The previous referees did an excellent job reviewing the article and highlighting the areas that needed changing, clarifying or correcting.

It is my opinion that the authors responded adequately to the reviewers' comments, making major changes in the manuscript where needed, clarifying vague parts, and also answered the many and well placed reviewers' questions.

A couple of minor typos I noticed and should be corrected:

```
page 1, line 17: replace "percent" by "percentage" page 1, line 21: with complementary data, such as page 2, line 18: replace "relative to" with "at"
```

Concluding, I believe the final version of the manuscript is adequately well written and has the required scientific value for publication.