$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\\26\\27\end{array} $	Coastal Sea Level rise at Senetosa (Corsica) during the Jason altimetry missions
28 29 30 31	Yvan Gouzenes ¹ , Fabien Léger ¹ , Anny Cazenave ^{1,2} , Florence Birol ¹ , Pascal Bonnefond ³ , Marcello Passaro ⁴ , Fernando Nino ¹ , Rafael Almar ¹ , Olivier Laurain ⁵ , Christian Schwatke ⁴ , Jean-François Legeais ⁶ and Jérôme Benveniste ⁷
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	1. LEGOS, Toulouse; 2. ISSI, Bern; 3. Observatoire de Paris-SYRTE, Paris ; 4. TUM, Munich; 5. Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur-Géoazur, Sophia-Antipolis; 6. CLS, Ramonville St Agne; 7. ESA-ESRIN, Frascati. Final Version 14 August 2020
4/	Corresponding author: Anny Cazenave (anny.cazenave@legos.obs-mip.fr)

- 48
- 40 49
- 49
- 50 51

52 Abstract

In the context of the ESA Climate Change Initiative project, we are engaged in a regional 53 reprocessing of high-resolution (20 Hz) altimetry data of the classical missions in a number of 54 world's coastal zones. It is done using the ALES (Adaptive Leading Edge Subwaveform) 55 retracker combined with the X-TRACK system dedicated to improve geophysical corrections 56 57 at the coast. Using the Jason-1&2 satellite data, high-resolution, along-track sea level time series have been generated and coastal sea level trends have been computed over a 14-year 58 time span (from July 2002 to June 2016). In this paper, we focus on a particular coastal site 59 where the Jason track crosses land, Senetosa, located south of Corsica in the Mediterranean 60 Sea, for two reasons: (1) the rate of sea level rise estimated in this project increases significantly 61 62 in the last 4-5 km to the coast, compared to what is observed further offshore, and (2) Senetosa is the calibration site for the Topex/Poseidon and Jason altimetry missions, 63 equipped for that purpose with in situ instrumentation, in particular tide gauges and GNSS 64 antennas. A careful examination of all the potential errors that could explain the increased 65 rate of sea level rise close to the coast (e.g., spurious trends in the geophysical corrections, 66 imperfect intermission bias estimate, decrease of valid data close to the coast and errors in 67 waveform retracking) has been carried out, but none of these effects appear able to explain 68 the trend increase. We further explored the possibility it results from real physical processes. 69 Change in wave conditions was investigated but wave set up was excluded as a potential 70 contributor because of too small magnitude and too localized in the immediate vicinity of 71 the shoreline. Preliminary model-based investigation about the contribution of coastal currents 72 indicates that it could be a plausible explanation of the observed change in sea level trend 73 close to the coast. 74

76 **1. Introduction**

77 Since the early 1990s, satellite altimetry provides invaluable observations of the global mean sea level and its regional variability. In the recent years, this data set has generated an 78 79 abundant literature on the processes causing sea level change at global and regional scales, as well as on closure of the sea level budget (e.g., Church et al., 2013, Stammer et al., 2013, 80 81 Dieng et al., 2017, Nerem et al., 2018, WCRP, 2018, SROCC, 2019). In addition to the global mean rise and superimposed regional trends, changes in small scale processes such as local 82 atmospheric effects, baroclinic instabilities, coastal trapped waves, shelf currents, waves, 83 fresh water input from rivers in estuaries, can substantially modify the rate of sea level change 84 at the coast compared to open sea regions (Woodworth et al., 2019, Melet et al., 2018, 85 Piecuch et al., 2018, Dodet et al., 2019, Durand et al., 2019). In addition, ground subsidence 86 may amplify the rate of sea level change at the coast (Woppelmann and Marcos, 2016). In 87 terms of societal impacts, what really matters in the coastal zone is indeed the sum of the 88 89 global mean sea level rise plus the regional trends and the local processes.

Up to recently, due to land contamination of radar echoes and less precise geophysical 90 corrections, classical altimetry did not provide reliable sea level data in a band of 10-15 km 91 92 along coastlines. However different studies have shown that using adapted reprocessing of altimetry measurements and improving geophysical corrections allows retrieving a large 93 94 amount of valid sea level close to the coast (e.g., Cipollini et al., 2018, Passaro et al., 2015, 95 Marti et al., 2019). In addition, despite having a much higher noise level than the classical 1 Hz altimetry data, high-resolution 20 Hz measurements allow to recover more information on 96 coastal sea level variations (Birol and Delebecque, 2014, Leger et al., 2019). 97

In the context of the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) project of the European Space 98 Agency (ESA), we have initiated a reprocessing of high-resolution (20 Hz) altimetry data of 99 the Jason-1 and Jason-2 missions along coastal zones of Western Africa, Northern Europe and 100 Mediterranean Sea. The ALES (Adaptive Leading Edge Subwaveform) retracker (Passaro et 101 102 al., 2014) was applied to estimate the satellite-sea surface distance (called range) which was further combined with the X-TRACK processing chain dedicated to improve geophysical 103 corrections at the coast (Birol et al., 2017). This allowed us to derive along-track sea level 104 anomaly (SLA) time series (Leger et al., 2019) from which coastal sea level trends were 105 estimated. Results show that in a number of sites, coastal sea level rates computed over a 14-106 year time span (2002-2016) significantly deviate from the open ocean rate within 5 km to the 107 108 coast (Marti et al., 2019).

In the present study, we focus on a particular site, Senetosa, located southern Corsica in the 110 Mediterranean Sea (41° 33'N, 8°48'E), for two reasons: (1) in this region, the computed rate 111 of sea level rise increases significantly in the last 3-5 km to the coast, and (2) there is a 112 113 Jason satellite track that crosses land at Senetosa, a calibration site for altimetry missions chosen since the launch of the Topex/Poseidon mission in 1992 and equipped for that purpose 114 115 with in situ instrumentation, in particular tide gauges and GNSS antennas (Bonnefond et al., 2019). This calibration site provides an independent reference to explore the near-shelf signal 116 117 observed in altimetry data.

118

119 **2. Data and method**

As presented in detail in Marti et al. (2019) and Léger et al. (2019), here we use the regional 120 X-TRACK/ALES along-track 20 Hz SLA data derived from Jason-1 and Jason-2 missions 121 (DOI: 10.5270/esa-sl_cci-xtrack_ales_sla-200201_201610-v1.0-201910). This product 122 is based on new ranges and new sea state bias corrections estimated using the ALES retracker 123 (see details on the retracking methodology in Passaro et al., 2014), and further combined with 124 the X-TRACK software developed at CTOH (Center of Topography of the Ocean and the 125 Hydrosphere) at LEGOS (Laboratoire d'Études en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales). 126 127 The new X-TRACK/ALES processing system first downloads from the altimetry database hosted by the French National Observations Service for altimetry called CTOH 128 (http://ctoh.legos.obs-mip.fr/), all parameters needed to compute the sea level anomaly (orbit 129 130 solution, altimeter ranges, instrumental, environmental and geophysical corrections). These parameters come from the Geophysical Data Records (GDRs) data sets distributed by the space 131 132 agencies for the different altimetry missions. ALES range and SSB products come from TUM. Additional geophysical corrections are provided by the RADS altimeter database 133 134 (http://rads.tudelft.nl/rads/rads.shtml) and the University of Porto (for the GPD+ wet 135 tropospheric correction, Fernandes et al., 2015). Concerning the geophysical corrections, we 136 used the standards defined in the ESA CCI sea level project (http://www.esa-sealevel-cci.org/). These are summarized in Table 1. 137

Parameter	Source	Jason-1 / Jason-2
Altitude	GDR	Altitude of satellite
Range	ALES/TUM	20 Hz Ku band ALES corrected altimeter range (Passaro et al. 2014)

		5
Sigma0	ALES/TUM	20 Hz Ku band ALES altimeter sigma0 (Passaro et al. 2014)
Ionosphere	GDR	From dual-frequency altimeter range measurement
Dry troposphere	GDR	From ECMWF model
Wet troposphere	University of Porto	GPD+ correction (Fernandes et al. 2015)
Sea-state bias	ALES/TUM	Sea-state bias correction in Ku band, ALES retracking (Passaro et al. 2018)
Solid tides	RADS	From tide potential model (Cartwright and Taylor 1971, Cartwright and Eden 1973)
Pole tides	GDR	From Wahr 1985
Loading effect	RADS	From FES 2014 (Carrere et al. 2012)
Atmospheric correction	RADS	From MOG2D-G (Carrere and Lyard 2003) + inverse barometer
Ocean tide	RADS	From FES 2014 (Carrere et al. 2012)

140 *Table 1. List of altimetry parameters and geophysical corrections used in the computation of the*141 *coastal sea level products.*

142

A dedicated editing strategy was further applied to eliminate noisy data. For each orbit cycle, the 143 temporal behavior of each geophysical correction was analyzed along the satellite track. Abrupt 144 changes were considered as spurious and removed (Birol el al., 2017). This strategy has proved to 145 be very efficient in recovering a significant amount of valid altimeter measurements that were 146 otherwise flagged in the standard GDR products (Jebri et al., 2016). In a second step, all corrections 147 were recomputed at the 20-Hz high-rate using only the valid data, through interpolation/extrapolation 148 149 method. The sea level data of each cycle were further projected onto fixed points along a nominal 150 ground track and converted into SLAs by subtracting a reference mean sea surface. At this stage of the processing, a regional dataset of SLA time series with a spatio-temporal resolution of 10 days 151 and 20Hz (~0.3 km) was produced for each Jason mission. To obtain a single multi-mission product, 152 an inter-mission bias was estimated and removed. This was done at regional level by computing the 153

- mean sea level differences between the two missions over their overlapping period (calibration phase). The resulting SLAs were further averaged on a monthly basis at every 20 Hz point and an additional editing was performed to remove outliers (details in Marti et al., 2019).
- 157 In this study we focus on the section of Jason track 85 located off the southwestern coast of
- 158 Corsica island (western Mediterranean Sea) (see Fig. 1).
- 159



- 160
- 161

162 *Fig. 1. Location of the Jason track 85 crossing Corsica at the Senetosa site (black straight line).*

- 163 The background maps shows sea level trends over 2002-2016, based on gridded altimetry data
- 164 *from the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S; <u>https://climate.copernicus.eu/sea-level</u>)*

165 **3. The Senetosa calibration site**

Since 1998, a calibration site of the Topex/Poseidon and Jason missions has 166 operated near the Senetosa lighthouse with support from CNES (Centre National 167 d'Études Spatiales, France), NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, USA) 168 and the Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur (France). It is equipped with different in situ 169 instrumentation, including weather stations, several tide gauges and GNSS antenna. Since 170 1998, this calibration site has been widely used to validate the altimetry-based sea surface 171 height data (Bonnefond et al., 2003a,b, 2010, 2011). Fig.2 is a Google Earth image of the 172 coast, showing the geographical configuration of the Senetosa calibration site, with the 173 location of the tide gauges, the GNSS antenna and the Jason track. Three tide gauges were 174 operating during our study period (M3, M4 and M5). M4 and M5, a few tens of cm apart, 175 176 are located on the western part of the coastline sheltered from northwestward wind forcing. M3 at 1.7 km eastward of M4/M5 is more exposed to open sea conditions from the 177 178 west.

Vertical land motion time series are available from the GNSS reference receiver located close to the lighthouse (G0 reference marker in Fig.2). The tide gauges have been regularly leveled relatively to the G0 reference marker with no relative motion detected so far at the millimeter level over 10 years. Trends in sea level and vertical land motions derived from these instruments at Senetosa are discussed in section 5.



Fig. 2. Google Earth image of the Senetosa calibration site. The two tide gauge sites (referred as M4/M5 and M3) are shown by the red dots. The G0 reference marker (G0) is indicated by a white square and the Jason ground track by the white straight line.

190 191

192 **4. Analysis of the coastal sea level trends off Senetosa**

4.1 Coastal sea level trends derived from altimetry data

- 194 Following the data processing described above, we focus on monthly SLA time series sampled
- 195 at 20 Hz (~350 m in the along-track direction), from 15 km offshore to the coastline. Examples
- 196 of along-track SLA time series at coastal points, located at 1 km, 1.6 km, 2.2 km, 5 km and
- 197 15 km from the coast respectively, are shown in Fig.3.



Fig. 3. Examples of sea level anomalies time series for 20-Hz points located at different distances from the coast. The distance to coast, percentage of valid data and sea level trends are indicated on each plot. The green curve is the regression line adjusted to the data. The red points on the time series correspond to outliers detected using a simple 2-sigma filter (sigma corresponding to the SLA standard deviation). These are not considered to compute the regression line.

For each 20 Hz point, we have then computed the regression line of the resulting SLA time series and the associated standard deviation (1-sigma) based on the least squares fit, to estimate sea level trends over the study time span. Corresponding along track sea level trends against distance to the coast (from 15 km offshore) are shown in Fig.4.



Fig. 4. ltimetry-based sea level trends over July 2002-June 2016 around Senetosa against
distance to the coast. Shaded area corresponds to trend uncertainty range. The light blue
curve is the sum of trends in individual corrections.

As shown in Fig.4, beyond ~ 5 km from the coast towards the open sea, the trend over 2002-244 2016 is relatively stable and on average on the order of 2-3 mm/yr. High frequency 245 oscillations around this value are observed between adjacent points but these are likely due to 246 noise and we note they are of the same order of magnitude or only slightly larger than the 247 standard deviation of trend estimates at each point (of ~1.5 mm/yr).

As also shown in Fig.4, we note an almost continuous increase in the trend in the last ~4-5 km to coast. The corresponding trend uncertainties (standard deviation) are not significantly larger than offshore (<2 mm/yr).

4.2 Robustness of the computed coastal trends

In coastal areas, precision of sea surface height from altimetry is limited by inaccuracies in some of the applied geophysical corrections (including sea-state bias, wet tropospheric correction, dynamical atmospheric correction and ocean tides) and from the distorted shape of the radar waveforms as the satellite approaches land (Vignudelli et al., 2011 and Cipollini et al., 2018).

The corresponding altimetry measurements are often discarded by the processing chains or flagged in the data sets as potentially erroneous, leading to low confidence sea level trend estimates near the coastline. These estimates can also be impacted by the lower percentage of valid data in the coastal zone, as well as by the uncertainty in the bias estimate between the two successive missions Jason-1 and Jason-2. In order to check whether the sea level trend increase close to the coast reported in section 4.1 is associated to one of these factors, each of them is independently examined.

265

266 *4.2.1 Coastal errors in the geophysical corrections*

We first computed and plotted the geophysical correction trends against distance to the coast for the sea-state bias (ssb), wet atmospheric correction, atmospheric loading (called DACdynamic atmospheric correction-) and ocean and loading tide correction (Fig.5).

- 270
- 271 272



- 10
- Fig. 5. Trends in the geophysical corrections (sea state bias/ssb, wet tropospheric correction,
 dynamic atmospheric correction/dac, ocean tide plus ocean loading tide) as a function of
 distance to coast. Note that the vertical scale is different from Fig.4.
- 279

Trends in the geophysical corrections are rather small and their amplitude in the range +/- 1 mm/yr, except for the ssb that shows a larger trend within 4 km to coast, but always less than 2 mm/yr. It is worth mentioning that the ssb is a function of significant wave height (SWH) and backscatter coefficient (both related to wind speed). In the ALES retracking the ssb is recomputed for each 20-Hz point. So a trend in ssb may be due to either a different behavior of the SWH and wind speed at the coast, or to changes in backscatter properties.

The sum of these geophysical correction trends is plotted in Fig.4 (blue line). Even if the geophysical corrections, and especially the ssb, are more uncertain close to the coast, Fig. 4 suggests that the continuous increase in the sea level trends observed in the last ~4 km to the coast may not be due to trends in the geophysical corrections. It remains that the empirical formulation used for the ssb correction may not be valid close to the coast where waves could have a different behavior compared to the open sea. This will be discussed in section 6.1.

292

293 *4.2.2 Coastal changes in the percentage of valid data*

We next examined the possible impact on the trend estimation of the decrease in valid data in the last 3-4 km to coast. The original percentage of valid data at each 20-Hz point decreases with distance to the coast, as shown in Fig.6. We resampled the along-track sea-level records keeping only the 80% of data common to all along track positions at a given time.

- 298
- 299



302 Fig. 6. Percentage of missing points for the original data set.

The along-track sea level trends were recomputed with the new sampling (80% of the original data kept) (Fig.7). For comparison, in Fig.7 we superimpose the trends computed with the original sampling. Trends compare well in both cases. Even if the trend values are slightly lower in the band 0-5 km, keeping only 80% of the valid data does not change significantly the coastal trend behavior. We conclude that the lower amount of valid nearshore altimetry data does not explain the trend increase observed as the distance to the coast decreases.

311

300 301

303



Fig. 7. Sea level trends against distance to the coast with the original data set (green curve) and
new sampling (80% of original data kept; red curve).

317 318

319 *4.2.3<u>Effect of intermission bias estimation</u>*

As discussed in detail in Marti et al. (2019), in the X-TRACK/ALES sea level product, the 320 321 bias applied to combine the Jason-1 and Jason-2 data in a single sea level time series was 322 estimated at a regional scale. In the case of our study region, it was estimated over the whole 323 Mediterranean Sea. In order to investigate a possible impact of this approach on the sea level 324 trend estimates, we tested other bias calculation methods. We first recomputed the intermission bias along the Jason track 85 (using only measurements of this particular track). 325 In another test, the bias was computed from data included in a 1x1 degree box around the 326 Senetosa site. The sea level trends derived from the corresponding Jason-1 and Jason-2 time 327 series are shown in Fig. 8a for these two cases, superimposed to the regional bias case shown 328 in section 4.1. Here again, we can see that there is almost no difference between the results of 329 330 the three approaches, indicating that inadequate intermission bias estimate does not explain 331 the coastal trend increase. To complete these tests, we also recomputed SLA trends as a 332 function of distance to coast using as reference a local geoid computed for altimetry mission calibration purposes (P. Bonnefond, personal communication). Fig.8b shows the geoid profile 333 334 together with the along-track mean sea surface computed with the altimetry data, as a function of latitude. Both references compare well Thus, as expected, exactly the same trend increase 335 336 behavior against distance to coast is observed when the reference geoid is used (figure not shown as it is similar to Fig.4). We conclude that the reference has no impact on the 337 338 computed trends.

(a)



 (b)



353

353 354

Fig.8. (a) Sea level trends against distance to the coast for three different intermission bias estimates. (b) Geoid and altimetry-based along-track mean sea surface profiles against latitude.

14

358 359

360 *4.2.4 Coastal altimetry waveforms and range values near Senetosa*

In another series of tests, we examined the shape of the radar waveforms at 20 Hz points as a function of distance to coast, considering a few Jason cycles taken at random. An example is shown in Fig. 9 for a point located between the coast and 2 km offshore. Fig.9 shows that at the Senetosa site, the leading edge of the coastal radar echo is generally well defined, suggesting that a robust determination of the range is possible very close to the coast.

- 366
- 367



- 368
- 369
- 370

Fig. 9. Observed radar waveforms at points close to the coast for a series of Jason cycles
(numbers on each plot refer to cycle number).

To investigate this further, we tried to assess the reliability of successive 20-Hz ALES-based range data very close to the coast. The waveform amplitude represents the radar power as a

function of time. For Jason-2, time is discretized into 104 successive 'gates'. Knowledge of the orbit and radar footprint allows by simple geometric analysis to associate a point on ground (pixel) to a given gate. A numerical simulation has been performed for that purpose (assuming flat land) in order to produce range maps for the Jason track 85, with the goal of precisely locating the point on ground corresponding to the measured waveform. This is illustrated on Fig. 10a and Fig. 10b, showing the geographical configuration and associated radar waveforms for two range measurements located at 0.53 km and 1.4 km distance from

circle representing the radar footprint on the range map.

coast. The range measurement deduced from the waveform corresponds to the center of the



384

376



(a)

389 390



394 395

399

Fig. 10. (a) Radar waveform a gainst gate number (left) and configuration of the radar
footprint on ground (right) at 1.4 km from coast. (b) Same as (a) at 0.5 km from coast.

Although these simulations represent an ideal case of smooth sea state and flat land, Fig.
10a,b shows that even at the closest point to coast (0.5 km), the leading edge of the return

403 waveform still corresponds to a reflection of the radar signal on water. This suggests that it is 404 theoretically possible to retrieve valid sea level information up to 0.5 km to the coast. One 405 may argue that because the land at Senetosa has some elevation, the real radar echo is partly 406 contaminated by land reflection at distances larger than the theoretical footprint, even if there is no wave. However, considering that the real waveform has a leading edge, and that 407 the retracker is able to follow it, we conclude that the trends reported on successive 20-Hz 408 409 points are not spurious. Besides, if the retracker was corrupted by inhomogeneous backscatter 410 properties within the satellite footprint, these should be random (e.g., Passaro et al. 2014). Finally, 20-Hz waveforms being independent samples, if the retracker is wrong and produces 411 spurious trends, the latter also would be random. Thus, we should not see a continuous trend 412 increase over several consecutive points. 413

414

402

415 <u>4.2.6 Comparison between ALES and MLE4 retrackers</u>

Finally, we performed the same analysis (computation of sea level trends as a function of 416 distance to the coast) using SLA data computed with the classical MLE4 retracker (used for 417 418 the standard Geophysical Data Records production, 419 https://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/fileadmin/documents/data/tools/hdbk_tp_gdrm.pdf). MLE4based trends over the 14-year time span are shown in Fig. 11, on which are superimposed the 420 421 ALES-based trends, for comparison. We note that MLE4 gives noisier results than ALES, especially at distances less than ~5 km to the coast, but the increase in trends in the last ~4-5 422 423 km to the coast is still well visible. This clearly means that the trend increase is not an artifact due to the use of the ALES retracker. 424



- 427 428
- 429 430

Fig. 11. Sea level trends against distance to the coast for MLE4 (orange dots) and ALES
(green dots)-based SLA data. Vertical bars correspond to trend errors (1-sigma). The light
blue curve at the bottom of the panel represents the difference between ALES-based and MLE4based trends.

To summarize, from all the tests presented above, we can conclude that the increase in altimetry sea level trend observed in the last 4-5 km to the coast is not correlated with errors in the geophysical corrections, is not explained by the loss of valid data, nor the presence of spurious waveforms or by the intermission bias. Furthermore, the calculated trends are robust to change in retracker, since instead of using ALES, we also used the standard high-frequency MLE4 retracker. The corresponding time series still show the same trend behavior (although with noisier results).

445

5. Comparison with the sea level trend derived from tide gauges records

It is very classical to validate altimetry-based sea level data by comparing with tide gauge records. The availability of tide gauge records at the Senetosa site is a good opportunity to do so. Tide gauge data have been provided by the Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur (Géoazur laboratory) and downloaded from www.aviso.altimetry/fr/en/data/calval/in-situ/absolutecalibration/download-tide-gauge-data.html. The high-frequency tidal signal and the atmospheric forcing effect have been removed (using the same DAC correction as for the

 altimetry data). The time series have been further smoothed on a monthly basis. The corresponding tide gauge time series over 2002-2016, for the M3, M4 and M5 tide gauges, are shown in Fig. 12a and 12b, with and without the seasonal cycles.





465

467 Fig. 12. Sea level time series based on in situ tide gauges measurements at the M3, M4 and
468 M5 sites over 2002-2016. (a) With the seasonal cycle. (b) Without the seasonal cycle.

469

From these time series, we computed linear trends over the same period as for the altimetry data. These are gathered in Table 2 for the two cases (with and without the seasonal cycle). In Bonnefond et al. (2019), it was shown that when making differences between tide gauges sea level measurements, there is no systematic trend between the tide gauge time series since 2001 (below 0.1 mm/yr), well within the trend uncertainties. The GNSS-based vertical land motion (VLM) at Senetosa (estimated in Bonnefond et al., 2019) is also shown. VLM is small at Senetosa, less than 0.3 mm/yr.

477 478

Tide Gauge	Tide gauge trend (mm/yr)	Tide gauge trend (mm/yr)	GNSS VLM	
	(with seasonal cycles)	without seasonal cycles	(2003-present)	
			(mm/yr)	
M3	4.7 +/- 1.2	3.8 +/- 0.6	0.28 +/- 0.05	
M4	1.4 +/- 1.1	2.8 +/- 0.5	0.28 +/- 0.05	
M5	3.2 +/- 1.1	3.0 +/- 0.5	0.28 +/- 0.05	

479

Table 2. Relative sea level trends (mm/yr) recorded by the M3, M4 and M5 tide gauges
(estimated with and without the seasonal cycles) as well as the GNSS-based vertical land
motion (mm/yr) at the Senetosa site.

483

The M4 time series displays several gaps over the study period. In addition, the record 484 (seasonal cycle not removed, Fig. 12a) shows a large positive anomaly in 2015, not seen by 485 M3 neither M5. M3 has also a large gap in 2009/2010, as well as other gaps 2012 and at the 486 end of the record. A suspect drop is also visible in 2005 on Fig. 12b (seasonal cycle removed). 487 Thus the M5 record seems the most reliable, even if the trends from M3 and M4 are close 488 to M5 (see Table 2). The computed (relative) sea level trend (uncorrected for the VLM) is 489 on the order of 2.8-3.8 mm/yr over the study period (seasonal cycle removed). If the GNSS 490 VLM trend is accounted for, this range becomes 3.1-4.1 mm/yr. This value is significantly 491 less than the altimetry-based sea level trends reported here in the last 4-5 km to the coast. On 492 the other hand, the tide gauge trend agree well with the altimetry-based trends reported at 493 distances greater than > 4 km from coast. While the reported altimetry-based sea level trend 494 495 increase may disqualify our retracked sea level data in the vicinity of the coast, in

the next section we discuss the possibility that some coastal processes affect sea level in a
band of a few km from the coast while being attenuated very close to the shore where the tide
gauges (in particular M5) are located. .

500

501 **6. Small scale coastal processes**

502 Compared to deep-ocean sea level, sea level close to the coast can be impacted by various small-scales processes resulting from the morphology of the coastline, the depth of the 503 504 continental shelf, the presence of a river estuary, etc. (Woodworth et al., 2019). Thus coastal sea level may significantly differ from open ocean sea level over a large range of temporal 505 506 scales. In terms of trends, the open ocean sea level essentially results from processes affecting the global mean sea level (mean ocean thermal expansion, land ice melt and land water 507 storage changes) (e.g., WCRP, 2018) and the superimposed regional variability (regional 508 509 changes in ocean thermal expansion, atmospheric loading and fingerprints due to the solid Earth response to changing ice mass loads; Stammer et al., 2013). At the coast, in addition of 510 these two contributions, local variations in other processes may cause additional small-scale 511 sea level changes at interannual to decadal time scales, such as trapped Kelvin waves, 512 upwelling/downwelling effects, eddies, wind-generated waves and swells, shelf currents, water 513 density changes related with river runoff in estuaries (see Woodworth et al., 2019 for a detailed 514 515 discussion on forcing factors affecting sea level changes at the coast). Note that we do not 516 discuss vertical land motion here since our objective is to understand the observed change in 'geocentric' sea level as measured by satellite altimetry. 517

518 In the case of Senetosa, river runoff and trapped Kelvin waves are not supposed to affect 519 coastal sea level. Could other processes like trends in wind-generated waves and coastal 520 currents explain the slow increase in sea level trend towards the coast? These are discussed 521 below.

522

523 6.1 Effect of waves on SLA and SSB

We first discuss the effect of waves. The contribution of wind-generated waves to coastal sea level changes has been investigated in a number of recent studies (e.g., Melet et al., 2018, Dodet et al., 2019). As thoroughly discussed in Dodet et al. (2019), wind-generated waves have the capability to significantly change sea level variations at the coast, even at the time scales of interest here. The shoaling and breaking of waves in the shelf shallow waters raises the mean water level in the so-called near-shore and surf zones (last ~1 km to coast), a

process called wave set-up. Wave set-up is proportional to offshore significant wave height,
and if the latter displays a temporal trend due to a trend in wind forcing, it may cause a sea
level trend in the coastal zone.

The relationship between offshore wave height and wave set-up is known empirically only (Dodet et al., 2019). To first order, wave set-up is related to offshore SWH, wave period and beach slope. The bathymetric profile along the Jason track 85 (from 45 km offshore to coast) is shown in Fig. 13. We note an abrupt increase of more than 500 m in the last 5 km to coast,

corresponding to a slope of 0.1.

539



- 540
- 541 542

543

If the bathymetric slope near Senetosa is known, it is not the case for other parameters involved in the relationship between SWH and wave set-up. This is the case in particular for beach soil characteristics, sediment size, etc. A large variety of formulations have been proposed for this relationship, based on in situ observations collected at different coastal sites (e.g., Dodet et al., 2019). However, these are not necessarily applicable to our study case as some local beach parameters are not known. But it is generally assumed that wave set up does not exceed 20% of SWH. Thus, as a preliminary approach, we analyzed offshore SWH data

Fig. 13. Bathymetric profile (meters) along Jason track 85 from 45 km offshore to coast

only, in order to highlight their temporal variability over our study time span.

553 For that purpose we considered wave field data from the ERA5 reanalysis 554 (<u>https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5;</u>

555 <u>https://apps.ecmwf.int/data-catalogues/era5/?class=ea</u>). The ERA5 reanalysis

provides gridded SWH time series at monthly interval, from 1979-present, thus covering our 557 study period. The grid size resolution is 0.5 degree. Using this data set, we computed 2-D 558 SWH trends over 2002-2016, shown in Fig. 14. We note high positive wave height trends 559 west of Corsica and Sardinia over this period. Along the Jason track 85, in the vicinity of 560 Senetosa, the trend is on the order of 5 mm/yr. Note that we also computed the wind trend using 561 the same ERA5 reanalysis gridded data over the same period (2002-2016). The map (not shown) 562 displays positive trends in wind south of Corsica, although with smaller amplitude than along 563 the western coast of Sardinia, like the wave height map shown in Fig.14. 564

From the above discussion, we deduce that wave set up would not contribute by more that 1 mm/yr to the coastal sea level trend. Noting in addition that wave set up would affect sea level in the close vicinity of the coast only (i.e., not over 4-5 km distance, X. Bertin, and J. Wolf, personal communications), it is very unlikely that wave set up explains the reported coastal sea level trend.

570 571

556



572 573

Fig. 14. Wave height trends (in mm/yr) over 2002-2016 in the western Mediterranean Sea
(data from ERA5 reanalysis)

576

577 We further investigated the effect of waves on the ssb correction, hence on SLAs. For that 578 purpose, we computed the correlation between wave height time series and difference in sea

open ocean (chosen here at 15 km from the coast). We consider differences in sea level 580 581 anomalies in order to remove the common ocean signal affecting sea level close to the 582 coast and offshore, e.g., the global mean sea level rise and its superimposed regional 583 variability. By computing the sea level differences between 15 km offshore and coast, the latter large-scale sea level components are removed, leaving only 584 585 small-scale signals occurring very close to the coast. Data from the ERA5 grid closest to Senetosa were used (the center of the considered grid point is located 41.5°N, 8.5°E, at 586 24 km from the first valid point on the Jason track and 25 km from Senetosa). The correlation 587 values are shown in Fig. 15 against distance to the coast. From a distance of ~3 km from the 588 coast towards the deep sea, the correlation between wave height and sea level difference is 589 insignificant while it clearly increases from ~3 km to the coast. This suggests that there is a 590 link between the variations in waves and SLA variations in the 0-3 km domain close to land. 591 We performed the same analysis but now using the M5 tide gauge record as reference (the M3 592 tide gauge record having too many data gaps). This is also shown in Fig. 15. Surprisingly, we 593 find exactly the same behavior of the correlation coefficient, i.e., no correlation offshore 594 595 (points located at distance > 3 km from coast) and an increase in correlation in the last 3 km to the coast. This suggests that waves may affect SLA only in the domain 0-3 km from 596 coast but that at the tide gauge site, waves have no influence. Obviously, this could be via 597

the ssb correction applied to SLA data.

599 600



Correlation between SWH and Sea Level differences

- Fig. 15. Correlation between the wave height (SWH) time series (from ERA5 grid mesh close
 to Senetosa) and altimetry-based sea level difference time series between every 20 Hz point
 and a reference point. The blue curve corresponds to a reference time series for a point
 located at 15 km from the coast. In the case of the red curve, the reference time series is the
 M5 tide gauge record.
- 608

It has been demonstrated that applying the ssb correction to altimetry data, in particular to high-609 frequency data as in this study, reduces the correlation between SWH and range (and, 610 611 consequently, SLA) (Passaro et al., 2018). The ssb correction is mainly a function of SWH: it removes from the range estimation an effect that is directly proportional to the wave height. 612 This means that if this ssb correction is not applied, it has to be expected that the SLA record 613 will be correlated with the SWH record. To illustrate this somewhat differently, Fig. 16a shows 614 wave height time series superimposed to altimetry-based difference in SLA time series 615 (reference point at 15 km, as in Fig. 15) for a few points located in the 0-3 km domain close 616 to the coast and an additional point located farther from the coast. Here again, data from 617 the ERA5 grid closest to Senetosa have been considered for the calculation. The correlation 618 between SWH and difference SLA time series is indicated on each plot. We clearly see that 619 620 it is significant only for points close to the coast. Distant offshore points do not show such 621 a correlation. Although the correlation is dominated by the seasonal signal, Fig. 16 shows the two time series are also correlated at interannual time scales. 622



Fig. 16: Time series of ERA5-based wave height time series (blue curve) and of altimetrybased SLA differences (orange curve) between 20 Hz points at different distances from coast
(indicated on each plot) and a reference point (located at 15 km).

668

We argue that when the range close to the coast is not being properly corrected for the ssb, this 669 results in a lesser correlation between ssb and SWH. To verify this, we repeated this correlation 670 analysis but now using the ssb correction (from both the ALES and MLE4 671 672 retrackings) instead of the SLA differences. As expected, ssb is correlated with SWH away from the coast (of -0.75 and -0.56 at 6.5 km from the coast for MLE4 and ALES respectively), 673 674 but the correlation decreases in the last few km to the coast (amounting -0.35 and -0.48 at 0.7 km from the coast for MLE4 and ALES respectively). This suggests that the relationship 675 used to express the link between ssb and SWH is less adapted in the coastal domain than in 676 the open sea, either because of change of wave properties (which makes the ssb model invalid) 677

- or because of a wrong estimation of SWH very close to the coast. This is also illustrated in Fig.
- 679 17 that shows the correlation between ssb and SWH against to distance to the coast (for both
- 680 ALES ssb and MLE4 ssb). Between 1 km

and 4 km, the correlation between SWH and ssb decreases. It is worth noting however thatthe correlation remains higher for ALES ssb than for MLE4 ssb.



Fig. 17. Correlation between significant wave height (SWH) time series and ssb time series
between every 20 Hz point and a reference point.

We conclude from these tests, that the correlation between SLA and wave height at 20 Hz points close to the coast is very likely due to imperfect ssb correction. Thus we can now exclude any direct effect of waves (e.g., trend in wave set-up) as a candidate to explain the SLA trend increase close to the coast. Are the reported SLA trends in the last few km to the coast due to inadequate formulation of the relationship between SWH and ssb as the satellite approaches the coast remains so far an open question. While we cannot exclude that the ssb correction is imperfect close to the coast, it seems unlikely that it would produce such large trends as those observed in the SLAs.

6.2. Effect of coastal currents and comparison with an ocean model

In this section we briefly address the effect of coastal currents on the SLAs. There are only
few published studies on the circulation in the Senetosa region (e.g., Bruschi et al., 1981,
Manzena et al., 1985, Cucco et al., 2012, Gerigny et al., 2015, Sciascia et al., 2019). These
indicate that the dominant characteristics of the circulation in the Corsica channel (Bonifacio
Straits) is a flow predominantly directed northward from the Tyrrhenian Sea to the Ligurian

706 Sea and that the water motion is mainly wind-driven. The study by Gerigny et al. (2015) 707 based on in situ measurements collected during a cruise in 2012 and use of a high-resolution 708 regional hydrodynamic model (MARS3D) shows that the circulation is mostly wind-driven, 709 forced by westerly winds half of the year and strong easterly winds in winter, generating strong local currents and mesoscale structures in the western part of the channel. We have 710 711 downloaded the currents data generated by the MARS3D model, a coastal hydrodynamical 712 model developped by IFREMER (Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la 713 Mer; Lazure and Dumas 2008). There is a high-resolution (400 m) version available for the 2014 714 Corsica region, for the years to present (http://www.ifremer.fr/docmars/html/doc.basic.intro.html). The model does not assimilate 715 altimetry data nor any other type of data. Because this dataset has only 2.5 years of overlap with 716 our study period, we cannot compute trends. However, to gain some insight on the circulation 717 configuration, we examined the currents pattern over the year 2014. In agreement with the 718 literature, we observed a strong zonal current during the winter months close to Senetosa. An 719 example of the zonal component of the barotropic current south of Corsica is shown in Fig.18 720 721 for January 2014. We note a clear westward current along the Senetosa coast starting at ~4 km 722 from the coast. It is also worth noting that it does not extend to the shoreline, thus may not influence tide gauge measurements. 723 724



Fig.18. Barotropic current (zonal component) for January 2014 based on the MARS3D
hydrographic model. Blue color means westward current. The Jason track (black line) crosses
this current at 4 km from the coast. The red bar crossing the Jason track indicates the 15 km
distance from the coast.

We interpolated these current data (for January 2014) along the Jason track. This is shown in Fig.19 against distance to the coast. The current intensity is close to zero at distances >5km from the coast. In the last 5 km to the coast, there is a steep intensity increase, exactly over the same distance range as the SLA trend increase. Since the model resolution is ~400m, i.e., about the same resolution as the 20 Hz along-track SLAs, we find this result highly promising.



Fig.19. Barotropic current (zonal component) for January 2014 based on the MARS3D
hydrographic model interpolated along the Jason track, against distance to the coast.
Negative values mean westward current.

Of course, we cannot extrapolate backward in time nor offer any solid conclusion so far. But we cannot exclude that the observed sea level trend increase is linked to an increase in intensity of this winter current during our study period. This obviously will need much deeper investigation, at least over the time span of availability of the model data.

771 **7. Conclusion**

In this study, we have investigated the differences between coastal and deep ocean sea level changes at the Senetosa site, using new ALES-based retracked sea level data from the Jason-1 and Jason-2 missions. We indeed observe a slow increase in sea level trend at short (< ~4-5 km) distance from the coast compared to offshore. A series of test shows that this behavior does not result from artifacts due to spurious trends in the geophysical corrections applied to the altimetry data, decreasing percentage of valid data, or errors in the intermission bias nor errors in range estimates due to distorted radar waveforms.

While the paper was in review, an update of the results presented above has been recently 779 performed extending the SLA time series with Jason-3 data up to June 2018 (coastal trends based 780 on Jason-1, 2 and 3 over 2002-2018 at several hundreds of coastal sites located in six different 781 regions worldwide are presented elsewhere; The Climate Change Initiative Coastal Sea Level 782 Team, 2020). Although the coastal trends within the 2-3 km to the coast are slightly lower than 783 those reported above, exactly the same behavior is found, as shown in Fig.20 that compares 784 coastal trends over 2002-2016 and 2002-2018. Thus, the trend increase close to the coast 785 786 observed at Senetosa is not due to the limited length of the time series, although its amplitude 787 decreases as the record length increases. Similarly, the geophysical correction trends present the same behavior over both time spans. It is worth mentioning that in the extended study (2002-788 789 2018), among the 429 studied coastal sites, coastal trends do not in general differ from open ocean trends (within +/- 1 mm/yr), except at a few sites (The Climate Change Initiative Coastal 790 791 Sea Level Team, 2020), Senetosa is one of them. This is why we made a focus on that particular 792 site.

798



Fig.20. Altimetry-based sea level trends at Senetosa, over two periods: (1) July 2002-June
2016, green curve and (2) June 2002-May 2018, blue curve. Black vertical bars
correspond to trend uncertainties.

Among the physical mechanisms able to explain the coastal trend increase in the study region, 799 800 we have first explored waves, then currents. We investigated the wave effect on sea level along the Jason track and found that wave set up has a too small magnitude and is localized 801 too close to the shore to explain the observed continuous SLA trend increase in the last 4-5 802 803 km to the coast. On the other hand, the correlation reported between altimetry-based SLAs 804 and SWH very likely results from the imperfect ssb correction applied to the data. Nevertheless, if less accurate in the coast vicinity, the ssb trend seems unable to explain the 805 806 reported SLA trend increase. We next investigated the effect of coastal currents. Using the MARS3D high resolution model developed by IFREMER for coastal studies, we noted the 807 808 presence of a winter current along the Senetosa coastline. Projection of this current along the 809 Jason track (for January 2014) shows a steep increase in intensity over exactly the same 810 distance to the coast as the SLA trend increase. This may be an indication of a current-related origin. More studies are definitely needed to confirm the results presented here. However, if 811 812 further investigations confirm the effect of currents, it will be a demonstration that smallscale processes acting in the vicinity of the coast may have the capability to make coastal sea 813 level changes drastically different from what we measure offshore with classical altimetry. 814

815

816

- 818 Acknowledgements
- 819

This study is a contribution to the ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI+) Sea Level project. Yvan Gouzenes is supported by an engineer grant in the context of this project (ESA SL_cci+ contract number 4000126561/19/I-NB). We thank a number of colleagues for very fruitful discussions on the effect of waves on tide gauges and coastal sea level, in particular (by alphabetic order) Angel Amores, Xavier Bertin, Svetlana Jevrejeva, Goneri Le Cozannet, Marta Marcos, Judy Wolf and Phil Woodworth. We also thank two anonymous reviewers and the Editor for their comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript.

33

827

828 Data Availability

The coastal sea level data analyzed in this study are available from the Nature Scientific Data

article (The Climate change Initiative Coastal Sea Level Team, 2020, A database of coastal sea

- level anomalies and associated trends from Jason satellite altimetry from 2002 to 2018). The
- altimetry-based sea level data can be downloaded from the SEANOE repository with the DOI:
- 833 <u>https://doi.org/10.17882/74354</u>.
- The gridded sea level data from the Copernicus Climate Change Service are available at
 https://climate.copernicus.eu/sea-level.
- 836 The Senetosa tide gauge data can be downloaded at www.aviso.altimetry/fr/en/data/calval/in-
- 837 situ/absolute- calibration/download-tide-gauge-data.html
- The ERA wave field data from the ERA5 reanalysis are available from the following
- 839 web site: <u>https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5 (see also</u>
- 840 <u>https://apps.ecmwf.int/data-catalogues/era5/?class=ea).</u>
- 841 <u>The MARS3D model can be downloaded from the web site:</u>
 842 <u>http://www.ifremer.fr/docmars/html/doc.basic.intro.html</u>).
- 843

844 Author contributions

- All authors contributed in different parts of the data production and analysis, as well as in
- 846 writing the manuscript. J.F.L. and A.C. are project manager and science leader of the CCI+
- 847 Coastal Sea Level project, respectively.
- 848

849 **Competing interest**

850 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

852

857

853 **References** 854

- Ablain M., Legeais J.F., Prandi P., et al., 2017. Altimetry-based sea level, global and regional scales, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 38, 7-31, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-016-9389-8.
- Almar, R., E. Kestenare, J. Reyns, J. et al., 2015. Response of the Bight of Benin (Gulf of
 Guinea, West Africa) coastline to anthropogenic and natural forcing, Part1: Wave climate
 variability and impacts on the longshore sediment transport, *Continental Shelf Research*, 110,
 48-59, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2015.09.020.
- Birol F. and C. Delebecque, 2014. Using high sampling rate (10/20 Hz) altimeter data for the
 observation of coastal surface currents: A case study over the northwestern Mediterranean
 Sea, *J. Mar. Syst.*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.07.009.
- 865

872

877

882

887

892

- Birol F., N.X Fuller, F. Lyard, et al., 2017. Coastal applications from nadir altimetry: example
 of the X-TRACK regional products. *Advances in Space Research*, 59, 936-953,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2016.11.005.
- Bruschi A., Buffoni G., Elliott A.J., Manzella G., 1981. A numerical investigation of the
 wind-driven circulation in the Archipelago of La Maddalena, *Oceanol. Acta*, 4, 3, 289-295.
- Bonnefond, P., Exertier, P., Laurain, O., Ménard, Y., Orsoni, A., Jan, G., Jeansou, E., 2003a,
 Absolute calibration of Jason-1 and TOPEX/Poseidon altimeters in Corsica, in: Special Issue
 on Jason-1 Calibration/ Validation, Part 1. Mar. Geod. 26(3–4), pp. 261–284,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/714044521.
- Bonnefond, P., Exertier, P., Laurain, O., Ménard, Y., Orsoni, A., Jeansou, E., Haines, B.J.,
 Kubitschek, D.G., Born, G.H. Leveling sea surface using a GPS catamaran, 2003b, in:
 Special Issue on Jason-1 Calibration/ Validation, Part 1. *Mar. Geod.* 26(3–4), 319–334,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/714044524.
- Bonnefond, P., Exertier, P., Laurain, O., Jan, G., 2010, Absolute calibration of Jason-1 and
 Jason-2 altimeters in Corsica during the formation flight phase, in: Special Issue on Jason-2
 Calibration/Validation, Part 1. Mar. Geod. 33(S1), 80–90,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01490419.2010.
- Bonnefond, P., B. Haines and C. Watson. In Situ Calibration and Validation: A Link from
 Coastal to Open-ocean altimetry, 2011, in Coastal Altimetry, chapter 11, pp 259-296, edited
 by S. Vignudelli, A. Kostianoy, P. Cipollini, J. Benveniste, Springer, ISBN: 978-3-64212795-3. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12796-0_11
- Bonnefond, P., Exertier, P., Laurain, O., Guinle, ., F m nias, ., 201, Corsica: A 20-Yr
 Multi-Mission Absolute Altimeter Calibration Site, *Advances in Space Research*, Special
 Issue « 25 Years of Progress in Radar Altimetry », https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2019.09.049.
- Carrere, L. and Lyard, F. 2003. Modeling the barotropic response of the global ocean to
 atmospheric wind and pressure forcing- comparisons with observations. *J. Geophys. Res.* 30 (6),
 1275. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2002GL016473.
- Carrere L., Lyard, F., Cancet, M., Guillot, A., Roblou, L., 2012. FES2012: A new global tidal
 model taking taking advantage of nearly 20 years of altimetry, Proceedings of meeting "20
 Years of Altimetry", Venice 2012.
- 903 Years of Altime904

- Cartwright, D. E. and Edden, A. C., 1973. Corrected Tables of Tidal Harmonics. Geophysical Journal 908 of the Royal Astronomical Society, 33: 253-264. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1973.tb03420.x. 909
- 910 911 Church, J. A. et al., 2013: Sea Level Change. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
- Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the 912
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, G. K. Plattner, M. 913
- Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P. M. Midgley (eds.)]. 914

- Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1137-1216.
- Cipollini P., J. Benveniste, F. Birol, et al., 2018. Satellite altimetry in coastal regions. In
 'Satellite altimetry over the oceans and land surfaces', Stammer & Cazenave Edts, CRC
 Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, pp 343-373,
 https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315151779-11
- 921
- 922 Climate Change Initiative Coastal Sea level Team (The), 2020, A database of coastal sea level
 923 anomalies and associated trends from satellite altimetry from 2002 to 2018, in revision, *Nature*924 Scientific Data.
- 925

933

937

941

- Cucco A et al., 2012, A high-resolution real-time forecasting system for predicting the fate of
 oil spills in the Strait of Bonifacio (western Mediterranean Sea), *Marine Pollution Bulletin*,
 64, 1186-1200, doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.03.019.
- Dieng, H., A. Cazenave, B. Meyssignac and M. Ablain, 2017: New estimate of the current rate of sea level rise from a sea level budget approach. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44 (8), 3744-3751, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073308.
- Dodet G., Melet A., Ardhuin F., Bertin X ;, Idier D. and Almar R., 2019. The contribution of
 wind-generated waves to coastal sea level changes, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 40, 1563-1601,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-019-09557-5.
- Durand F., Piecuch C., Cirano M. et al., 2019. Impact of continental freshwater runoff on
 coastal sea level, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 40:1437–1466, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-01909536-w.
- Fernandes, M.J., Lazaro, C., Ablain, M., Pires, N., 2015. Improved wet path delays for all ESA
 and reference altimetric missions. *Remote Sens. Environ*. 169, 50–74,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.07.023.
- Gerigny O., Coudray S., Lapucci C., Tomasino C., Bisgambiglia P.A., Galgani F., 2015,
 Small-scale variability of the current in the Strait of Bonifacio, *Ocean Dynamics*, 65, 8, 11651182, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10236-015-0863-5.
- 948
- Jebri, F., Birol, F., Zakardjian, B., Bouffard, J., Sammari, C., 2016. Exploiting coastal altimetry
 to improve the surface circulation scheme over the Central Mediterranean Sea: circulation In
 The Central Mediterranean. J. Geosphys. Res. Oceans 121 (7), 4888–4909. http://
- 952 dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016JC011961.
- 953

- Lazure P and Dumas F, 2008, An external-internal mode coupling for a 3D hydrodynamical
 model for applications at regional scale (MARS), *Advances in Water Resources*, 31:233-250,
 doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2007.06.010.
- Legeais J.F., Ablain M., Zawadzki L. et al., 2018. An improved and homogeneous altimeter
 sea level record from the ESA Climate Change Initiative, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 281-301,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-281-2018.
- 961
 962 Léger F., F. Birol, F. Niño, M. Passaro, F. Marti and A. Cazenave, 2019, "X-Track/Ales
 963 Regional Altimeter Product for Coastal Application: Toward a New Multi-Mission Altimetry
 964 Product at High Resolution," IGARSS 2019 2019 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote
 965 Sensing Symposium, Yokohama, Japan, 8271-8274,
 966 https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2019.8900422.

- 967
- 968 Manzella G.M.R., 1985, Fluxes across the Corsica Channel and coastal circulation in the East
- 969 Ligurian Sea, North-Western Mediterranean, *Oceanol. Acta*, 8, 1, 29-35.
- 970971 Marti F., Cazenave A., Birol F., Passaro, M. Leger F., Nino F., Almar R., Benveniste J. and
- 972 Legeais J.F., 2019, Altimetry-based sea level trends along the coasts of western Africa, *Adv*.
- 973 *in Space Res.*, published online 24 May2019, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2019.05.033</u>.

975 Melet, A., Almar, R. and Meyssignac, B., 2016. What dominates sea level at the coast: a of 976 case study for the Gulf Guinea. Ocean Dyn. 66. 623–636, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-016-0942-2. 977

- Melet A., Meyssignac B. Almar R. et al., 2018. Under-estimated wave contribution to
 coastal sea-level rise, *Nature Climate Change*, 8, 234–239, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236016-0942-2.
- Nerem, R. S. et al., 2018: Climate-change-driven accelerated sea-level rise detected in the altimeter era. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717312115.
- Passaro M., Cipollini P., Vignudelli S. et al., 2014. ALES: A multi-mission subwaveform
 retracker for coastal and open ocean altimetry. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 145, 173-189,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.02.008.
- Passaro M., Cipollini P., Benveniste J., 2015, Annual sea level variability of the coastal
 ocean: the Baltic Sea-North Sea transition zone. *J Geophys Res Oceans* 120(4):3061–3078,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010510.
- Passaro M., Zulfikar Adlan N. and Quartly G.D., 2018. Improving the precision of sea level
 data from satellite altimetry with high-frequency and regional sea state bias corrections. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 245-254, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.09.007.
- Piecuch C.G., Bittermann K., Kemp A.C. et al., (2018). River-discharge effects on United
 States Atlantic and Gulf coast sea-level changes, *PNAS*, vol. 115, no. 30, 7729–7734,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805428115.
- 997
- Sciascia R., Magaldi M. and Vetrano A., 2019, Current reversal and associated variability
 within the Corsica Channel: The 2004 case study, *Deep-Sea Research* Part I, 144, 39-51.
- 1000
- SROCC 2019: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate
 [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K.
 Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)].et al.].
 In press.
- Stammer D, Cazenave A, Ponte RM, Tamisiea ME (2013) Causes for contemporary regional
 sea level changes. *Annu Rev Mar Sci.* <u>http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121211-172406</u>
- 1008
- 1009 Wahr, J.M., 1985. Deformation Induced by Polar Motion. J. Geophys. Res., 90 (B11), 9363–
 1010 9368.
- 1011
- 1012 Vignudelli S., A. G. Kostianoy, P. Cipollini, and J. Benveniste (Eds.), 2011, Coastal
 1013 Altimetry, Springer, Berlin, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12796-0.</u>
- 1014 The WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group (2018). Global sea level budget, 1993-present.
 1015 *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1551–1590, http://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1551-2018.
- Woodworth P., Melet A., Marcos M. et al., 2019. Forcing Factors Causing Sea Level Changes
 at the Coast, *Surveys in Geophysics*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-019-09531-1</u>.

1019	Wöppelma	ann, G., and	M. Marco	os (2016), Vertica	l land mot	ion as a key to u	Inderstand	ing sea
1020	level	change	and	variability,	Rev.	Geophys.,	54,	64–92,
1021	https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000502.							