

We do not have anything to add to our responses to the individual reviews in the Interactive Discussion. In brief:

EC1

The editor suggested extra wording about Proudman. This has been included in the new version together with a reference to Smythe-Wright et al. (2019).

RC1

The reviewer pointed out a misunderstanding as to the number of journals taking part in the special issue. This has been reworded in the new version.

RC2

This reviewer made a number of comments based on his experience of working at the LTI himself. All of them have been attended to except for a couple where an explanation was given.

RC3

The reviewer made a suggestion regarding the style of references used in the paper; we did not take up that suggestion. She also suggested minor rewording which we did take up.

I have attached a comparison of the original and new versions using Compare in Word. You will see how we have changed the text to accommodate the comments of the reviewers, and we have made a few wording changes of our own.

Many thanks again for the help with this.