2020. 2. 3

Prof. Ilker Fer

Handling Topic Editor

Ocean Science

Dear Topic Editor,

Please find the third revised version of our manuscript (ID: os-2019-80), "**Variability in High-Salinity Shelf Water production in Terra Nova Bay polynya, Antarctica**" which has been corrected according to the provided minor comments. Furthermore, we have released raw data used in this study through Korea Polar Research Data Center (KPDC) following *Ocean Science* data policy.

We would like to thank you for your careful and helpful comments regarding our manuscript.

We hope that our manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *Ocean Science*.

Sincerely,

Dr. Seung-Tae Yoon, on behalf of all authors.

Korea Polar Research Institute

Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea

styoon@kopri.re.kr

P.S. Note that Seung-Tae Yoon (corresponding author) and Won Sang Lee (second contact) will be onboard I.B.R.V. ARAON from 29 December 2019 to 25 February 2020. During this period, our email accesses will be limited. Please additionally contact Ms. Jiyeon Lee (jylee14@kopri.re.kr), one of our co-authors, who can directly contact people onboard on the I.B.R.V. ARAON.

Variability in High-Salinity Shelf Water production in Terra Nova Bay polynya, Antarctica

Seung-Tae Yoon[1], Won Sang Lee[1], Craig Stevens[2,3], Stefan Jendersie[4], SungHyun Nam[5], Sukyoung Yun[1], Chung Yeon Hwang[1], Gwang Il Jang[1], and Jiyeon Lee[1]

[1] Korea Polar Research Institute, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea
[2] National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Greta Point, Wellington 6021, New Zealand
[3] Department of Physics, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
[4] Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
[5] Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

Correspondence to: Seung-Tae Yoon (styoon@kopri.re.kr)

Abstract.

Terra Nova Bay in Antarctica is a formation region for High-Salinity Shelf Water (HSSW), which is a major source of Antarctic Bottom Water. Here, we analysepresent spatio-temporal salinity variabilitytion in Terra Nova Bay with implications forto advance understanding of the local HSSW production. The salinity variations in the Drygalski Basin and eastern Terra Nova Bay near Crary Bank in the Ross Sea were investigated by analyzing hydrographic data from instrumented moorings, vessel-based profiles as well as available wind and sea-ice products. Near-bed salinity in the eastern Terra Nova Bay (~ 660 m) and Drygalski Basin (~ 1,200 m) increases each year beginning in September. Significant salinity increases (> 0.04) were observed in 2016 and 2017, which is likely related to active HSSW formation. According to velocity data observed at identical depths, the salinity increase from September was primarily due to advection of the HSSW originating from the coastal region of the Nansen Ice Shelf. In addition, we show that HSSW can also be formed locally in the upper water column (< 300 m) of the eastern Terra Nova Bay through convection supplied by brine from the surface, which is related to polynya development via winds and ice freezing. While the general consensus is that the salinity of the HSSW has been decreasing from 1995 to the late 2000s in the region, the salinity has been increasing since 2016. In 2018, it returned to values comparable to those in the early 2000s.

1 Introduction

The strength of the global meridional overturning circulation is closely associated with the production of Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) (Jacobs, 2004; Johnson, 2008; Orsi et al., 1999, 2001), and approximately 25% of the AABW is produced in the Ross Sea (Orsi et al., 2002). In the western Ross Sea, as a result of the strong tidal movement of the Antarctic Slope Front across the shelf break and eddy interaction with the slope's bathymetry, Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) intrudes on to the continental shelf to balance the High-Salinity Shelf Water (HSSW) off-continental shelf flow (Dinniman 2003; Budillon et al., 2011; St-Laurent et al., 2013; Stewart and Thompson, 2015; Jendersie et al., 2018). Model results suggest that the modified CDW (MCDW) is advected as far south as Crary Bank, east of Terra Nova Bay (TNB) (Dinniman 2003, Jendersie 2018),

although this has yet to be confirmed by observation. AABW is formed by the mixing of HSSW and CDW or MCDW (Budillon and Spezie, 2000; Budillon et al., 2011; Cincinelli et al., 2008; Gordon et al., 2009). Therefore, HSSW is the major and densest parent water mass of AABW (Budillon and Spezie, 2000; Gordon et al., 2009).

Of the HSSW in the Ross Sea, 33% is produced in Terra Nova Bay polynya (TNBP) (Fusco et al., 2009; Rusciano et al., 2013; Jendersie et al., 2018). TNBP is a coastal latent-heat polynya (Fusco et al., 2002). The Drygalski Ice Tongue (DIT), which forms the southern boundary of TNBP, blocks sea ice moving in from the south (Stevens et al., 2017). Katabatic winds that blow from the Nansen Ice Shelf (NIS) remove the heat from the polynya (Fusco et al., 2009; Tamura et al., 2016; Toggweiler and Samuels, 1995), producing as much as 3–4% of the total sea ice in the Antarctic coastal polynyas (Tamura et al., 2016). The release of brine as a result of the sea-ice production initiates the formation of HSSW and determines its properties (Fusco et al., 2009; Rusciano et al., 2013).

HSSW is mainly produced during the austral winter (April–October) when TNBP most efficiently produces sea ice in response to the persistent katabatic winds (van Woert, 1999; Rusciano et al., 2013; Sansiviero et al., 2017; Aulicino et al., 2018). TNBP can open in the austral summer, but HSSW is rarely formed during this period due to the cessation of sea-ice production in the upper layer, along with ice-melting processes (Rusciano et al., 2013). According to historical observations and numerical modelling, the densest HSSW is formed from August to October when the maximum salinity of the HSSW increases to approximately 34.86 (Buffoni et al., 2002; Fusco et al., 2009; Mathiot et al., 2012; Rusciano et al., 2013). Studies suggest that convection led by polynya activity is the primary mechanism for producing HSSW. In addition to the polynya activity, both CDW transport in the Ross Sea continental shelf and water masses that flow from the southern part of the DIT have also been suggested to affect the HSSW properties (Fusco et al., 2009; Stevens et al., 2017).

The coastal region of the NIS is considered to be the primary location of HSSW formation in TNB, because this region is relatively shallow and katabatic winds blowing from across the NIS first encounter the ocean surface here. Thus, salinity variations in the western part of the Drygalski Basin (DB) rather than the eastern TNB have received some attention (Fusco et al., 2009; Rusciano et al., 2013; Fig. 1). However, the shape of TNBP has varied over time (Ciappa et al., 2012; Aulicino et al., 2018), suggesting that polynya activity varies both spatially and temporally. Moreover, as suggested by model results, water masses in the deepest parts of the DB and eastern TNB may interact with water masses in the western Ross Sea via cyclonic circulation over Crary Bank (Jendersie et al., 2018; Fig. 1). This suggests that the HSSW accumulates in the deepest parts of the DB and eastern TNB before being predominantly transported north towards the shelf break. As a result, the formation of HSSW in the region may be spatially and temporally modulated by influences from bathymetry, sea-ice formation, and winds.

This study combines ship-based in-situ data collected in the austral summer (December–March) and instrumented mooring data collected in the DB and eastern TNB during December 2014–March 2018 to explore sub-polynya scale (tens of kilometers)

dynamics. In particular, we seek to answer the following research questions: (1) How does the nature of the circulation in TNB influence HSSW variation? (2) Is there any ~~significant~~ difference between the salinity variation in the western (nearshore) and eastern (offshore) parts of TNB? and (3) Does katabatic wind variability play an important ~~significant~~ role in salinity variation? We then propose a sequence of typical mechanistic scales for HSSW production.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Hydrographic measurements

We examined the spatio-temporal variations in HSSW production using time-series data from mooring stations in the eastern TNB (DITN) and the deepest depth level of the DB (DITD) (Fig. 1). Time-series of water temperature, salinity, pressure, and ocean currents at three depths were measured using SBE37SM (Sea-Bird Scientific, Bellevue, WA, USA), RCM9 (Xylem, Inc., Rye Brook, NY, USA), and Aquadopp (Nortek, Norway) current meters attached to the DITN mooring (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The DITN mooring has been continuously maintained with annual turn-arounds since December 2014 (Table 1). During the second leg of the DITN, the pressure sensor of the deepest SBE37SM failed, necessitating that a nominal sensor depth of 660 m be used. The DITD mooring was deployed at the 1,230 m isobath for a single year during February 2017–March 2018, with instruments below 1,200 m (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The DITN and DITD ~~(DITD)~~ ~~is~~ are located 32 km southeast and ~~(~~20 km south~~)~~ of mooring D, respectively (Fig. 1). Mooring D was deployed near the NIS from 1995 to 2007, performing observations of various ocean variables at depths of up to 1,000 m for 13 years (Rusciano et al., 2013).

The temperature and salinity parameters obtained from two moorings (DITN and DITD) were validated and corrected with conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) casts before recovery and after deployment. The salinity time-series contains the short-term fluctuations induced by tidal motions and ocean currents, such that the magnitude of the salinity change suggested in Section 3.3 was calculated after applying a 160 hour (~ 7 days) low-pass filter to the time-series using a 6th order Butterworth filter. The horizontal current direction was corrected for the magnetic declination. Current data observed with the RCM9 at the DITN were used for consistency of the data analysis, except for the uppermost current data of the third leg of the DITN. Current data from the RCM9 at 75 m were recorded until August 1, 2017; thereafter, an Aquadopp current meter was used. Mean differences in the current direction (speed) between observed data from the RCM9 and Aquadopp current meters at 75, 273, and 660 m were 2°, 3°, and 8° (1.8, 0.2, and –1.2 cm/s), respectively during the third leg of the DITN. The velocities were averaged monthly to investigate the mean advection speed and direction on monthly and seasonal time scales.

Full-depth CTD profile measurements were conducted in December 2014, December 2015, January–February 2017, and March 2018 (Table 1) aboard the icebreaking research vessel ~~IBRV~~ ARAON (Korea Polar Research Institute (KOPRI)). The profiles were recorded using an SBE 911 (Sea-Bird Electronics) with dual temperature and conductivity sensors. The sensors' calibration dates were within seven months of the observation dates. They were processed using standard methods

[YS1]: Topic Editor: are you referring to statistical significance? If not, could choose a different word

[YS2R1]: We have modified this part based on your comment.

[YS3]: Topic Editor: Please rewrite separately (DITN and DITD)

[YS4R3]: We have modified this sentence based on your comment.

recommended by SBE (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., 2014). The locations of CTD casts varied annually depending on sea ice
and cruise priorities (Fig. 1).

To directly compare this study with results from previous studies (Budillon and Spezie, 2000; Budillon et al., 2002; Budillon
et al., 2011; Orsi and Wiederwohl, 2009), we used the practical salinity scale, rather than results based on the thermodynamic
equation of seawater, i.e., TEOS-10 (McDougall and Barker, 2017). The practical salinity is smaller than the absolute salinity
by about 0.17 in TNB. Potential densities (σ_θ) over 28 kg/m^3 were used as criteria for the properties of the HSSW, to distinguish
the HSSW from TNB Ice Shelf Water (TISW). TISW is characterized by its potential temperatures being lower than the
freezing point at the surface ($\theta < -1.93$ ℃) and a salinity of approximately 34.73 (Budillon and Spezie, 2000). TISW is the
product of mixing between meltwater from ice-shelf melting and HSSW (Rusciano et al., 2013).

Vertical profiles of horizontal currents (5-m depth interval) were measured using a lowered acoustic Doppler current profiler
(LADCP) attached to the CTD frame. The LADCP data were processed (Thurnherr, 2004) and velocities lower than the error
velocity were excluded~~not used in this study~~. The error velocity indicates uncertainty in the velocity estimated using the
LADCP profile (Thurnherr, 2004). In addition, the horizontal currents were de-tided using all ten available tidal components
from the CATS2008 (Circum-Antarctica Tidal Simulation) model (Padman et al., 2002). The mean speed of tidal currents
during each survey were 1.0, 1.8, 0.7, and 0.7 cm/s, which were weaker than the velocities observed from the moorings and
LADCP. The mean tidal ranges during each survey were 0.22, 0.45, 0.20, and 0.17 m.

2.2 Wind and sea-ice data

Hourly time-series of wind and air temperature (2014–2018) recorded at the Automatic Weather System (AWS) Manuela
station (Ciappa et al., 2012; Fig. 3) were used to investigate katabatic winds blowing over TNB and estimate the sensible heat
flux. The AWS Manuela station is managed by the Automatic Weather Station Program of the Antarctic Meteorological
Research Center at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. A katabatic wind event is defined as a period in which the wind
direction is between 225° and 315° (westerly) and the wind speed exceeds 25 m/s. The AWS Manuela station is located within
the main pathway of the katabatic winds along Reeves Glacier, making it the best option to detect the katabatic winds over
TNB (Ciappa et al., 2012; Sansiviero et al., 2017).

Time-series of the wind and air temperature at heights of 10 and 2 m, with an interval of 3 hours and a grid size of 0.75° ×
0.75°, provided by the ERA-Interim reanalysis data set (Dee et al., 2011) were used to identify atmospheric conditions in TNB
from January 2014 to March 2018 (Fig. 3). The averaged wind at the AWS Manuela station was approximately four times
faster than that provided by the ERA-Interim reanalysis data, but its direction was nearly identical to that at the grid located
near Manuela (an approximately 6° difference) (Fig. 3). ERA-Interim is a spatially smoothed product with a grid that is
generally too coarse to resolve steep glacial slopes, which may be the reason for the large difference in wind speed compared
to the observed data (Fusco et al., 2002; Dee et al., 2011). However, variations in the westerly ($225° \leq \theta \leq 315°$) wind speed

125   at Manuela had a significant correlation (99% confidence level) with ERA-Interim retrieved values from TNB from July 2014 to March 2018 (correlation coefficient (r) > 0.70). Westerly winds detected at the Manuela station were similar to the wind in all regions of TNB in terms of the occurrence and speed variability, despite slower offshore wind speeds (Fig. 3). In this paper, all significant r have a 99% confidence level.

For sea-ice concentrations, we use the daily product from the Arctic Radiation and Turbulence Interaction Study with a grid

130   spacing of 3.125 km resolved from the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 data set (Spreen et al., 2008). The selected data period was from July 2014 to March 2018, in a domain within the McMurdo Sound (Fig. 3). We applied the same continental masking obtained from recent data and defined regions of sea-ice concentrations below 20% as open water (Parkinson et al., 1999; Zwally et al., 2002). Finally, the topographic data were derived from the International Bathymetric Chart of the Southern Ocean.

135 **3 Results**

3.1 Near-bed salinity variations in TNB

Salinity observed at the deepest sensor (660 m) at the DITN mooring located in the eastern TNB (Fig. 1) exhibited interannual variations during 2015–2017 (Fig. 4a) and increased from 34.80 to 34.85. The annual cycle of salinity begins to increase from September (Fig. 4a), where the change in salt contents (Fusco et al., 2009) is estimated as 2.84, 7.64, and 5.23 μg salt/m^3/s

140   (0.007, 0.019, and 0.013 psu/month) from September to October during 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively. Southward currents were observed when the salinity increased at the corresponding depth (Fig. 4b). The mean current direction was southwestward (191°), with a mean speed of approximately 1.5 cm/s during the August–November period over the three year study period (Fig. 1).

Consistent salinity variation was observed at the other mooring (DITD) located in the DB (Figs. 1 and 4a). The salinity in the

145   deepest part of TNB also begins to increase from September, and the salt change from September to October 2017 is estimated as 6.66 μg salt/m^3/s (0.017 psu/month) (Fig. 4a). The maximum salinity measured at the DITD is larger (~ 0.006) than that at the DITN (Fig. 4a). In contrast with those observed at the DITN, northwestward currents are observed at a similar depth of salinity as that from the DITD (1,222 m) during the observation periods (Fig. 4b). The mean direction of the current is northwestward (300°), and its mean speed is approximately 3.0 cm/s during August–November 2017 (Fig. 1).

150   Seawater properties (temperature and salinity) observed from the DITN and DITD during August–October correspond to the HSSW properties (Fig. 5), so the relatively large changes in salt during 2016 and 2017 are an indication of active HSSW formation during the austral winter in TNBP. Evidence of HSSW formation from April to October of 2016 and 2017 was still observed three to five months later, in January 2017 and March 2018, respectively (Fig. 6). The maximum salinity in the

HSSW during January–February 2017 (2016/17) and in March 2018 (2017/18) was close to those observed in the preceding October in 2016 and 2017, respectively (Figs. 4a and 6). The mean salinity in the HSSW (σ_θ>28 kg/m^3) was also calculated as 34.788 (σ= 0.002), 34.785 (0.005), 34.801 (0.009), and 34.815 (0.016) for each survey.

Vertical profiles of salinity and potential density also had features consistent with the θ–S diagram (Fig. 7). The properties in a quasi-homogeneous bottom layer below 800 m (Fig. 7) represent the properties of HSSW formed just before the austral winter. The salinity of this layer was relatively high in the 2016/17 and 2017/18 surveys (Fig. 7a; peak salinities of 34.83 and 34.85, respectively) and was similar with that observed in the early 2000s in which HSSW transport was relatively large (> 1.2 Sv) in TNB during 1995–2006 (Fig. 7a; Fusco et al., 2009).

3.2 Upper ocean salinity variations in the eastern TNB

Salinity in the upper water column of the eastern TNB shows a more distinct seasonal variation compared to the salinity at 660 m (Fig. 8a). The salinity at both 75 m and 273 m decreased, while the salinity at 75 m decreased to below 34.0 in February of each year (Fig. 8a). Thereafter, the salinity of the two layers started mixing, as the salinity increased at 75 m and decreased at 273 m. The salinity at both depths then increased in tandem until September (Fig. 8a). Note that the vertically well-mixed period gets longer over the three years. The well-mixed period, defined as a period in which the difference in σ_θ between the two layers is less than 0.1 kg/m^3 (Dong et al., 2008), was initiated in early May 2015, at the end of April 2016, and in early April 2017 (Fig. 8b). In December, the mixing of the two layers ceases, and their salinity difference becomes larger again (Fig. 8a). The restratification is due to changes in buoyancy as a result of ice melting at the surface during the austral summer.

The maximum salinity observed at depths of 75 and 273 m, during September–October also increased from 2015 to 2017 (34.774, 34.804, and 34.849, respectively), which is consistent with the trend of maximum salinity observed at deeper depths as presented in the previous subsection (Figs. 4a, 6, 7, and 8a). Water properties corresponding to the HSSW were detected even in the upper parts during the months of August to October in 2016 and 2017 (Figs. 5d–5i), suggesting that the HSSW can be locally formed through convective processes in the eastern TNB. The averaged salinity in the upper water column during September–October in 2016 and 2017 was lower (~ 0.05) than that observed at 660 m (Figs. 5 and 8a), so it is not responsible for the salinity increase at the near-bed level of the eastern TNB (Fig. 4a). In contrast, HSSW formation was rarely observed from August to October 2015, when the increase in salinity was relatively small compared with the increases during the same period in 2016 and 2017 (Figs. 4a and 5a–5c).

During December 2014–March 2018, westward currents were dominant at the two depths (Fig. 8c), with an average current speed (direction) from June to November of 7.4 cm/s (279°, westward) at 75 m and 4.0 cm/s (270°, westward) at 273 m (Fig. 1). The westward currents suggest that the upper water column of the DITN was affected by seawater advected from the eastern part of TNB.

3.3 The role of wind in TNBP

The wind in TNB is primarily westerly, which on average, creates an L-shaped polynya along the NIS and DIT, as shown by the contour line that represents a sea-ice concentration of 50% in Fig. 3. Westerly winds at Manuela effectively opened TNBP during the austral winters (April–October) of the three year study period (Fig. 9). The daily wind speed and the percentage of open water during the austral winter are significantly correlated ($r = 0.46$) during 2015–2017 (Fig. 9). In the austral winter during each year, r was 0.49, 0.50, and 0.37, respectively where all values were significant.

Katabatic wind events most frequently occurred from April to October 2017 (210 events) at Manuela station. The mean duration of a single katabatic wind event during these seven months in 2015, 2016, and 2017 was 6.5, 7.0, and 7.7 hours, respectively. Moreover, the average length of time for which the polynya was open (i.e., > 20% open water in Fig. 9) during the same periods was 5.8 (2015), 6.7 (2016), and 7.7 days (2017). In short, the polynya opens for a longer time period with more persistent katabatic winds during the 2017 austral winter than during the other two years.

From June to September of 2016 and 2017, a footprint of HSSW production via convective processes was found in the upper water column in the eastern TNB (Fig. 8a). This indicates that katabatic winds — considered as vital to the development of a polynya (Fig. 9) — induce convection by a supply of brine related to new ice production in the eastern polynya. The total magnitude of the salinity increase during the katabatic wind events from June to September was the largest in 2017 at both 75 and 273 m among the three years. At 75 (273) m, salinity increases of 0.082, 0118, and 0.120 (0.065, 0.050, and 0.142) were observed in 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively. Salinity increases due to katabatic wind events accounted for 54% and 76% of the total salinity increase observed at 75 and 273 m during June–September in 2017.

4 Discussion

Despite being a small, confined polynya, TNBP generates a substantial proportion of the global AABW. Understanding the supply of HSSW, and ultimately AABW, requires a focus on small-scale processes from a regional perspective. Here, we investigate the evolution of HSSW in TNB, through the spatio-temporal variations in salinity observed in the eastern TNB and DB.

4.1 Present data in the context of previous analyses

Data from mooring D (Fig. 1) in TNBP show seasonal variation in the stratification of the water column and interannual variation in the HSSW properties, which are closely associated with polynya activity (Rusciano et al., 2013). This implies that HSSW production occurs during the austral winter, and favorable katabatic wind events control the properties of the HSSW.

Data gathered for this study have revealed that the HSSW can also form at the surface of the eastern TNB. The salinity (density) variations in the upper layers at the DITN exhibit HSSW formation in the polynya via convection led by a supply of brine from the surface, as suggested by modelling studies on TNBP (Fig. 8a; Buffoni et al., 2002; Mathiot et al., 2012). Water masses farther away from TNB are less saline due to mixing with the CDW or the intrusion of MCDW into the western Ross Sea
215 (Fusco et al., 2009; Orsi and Wiederwohl, 2009; Budillon et al., 2011), so the advection of seawater from the eastern part of TNB (Fig. 8c) would hardly contribute to the salinity increase in the upper water column of the DITN.

In addition, we found that large salinity increases (> 0.04), related to active HSSW formation, were observed in the deepest part of the DB and eastern TNB from September to October (Fig. 4a); however, the salinity increase was observed at the DITN and DITD approximately 1–2 months later than ~~that~~ at mooring D. The ~~s~~Salinity observed at 550 m ~~at~~of mooring D increased
220 since the beginning of July due to HSSW production (Rusciano et al., 2013). They also suggested that HSSW formed near the NIS advected towards the center of TNB along the 800–1,000 m isobaths. Therefore, the salinity increase from September at the DITN and DITD (Fig. 4a) would be due to HSSW advection from the NIS but not from the sinking of HSSW directly from the surface at the mooring locations.

The HSSW formed in the austral winter arrives at the deepest part of the DB and eastern TNB within a few months. Thus, the
225 HSSW is evenly distribute~~ds~~ over TNB during the austral summer (Fig. 10). The depths for the upper HSSW limit (σ_θ = 28 kg/m^3) exist within a range from 400 to 700 m over TNB (Fig. 10), so the HSSW occupies a 500–800 m water column from the sea bed in the austral summer. In addition, the average salinity of the HSSW in the eastern part at 164.5°E (34.802) shows no difference from that in the western part at 164.5°E (34.802) during the CTD observation periods. According to vertical sections of salinity from the 2017/18 survey, the salinity in the deep layer (> 600 m) was nearly identical between the western
230 and eastern part of TNB (Fig. 11a), with a distributed salinity over 34.80 at greater depths (> 800 m) of the DB (Fig. 11b). In the upper parts of the HSSW (σ_θ < 28 kg/m^3), modified Shelf Water and Antarctic Surface Water were dominantly distributed over TNB during the austral summer (Fig. 11). Water properties corresponding to the MCDW were rarely s~~h~~eeown for the austral summer (Figs. 6 and 11), ~~such~~ implying that MCDW's~~its~~ effect on salinity changes throughout TNB seems to be limited.

Furthermore, the role of wind in TNBP was investigated by statistics of katabatic winds during 2015–2017. We showed that
235 the number of katabatic wind events was the largest in the 2017 austral winter (April–October) among the three years. The mean duration of katabatic wind events and the mean time for which the polynya was open were also the longest during the austral winter of 2017. The longer the polynya is exposed to wind, the more heat would be removed from the water column~~in the surface~~. Thus, these results support more active formation of the HSSW in 2017 than in 2015 and 2016 (Figs. 6 and 7). The upper ocean salinity increases estimated during the katabatic wind events of each year also suggest that more brine was
240 released from ice formation during more persistent katabatic winds in 2017 and contributed to a larger salinity increase in the upper water column in the eastern TNB and to local HSSW formation. Rusciano et al. (2013) have suggested that HSSW formation is more dependent on the duration of a single katabatic wind event, than on its frequency during the austral winter.

[YS5]: Topic Editor: evenly spreads (or is evenly distributed)

[YS6R5]: We have modified it based on your comment.

However, for the three year study period, both duration and frequency of katabatic wind events are important factors for active HSSW formation in TNBP.

4.2 Circulations in TNBP

For the austral summer (December–March), westward currents flowing along the DIT and northward currents flowing along the NIS were observed based on the de-tided LADCP current data averaged over a depth range of 400–700 m (Fig. 12a). The currents resemble a cyclonic pattern together with the southeastward currents in the northeastern TNB, despite southward currents that flow toward the DIT (Fig. 12a). The current speed is relatively weaker at the center of the DB than that in other TNB regions, and southeastward currents that cross over from the NIS to the DIT were not observed.

The wind-driven cyclonic gyre in the upper layer of TNBP (van Woert et al., 2001) may induce an upwelling in the center of TNB, so it would hinder the development of horizontal flows in the central region of the gyre. The upwelling feature is visible in the vertical sections of the 2017/18 survey as upward-bending isopycnals in the upper layers (> 400 m) of the mid-point of TNB (Fig. 11b). Similarly, depths for the upper HSSW limit seems to be shallower in the center of TNB than other regions of TNB (Fig. 10).

If we assume that the circulation pattern was maintained throughout the year, then the HSSW formed near the NIS would circulate clockwise rather than directly flowing southeastward toward the DITN. The southward currents observed at 660 m at the DITN mooring during periods of the salinity increase (Fig. 4b) would also be thought of the southern rim of the cyclonic circulation in TNB. Thus, we can conclude that the deep salinity in the eastern TNB has increased since September due to the advection of HSSW originating from the NIS. In addition, the reason why the salinity increase at the DITN occurs later (1–2 months) than~~t~~ at mooring D is that the HSSW flows along the cyclonic circulation. The distance from the DITN to mooring D is so close (~32 km) that a water parcel from~~the seawater at~~ mooring D can arrive at the DITN within 13 days with an average~~if there exist~~ 3 cm/s southeastward currents ~~between the two moorings~~.

As HSSW salinity observed at the DITD is larger than that at the DITN (Figs. 5g–5i), the salinity increase at the DITD (Fig. 4a) is not related to the northwestward HSSW advection from the eastern TNB along the cyclonic circulation (Fig. 12a). The near-bed ocean currents in the DB flow under the influence of gravity (Jendersie et al., 2018); however, it is still unclear how the HSSW at greater depths circulates around the DB. The LADCP data for December–March might provide an indication of the circulation in the deeper parts of the DB (> 1,000 m) because the current direction at the DITD remains approximately northwestward for about one year (Fig. 4b).

The southwestward currents appear in the northeastern part of the DB, while the northwestward and northeastward currents appear in the southern and western region of the DB, according to the currents averaged from 900 m to the seafloor during the austral summer (Fig. 12b). The currents resemble an abyssal cyclonic circulation confined within the DB, not~~which is different~~

from _the upper ocean cyclonic circulationgyre in TNB (van Woert et al., 2001). The northwestward current observed at 1,222 m at the DITD mooring during the salinity increase period (Fig. 4b) is considered to be a part of the abyssalis circulation, thus suggesting that the HSSW flowing into the DB from the NIS circulated cyclonically in this region and can be detected at the sensors of the DITD mooring from September to October. The DB is an export pathway for HSSW formed in the Ross Sea polynya and TNBP, so the circulation pattern in this region further requires investigation by acquiring more in-situ ocean current data and ocean circulation model developments.

[YS7]: Topic Editor: different in which way?

[YS8R7]: We have modified this sentence based on your comment.

[YS9]: Topic Editor: which circulation?

[YS10R9]: We have modified this part based on your comment.

4.3 Characteristics of the TISW

The TISW, which is colder than –1.93 ℃, was observed at depths between 300 and 600 m in January–February 2017 (2016/17) and March 2018 (2017/18) but was not observed during December surveys (2014/15 and 2015/16) (Figs. 6 and 13a). It seems that the TISW was formed when the HSSW was actively produced in TNB (Fig. 6); however, the presencediscovery of the TISW is dependent on the observation period. For example, characteristics of the TISW becomes colder (~ 0.05 ℃) and less saline (~ 0.02) from late January (2016/17) to March (2017/18) (Figs. 6 and 13a). The TISW with over 200 m thickness was only found near the NIS in late January (Fig. 13b), but, in March, it was distributed from the NIS to the northeastern TNB (Fig. 13c). It seems that the TISW near the NIS advects withalong the cyclonic circulation in TNB (Figs. 12a and 13c). Therefore, meltwater outflow from nearby ice shelves may occur from the late January to March. As compared with the previous observations, the TISW becomes much colder (> 0.1 ℃) than the potential temperature of the TISW observed in the late 1990s (Budillon and Spezie, 2000). The colder TISW could contribute to a more sea-ice production at the surface of TNB, so characteristics and variability of the TISW and their variations should be studied in the near futuremerit further studies.

4.4 Mixed-layer development in the eastern TNB

As shown in Section 3.2, the time in which the upper (at least to 273 m) water column becomes homogenous is the earliest in 2017 throughoutacross the three year study period (Figs. 8a and 8b). This suggests that the salinity at the two depths was characterized by rapid mixing during March–April 2017, with a long-lasting co-variation time until October 2017 (Fig. 8a). The mean wind speed (number of katabatic wind events) from March to May during 2015, 2016, and 2017 was calculated as 18.6, 20.2, and 21.0 m/s (74, 85, and 96), respectively. These wind statistics indicate that wind-driven mixing (mechanical mixing between two layers) was the strongest between March and May 2017. Thus, katabatic winds would also play a role in mixed-layer development before inducing HSSW production through the convective process in the eastern TNB. However, the magnitude of the salinity increase at 75 m was much larger than that of the salinity decrease at 273 m during March–May of each year, so the surface buoyancy flux from heat loss and/or sea-ice production hasve contributed more to the mixing in this period. For example, the salinity at 75 m increased over 0.5 from March to May 2017, whereasbut the salinity at 273 m decreased about 0.15 in the same period.

4.5 TNBP mechanical scales

HSSW produced near the NIS spreads horizontally into the eastern TNB and DB, at current speeds lower than 5 cm/s in less than two months (from July to September) (Figs. 1, 4, 8, and 12; Rusciano et al., 2013). If we assume that the circulation in TNBP has a radius of 25 km (approximately one longitudinal degree) (Fig. 12a), the circumference of the circulation is about 160 km. Then we can deduce that the HSSW circulates cyclonically about 80 km (~ 160/2 km) from the NIS to the eastern parts of TNB, which takes about one month at a current speed of 3 cm/s.

A velocity scale for the convective mixed layer can be represented as $(B_0 D)^{1/3}$ (B_0: Buoyancy flux per unit area, D: mixed layer depth) (Kantha and Clayson, 2000). During the vertically well-mixed period (Fig. 8a), the mean buoyancy flux per unit area from the surface to 273 m is estimated as 0.0015 m^2/s^2 using the potential density time-series at 273 m at the DITN mooring. If the depth of the mixed layer is deepened at least to 273 m, the convective velocity in TNBP is estimated to be on a scale of approximately 0.75 m/s.

TNBP usually forms as an L-shape, similar to a model-derived polynya (Sansiviero et al., 2017; Fig. 3). This indicates that the open water is predominantly formed along the coasts near NIS (DIT) for approximately forty latitudinal minutes over 75 km (two longitudinal degrees being equivalent to 55 km). The average area for polynya activity is approximately 1,300 km^2 (75 km × 10 km + 55 km × 10 km), based on the assumption that the width of the open water is 10 km from the coast using the 40% sea-ice concentration contour line (Fig. 3). This accounts for about 4% of the sea-ice production area in the Ross Ice Shelf polynya (Cheng et al., 2017). The opening of TNBP by westerly winds that blow from across the NIS occurs over the span of a day (Fig. 9). During this time, the percentage of open water can vary by up to ±40% (Fig. 9). The mean duration of the polynya opening is about seven days during the austral winter in the analysis period.

4.6 Quantification of sea-ice production

The link between katabatic winds and HSSW formation can be described as follows: (i) katabatic winds move sea ice into the offshore region, (ii) polynya opening, (iii) katabatic winds and atmospheric cooling remove the heat from the polynya, (iv) sea-ice production in the surface, (v) brine rejection, (vi) breakdown of stratification, and (vii) HSSW accumulationformation through convective processes. Thus, precise quantification of sea-ice production and brine formation in the polynya region is required for an in-depth understanding of HSSW formation processes. However, current data for the brine supply from sea-ice formation in the polynya provided insufficient constraints. In this part, we have an attempt to estimate the net heat flux from only the sensible heat flux by assuming that it is the main component for determining the net heat flux in TNB (Fusco et al., 2009). The sensible heat flux was calculated by using the AWS Manuela station's daily wind and air temperature data (Budillon et al., 2000), and the sea surface temperature was assumed to be near the freezing point (–1.9 ℃). The daily air temperature observed at Manuela was also significantly correlated ($r > 0.90$) with ERA-Interim retrieved values from TNB from July 2014 to March 2018.

[YS11]: Topic Editor: Thanks for implementing the convective velocity scale as I suggested earlier. However, your interpretation and application of this is erroneous. You must use the net surface buoyancy flux (from surface heat loss and salinity flux from ice freezing). To avoid further iterations, I suggest to cut this part out. Alternatively you can keep it, but use a Bo, say from Arctic observations, of order 10-7 m2/s3 (McPhee and Stanton JGR 1996). Then give the time scale for this to reach 250 m depth: w about 3 cm/s, time to reach 250 m is about 2 h.

[YS12R11]: We have deleted this part based on your comment.

According to the calculations, the mean sensible heat flux was –178, –182, and –232 W/m² during katabatic wind events from April to October in 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively. In 2015 and 2016, t~~T~~he sea-ice production of 32 and 33 km³ and HSSW transport of 1.6 and 1.6 Sv were estimated using the heat flux parameterization ~~can be parameterized by the heat flux~~ (Fusco et al., 2009). Higher sea-ice production of 42 km³ and HSSW transport of 1.9 Sv were obtained due to more sensible heat loss in 2017. ~~, and, correspondingly, sea-ice production and HSSW transport were obtained as 32, 33, and 42 km³ and 1.6, 1.6, and 1.9 Sv for 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively.~~ The estimated sensible heat flux, sea-ice production, and HSSW transport during April–October 2017 were very similar to values in 2003 when the largest sea-ice production occurred during 1990–2006 (Fusco et al., 2009). Moreover, in January of 2004, the averaged salinity in a 10 m layer at a depth of 900 m was observed to be 34.837 (Fusco et al., 2009), which is almost the same as the value (34. 838) observed in March 2018 (2017/18 survey) (Table 1). Since it is the rough estimation for sea-ice production, in-situ data should be continuously collected to clarify spatial and temporal relationships among wind speed, heat flux, sea-ice production, and the brine release in TNBP.

[YS13]: Topic Editor: please rewrite this part better; split in years if necessary.

[YS14R13]: We have modified this sentence based on your comment.

5 Conclusions

This study investigated the spatial patterns and temporal changes in HSSW formation in TNBP during a period from December 2014 to March 2018 using a comprehensive in-situ observational data set. ~~We tried to answer~~In response to the three research questions listed in Section 1 our analyses suggest~~as follows~~: (1) HSSW formed near the NIS flows cyclonically in the deeper parts of TNBP ultimately reaching to the eastern TNB from September to October (Fig. 14). The HSSW formed near the NIS also reaches the greatest depth in the DB from September to October and is horizontally distributed by the cyclonic circulation in the DB (Fig. 14). (2) The salinity increase occurs in the western (nearshore) parts of TNB first from July to September, and then in the eastern (offshore) parts about two months later from September to October, however, there are no significant salinity differences between the eastern and western parts during the austral summer (Figs. 10 and 11). (3) The katabatic winds that blow from across the NIS drive general salinity increases and episodic~~ven~~ HSSW formation through ice production and brine release in the upper parts of the eastern TNB (Fig. 14). These answers complement the previous results on HSSW formation in TNBP well.

Large-scale freshening of AABW sources (including HSSW) has been reported in the Ross Sea and TNB in recent decades (Jacobs et al., 2002; Fusco et al., 2009; Jacobs and Giulivi, 2010), which may be relevant to the findings presented herein. We showed higher (> 0.025) salinity values near 900 m depth in January–February 2017 and March 2018 than those in December 2014 and December 2015 (Table 1), implying more active HSSW formation in TNBP that was comparable to that in the early 2000s (Fig. 7a; Fusco et al., 2009). The HSSW formed in TNB can flow off the shelf break along Victoria Land (Cincinelli et al., 2008; Jendersie et al., 2018) and potentially affect the volume or property of AABW in the western Ross Sea. Most recently, the rebound of HSSW salinity was reported from the observations in the western Ross Sea (Castagno et al., 2019). These recent findings have roughly suggested that active sea-ice formation in TNBP and Ross Ice Shelf polynya and a decrease in freshwater

365 input from the Amundsen Sea would contribute to this salinity rebound. Also, our findings have implications on the response of the overturning circulations in the Southern Ocean to regional anomalies in buoyancy forcing (Rintoul, 2018) as local HSSW formation may significantly contribute to the response and requires additional studies.

Data Availability

The observational data used in this study are held at the Korea Polar Data Center (https://kpdc.kopri.re.kr) and raw
370 datametadata DOIs are as follows: https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00001062.1, https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000601.1, https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00001063.1, and https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000895.1 for CTD data; https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-000010640895.1, https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00001061.1, https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00001065.1, and https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000896.1 for LADCP data;
375 https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00001060.1, https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000749.1, and https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000898.1 for DITN data; and https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.22663/KOPRI-KPDC-00000906.1 for DITD data. The wind data at the AWS Manuela station and sea-ice concentration data used in this manuscript were obtained from http://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu/aws/api/form.html, and https://seaice.uni-bremen.de/data/amsr2/asi_daygrid_swath/s3125/, respectively. The daily ERA-Interim reanalysis dataset can be downloaded
380 from https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/.

Author contribution

WSL and CS conceived and designed the experiments. STY, WSL, CS, SY, CYH, GIJ, and JL collected the observational data in TNBP, and STY and CS processed them. STY led the analysis with contributions from WSL, CS, SJ, SN, and CYH. STY wrote the paper.

385 *Competing Interests*

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank topic editor and three anonymous reviewers for constructive suggestions. This study was sponsored by a research grant from the Korean Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries (KIMST20190361; PM19020), the New Zealand Antarctic Research
390 Institute, NZ Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, and the New Zealand National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) (NZARI1401). We thank Gary Wilson, Christopher J. Zappa, Pierre Dutrieux, Brett Grant, Fiona Elliott and Alex Forrest for their support of this study through data collection and analysis as well as contributions to earlier versions of the paper.

[YS15]: Topic Editor: meta data is not satisfactory. Please submit the data sets to a repository, provide DOI and cite them in the reference list.

[YS16R15]: We have released raw data used in this study through metadata DOIs based on your comment.

References

Aulicino, G., Sansiviero, M., Paul, S., Cesarano, C., Fusco, G., Wadhams, P. and Budillon, G.: A new approach for monitoring the Terra Nova Bay polynya through MODIS Ice Surface Temperature Imagery and its validation during 2010 and 2011 winter seasons, Remote Sens., 10, 366, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10030366, 2018.

Budillon, G., Fusco, G., and Spezie, G.: A study of surface heat fluxes in the Ross Sea (Antarctica), Antarct. Sci., 12(2), 243–254, http://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102000000298, 2000.

Budillon, G. and Spezie, G.: Thermohaline structure and variability in the Terra Nova Bay polynya, Ross Sea, Antarct. Sci., 12(4), 493–508, http://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102000000572, 2000.

Budillon, G., Cordero, S. G. and Salusti, E.: On the dense water spreading off the Ross Sea Shelf (Southern Ocean), J. Mar. Syst., 35, 207–227, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00082-9, 2002.

Budillon, G., Castagno, P., Aliani, S., Spezie, G. and Padman, L.: Thermohaline variability and Antarctic bottom water formation at the Ross Sea shelf break, Deep-Sea Res. Part I, 58, 1002–1018, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.07.002, 2011.

Buffoni, G., Cappelletti, A. and Picco, P.: An investigation of thermohaline circulation in the Terra Nova Bay polynya, Antarct. Sci., 14(1), 83–92, http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954102002000615, 2002.

Castagno, P., Capozzi, V., DiTullio, R. G., Falco, P., Fusco, G., Rintoul, R. S., Spezie, G., and Budillon, G.: Rebound of shelf water salinity in the Ross Sea, Nat. Commun., 10 (5411), 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13083-8, 2019.

Cheng, Z., Pang, X., Zhao, X. and Tan, C.: Spatio-temporal variability and model parameter sensitivity analysis of ice production in Ross Ice Shelf polynya from 2003 to 2015, Remote Sens., 9, 934, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9090934, 2017.

Ciappa, A., Pietranera, L. and Budillon, G.: Observations of the Terra Nova Bay (Antarctica) polynya by MODIS ice surface temperature imagery from 2005 to 2010, Remote Sens. Environ., 119, 158–172, http://doi.10.1016/j.rse.2011.12.017, 2012.

Cincinelli, A., Martellini, T., Bittoni, L., Russo, A., Gambaro, A. and Lepri, L.: Natural and anthropogenic hydrocarbons in the water column of the Ross Sea (Antarctica), J. Mar. Syst., 73, 208–220, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2007.10.010, 2008.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., Berg, L. V., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delso, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park. B. K, Peubey, C., Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J. N. and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

Dinniman, M. S., Klinck, J. M. and Smith, W. O. Jr.: Cross-shelf exchange in a model of the Ross Sea circulation and biogeochemistry, Deep-Sea Res. Part II, 50, 3103–3120, https://doi.10.1016/j.dsr2.2003.07.011, 2003.

Dong, S., Sprintall, J., Gille, S. T. and Talley, L.: Southern Ocean mixed-layer depth from Argo float profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C06013, http://doi.org/10.1029/2006JC0gordon04051, 2008.

Fusco, G., Budillon, G. and Spezie, G.: Surface heat fluxes and thermohaline variability in the Ross Sea and in Terra Nova Bay polynya, Cont. Shelf Res., 29, 1887–1895, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2009.07.006, 2009.

Fusco, G., Flocco, D., Budillon, G., Spezie, G. and Zambianchi, E.: Dynamics and variability of Terra Nova Bay polynya, Mar. Ecol., 23, 201–209, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2002.tb00019.x, 2002.

430   Gordon, A. L., Orsi, A. H., Muench, R., Huber, B. A., Zambianchi, E. and Visbeck, M.: Western Ross Sea continental slope gravity currents, Deep-Sea Res. Part II, 56, 796–817, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.10.037, 2009.

Jacobs, S. S.: Bottom water production and its links with the thermohaline circulation, Antarct. Sci., 16, 427–437, https://doi.org/10.1017/S095410200400224X, 2004.

Jacobs, S. S. and Giulivi, C. F.: Large Multidecadal salinity trends near the Pacific-Antarctic Continental margin, J. Clim., 23,
435   4508–4524, https://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3284.1, 2010.

Jacobs, S. S., Giulivi, C. F., and Mele, P. A.: Freshening of the Ross Sea during the late 20th century, Science, 297, 386-388, https://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1069574, 2002

Jendersie, S., Williams, M. J. M., Langhorne, P. J. and Robertson, R.: The density-driven winter intensification of the Ross Sea circulation, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 123, 1–23, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC013965, 2018.

440   Johnson, G. C.: Quantifying Antarctic Bottom Water and North Atlantic Deep Water volumes, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 113, C05027, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004477, 2008.

~~Kantha, L. H., Clayson, C. A.: Appendix C.3 Velocity scales, in: Small scale processes in geophysical fluid flows, 1st ed., volume 67, Elsevier, New York, United States, 795–796, 2000.~~

Mathiot, P., Jourdain, N. C., Barnier, B., Gallee, H., Molines, J. M., Sommer, J. L. and Penduff, T.: Sensitivity of coastal
445   polynyas and high-salinity shelf water production in the Ross Sea, Antarctica, to the atmospheric forcing, Ocean Dyn., 62, 701–723, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10236-012-0531-y, 2012.

McDougall, T. J. and Barker, P. M.: Getting started with TEOS-10 and the Gibbs Seawater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox – version 3.06.3, 28pp., SCOR/IAPSO WG127, ISBN 978-0-646-55621-5, 2017.

Orsi, A. H., Jacobs, S. S., Gordon, A. L. and Visbeck, M.: Cooling and ventilating the Abyssal Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
450   28(15), 2923–2926, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL012830, 2001.

Orsi, A. H., Johnson, G. C. and Bullister, J. L.: Circulation, mixing, and production of Antarctic Bottom Water, Prog. Oceanogr., 43, 55–109, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00004-X, 1999.

Orsi, A. H., Smethie Jr., W. M. and Bullister, J. L.: On the total input of Antarctic waters to the deep ocean: a preliminary estimate from chlorofluorocarbon measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 107(C8), 3122, https://doi.org/10.1029/
455   2001JC000976, 2002.

Orsi, A. H. and Wiederwohl, C. L.: A recount of Ross Sea waters, Deep-Sea Res. Part II, 56, 778–795, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.10.033, 2009.

Padman, L., Fricker, H. A., Coleman, R., Howard, S. and Erofeeva, L.: A new tide model for the Antarctic Ice shelves and Seas, Ann. Glaciol., 34, 1–14, https://doi.org.10.3189/172756402781817752, 2002.

460   Parkinson, C. L., Cavalieri, D. J., Gloersen, P., Zwally, H. J. and Comiso, J. C.: Arctic sea ice extents, areas, and trends, 1978–1996, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 104(C9), 20,837–20,856, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC900082, 1999.

Rintoul, S. R.: The global influence of localized dynamics in the Southern Ocean, Nature, 558, 209–218, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0182-3, 2018.

Rusciano, E., Budillon, G., Fusco, G., and Spezie, G.: Evidence of atmosphere–sea ice–ocean coupling in the Terra Nova Bay

465   polynya (Ross Sea–Antarctica), Cont. Shelf Res., 61–62, 112–124, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.04.002, 2013.

Sansiviero, M., Maqueda, M. A. M., Fusco, G., Aulicino, G., Flocco, D. and Budillon, G.: Modelling sea ice formation in the Terra Nova Bay polynya, J. Mar. Syst., 166, 4–25, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.06.013, 2017.

Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc.: Seasoft V2: SBE data processing (User's Manual, pp. 1–174). Bellevue, Washington, USA, 2014.

Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L. and Heygster, G.: Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89 GHz channels, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans,

470   113, C02S03, http://doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003384, 2008.

Stevens, C., Lee, W. S., Fusco, G., Yun, S., Grant, B., Robinson, N. and Hwang, C. Y.: The influence of the Drygalski Ice Tongue on the local ocean, Ann. Glaciol., 58(74), 51–59, https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2017.4, 2017.

Stewart, A. L. and Thompson, A. F.: Eddy-mediated transport of warm Circumpolar Deep Water across the Antarctic shelf break, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 432–440, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062281, 2015.

475   St-Laurent, P. Klinck, J. M. and Dinniman, M. S.: On the role of coastal troughs in the circulation of warm Circumpolar Deep Water on Antarctic shelves, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 43(1), 51–64, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-0237.1, 2013.

Tamura, T., Ohshima, K. I., Fraser, A. D. and Williams, G. D.: Sea ice production variability in Antarctic coastal polynyas, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 121, 2967–2979, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011537, 2016.

Toggweiler, J. R. and Samuels, B.: Effect of sea ice on the salinity of Antarctic bottom waters, J. of Phys. Oceanogr., 25,

480   1980–1997, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1995)025<1980:EOSIOT>2.0.CO;2, 1995.

Thurnherr, A. M.: How to Process LADCP Data with the LDEO Software. New York: Columbia University. ftp://ftp.ldeo.columbia.edu/pub/LADCP/HOWTO/LDEO_IX.pdf, 2014.

van Woert, M. L.: Wintertime dynamics of the Terra Nova Bay polynya, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 104, C4, 7753–7769, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC900003, 1999.

485   van Woert, M. L., Meier, W. N., Zou, C.-Z., Archer, A., Pellegrini, A., Grigioni, P. and Bertola, C.: Satellite observations of upper-ocean currents in Terra Nova Bay, Antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 22, 407–412, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756401781818879, 2001.

Zwally, H. J., Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Cavalieri, D. J. and Gloersen, P.: Variability of Antarctic sea ice 1979–1998. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 107(C5), 3041, 9-1–9-19, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC0007, 2002.

490

Figure 1: A topographic map of Terra Nova Bay (TNB). The location of TNB in the Ross Sea is shown in the upper-left inset. The bold gray line indicates the 1,000 m isobaths, and the interval between the thinner gray lines is 200 m. Conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) stations in the austral summers (i.e., 2014, 2015, 2017, and 2018) are denoted by open circles. The averaged current vector from August to November at 660 m in the eastern TNB (DITN) (1,222 m in the Drygalski Basin (DITD)) is denoted by a blue (magenta) arrow. The red (black) arrow indicates the mean current vector from June to November at 75 (273 m) in the DITN. The blue arrow in the bottom left indicates the reference velocity (2 cm s^{-1}).

Figure 2: The recorded sensor depths during the three observation legs. The red, black, and blue lines show the depth time-series for sensors at the upper-, mid-, and deep-layer of the DITN. A magenta line also shows the recorded sensor depths of the DITD. The SBE, RCM, and AQD represent the SBE37SM, RCM9, and Aquadopp current meters, respectively (see details in Table 1).

Figure 3: Spatial distributions of averaged sea-ice concentrations from July 2014 to March 2018. The interval of the dotted contour lines is a concentration of 10%. The black square indicates (blue diamond and magenta star) indicates the Automatic Weather System (AWS) Manuela station. The blue diamond and magenta star are for the (DITN and DITD). The dark-gray and (black) arrows are mean wind vectors for July 2014–March 2018 using ERA-Interim data and (data from AWS Manuela station, respectively). The black box denotes thean averaged region over which thefor sea-ice concentrations are averaged in Fig. 9.

Figure 4: (a) Time-series of the near-bed salinity observed in the DITN (blue) and DITD (magenta) from December 2014 to
March 2018. The blue (magenta) circles filled with yellow indicate the averaged salinity in a 5-m layer at the bottom obtained
using CTD data observed near the DITN (DITD). The black dashed line divides periods of each leg in the moorings (see details
in Table. 1). A zoomed-in plot for the one-day moving average near-bed salinity time-series from August to December of each
year is shown in the small upper-left inset. The magenta time-series in the inset is also for the DITD. (b) Monthly mean current
vectors at 660 m in the DITN and 1222 m in the DITD are indicated by blue and magenta arrows. Mean current vectors from
August to November in the DITN and (DITD) are emphasized using~~denoted by~~ purple and (navy) arrows, respectively.

[YS19]: Topic Editor: is magenta DITD?

[YS20R19]: Yes it is. We have added an explanation about a magenta line in the inset based on your comment.

[YS21]: Topic Editor: please avoid this style of using brackets

[YS22R21]: We have modified this sentence based on your comment.

Figure 5: (a) A magnified version of the θ–S (potential temperature-salinity) diagram for data from the DITN and DITD in August 2015. Red, black, and blue dots are θ–S at 75, 273, and 660 m, respectively. The thin green line denotes the freezing point at the surface depending on the salinity while the black dashed line indicates $\sigma_\theta = 28.00$ kg m^{-3}. (b) The same as Fig. 5a, but for September 2015. (c) The same as Fig. 5a, but for October 2015. (d–f) The same as Fig. 5a–5c, but for August–October 2016. (g–i) The same as Fig. 5a–5c, but for August–October 2017. Magenta dots are θ–S at 1208 m.

Figure 6: A zoomed-in plot of the θ–S diagram for CTD data observed in TNB during each observation period. The solid green line denotes the freezing point at the surface depending on the salinity, and the black dashed lines indicate isopycnals. The full range θ–S diagram is shown in the ~~small lower right~~ inset. The black box and blue line in the inset indicate the ranges of the magnified plot, and the –1.85 ℃ isotherm, respectively. The gray solid lines denote 28 and 28.27 kg m^{-3} neutral density (γ^n) surfaces. AASW, MCDW, MSW, TISW, and HSSW represent the Antarctic Surface Water, modified Circumpolar Deep Water, modified Shelf Water, Terra Nova Bay Ice Shelf Water, and High-Salinity Shelf Water, respectively.

530 **Figure 7:** (a) Vertical salinity profiles at each observation period. The black solid line indicates 34.8. The asterisks indicate salinity at ~ 900 m depth in TNB observed during 1995–2006 from Fusco et al. (2009) (b) The same as Fig. 7a, but for potential density (σ_θ), where the black solid line indicates 28.0 kg m^{-3}

Figure 8: (a) Salinity time-series at three depths from the DITN. The red (black) circles filled with yellow are the averaged salinity in a 5-m layer at 75 (273) m obtained using CTD data observed near the DITN. The black dashed line divides periods of each leg at the moorings (see details in Table. 1). (b) Potential density (σ_θ) differences lower than 0.10 kg m^{-3} for σ_θ at 75 and 273 m are shown. The magenta line indicates a 0.10 kg m^{-3} difference. (c) Monthly mean current vectors at 75 and 273 m of the DITN are indicated by red and black arrows.

Figure 9: Time-series from 2015 to 2017 for daily westerly ($225° < \theta < 315°$) wind speeds from Manuela (blue line), as well as the daily percentage of open water averaged from the black box shown in Fig. 3 (sky blue bar). Red dashed boxes indicate a time period~~domain~~ from April to October. The black dashed line indicates a wind speed of 25 m s^{-1}.

540

Figure 10: Distributions of depth (m) for the upper HSSW limit ($\sigma_\theta = 28$ kg/m³) in vertical CTD profiles during the four hydrographic surveys (see details in Table 1). The bold gray line indicates the 1,000 m isobaths and the interval between the thinner gray lines is 200 m.

Figure 11: (a) Vertical section of salinity along the Drygalski Ice Tongue (blue-filled squares in the inset) observed during the 2017/18 survey. The colorbar for salinity is denoted in Fig. 11b and its interval is 0.01. The black contour lines indicate isopycnals (kg m^{-3}). AASW, MSW, and HSSW represent the Antarctic Surface Water, modified Shelf Water, and High-Salinity Shelf Water, respectively (b) The same as Fig. 11a, but for the section along the Drygalski Basin (red circles in the inset of Fig. 11a).

550 **Figure 12:** (a) Mean currents in a range of 400–700 m during four hydrographic surveys (see details in Table 1). The LADCP data are arranged by averaging the data on a 0.5° × 0.1° grid. The averaged current vector from January to February at 660 m in the DITN is denoted by a blue arrow. The inset shows the current vectors of the DITN. The current vectors mainly discussed in Section 4.2 are highlighted by a dark red. The bold grey line indicates the 1,000 m isobaths and the interval between the thinner gray lines is 200 m. A scale arrow is denoted in the left part of the figure. (b) The same as Fig. 12a, but for the range of 900 m–bottom. A magenta arrow shows the averaged current vector from January to February at 1,222 m in the DITD.

555

Figure 13: (a) The same as Fig. 7a, but for potential temperature, where the black solid line denotes $-1.93\,°C$. (b) Distributions of Terra Nova Bay Ice Shelf Water (potential temperature $< -1.93\,°C$) thickness (m) in vertical CTD profiles during the 2016/17 survey (see details in Table 1). The bold gray line indicates the 1,000 m isobaths and the interval between the thinner gray lines is 200 m. (c) The same as Fig. 13b, but for the 2017/18 survey.

560

Figure 14: A schematic of the spatio-temporal variations in the production of HSSW in TNBP. The downward arrows show HSSW formation through convection ~~from brine rejection (dots) during sea ice formation at~~led by a supply of brine from the surface in the polynya opened by off-shelf, which is related to polynya development via winds. The brine rejecting process associated with sea-ice formation, which is driven by katabatic winds, is denoted with dots near the surface. The horizontal bi-

565 directional arrows indicate that the HSSW that formed near the Nansen Ice Shelf (NIS) advects at the deepest depths of both the eastern Terra Nova Bay (DITN) and Drygalski Basin (DITD) via cyclonic pattern flows in TNBP. The blue and (red) circle represents flow into and out of the page outgoing (incoming) flow. DB, CB and DIT denote the Drygalski Basin, Crary Bank and Drygalski Ice Tongue, respectively.

Table 1. Information from the four oceanographic surveys and data observed from the DITN and DITD. U, V, T, C, and P represent the east-west current speed, north-south current speed, temperature, conductivity, and pressure, respectively. S, R, and A are the instrument abbreviations for the SBE37SM, RCM9, and Aquadopp current meters, respectively.

Survey	Period	Observation	Number of stations in TNB	Ave. Salinity ($\pm$ std) in a 10 m layer at 900 m depth
2014/15	Dec. 11–16, 2014	Full-depth CTD/LADCP cast	11	34.796 ($\pm$ 0.001)
2015/16	Dec. 8–15, 2015	Full-depth CTD/LADCP cast	10	34.791 ($\pm$ 0.007)
2016/17	Jan. 26–Feb. 15, 2017	Full-depth CTD/LADCP cast	37	34.822 ($\pm$ 0.002)
2017/18	Mar. 4–13, 2018	Full-depth CTD/LADCP cast	38	34.838 ($\pm$ 0.005)

DITN	Period	Position	Depth [m]	Variables
1st leg	Dec. 12, 2014– Dec. 10, 2015	75° 21' 37" S, 164° 44' 58" E (Depth: 675 m)	75 (S, R) 275 (S, R) 660 (S, R)	S: 10 min T, C, P R: 30 min U, V
2nd leg	Dec. 12, 2015– Feb. 08, 2017	75° 21' 36" S, 164° 44' 55" E (Depth: 675 m)	72 (S, R) 272 (S, R) 660 (S, R)	S: 10 min T, C, P R: 60 min U, V
3rd leg	Feb. 09, 2017– Mar. 06, 2018	75° 21' 39" S, 164° 44' 47" E (Depth: 680 m)	74 (S, R, A) 272 (S, R, A) 665 (S, R, A)	S: 2 min T, C, P R: 60 min U, V A: 15 min T, P, U, V

DITD	Period	Position	Depth [m]	Variables
3rd leg	Feb. 08, 2017– Mar. 06, 2018	75° 16' 33" S, 164° 04' 02" E (Depth: 1230 m)	1208 (S) 1222 (A)	S: 2 min T, C, P A: 15 min T, P, U, V