (\text{mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}) \approx k_{\text{O}_2} \cdot [\text{O}_2]_{\text{sat}} \cdot \Delta(\text{O}_2 / \text{Ar}) \cdot r_{\text{C:O}_2} \cdot \rho \quad (2)$$

where  $k_{\text{O}_2}$  is the weighted gas transfer velocity of  $\text{O}_2$  ( $\text{m d}^{-1}$ );  $[\text{O}_2]_{\text{sat}}$  denotes the

saturation concentration of dissolved  $O_2$  ( $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ) in the mixed layer, which is calculated based on temperature and salinity (Weiss, 1970);  $r_{C:O_2}$  is the photosynthetic quotient of C and  $O_2$  and was reported as 1:1.38 in the SCS (Jiang et al., 2011);  $\rho$  is seawater density in units of  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$  (Millero and Poisson, 1981). We estimated  $k_{O_2}$  using the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECWMF) wind-speed reanalysis data product with a  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  grid (<https://www.ecmwf.int>), the parameterization by Wanninkhof (1992), and the gas exchange weighting algorithm by Teeter et al. (2018). Teeter et al., (2018) pointed out that modern  $O_2/\text{Ar}$  method does not strongly rely on the steady state assumption. When this assumption is violated, our estimate does not represent the actual daily NCP but rather an estimate of NCP weighted over the residence time of  $O_2$  in the mixed layer and along the path of the water parcel during that period. Thus the residence time of  $O_2$  in the mixed layer is an important implication of the weighted timescale of NCP before the measurement of  $O_2/\text{Ar}$ . The residence time of  $O_2$  ( $\tau$ , d) in the mixed layer is estimated as the ratio of mixed layer depth (MLD, m) to the gas transfer velocity of  $O_2$  ( $k_{O_2}$ ,  $\text{m d}^{-1}$ ) (Jonsson et al., 2013).

### 2.3 Ancillary measurements and calculations

Surface water samples for the nutrient analysis were collected from Niskin bottles mounted on the CTD, where the samples were filtered through acid-cleaned acetate cellulose filters (pore size:  $0.4 \mu\text{m}$ ). The filtrates were poisoned by  $\text{HgCl}_2$  and stored in the dark at  $4^\circ\text{C}$ . In the laboratory, the nutrients were determined photometrically by an auto-analyzer (QuAatro, SEAL Analytical, Germany) with a precision better than 3 %. MLD was defined by the  $\Delta\sigma_t = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  criterion (Monterey and Levitus, 1997). The subsurface chlorophyll maximum layer (SCML) was observed using the fluorescence sensor mounted on the CTD. SCML usually occurs at the bottom of euphotic layer (Hanson et al., 2007; Liao et al., 2018; Teira et al., 2005). Because no PAR (Photosynthetically Available Radiation) profile data were obtained in two cruises, we decided to regard the depth of SCML as the euphotic depth ( $Z_{\text{eu}}$ ). Both MLD and  $Z_{\text{eu}}$  were calculated at each station where the vertical CTD casts were made. The MLDs



for underway data between CTD stations was calculated using linear interpolation based on the distance between the underway points and nearest CTD stations. We matched the underway data to each CTD location using a combination of latitude/longitude threshold (latitude/longitude of CTD station  $\pm 0.05^\circ$ ) and time threshold (end/start of stationary time  $\pm 1$  h), then took the averages of these underway data for further analysis with discrete nutrient concentrations.

The daily satellite chlorophyll data were obtained from the E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information website (<https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu>). The product we used was provided by ACRI-ST company (Sophia Antipolis, France), with a space-time interpolation (the “Cloud Free”). The M\_Map package for Matlab was applied to output satellite chlorophyll images (Pawlowicz, 2020). Daily and 8 day PAR data collected by MODIS-Aqua sensor were obtained from NASA’s ocean color website (<https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/l3>). The spatial resolution of both satellite products is 4 km, and we match the satellite PAR with CTD location by choosing the closest PAR data point to the CTD location. A light attenuation coefficient ( $K_d$ ,  $m^{-1}$ ) was used to estimate the average PAR in the mixed layer (Kirk 1994; Jerlov 1976):

$$K_d = \frac{4.605}{Z_{eu}} \quad (3)$$

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Distributions of hydrographic parameters and gases

The distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, and  $\Delta(O_2/Ar)$  during the autumn cruise (October 2014) are shown in Figure 2. Sea surface temperature (SST) ranged from 26.96 °C to 28.53 °C with an average of  $27.82 \pm 0.33$  °C. Sea surface salinity (SSS) ranged from 33.28 to 34.11 with the low values occurring in the southeast of the region. Chl a concentration ranged from 0.01 to 0.71  $\mu g L^{-1}$  and was in an average of  $0.18 \pm 0.13 \mu g L^{-1}$ , which was comparable to the 11 year mean value ( $\sim 0.2 mg m^{-3}$ ) in the same region in October reported by Liu et al. (2014).  $\Delta(O_2/Ar)$  values were in the range of  $-2.9$ – $4.9$  % (avg.  $1.1$  %  $\pm 0.9$  %) and slightly oversaturated in most areas (Figure 2d). Please note that all averages we have published in this paper are reported in the

format of *mean*  $\pm$  *standard deviation*.

In June 2015, SST ranged from 29.28 °C to 32.24 °C and was in an average of 30.88  $\pm$  0.59 °C (Figure 3a). SSS ranged from 30.81 to 34.16. Transect 3 was significantly characterized by low salinity (Figure 3b). He et al (2016) reported that this phenomenon was influenced by the eddy-entrained Pearl River plume (shelf water) injected into the SCS. Chl a varied in a range of 0.09–0.58  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  in the study region. Under the influence of this eddy-entrained shelf water, Chl a values higher than 0.30  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  were observed along Transect 3 (Figure 3c). In contrast, Chl a was in the range of 0.09–0.18  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  along Transect 1 and 2. It was obvious that DO was much higher in the east side than the west side in the study region (Figure 3d).  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  ranged from –3.9–13.6 %. Most of the  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  values were positive in the study region (avg. 2.7 %  $\pm$  2.8 %), whereas the negative values were concentrated along Transect 4 (Figure 3f).  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  along Transect 3 was in an average of 7.2 %  $\pm$  2.6 %, significantly higher than that of other transects (Figure 3f).  $p\text{CO}_2$  exhibited a high degree of spatial and temporal variability and the high values mostly occurred on the west side of the study region (Figure 3e). Resulting from the considerable low  $p\text{CO}_2$  in Transect 3, the average  $p\text{CO}_2$  (323  $\pm$  93  $\mu\text{atm}$ ) in the study region was lower than those reported previously, i.e., 350–370  $\mu\text{atm}$  by Zhai et al (2009) and 340–350  $\mu\text{atm}$  by Rehder and Sues (2001). Due to the influence of the shelf water, the average  $p\text{CO}_2$  in Transect 3 was 222  $\pm$  33  $\mu\text{atm}$ , with a range of 144–321  $\mu\text{atm}$ . In the summer, shelf water mixed with Pearl River plume is the most important factor influencing  $p\text{CO}_2$  in the coastal and shelf region of the northern SCS, which can result in the  $p\text{CO}_2$  values as low as 150  $\mu\text{atm}$  (Li et al., 2020). Here we apply an average atmospheric  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 382  $\mu\text{atm}$  that observed in July 2015 in the northern SCS (Li et al., 2020) to calculate the  $p\text{CO}_2$  difference ( $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ ) between the surface water and the atmosphere.  $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$  ranged from –238 to –61  $\mu\text{atm}$  along Transect 3, indicative of a strong  $\text{CO}_2$  sink.

### 3.2 Mixed layer depth, euphotic depth and residence time of $\text{O}_2$ in the mixed layer

MLD, euphotic depth ( $Z_{\text{eu}}$ ) and the residence time of  $\text{O}_2$  ( $\tau$ ) in the mixed layer at CTD stations of two cruises are shown in Table 1 and 2. In autumn 2014, MLD ranged from

27 to 81 m, with an average of  $55 \pm 15$  m (Table 1). The average  $Z_{eu}$  was  $74 \pm 12$  m, approximately 20 m deeper than MLD (Table 1). The residence time of  $O_2$  in the mixed layer ranged from 3 to 13 d (Table 1), comparable to a range of 1–2 weeks reported by previous studies (Izett et al., 2018; Manning et al., 2017). The average residence time of  $O_2$  was  $9 \pm 3$  d, indicating that our estimate generally quantified NCP over 9 days prior to the underway observation of  $O_2/Ar$  during this cruise.

The average MLD in June 2015 was just  $18 \pm 6$  m (Table 2). Significant shallow MLD occurred at two stations (J-10, J-11) located in Transect 3 (Table 2, Figure S1f). The low-salinity shelf water intrusion is the main cause of this shallow MLD of 8 m. The average  $Z_{eu}$  was  $58 \pm 18$  m, approximately 40 m deeper than MLD (Table 2). The residence time of  $O_2$  in the mixed layer ranged from 2 to 12 d (Table 2), indicating a fast gas exchange in some stations. In addition, we also observed relatively obvious subsurface  $O_2$  maxima in Transect 1 and 2 in summer 2015. But this phenomenon didn't exist in autumn 2014.

In both cruises,  $Z_{eu}$  was observed obviously deeper than MLD. This result partly suggests that light availability may not be a limitation of NCP in the northern slope of SCS. Especially in the summer,  $Z_{eu}$  extended to 2–7 times of MLD (Table 2), ensuring sufficient illumination in the mixed layer. But in the autumn when the thickness of mixed layer accounts for about 74 % of euphotic layer, the average light intensity in the mixed layer might be influenced by the exponentially light attenuation along depth.

### 3.3 NCP in autumn and summer

In October 2014, NCP in the northern slope of the SCS ranged from  $-29.2$  to  $42.7$  mmol  $C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  (avg.  $11.5 \pm 8.7$  mmol  $C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ ) and most of the region was net autotrophic (Figure 4a). The estimated NCP based on the  $O_2/Ar$  values measured in this cruise is about 34 % of the net primary production rates of  $34.3$  mmol  $C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  measured by  $^{14}C$  bottle incubation (Sun X., personal communication), which was in agreement with previous research (Quay et al., 2010).

The average NCP in the study region was  $11.6 \pm 12.7$  mmol  $C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  with a range of  $-27.6$ – $61.4$  mmol  $C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  in June 2015. A high NCP level was observed along

Transect 3 (Figure 4b). Eddy-entrained shelf water brought a large amount of terrigenous nutrients from the shelf to the slope region along Transect 3 (He et al., 2016). The average nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and nitrite ( $\text{NO}_2^-$ ) concentrations in the surface water of Transect 3 were  $2.31 \pm 0.70 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  and  $0.04 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  respectively (Figure S1a, S1b); both values were much higher than those found in the other three transects where  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was in a range of  $< 0.03\text{--}0.69 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  was mostly below the detection limit. Li et al. (2018) reported that the entire Transect 3 and part of Transect 4 were dominated by shelf water at the surface and we estimated NCP over these regions where salinity lower than 33 as  $23.8 \pm 10.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  on average. We also observed a warm eddy (anti-cyclone) covering most stations in Transects 1 and 2 (Figure 1b, 1c) during our survey in June 2015 (Chen et al., 2016). Anti-cyclonic eddies can cause downwelling, deepening of the thermocline, and blocking of the supply of nutrients from the deeper water (Ning et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2014). Consequently, a warm eddy is expected to result in an oligotrophic condition in the surface water associated with low Chl a concentrations and low production (Ning et al., 2004). As a result, in the summer of 2015, the observed  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  (phosphate) concentrations were almost below the detection limit in Transects 1 and 2 (Figure S1a, S1b, S1d). NCP in Transect 1 and 2 was at a very low level (avg.  $2.8 \pm 2.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). Because of the significant high values of NCP over the regions with shelf water intrusion, our NCP result in the summer of 2015 is averagely higher than the previous values of  $4.47 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $0.17 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$  ( $5.67 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) based on DIC budget and Argo- $\text{O}_2$  respectively in the SCS (Chou et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2018). However, NCP estimates based on both methods mentioned above suffer from poor temporal and spatial coverage and do not allow for revealing rapid changes in shelf systems. In contrast, continuous measurements of  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  allow us to capture rapid variations in NCP along Transect 3 and resolve short-term productivity responses to environmental fluctuations.

### 3.4 Distribution of various parameters along representative transects

We chose Transect 5 (Figure 1a) observed in October 2014 and Transect 4 (Figure 1b)

observed in June 2015 to show the distribution of various parameters.

The distribution of Chl a,  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , and NCP showed similar trend along Transect 5 in October 2014 (Figure 5). There was a trough of temperature, showing a maximum drawdown of  $\sim 0.6^\circ\text{C}$  compared to the average temperature in the study region (Figure 5a). But the temperature fluctuations shown here are too small to reflect a significant upwelling that can easily cause  $\sim 2^\circ\text{C}$  drawdown of temperature in the upper layer (Lin et al., 2013; Manning et al., 2017; Ning et al., 2004). A spike of Chl a occurred between  $115.6^\circ\text{E}$  and  $115.7^\circ\text{E}$  and was coincident with the peaks of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  and NCP (Figure 5b, 5c). The highest surface concentration of ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) of  $0.35\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  was also observed between  $115.6^\circ\text{E}$  and  $115.7^\circ\text{E}$  in this transect and was predominantly higher than the concentrations ( $0.07\text{--}0.17\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ ) in the other regions of this cruise (Figure 5c, S2b). Because no significant obduction processes (i.e., upwelling, entrainment, and diapycnal mixing) were reported in this region, the most likely source of this abundant  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was in situ regeneration such as the excretion of zooplankton and the bacterial decomposition of organic matter (La Roche, 1983; Clark et al., 2008). Theoretically,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , an important nitrogen source of phytoplankton growth, can be quickly utilized by phytoplankton, and contributes to primary production (Dugdale and Goering, 1967; Tamminen, 1982). However, we only got nutrient data at two CTD stations in this transect, thus the result we obtained here just indicated that high NCP occurred at the station with relatively high  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentration, but couldn't be a strong evidence that  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was the main factor influencing NCP in this transect.

A similar distribution pattern of Chl a, NCP, and  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  was observed along Transect 4 in June 2015, whereas  $p\text{CO}_2$  showed the opposite trend for these three parameters (Figure 6b, 6c). Low salinity (lower than 33) existed at both southern and northern ends of this transect (Figure 6a). The concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen ( $\text{DIN}$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^- + \text{NH}_4^+$ ) in the surface water was  $0.81\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  and  $0.27\ \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  at the southern and northern end respectively, which was higher than the concentrations in other stations of this transect (Figure 6c). These results indicate that shelf water is imported at the northern and southern ends of this transect, along with higher levels of Chl a and NCP (Figure 6c). A sharp drop in the temperature and an

increase in salinity occurred from 19.7° N to 19.8° N and from 21° N to 20.7° N (Figure 6a), manifesting an upwelling over this area together with dramatic spikes in  $p\text{CO}_2$  and associated decrease in  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  (Nemcek et al., 2008) (Figure 6b). Most regions of Transect 4 were dominated by upwelling and showed negative sea level height anomaly (Chen et al., 2016; He et al., 2016). A localized cold eddy was considered the cause of this upwelling (Figure 1c), resulting in a maximum temperature drawdown of  $\sim 1.6^\circ\text{C}$  in the mixed layer.

Vertical mixing is considered the largest source of error in  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ -based NCP estimates because the upwelled subsurface water with different  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  signatures can produce either an overestimation or an underestimation of NCP in the mixed layer (Cassar et al., 2014; Izett et al., 2018). Former researches usually ignored the underestimated negative NCP that caused by vertical mixing (Giesbrecht et al., 2012; Reuer et al., 2007; Stanley et al., 2010). Cassar et al. (2014) presented a  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -based correction method of  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  and NCP for vertical mixing. Although this method has been successfully adopted by Izett et al. (2018) in the Subarctic Northeast Pacific, it is not suitable for our study region. This is because it is basically applicable in the areas where the depths of euphotic zone and mixed layer are similar, and this method is not suitable for oligotrophic regions (Cassar et al., 2014). The SCS is recognized as an oligotrophic region and the depth of the euphotic zone can be 2–7 times that of the mixed layer in our study region in the summer. In addition, in the region (e.g. the SCS basin) where subsurface oxygen maximum exists, the applicability of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -based correction method is limited (Izett et al., 2018). In Transect 4, the regions with negative NCP and the regions with salinity higher than 33.5 and temperature lower than  $30^\circ\text{C}$  are defined as influenced by upwelling. If we neglect these regions in Transect 4, the average NCP in June 2015 can slightly raise to  $12.4 \pm 12.3 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ . If we also remove the influence of shelf water intrusion by neglecting the regions with salinity lower than 33, the average NCP can sharply decrease to  $5.0 \pm 6.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , which was similar to the results of  $4.47 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $0.17 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$  ( $5.67 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) reported in previous researches in the same season (Chou et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2018). Here we regard  $5.0 \pm 6.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  as the background value of NCP in the

study region. Since an average NCP of  $23.8 \pm 10.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  was observed over the regions with salinity lower than 33, we can conclude that the summer shelf water intrusion significantly promoted NCP by potentially more than threefold in June 2015.

### 3.5 Factors influencing NCP in the SCS

The SCS is an oligotrophic region with low biomass and primary production (Lee Chen, 2005; Ning et al., 2004). Previous research has shown that the nutrient, especially nitrogen and phosphorus, is the most important factor controlling and limiting the phytoplankton biomass and primary production in the SCS (Ning et al., 2004; Lee Chen, 2005; Lee Chen and Chen, 2006; Han et al., 2013). After neglecting the two CTD stations (J-14, J-15) with negative NCP influenced by upwelling in June 2015, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) to determine the dominant factors influencing NCP in both cruises. In October 2014, DIN (0.741),  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  (0.858), and NCP (0.979) were significantly loaded on Factor 1, indicating a potential relationship among these three variables (Figure 7a, Table S1b). The correlation coefficient between DIN and NCP was 0.706 ( $p < 0.01$ , Table S1a), which was significantly higher than the coefficient between NCP and the other variables, except for  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  and temperature; this indicated that DIN was an important factor influencing NCP in this cruise. Another two nutrients – dissolved silicate (DSi,  $\text{SiO}_3^{2-}$ ) and dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ) – had no correlations ( $p > 0.05$ ) with NCP (Table S1a). In June 2015, Factor 1 showed a strong loading by DIN (0.876), Chl a (0.950), DO (0.927),  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  (0.902), and NCP (0.909), whereas salinity ( $-0.936$ ) and  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $-0.908$ ) were negatively loaded on Factor 1 (Figure 7b, Table S2b). The injection of low salinity shelf water appeared to have a strong effect on the study region because significant negative correlations were observed between salinity and DIN, Chl a,  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , and NCP (Table S2a). DIN had strong correlations with NCP,  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , and Chl a, with the correlation coefficients of 0.747, 0.910, and 0.754, respectively (Table S2a), indicating that DIN was the dominant factor controlling the growth of phytoplankton and primary production in this cruise. DSi (0.582) and DIP ( $-0.601$ ) were both moderately loaded on Factor 2 (Figure 7b, Table S2b) and had no correlations with NCP ( $p > 0.05$ , Table

S2a). These results suggested the key role of nitrogen in regulating  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , NCP, and phytoplankton biomass in the SCS. The supply of nitrogen may stimulate the growth of phytoplankton in the SCS and nitrogen is an important participant in photosynthesis and a basic element that contributes to the increase in primary production (Dugdale and Goering, 1967; Lee Chen, 2005; Lee Chen and Chen, 2006; Han et al., 2013).

Coupled with biochemical variations, physical processes also play important roles in the slope region of the SCS by transporting abundant nutrient-rich shelf water into the SCS and bringing deep water to the surface by enhancing water mixing (Chen and Tang, 2012; Ning et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2012). The surface waters in the slope region of the northern SCS are primarily composed of waters originating from SCS water, Kuroshio water, and shelf water (Li et al., 2018). In the summer, the shelf water exists where the potential density anomaly is lower than  $20.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  (Li et al., 2018). In the autumn, there is a weak offshore transport of the shelf water in the SCS and the salinity of the water mixed with the shelf water is usually lower than 33 (Fan et al., 1988; Uu and Brankart, 1997; Su and Yuan, 2005). In October 2014, the observed surface salinity was in a range of 33.28 to 34.11; thus the surface waters were mainly derived from mixing of the Kuroshio water and the SCS water. In the summer of 2015, a cyclonic-anticyclonic eddy pair was observed in the study region (Figure 1c). Low-salinity shelf water mixed with the intruding river plume from the Pearl River in the upper 50 m and was transported to the slope and basin along the intersection of the two eddies (Chen et al., 2016; He et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). In both seasons, the surface waters in the study region were generally found to be nitrogen deficient, with  $\text{NO}_2^-$  at  $< 0.01\text{--}0.04 \text{ } \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  (Figure S2a, S1b),  $\text{NO}_3^-$  at  $< 0.03\text{--}2.82 \text{ } \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  (Figure S1a), and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  at  $0.04\text{--}0.35 \text{ } \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  (Figure S2b, S1c). The concentrations of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  were below the detection limit at almost 80% of the sampling stations during both cruises. Due to the injection of shelf water with low salinity and abundant terrestrial nutrients, significant high concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  were observed along Transect 3 in June 2015 (Figure S1a, S1b) where the shelf water was intruded by eddies (Chen et al., 2016; He et al., 2016). Such transport processes from the inner shelf to the slope region have a profound influence on nutrient dynamics and biological productions (He et al.,



2016). The water that was influenced by shelf water with a potential density anomaly lower than  $20.25 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and salinity lower than 33 had high concentrations of DIN (Figure 8a). At the 6 stations (in the red circle of Figure 8a) that were intruded by shelf water and characterized with surface salinity lower than 33, we obtained an average surface DIN concentration of  $1.82 \pm 1.16$  ( $0.27\text{--}3.01$ )  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ , which was significantly higher than the mean of  $0.10 \pm 0.03$  ( $0.04\text{--}0.16$ )  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  at other stations (independent samples t-test,  $p < 0.01$ ). After neglecting the two stations (J-14, J-15) influenced by upwelling, a strong correlation between NCP and DIN was observed in the cruise of June 2015 ( $r = 0.747$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), with higher NCP (avg.  $15.4 \pm 4.5 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) occurred at the stations where shelf water intruded, consistent with the DIN concentration higher than  $0.27 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  (Figure 8b). At other stations without the influence of shelf water, the average NCP was just  $2.3 \pm 1.7 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ . These results furtherly suggest that the supply of DIN from shelf water can greatly stimulate the primary production at these stations, resulting in the NCP increase of nearly 7 times compared to other stations.

The correlations between NCP and sea surface temperature and salinity also support the influence of physical forcing on NCP. In June 2015, we obtained a strong negative correlation between NCP and salinity (Figure 9d). NCP significantly increased in the water with salinity lower than 33 (Figure 9d). Temperature had weak correlations with NCP (Figure 9c), and the negative NCP values were concentrated in the water with temperatures below  $30.5^\circ\text{C}$  and salinity values over 33.5 (Figure 9c, 9d). This surface water was mostly observed along Transect 4 where vertical mixing caused by a cold eddy brought deep water to the surface. The undersaturated  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  entrained by deep water caused the negative NCP estimates at the surface, resulting in a considerable underestimation of NCP. Unlike in June 2015, all the correlations were very weak between NCP and temperature, salinity in October 2014 (Figure 9a, 9b). The Kuroshio water and the SCS water had similar hydrological characteristics and their mixing in October 2014 may not have resulted in significant changes in the hydrological characteristics of the surface water.

The nutrient concentrations and hydrographic characteristics we observed just reflect

the marine environment at the moment of sampling, partly contradicting our estimates that quantified NCP over a period prior to the observation. Especially for the regions with significant influence of shelf water in June 2015, tracking the history of shelf water intrusion is important. We used daily satellite chlorophyll data to monitor the intrusion of shelf water and roughly set satellite-chlorophyll  $\geq \sim 0.2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  as the criterion of shelf water (Figure 10). On 10 June 2015, shelf water began to influence the northern end (J-9) of Transect 3 and most part of Transect 4, then it extended to the southern end of Transect 3 and Transect 4 where J-12 and J-13 located on 13 June (Figure 1b, 10). Till 25 June when we finished the observation of Transect 4, the entire Transect 3 (J-9 to 12) as well as J-13 and J-16 had kept been dominated by shelf water for more than 10 days (Figure 1b, 10). We concluded these findings in Table 3, along with the residence time ( $\tau$ ) of  $\text{O}_2$  in the mixed layer and the difference ( $\Delta\text{day}$ ) between the date of observation and the start date of shelf water intrusion at the stations with surface salinity lower than 33.  $\Delta\text{day}$  can represent the duration of the shelf water intrusion at each station before our observation. The residence time of  $\text{O}_2$  in the mixed layer at most stations listed in Table 3 is shorter than or equivalent to  $\Delta\text{day}$ . This result suggests that our estimate has appropriately integrated the NCP during the period of shelf water intrusion, which can effectively reflect the influence of shelf water on productive state on the northern slope of the SCS in the summer.

The amount of light may also play a role in the extent of primary production. The MLD is considered a driver of light availability in the mixed layer (Cassar et al., 2011; Hahm et al., 2014). The euphotic layer was averagely 40 m thicker than the mixed layer in the study region during the summer cruise, thus it's not very significant to discuss the light limitation in June 2015. We conducted an analysis of light availability based on daily satellite-PAR data and NCP in October 2014. To minimize the influence of DIN concentrations, we selected 9 stations where surface DIN concentration in the range of  $0.10\text{--}0.17 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ . The average surface PAR ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) at each station was integrated over the residence time of  $\text{O}_2$  before our observation. Then an average PAR in the mixed layer was calculated based on  $K_d$ . At the selected stations, the surface PAR varies over a range of  $38.6\text{--}42.2 \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ , while the average PAR in the mixed

layer (ML PAR) ranged from 8.7 to 13.3 mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). There's no significant correlation between the average PAR and NCP in the mixed layer (Table 4), partly suggesting that light intensity may not be a factor on NCP in the autumn. Light availability in the northern slope region of SCS is enough to support the primary production of phytoplankton.

## 4 Conclusion

The distribution of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  and NCP on the northern slope of the SCS was strongly affected by nutrient availability, especially nitrogen. The nitrogen limitation on NCP was found both in the autumn and summer. In June 2015, we observed strong biological responses to the supply of nitrogen induced by eddy-entrained shelf water intrusion. NCP in the region with the influence of shelf water was  $23.8 \pm 10.7$  mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> on average, with a maximum of 61.4 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, vertical mixing caused considerable underestimation of NCP in the transect influenced by a cold eddy. Removing the regions with the influence of shelf water intrusion and vertical mixing, the average NCP in other regions was  $5.0 \pm 6.2$  mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. This value agrees well with previously published NCP estimates for the study area. Our results also reveal the rapid response of ecosystem to physical processes. The summer shelf water intrusion may significantly promote NCP by potentially more than threefold in the study region. This is the first report that quantifies the contribution of shelf water intrusion to NCP on the northern slope of the SCS in the summer. Because of the sufficient illumination in the tropical SCS, light availability may not be a significant limitation on NCP in both seasons. The high-resolution NCP estimates derived from continuous measurement of O<sub>2</sub>/Ar presented in this paper are of significance for understanding the carbon cycle in the highly dynamic system of the SCS.

## Data Availability

All data presented in this manuscript are available on Weiyun.com (link: <https://share.weiyun.com/ZtbQMNGI>, password: p7rj36)

## **Author contribution**

Guiling Zhang and Yu Han designed and set up the underway measurement system. Wenjing Zheng attended both cruises (in June 2015 and October 2014) in the South China Sea, and was mainly responsible for operating the underway measurement system during the cruises. Sumei Liu provided the nutrients data of both cruises. Chuan Qin attended the cruise in June 2015 and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to thank the crew of the RV *Nanfeng* for the assistance with the collection of field samples and Professor Xiaoxia Sun for providing the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -PP data. We would also like to thank the Ocean Biology Processing Group (OBPG) of NASA for generating the PAR data and the E.U. Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) for providing the satellite chlorophyll data. Professor Michael Bender and Bror Jonsson are acknowledged for constructive suggestions on the continuous  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  measurement system and the calculation of  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ -based NCP. This study was funded by the National Science Foundation of China through Grant Nos. 41776122, by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China through Grant Nos. 2014CB441502, by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 201562010), and by the Taishan Scholars Programme of Shandong Province (No. 201511014) and the Aoshan Talents Programme of the Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (No. 2015ASTP-OS08).

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References:

- Bi, Q., Du, J., Wu, Y., Zhou, J. and Zhang, J.: Particulate organic carbon export flux by  $^{234}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$  disequilibrium in the continental slope of the East China Sea, *Acta Oceanol. Sin.*, 32(10), 67–73, doi:10.1007/s13131-013-0303-7, 2013.
- Cai, P., Zhao, D., Wang, L., Huang, B. and Dai, M.: Role of particle stock and phytoplankton community structure in regulating particulate organic carbon export in a large marginal sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 120(3), 2063–2095, doi:10.1002/2014JC010432, 2015.
- Cai, W.: Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Carbon Paradox:  $\text{CO}_2$  Sinks or Sites of Terrestrial Carbon Incineration?, *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 3(1), 123–145, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723, 2011.
- Cassar, N., Difiore, P. J., Barnett, B. A., Bender, M. L., Bowie, A. R., Tilbrook, B., Petrou, K., Westwood, K. J., Wright, S. W. and Lefevre, D.: The influence of iron and light on net community production in the Subantarctic and Polar Frontal Zones, *Biogeosciences*, 8(2), 227–237, doi:10.5194/bg-8-227-2011, 2011.
- Cassar, N., Nevison, C. D. and Manizza, M.: Correcting oceanic  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ -net community production estimates for vertical mixing using  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41(24), 8961–8970, doi:10.1002/2014GL062040, 2014.
- Chen, J., Zheng, L., Wiesner, M. G., Chen, R., Zheng, Y. and Wong, H.: Estimations of primary production and export production in the South China Sea based on sediment trap experiments, *Chinese Sci. Bull.*, 43(7), 583–586, doi:10.1007/BF02883645, 1998.
- Chen, W., Cai, P., Dai, M. and Wei, J.:  $^{234}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$  disequilibrium and particulate organic carbon export in the northern South China Sea, *J. Oceanogr.*, 64(3), 417–428, doi:10.1007/s10872-008-0035-z, 2008.
- Chen, Y. and Tang, D.: Eddy-feature phytoplankton bloom induced by a tropical cyclone in the South China Sea, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 33(23), 7444–7457, doi:10.1080/01431161.2012.685976, 2012.
- Chen, Z., Yang, C., Xu, D. and Xu, M.: Observed hydrographical features and circulation with influences of cyclonic-anticyclonic eddy-pair in the northern slope of the South China Sea during June 2015 (in Chinese), *J. Mar. Sci.*, 34(4), 10–19, doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-909X.2016.04.002, 2016.

2016.

Cheng, G., Sun, J., Zu, T., Chen, J. and Wang, D.: Analysis of water masses in the northern South China Sea in summer 2011 (in Chinese), *J. Trop. Oceanogr.*, 33(3), 10–16, doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-5470.2014.03.002, 2014.

Chou, W., Lee Chen, Y., Sheu, D., Shih, Y., Han, C., Cho, C., Tseng, C. and Yang, Y.: Estimated net community production during the summertime at the SEATS time-series study site, northern South China Sea: Implications for nitrogen fixation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(22), doi:10.1029/2005GL025365, 2006.

Clark, D. R., Rees, A. P. and Joint, I.: Ammonium regeneration and nitrification rates in the oligotrophic Atlantic Ocean: Implications for new production estimates, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53(1), 52–62, doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.1.0052, 2008.

Craig, H. and Hayward, T.: Oxygen Supersaturation in the Ocean: Biological Versus Physical Contributions, *Science*, 235(4785), 199–202, doi:10.1126/science.235.4785.199, 1987.

Dugdale, R. C. and Goering, J. J.: Uptake of New and Regenerated Forms of Nitrogen in Primary Productivity, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 12(2), 196–206, doi:10.4319/lo.1967.12.2.0196, 1967.

Emerson, S., Quay, P., Stump, C., Wilbur, D. and Knox, M.: O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, and <sup>222</sup>Rn in surface waters of the subarctic Ocean: Net biological O<sub>2</sub> production, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 5(1), 49–69, doi:10.1029/90GB02656, 1991.

Eveleth, R., Cassar, N., Sherrell, R. M., Ducklow, H., Meredith, M. P., Venables, H. J., Lin, Y. and Li, Z.: Ice melt influence on summertime net community production along the Western Antarctic Peninsula, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 139, 89–102, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.07.016, 2017.

Fan, L., Su, Y. and Li, F.: Analysis on water masses in the Northern South China Sea (in Chinese), *Acta Oceanol. Sin.*, 10(2), 136–145, 1988.

Feng, S., Li, F. and Li, S.: An introduction to marine science (in Chinese), Higher Education Press, Beijing, China., 1999.

Giesbrecht, K. E., Hamme, R. C. and Emerson, S. R.: Biological productivity along Line P in the subarctic northeast Pacific: In situ versus incubation-based methods, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26(3), doi:10.1029/2012GB004349, 2012.

Grande, K. D., Williams, P. J. L. B., Marra, J., Purdie, D. A., Heinemann, K., Eppley, R. W. and

647 Bender, M. L.: Primary production in the North Pacific gyre: a comparison of rates determined by  
 648 the  $^{14}\text{C}$ ,  $\text{O}_2$  concentration and  $^{18}\text{O}$  methods, *Deep Sea Res. Part A, Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 36(11),  
 649 1621–1634, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(89)90063-0, 1989.  
 650 Guéguen, C. and Tortell, P. D.: High-resolution measurement of Southern Ocean  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  by  
 651 membrane inlet mass spectrometry, *Mar. Chem.*, 108(3–4), 184–194,  
 652 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.11.007, 2008.  
 653 Hahm, D., Rhee, T. S., Kim, H. C., Park, J., Kim, Y. N., Shin, H. C. and Lee, S.: Spatial and temporal  
 654 variation of net community production and its regulating factors in the Amundsen Sea, Antarctica,  
 655 *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 119(5), 2815–2826, doi:10.1002/2013JC009762, 2014.  
 656 Hamme, R. C., Cassar, N., Lance, V. P., Vaillancourt, R. D., Bender, M. L., Strutton, P. G., Moore,  
 657 T. S., DeGrandpre, M. D., Sabine, C. L., Ho, D. T. and Hargreaves, B. R.: Dissolved  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  and  
 658 other methods reveal rapid changes in productivity during a Lagrangian experiment in the Southern  
 659 Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 117(C4), 92–99, doi:10.1029/2011JC007046, 2012.  
 660 Han, A., Dai, M., Gan, J., Kao, S., Zhao, X., Jan, S., Li, Q., Lin, H., Chen, C., Wang, L., Hu, J.,  
 661 Wang, L. and Gong, F.: Inter-shelf nutrient transport from the East China Sea as a major nutrient  
 662 source supporting winter primary production on the northeast South China Sea shelf,  
 663 *Biogeosciences*, 10(12), 8159–8170, doi:10.5194/bg-10-8159-2013, 2013.  
 664 Hanson, C. E., Pesant, S., Waite, A. M. and Pattiaratchi, C. B.: Assessing the magnitude and  
 665 significance of deep chlorophyll maxima of the coastal eastern Indian Ocean, *Deep. Res. Part II Top.*  
 666 *Stud. Oceanogr.*, 54(8–10), 884–901, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.08.021, 2007.  
 667 He, X., Xu, D., Bai, Y., Pan, D., Chen, C. A., Chen, X. and Gong, F.: Eddy-entrained Pearl River  
 668 plume into the oligotrophic basin of the South China Sea, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 124, 117–124,  
 669 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2016.06.003, 2016.  
 670 Hendricks, M. B., Bender, M. L. and Barnett, B. A.: Net and gross  $\text{O}_2$  production in the southern  
 671 ocean from measurements of biological  $\text{O}_2$  saturation and its triple isotope composition, *Deep. Res.*  
 672 *Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 51(11), 1541–1561, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.06.006, 2004.  
 673 Hu, J., Kawamura, H., Hong, H. and Qi, Y.: A Review on the currents in the South China Sea:  
 674 Seasonal circulation, South China Sea warm current and Kuroshio intrusion, *J. Oceanogr.*, 56(6),  
 675 607–624, doi:10.1023/A:1011117531252, 2000.  
 676 Huang, K., Ducklow, H., Vernet, M., Cassar, N. and Bender, M. L.: Export production and its

regulating factors in the West Antarctica Peninsula region of the Southern Ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26(2), doi:10.1029/2010GB004028, 2012.

Huang, Y., Yang, B., Chen, B., Qiu, G., Wang, H. and Huang, B.: Net community production in the South China Sea Basin estimated from in situ O<sub>2</sub> measurements on an Argo profiling float, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 131, 54–61, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2017.11.002, 2018.

Izett, R. W., Manning, C. C., Hamme, R. C. and Tortell, P. D.: Refined Estimates of Net Community Production in the Subarctic Northeast Pacific Derived From  $\Delta\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  Measurements With N<sub>2</sub>O-Based Corrections for Vertical Mixing, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 32(3), 326–350, doi:10.1002/2017GB005792, 2018.

Jiang, Z., Huang, C., Dai, M., Kao, S., Hydes, D. J., Chou, W. and Janf, S.: Short-term dynamics of oxygen and carbon in productive nearshore shallow seawater systems off Taiwan: Observations and modeling, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56(5), 1832–1849, doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.5.1832, 2011.

Jonsson, B. F., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. and Bender, M.: Evaluation of the Southern Ocean O<sub>2</sub>/Ar-based NCP estimates in a model framework, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences*, 118(2), 385–399, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20032, 2013.

Jerlov, N. G.: *Marine optics*, Elsevier, Netherlands, 1976.

Kaiser, J., Reuer, M. K., Barnett, B. and Bender, M. L.: Marine productivity estimates from continuous O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratio measurements by membrane inlet mass spectrometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(19), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2005GL023459, 2005.

Kirk, J. T.: *Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems*, Cambridge university press, UK, 1994.

La Roche, J.: Ammonium regeneration: its contribution to phytoplankton nitrogen requirements in a eutrophic environment, *Mar. Biol.*, 75(2–3), 231–240, doi:10.1007/BF00406007, 1983.

Lee Chen, Y.: Spatial and seasonal variations of nitrate-based new production and primary production in the South China Sea, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 52(2), 319–340, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.11.001, 2005.

Lee Chen, Y. and Chen, H.: Seasonal dynamics of primary and new production in the northern South China Sea: The significance of river discharge and nutrient advection, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 53(6), 971–986, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2006.02.005, 2006.

Li, D., Zhou, M., Zhang, Z., Zhong, Y., Zhu, Y., Yang, C., Xu, M., Xu, D. and Hu, Z.: Intrusions of Kuroshio and Shelf Waters on Northern Slope of South China Sea in Summer 2015, *J. Ocean*



Univ. China, 17(3), 477–486, doi:10.1007/s11802-018-3384-2, 2018.

Li, Q., Guo, X., Zhai, W., Xu, Y. and Dai, M.: Partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> and air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the South China Sea: Synthesis of an 18-year dataset, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 182, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102272, 2020.

Liao, X., Dai, M., Gong, X., Liu, X. and Huang, H.: Subsurface chlorophyll a maximum and its possible causes in the southern South China Sea, *J. Trop. Oceanogr.*, 37(1), 45–56, doi:10.11978/2017020, 2018.

Lin, X., Guan, Y. and Liu, Y.: Three-dimensional structure and evolution process of Dongsha Cold Eddy during autumn 2000 (in Chinese), *J. Trop. Oceanogr.*, 32(2), 55–65, doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-5470.2013.02.006, 2013.

Liu, M., Liu, X., Ma, A., Li, T. and Du, Z.: Spatio-temporal stability and abnormality of chlorophyll-a in the northern south china sea during 2002-2012 from MODIS images using wavelet analysis, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 75, 15–27, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2013.12.010, 2014.

Lockwood, D., Quay, P. D., Kavanaugh, M. T., Juranek, L. W. and Feely, R. A.: High-resolution estimates of net community production and air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> flux in the northeast Pacific, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26(4), doi:10.1029/2012GB004380, 2012.

Ma, H., Zeng, Z., He, J., Chen, L., Yin, M., Zeng, S. and Zeng, W.: Vertical flux of particulate organic carbon in the central South China Sea estimated from <sup>234</sup>Th-<sup>238</sup>U disequilibria, *Chinese J. Oceanol. Limnol.*, 26(4), 480–485, doi:10.1007/s00343-008-0480-y, 2008.

Ma, H., Zeng, Z., Yu, W., He, J., Chen, L., Cheng, J., Yin, M. and Zeng, S.: <sup>234</sup>Th/ <sup>238</sup>U disequilibrium and particulate organic carbon export in the northwestern South China Sea, *Acta Oceanol. Sin.*, 30(3), 55–62, doi:10.1007/s13131-011-0119-2, 2011.

Manning, C. C., Stanley, R. H. R., Nicholson, D. P., Smith, J. M., Timothy Pennington, J., Fewings, M. R., Squibb, M. E. and Chavez, F. P.: Impact of recently upwelled water on productivity investigated using in situ and incubation-based methods in Monterey Bay, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 122(3), 1901–1926, doi:10.1002/2016JC012306, 2017.

Mathis, J. T., Cross, J. N. and Bates, N. R.: Coupling primary production and terrestrial runoff to ocean acidification and carbonate mineral suppression in the eastern Bering Sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 116(2), doi:10.1029/2010JC006453, 2011.

Millero, F. J. and Poisson, A.: International one-atmosphere equation of state of seawater, *Deep Sea*

737 Res. Part A, Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 28(6), 625–629, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(81)90122-9, 1981.  
 738 Monterey, G. and Levitus, S.: Seasonal Variability of Mixed Layer Depth, NOAA Atlas NEDIS 14,  
 739 U.S. Gov. Printing Off. D.C. [online] Available from: <http://www.nodc.noaa.gov>, 1997.  
 740 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Ocean Biology Processing Group:  
 741 Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Aqua Photosynthetically Available  
 742 Radiation Data, NASA OB. DAAC, Greenbelt, MD, USA. doi:  
 743 data/10.5067/AQUA/MODIS/L3M/PAR/2018, 2018 Reprocessing.  
 744 Nemcek, N., Ianson, D. and Tortell, P. D.: A high-resolution survey of DMS, CO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub>/Ar  
 745 distributions in productive coastal waters, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 22(2),  
 746 doi:10.1029/2006GB002879, 2008.  
 747 Ning, X., Chai, F., Xue, H., Cai, Y., Liu, C. and Shi, J.: Physical-biological oceanographic coupling  
 748 influencing phytoplankton and primary production in the South China Sea, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans,  
 749 109(10), doi:10.1029/2004JC002365, 2004.  
 750 Ning, X., Peng, X., Le, F., Hao, Q., Sun, J., Liu, C. and Cai, Y.: Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton  
 751 in anticyclonic eddies of the northern South China Sea, Biogeosciences Discuss., 5(6), 4591–4619,  
 752 doi:10.5194/bgd-5-4591-2008, 2008.  
 753 Pan, X., Wong, G. T. F., Shiah, F. K. and Ho, T. Y.: Enhancement of biological productivity by  
 754 internal waves: Observations in the summertime in the northern South China Sea, J. Oceanogr.,  
 755 68(3), 427–437, doi:10.1007/s10872-012-0107-y, 2012.  
 756 Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y. and Lalli, C. M.: A Manual of Chemical & Biological Methods for  
 757 Seawater Analysis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK., 1984.  
 758 Pawlowicz, R.: M\_Map: A mapping package for MATLAB, version 1.4m, [online] Available from:  
 759 [www.eoas.ubc.ca/~rich/map.html](http://www.eoas.ubc.ca/~rich/map.html), 2020.  
 760 Quay, P. D., Peacock, C., Bjorkman, K. and Karl, D. M.: Measuring primary production rates in the  
 761 ocean: Enigmatic results between incubation and non-incubation methods at Station ALOHA,  
 762 Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 24(3), doi:10.1029/2009GB003665, 2010.  
 763 Rehder, G. and Suess, E.: Methane and *p*CO<sub>2</sub> in the Kuroshio and the South China Sea during  
 764 maximum summer surface temperatures, Mar. Chem., 75(1–2), 89–108, doi:10.1016/S0304-  
 765 4203(01)00026-3, 2001.  
 766 Reuer, M. K., Barnett, B. A., Bender, M. L., Falkowski, P. G. and Hendricks, M. B.: New estimates

767 of Southern Ocean biological production rates from O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratios and the triple isotope composition  
 768 of O<sub>2</sub>, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 54(6), 951–974, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2007.02.007,  
 769 2007.

770 Shadwick, E. H., Tilbrook, B., Cassar, N., Trull, T. W. and Rintoul, S. R.: Summertime physical  
 771 and biological controls on O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in the Australian Sector of the Southern Ocean, *J. Mar. Syst.*,  
 772 147, 21–28, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.12.008, 2015.

773 Shi, X., Li, H., Han, X., Wang, L. and Zhu, C.: Influence of typical mesoscale oceanographical  
 774 process on the distribution of nutrients and dissolved oxygen in the Northern part of South China  
 775 Sea in summer (in Chinese), *Acta Sci. Circumstantiae*, 34(3), 695–703,  
 776 doi:10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2014.0121, 2014.

777 Stanley, R. H. R., Kirkpatrick, J. B., Cassar, N., Barnett, B. A. and Bender, M. L.: Net community  
 778 production and gross primary production rates in the western equatorial Pacific, *Global Biogeochem.*  
 779 *Cycles*, 24(4), doi:10.1029/2009GB003651, 2010.

780 Su, J. and Yuan, Y.: Coastal hydrology of China (in Chinese), China Ocean Press, Beijing, China.,  
 781 2005.

782 Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W.,  
 783 Hales, B., Friederich, G., Chavez, F., Sabine, C., Watson, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Schuster, U., Metzl,  
 784 N., Yoshikawa-Inoue, H., Ishii, M., Midorikawa, T., Nojiri, Y., Körtzinger, A., Steinhoff, T.,  
 785 Hoppema, M., Olafsson, J., Arnarson, T. S., Tilbrook, B., Johannessen, T., Olsen, A., Bellerby, R.,  
 786 Wong, C. S., Delille, B., Bates, N. R. and de Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological mean and decadal  
 787 change in surface ocean *p*CO<sub>2</sub>, and net sea–air CO<sub>2</sub> flux over the global oceans, *Deep Sea Res. Part*  
 788 *II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 56(8–10), 554–577, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009, 2009.

789 Tamminen, T.: Effects of ammonium effluents on planktonic primary production and  
 790 decomposition in a coastal brackish water environment I. Nutrient balance of the water body and  
 791 effluent tests, *Netherlands J. Sea Res.*, 16(C), 455–464, doi:10.1016/0077-7579(82)90050-3, 1982.

792 Teeter, L., Hamme, R. C., Ianson, D. and Bianucci, L.: Accurate Estimation of Net Community  
 793 Production From O<sub>2</sub>/Ar Measurements, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 32(8), 1163–1181,  
 794 doi:10.1029/2017GB005874, 2018.

795 Teira, E., Mouriño, B., Maraño, E., Pérez, V., Pazó, M. J., Serret, P., De Armas, D., Escánez, J.,  
 796 Woodward, E. M. S. and Fernández, E.: Variability of chlorophyll and primary production in the

797 Eastern North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre: Potential factors affecting phytoplankton activity, *Deep.*  
 798 *Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 52(4), 569–588, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.11.007, 2005.  
 799 Tortell, P. D.: Dissolved gas measurements in oceanic waters made by membrane inlet mass  
 800 spectrometry, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods*, 3(1), 24–37, doi:10.4319/lom.2005.3.24, 2005.  
 801 Tortell, P. D., Asher, E. C., Ducklow, H. W., Goldman, J. A. L., Dacey, J. W. H., Grzyski, J. J.,  
 802 Young, J. N., Kranz, S. A., Bernard, K. S. and Morel, F. M. M.: Metabolic balance of coastal  
 803 Antarctic waters revealed by autonomous  $p\text{CO}_2$  and  $\Delta\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,  
 804 41(19), 6803–6810, doi:10.1002/2014GL061266, 2014.  
 805 Tortell, P. D., Merzouk, A., Ianson, D., Pawlowicz, R. and Yelland, D. R.: Influence of regional  
 806 climate forcing on surface water  $p\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\Delta\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  and dimethylsulfide (DMS) along the southern  
 807 British Columbia coast, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 47, 119–132, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2012.07.007, 2012.  
 808 Ulfsbo, A., Cassar, N., Korhonen, M., Van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Kattner, G. and Anderson, L.  
 809 G.: Late summer net community production in the central Arctic Ocean using multiple approaches,  
 810 *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 28(10), 1129–1148, doi:10.1002/2014GB004833, 2014.  
 811 Uu, D. V. and Brankart, J. M.: Seasonal variation of temperature and salinity fields and water masses  
 812 in the Bien Dong (South China) Sea, *Math. Comput. Model.*, 26(12), 97–113, doi:10.1016/S0895-  
 813 7177(97)00243-4, 1997.  
 814 Wang, N., Lin, W., Cheng, B. and Huang, B.: Metabolic states of the Taiwan Strait and the northern  
 815 South China Sea in summer 2012 (in Chinese), *J. Trop. Oceanogr.*, 33(4), 61–68,  
 816 doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-5470.2014.04.008, 2014.  
 817 Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, *J. Geophys.*  
 818 *Res. Oceans*, 97(C5), 7373–7382, doi:10.1029/92JC00188, 1992.  
 819 Weiss, R. F.: The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater, *Deep Sea Res.*  
 820 *Oceanogr. Abstr.*, 17(4), 721–735, doi:10.1016/0011-7471(70)90037-9, 1970.  
 821 Zhai, W., Dai, M. and Cai, W.: Coupling of surface  $p\text{CO}_2$  and dissolved oxygen in the northern  
 822 South China Sea: Impacts of contrasting coastal processes, *Biogeosciences*, 6(11), 2589–2598,  
 823 doi:10.5194/bg-6-2589-2009, 2009.  
 824 Zhang, R., Zhu, X., Yang, C., Ye, L., Zhang, G., Ren, J., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Zhang, J. and Zhou, M.:  
 825 Distribution of dissolved iron in the Pearl River (Zhujiang) Estuary and the northern continental  
 826 slope of the South China Sea, *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 167, 14–24,

827     doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.12.006, 2019.

828

829

830 **Table Captions:**

831 **Table 1.** Basic information at all CTD stations in October 2014

832 **Table 2.** Basic information at all CTD stations in June 2015

833 **Table 3.** The start date and duration ( $\Delta$ day) of shelf water intrusion at the stations with surface  
834 salinity lower than 33 in June 2015

835 **Table4.** Satellite-PAR data and NCP at the selected stations in October 2014

836

## Figure Captions:

**Figure 1.** Cruise tracks of two cruises in the slope region of the Northern South China Sea in (a) October 2014, (b) June 2015. The sea level height anomaly (SLA) and geostrophic current during observations in June 2015 (Chen et al., 2016) are shown in (c). The black dots/stars represent the locations of the CTD casts. Red numbers indicate transects, while black numbers indicate the serial number of CTD stations based on the cruise plan. The color scale in (a) and (b) represents bathymetry.

**Figure 2.** Surface distributions of (a) temperature, (b) salinity, (c) chlorophyll-a (Chl a), and (d)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  in October 2014

**Figure 3.** Surface distributions of (a) temperature, (b) salinity, (c) chlorophyll-a (Chl a), (d) dissolved oxygen (DO), (e)  $p\text{CO}_2$ , and (f)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  in June 2015

**Figure 4.** Surface distribution of NCP among the northern slope of SCS during the cruise in (a) October 2014 and (b) June 2015.

**Figure 5.** Zonal variations in (a) temperature, salinity, (b)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , (c) Chl a, NCP and surface concentration of ammonia ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) along Transect 5 in October 2014. The plots of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  and NCP are 10-point Savitzky–Golay smoothed to give a better view of their distribution.

**Figure 6.** Meridional variations in (a) temperature, salinity, (b)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ ,  $p\text{CO}_2$ , (c) Chl a, NCP and surface concentration of DIN along Transect 4 in June 2015. The plots of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ ,  $p\text{CO}_2$  and NCP are 10-point Savitzky–Golay smoothed.

**Figure 7.** Principal Component Analysis (PCA) among variables for (a) October 2014 and (b) June 2015 (Bartlett's test of sphericity:  $p < 0.01$ )

**Figure 8.** (a) T-S diagram of surface DIN concentration in June 2015. The stations influenced by shelf water were in the red circle. (b) Correlation analysis between surface DIN concentration and NCP at sampling stations. The stations (characterized with  $S < 33$ ) influenced by shelf water presented surface DIN concentration  $\geq 0.27 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ .

**Figure 9.** Correlation analysis between underway NCP and physical parameters (temperature and salinity) in October 2014 (a, b) and June 2015 (c, d).

**Figure 10.** Daily satellite-chlorophyll images on the selected days in June 2015. Stars represent CTD locations. We roughly set satellite-chlorophyll  $\geq 0.2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  in this figure as the criterion of

866 shelf water. This figure was made based on the M\_Map mapping package for MATLAB (Pawlowicz,  
867 2020).



**Table 1.** Basic information at all CTD stations in October 2014

Station	Date of observation <sup>a</sup>	MLD (m)	Z <sub>eu</sub> <sup>b</sup> (m)	k <sup>c</sup> (m d <sup>-1</sup> )	$\tau$ <sup>d</sup> (d)
O-01	13 Oct 2014	58	82	4.7	12
O-02	13 Oct 2014	64	74	5.2	12
O-03	14 Oct 2014	56	84	6.2	9
O-04	14 Oct 2014	54	76	6.3	9
O-05	20 Oct 2014	27	70	7.9	3
O-06	19 Oct 2014	55	62	8.4	7
O-07	21 Oct 2014	40	60	7.3	5
O-08	21 Oct 2014	49	72	7.4	7
O-09	15 Oct 2014	79	96	6.2	13
O-10	15 Oct 2014	68	81	6.1	11
O-11	15 Oct 2014	64	81	5.4	12
O-12	16 Oct 2014	66	74	5.2	13
O-13	16 Oct 2014	48	52	6.3	8
O-14	17 Oct 2014	54	62	6.9	8
O-15	22 Oct 2014	49	68	7.0	7
O-16	22 Oct 2014	50	73	7.3	7
O-17	23 Oct 2014	52	75	7.9	7
O-19	18 Oct 2014	31	64	9.4	3
O-20	18 Oct 2014	35	61	8.7	4
O-21	18 Oct 2014	81	86	6.9	12
O-22	17 Oct 2014	76	102	6.0	13

<sup>a</sup> All dates are in the format of day month year. <sup>b</sup> Euphotic depth, defined based on subsurface chlorophyll maximum layer. <sup>c</sup> Gas transfer velocity of O<sub>2</sub>. <sup>d</sup> Residence time of O<sub>2</sub> in the mixed layer, estimated as per MLD/k.

868

**Table 2.** Basic information at all CTD stations in June 2015

Station	Date of observation	MLD (m)	$Z_{eu}$ (m)	$k$ (m d <sup>-1</sup> )	$\tau$ (d)
J-01	18 Jun 2015	26	63	2.2	12
J-02	17 Jun 2015	19	80	1.9	10
J-03	16 Jun 2015	20	74	1.9	11
J-04	15 Jun 2015	22	74	1.9	11
J-05	15 Jun 2015	11	78	1.2	9
J-06	14 Jun 2015	24	76	2.1	11
J-07	13 Jun 2015	21	81	2.3	9
J-08	18 Jun 2015	14	56	1.7	8
J-09	19 Jun 2015	17	59	1.6	10
J-10	19 Jun 2015	8	46	1.4	6
J-11	20 Jun 2015	8	40	2.8	3
J-12	21 Jun 2015	16	45	3.0	5
J-13	21 Jun 2015	19	45	2.3	8
J-14	24 Jun 2015	28	55	4.0	7
J-15	24 Jun 2015	17	42	5.3	3
J-16	25 Jun 2015	10	19	5.7	2

869

870

871  
872  
873

**Table 3.** The start date and duration ( $\Delta$ day) of shelf water intrusion at the stations with surface salinity lower than 33 in June 2015


Station	Date of observation	Start date of shelf water intrusion	$\Delta$ day <sup>a</sup>	$\tau$ (d)
J-09	19 Jun 2015	10 Jun 2015	9	10
J-10	19 Jun 2015	13 Jun 2015	6	6
J-11	20 Jun 2015	13 Jun 2015	7	3
J-12	21 Jun 2015	13 Jun 2015	8	5
J-13	21 Jun 2015	13 Jun 2015	8	8
J-16	25 Jun 2015	before 10 Jun 2015	> 15	2

<sup>a</sup> The difference between the date of observation and the start date of shelf water intrusion at the listed stations.


**Table 4.** Satellite-PAR data and NCP at the selected stations in October 2014

Station	Date of observation	MLD (m)	Z <sub>eu</sub> (m)	Surface PAR <sup>a</sup> (mol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>d</sub> (m <sup>-1</sup> )	ML PAR <sup>b</sup> (mol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	NCP (mmol C m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )
O-01	13 Oct 2014	58	82	42.0	5.6 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	12.0	3.0
O-02	13 Oct 2014	64	74	42.0	6.2 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	10.0	15.1
O-03	14 Oct 2014	56	84	41.1	5.5 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	12.4	10.1
O-08	21 Oct 2014	49	72	38.7	6.4 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	11.4	15.7
O-10	15 Oct 2014	68	81	40.0	5.7 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.8	4.4
O-13	16 Oct 2014	48	52	39.2	8.9 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	8.7	15.3
O-15	22 Oct 2014	49	68	38.6	6.8 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	10.8	16.3
O-20	18 Oct 2014	35	61	39.2	7.5 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	13.3	16.4
O-22	17 Oct 2014	76	102	42.2	4.5 * 10 <sup>-2</sup>	11.6	15.7


<sup>a</sup> Average surface PAR over the residence time of O<sub>2</sub> in the mixed layer. <sup>b</sup> Average PAR in the mixed layer.




**Figure 1.** Cruise tracks of two cruises in the slope region of the Northern South China Sea in (a) October 2014, (b) June 2015. The sea level height anomaly (SLA) and geostrophic current during observations in June 2015 (Chen et al., 2016) are shown in (c). The black dots/stars represent the locations of the CTD casts. Red numbers indicate transects, while black numbers indicate the serial number of CTD stations based on the cruise plan. The color scale in (a) and (b) represents bathymetry.



**Figure 2.** Surface distributions of (a) temperature, (b) salinity, (c) chlorophyll-a (Chl a), and (d)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  in October 2014




**Figure 3.** Surface distributions of (a) temperature, (b) salinity, (c) chlorophyll-a (Chl a), (d) dissolved oxygen (DO), (e)  $p\text{CO}_2$ , and (f)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  in June 2015




**Figure 4.** Surface distribution of NCP among the northern slope of SCS during the cruise in (a) October 2014 and (b) June 2015.







**Figure 5.** Zonal variations in (a) temperature, salinity, (b)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ , (c) Chl a, NCP and surface concentration of ammonia ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) along Transect 5 in October 2014. The plots of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$  and NCP are 10-point Savitzky–Golay smoothed to give a better view of their distribution.




**Figure 6.** Meridional variations in (a) temperature, salinity, (b)  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ ,  $p\text{CO}_2$ , (c) Chl a, NCP and surface concentration of DIN along Transect 4 in June 2015. The plots of  $\Delta(\text{O}_2/\text{Ar})$ ,  $p\text{CO}_2$  and NCP are 10-point Savitzky–Golay smoothed.




**Figure 7.** Principal Component Analysis (PCA) among variables for **(a)** October 2014 and **(b)** June 2015 (Bartlett's test of sphericity:  $p < 0.01$ )



**Figure 8. (a)** T-S diagram of surface DIN concentration in June 2015. The stations influenced by shelf water were in the red circle. **(b)** Correlation analysis between surface DIN concentration and NCP at sampling stations. The stations (characterized with  $S < 33$ ) influenced by shelf water presented surface DIN concentration  $\geq 0.27 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ .



**Figure 9.** Correlation analysis between underway NCP and physical parameters (temperature and salinity) in October 2014 (a, b) and June 2015 (c, d).



**Figure 10.** Daily satellite-chlorophyll images on the selected days in June 2015. Stars represent CTD locations. We roughly set satellite-chlorophyll  $\geq 0.2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  in this figure as the criterion of shelf water. This figure was made based on the M\_Map mapping package for MATLAB (Pawlowicz, 2020).