

Response to reviewers' comments to the manuscript "Present-climate trends and variability in thermohaline properties of the northern Adriatic shelf" submitted to Ocean Science (os-2019-10)

We thank the reviewers for their very careful review and constructive comments, which are used in improvement of the manuscript. As requested, we addressed all raised comments and suggestions, as follows:

Reviewer #1

The paper describes the analysis of a 38 year long time series in the northern Adriatic Sea which consists of 6 stations extending from the Croatian to the Italian coastline. The analysis examines seasonal to decadal variability and trends of the hydrographic parameters, temperature, salinity and density. The data and analysis is described well in the paper and the discussion and the conclusions can be well understood from the described analyses and the figures. The northern Adriatic is only a small sea area but it is extremely important for the Mediterranean Sea as a supplier of the dense bottom water AdDW. The discussion about long term changes (variability) of the deep water characteristics coming from the northern Adriatic is however only short. Nevertheless, I consider the paper to be relevant to Mediterranean research in this sense. The further results achieved are not new in the narrower sense (the authors have already published a number of publications on the time series here), but a consistent continuation of their work. Changes of decadal time scales require long observation periods, therefore I consider the continued analysis of this time series to be scientifically relevant. However, there remain some inaccuracies and questions about the text. These are specified below. All in all, I consider the paper to be suitable for publication after major revisions.

- **Thanks for nice words, we broadened the discussion and made some statements more precise as suggested.**

Here some more detailed comments, questions and corrections:

1: In the abstract I would avoid making innuendos like "indicating different mechanisms which govern their variability" (which?, line 13) or "indicate substantial changes in the thermohaline circulation" (which, line 17).

- **We made the abstract more concise and avoided imprecise statements.**

2: page 3, line 7: if you use abbreviations, please define them beforehand (ERA)

- **Done.**

3: page 4, line 4: a winter, change just to winter

- **Changed.**

4.: page 4, line 5 and 6: through “the” rest of the year / when “the” thermocline is / increasing again “the” stability

- **Corrected.**

5: page 4, line 8: what means in this context “vertical mixing prevails to the buoyancy”? (stratification is less?)

- **This sentence is rephrased.**

6: page 4, line 32: what are the “overall temperature changes”? Changes in the original time series?

- **Yes. The word „overall“ is deleted.**

7: page 5, line 4: “the” variance of “the” salinity seasonal series

- **Corrected.**

8: page 5, line 5/6: “as well transient changes occurring over a few month” how can they dominate in the series? I thought, they were filtered out?

- **We didn't apply a low-pass filtering to the series, just filtered out annual and semi-annual cycles which are dominant in some variables. Intra-annual variations are still present in the series.**

9: page 5, line 11: there is a discrepancy between fig. 7 and the text (SJ101 and SJ108?), so I can therefore not really understand what is said.

- **The text is referring not just to Fig. 7, but to all stations among which SJ108 is the only one with no significant correlations between T and S at surface (0 m). The text is rewritten and clarified.**

10: page 5, line 13: change to: bottom layer everywhere except at station RV001

- **Changed.**

11: page 5, line 21 and 25: “the” residual salinity series / to affect “the” southern and middle ...

- **Corrected.**

12: page 5, line 28: are lagging a few years: Did you investigate this further? How many years? How big is the range of lag?

- **The lag is 2 to 4 years between BIOS reversal and a change of salinity in the northern Adriatic, what is achieved by lagged correlation analyses. Yet, this finding we plan to publish in the subsequent paper, integrally with analysis of all drivers (local – heat flux, precipitation, river discharges, ..., and remote – hemispheric indices like NAO, EA, EAWR, SCA, ...) relevant for thermohaline variability in the northern Adriatic.**

13: page 5, line 31/32: I don't get what is said in brackets and why do I know that interannual to decadal changes are dominantly affected by salinity?

- **If one applies a simple linear model of changing density, assuming that PDA is proportional to $(\alpha \cdot T + \beta \cdot S)$, one can estimate the contribution of temperature and salinity to the PDA changes. The text in brackets is providing these estimates. We expanded and clarified the text in the revised version.**

14: page 6, line 8: “indicating a weakening of stratification” why? The gradient could have been remained.

- **Fig. 8 (trends) are indicating positive PDA trend in the surface layer of SJ108, i.e. an increase in PDA values in time, exactly where low mean values are persistent mostly due to river discharges (Fig. 3). The opposite is at the bottom, where PDA values are decreasing in time. In combination, vertical gradients in PDA are decreasing in time, i.e. mean stratification is weakening. We corrected the text and made it more concise.**

15: page 6, line 18/19: I can't follow the example (i.e. ...) from the text or the figures.

- **The text is clarified and made concise. If looking in positions of stations SJ101 and SJ108 (Fig. 1), salinity trend is large and positive at SJ108 (located south of the Po River delta) while negative at SJ101 (located east of the Po River delta).**

16: page 6 line 24, 26, 28 and 31: “the” central part ... and at station SJ108 / “The” salinity trend follows “the” temperature trend / “have more complex spatial structure” / “the” central and eastern parts of the transect / “The salinity trend in July”

- **Corrected.**

17: page 7, line 1-10: I really do not understand this! How can you discuss seasonal changes from your residual time series? Seasonal changes were removed, weren't they? Was the filter not effective?

- **The terminology is changed, to avoid misunderstanding. Basically, we are here discussing residual trends obtained separately in different months, i.e. the trends in January, in February,**

18: page 7, line 11: differ more OR differ a lot

- **Corrected.**

19: page 7, line 15-17: I don't understand this conclusion from what is said before.

- **The sentence is removed.**

20: page 7, line 23-24: Out of context. What's that sentence supposed to say to me here?

- **The sentence is removed.**

21: page 8, 3-11: Why are the gaps mirrored and not chosen arbitrary to see the effect of gaps in the analysis?

- **We choose such an approach as reflecting real problems in the data series which are already part of the calculations (at the beginning of the series). Yet, we agree that it might be done differently, as a kind of sensitivity analysis.**

22: page 8, conclusion 2: very general, which different dominant mechanisms are meant?

- **This issue is clarified. Temperature is dominantly driven by processes acting at the surface (heat fluxes), while changes in salinity are more reflecting processes advecting water masses from the southeast to the northern Adriatic.**

23: page 8, conclusion 5: what does this mean for the circulation of the northern Adriatic?

- **That is a good question. We believe that such change would increase eddy kinetic energy and residence time in the northernmost part of the Adriatic. We added a sentence on that in the manuscript.**

24: page 8, line 28: “Our” observed temperature trends (because otherwise it is misleading)

- **The text is changed accordingly.**

25: page 9, line 7-16: what is meant by reflection of variability? The conclusion ii) confuses me, I don't really get what the authors want to say. Please reformulate.

- **The text is reformulated.**

26: page 9, line 20: “the” middle and

- **Corrected.**

27: page 9, line 28: “which differs from trend” (skip from them)

- **Corrected.**

28: page 10, line 2: one of “the” processes for weakening the Western ...

- **Corrected.**

29: figure 3, 6, 8 and 9: Please rotate the x-axes. West should be on the left and east on the right side as usual

- **The orientation of these figures is changed.**

30: figure 7: Hardly to distinguish the different periods. Perhaps you can enlarge the figure.

- **Following suggestion by Reviewer #2, we removed the periods from the figure as relations between the BiOS and thermohaline oscillations are not sufficiently proven in this manuscript.**

Reviewer #2

In this study, the authors present the thermohaline properties derived from six shallow stations in the northern Adriatic shelf, over the last four decades. The study focuses mainly

on the trends and on the interannual to decadal variability of the water column structure, across an observational network spanning the area from the Croatian to the Italian coast. The study follows previous published work from the authors, to the point that it is hard for the reader to follow what is new in this work. I would advise the authors to make more clear the added value and the new components of this work, instead of providing a long list of references in the Introduction section. Other than that, the manuscript is clear, concise and well written, and provides a detailed description of the thermohaline properties of the region and the changes observed over the years. This is a dense water formation area and therefore an important area for the thermohaline properties and the circulation of the eastern Mediterranean. I have found that the analysis of results for the interannual variability and the trends is valid though too descriptive, and what is missing from the manuscript is a proper attribution to physics governing the dynamics of the area. In most cases this attribution is very general and in some cases the assumptions are far-fetched.

Overall, I find the manuscript worthy of publication in Ocean Science, after a major revision. Please find below a list of comments, that I would like the authors to address in the revised manuscript.

- **Thanks for your comments, we changed the manuscript accordingly.**

Specific comments:

1) The Figs. 3, 6, 8, 9 appearing as "Distance from RV001 (km)" are confusing in terms of east-west direction and should be reversed in the x-axis.

- **We changed the orientation of these figures in the revised manuscript.**

2) In the Data and Methods section the authors discuss that an annual and semi-annual filter is applied to a monthly/bimonthly timeseries, producing a residual timeseries that in my understanding does not have seasonal variability. Yet, later in the text they discuss the seasonal cycle (e.g. section 3.1, Fig. 4) and present monthly trends (e.g. section 3.3, Figs. 9, 10). How is this possible, what do I have missed here? Clarify in the text.

- **Sorry for confusing the seasonal cycle and its removal in the manuscript, also as the word „seasonal“ is wrongly used at some places. In original version, Figs. 4-6 are dealing with seasonal cycle in the series: Fig. 4 shows annual courses, Fig. 5 shows the series with or without seasonal cycle (to familiarize with the methodology), while Fig. 6 presents the variance of the seasonal cycle in measured series. On the other hand, interannual variability and trend analyses (Sections 3.2 and 3.3) are performed on the residual series, the latter as making more robust the statistical significance estimates. The confusion is also probably created by putting residual mean averages at the beginning of Section 3.1 (residuals are used here as number**

of samples are not uniformly distributed over a year and thus the annual average might be biased).

So, we rewrote Section 3.1 in a logical manner, starting with description of the data, extraction of seasonal cycle and then computations of residual mean averages. I.e. we moved the first paragraph of Section 3.1 to the end of the paragraph (with Fig. 3), and change a bit. More, the terminology in trend estimates is changed regarding the use of the word „seasonal“ (improperly describing the analyses), which will be changed to „trends estimated for a month“.

3) Page 5, line 13-14: "...indicating the dominance of...and salinity variability". This is a good example of what I mean when I say the authors give very general explanations regarding the dynamics of the area. Can you make a fair assumption why is this observed? Clarify in the text or remove such expressions.

- **We removed this sentence, as being followed by explanations.**

4) Page 5, line 15: "...hemispheric patterns...". You mean teleconnections? Is this part of an explanation to the previous phrase? Clarify in the text.

- **Yes and yes. The text is clarified.**

5) Page 5, line 28-29: "It looks like...BiOS reversals". The authors provide no such proofs in the text between the link of salinity and BiOS (also elsewhere in the text). This is not a naturally pertinent argument derived from the findings of this work. The authors should remove the BiOS assumptions from the Results section and from Fig. 7, since there are not results of their work. Providing a reference in the Result section (as in page 6 line 2) does not change the fact that this is a rather forced claim here. Near the end of Section 4, the authors discuss again the possible influence of the regions dynamics with respect to BiOS. I guess in the Discussion and Conclusion section this is relevant, as long as it is clearly stated that it is not proven yet and further research is needed (which is indeed the case in the text).

- **We removed unproven construction in relation to the BiOS, and also modified Fig. 7.**

6) Section 3.3 discussing the thermohaline trends is very carefully written and it is a nice addition to the manuscript, with the only exception the monthly trends that need to be clarified (see comment 2).

- **Thanks. We clarified the computation of trends and changed wording.**

7) In the beginning of Section 4, there are numbered conclusions, which although seem logical and valid, some of them are a bit vague (e.g. page 8, lines 17-18 "...acting on...their variability"). Can the authors be more specific?

- **We rewrote a vague conclusions and add specific statements.**

Present-climate trends and variability in thermohaline properties of the northern Adriatic shelf

Ivica Vilibić^{1*}, Petra Zemunik¹, Jadranka Šepić¹, Natalija Dunić¹, Oussama Marzouk², Hrvoje Mihanović¹, Clea Denamiel¹, Robert Precali³, Tamara Djakovac³

¹ Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Split, Croatia

² student at SeaTech, University of Toulon, Toulon, France

³ Center for Marine Research, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Rovinj, Croatia

Correspondence to: I. Vilibić, vilibic@izor.hr

Abstract: The paper documents seasonality, interannual to decadal variability and trends in temperature, salinity and density over a transect in the shallow northern Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea) between 1979 and 2017. Amplitude of seasonality decreases with depth, and is much larger in temperature and density than in salinity. Time series of temperature and salinity are correlated in the surface but not in the bottom layer. Trends in temperature are large (up to 6°C over 100 years), significant through the area and not sensitive to the sampling interval and time series length. In contrast, trends in salinity are largely weak and insignificant and depend on the time series length. The warming of the area is stronger during spring and summer. Such large temperature trends and their spatial variability emphasize the importance of maintaining regular long-term observations for proper estimation of thermohaline trends and their variability. This is particularly important in regions which are key for driving thermohaline circulation such as the northern Adriatic, with a potential to affect biogeochemical and ecological properties of the whole Adriatic Sea.

1 Introduction

Albeit being shallow, with depths lower than 80 m and of limited dimensions (ca. 150 km x 250 km), the northern Adriatic shelf (Fig. 1) has been early recognized as an important area in the Mediterranean, as a number of important ocean processes occur there. These include: (1) tides, which are strongly amplified due to their near-resonance with the Adriatic eigenoscillations and surpass 1 m at the northernmost part of the Adriatic (Janeković and Kuzmić, 2005; Vilibić et al., 2017), (2) storm surges, which are generated by a strong and persistent sirocco wind and can flood coastal cities in the northern Adriatic, like Venice (Trigo and Davies, 2002; Medugorac et al., 2018), (3) a substantial freshwater discharge (Raicich, 1996), that drives the surface branch of the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation, outflowing along the western coast and causing the counterflow along the eastern coastline that brings saline Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) all the way to the northern Adriatic (Orlić et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997), and (4) dense water formation (Bergamasco et al., 1999; Beg Paklar et al.,

Deleted: Interannual to decadal variability in t

Deleted: T

Deleted: differently

Deleted: and

Deleted: s, indicating different mechanisms which govern their variability.

Deleted: .

Deleted: key

Deleted: known

Deleted: indicate substantial changes in the

Deleted: circulation in this area known as a dense water formation site

Deleted: which

2001; Mihanović et al., 2013), which occurs during the wintertime cold bora outbreaks at the shelf (Grisogono and Belušić, 2009), resulting in generation of the densest Mediterranean water mass, the North Adriatic Dense Water (NAdDW, Zore-Armanda, 1963). The NAdDW spreads over the deep Adriatic layers as a density current (Artegiani and Salusti, 1987) and ventilates the middle and southern Adriatic depressions (Vilibić, 2003; Bensi et al., 2013).

5 All of the quoted processes are, to certain extent, influenced by climate changes, thus a prerequisite for proper assessment of their variability is a long-term monitoring. Due to their quasi-resonant nature, the tides are sensitive to changes in mean sea level, i.e. a sea level rise of 2 m would increase the major diurnal tide K₁ by 25% and decrease the major semidiurnal tide M₂ by 10% in the northern Adriatic (Lionello et al., 2005). The Adriatic storm surges are sensitive to the intensity and frequency of cyclones, projected to decrease in their frequency but not in peak intensity in the future climate (Androulidakis et al., 2015; Lionello et al., 2017b). Also, a small change of synoptic patterns may change distribution of storm surge heights along the coastal northern Adriatic (Međugorac et al., 2018). A pronounced warming trends with maximum in the summer season and a substantial decrease in precipitation, especially in the warm season (Giorgi, 2006; Giorgi and Lionello, 2008; Planton et al., 2012; Branković et al., 2013; Lionello and Scarascia, 2018), are going to affect river discharges in the Adriatic. Yet, the Alpine region is projected to be less influenced by the precipitation decrease, having even an increase in its northern parts (Gao et al., 2006), as a dividing line between the Mediterranean drying regime and the continental wetting regime in precipitation projections is stretching along the northern Adriatic drainage areas (Zampieri et al., 2012). For that reason, freshwater influx to the Adriatic Sea through the northern Adriatic rivers will change with climate, but much in terms of seasonality than total runoff (Coppola et al., 2014). Last but not least, dense water formation in the Adriatic is found to have a decreasing trend in the present climate, at least as seen on the thermohaline circulation reproduced by ocean climate simulations (Somot et al., 2006) and long-term measurements along the Palagruža Sill transect (Vilibić et al., 2013).

The northern Adriatic Sea is one of rare Mediterranean regions where a tradition of continuous high-resolution (monthly or bimonthly) monitoring of oceanographic parameters has been preserved over a prescribed set of stations. Such an approach is sparse in the rest of the Mediterranean (Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 2014). These measurements allow for long-term investigations of the northern Adriatic ecosystem variability and trends (Plavšić, 2004; Mozetič et al., 2010; Ivančić et al., 2010; Kraus and Supić, 2011; Marić et al., 2012; Giani et al., 2012; Djakovac et al., 2015; Iveša et al., 2016; Dautović et al., 2017). Previous works also include analysis of variability and changes of the thermohaline properties (Supić et al., 2004) and their drivers, freshwater discharges and air-sea fluxes (Supić and Ivančić, 2002). The long-term trends in temperature and salinity over a centurial timescale have not been found significant in the eastern part of the northern Adriatic shelf (1921-2000, station RV001, Supić et al., 2004), although the deep southern Adriatic exhibits a centenarian warming (1911-2009, Lipitzer et al., 2014). Yet, the temperature and salinity trends in the last few decades have been found significant in different parts or the whole Mediterranean Sea (Shaltout and Omstedt, 2014; Grbec et al., 2018; Pastor et al., 2018; Bengil and Mavruk, 2019). This applies both to the surface, but also to the deep layers to which warming induced by climate change propagates more slowly (Bethoux et al., 1990; Tsimplis and Baker, 2000; Millot et al., 2006; Cusinato et al., 2018).

Deleted: changes

Deleted: the

Deleted: is going to

Deleted: for

Deleted: for

Deleted: more with regard to

Deleted: ing

Deleted: ed

Deleted: documentation of the functioning of

Deleted: over long-term periods

Deleted: exhibited

The Adriatic Sea *in situ* ocean observations have been also continuous at the Palagruža Sill transect in the middle Adriatic since 1952. The Palagruža series have been exploited in a number of studies (i.e. Grbec et al., 2009; Vilibić et al., 2012, 2013; Mihanović et al., 2015), as this transect is located at the key region for water mass exchange between the deep and the shallow Adriatic and Mediterranean regions (Buljan and Zore-Armanda, 1976; Martin et al., 2009). The Palagruža Sill trends indicate a weakening of the Adriatic-Ionian thermohaline circulation (Vilibić et al., 2013), which is governed by the dense water formation and freshwater discharges in the northern Adriatic, as well as by the inflow of the LIW, and the dominant circulation patterns in the Ionian. Yet, the northern Adriatic thermohaline measurements, in particular their variability and trends, have not been analyzed during the last two decades when accelerated changes in the Mediterranean climate have been found to occur (Lionello et al., 2017a). Our study bridges this research gap, analyzing thermohaline variability and trends during the 1979-2017 period when the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) is providing interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim). The emphasis is particularly given to the present-climate trends and their persistence. Section 2 presents the data and the methods. An analysis of seasonal variance, interannual variability and trends are given in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 discusses the results and highlights the major findings.

2 Data and methods

In situ temperature and salinity data were collected at six stations surveyed mostly monthly or bimonthly between 1979 and 2017: SJ108, SJ101, SJ103, SJ105, SJ107 and RV001 (Fig. 1, from west near Italy to east near Croatia). The samples were taken at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30 m and 2 m above the seabed. Temperature was measured by protected reversing thermometers (Richter and Wiese, Berlin, precision ± 0.01 °C) and by reversing digital thermometers (SIS RTM 4002, precision ± 0.003 °C) assembled to the Niskin bottles. Salinity was determined using Mohr and Knudsen's method with an accuracy of ± 0.05 (data between 1966 and 1977) or by high precision laboratory salinometers with accuracy of ± 0.01 (data from 1978 onwards). For months with two or more cruises, the averages were used in analyses. The number of samples in a month varied between 19 to 25 in November and 37 to 40 in July, with sampling more frequent during summer season and in March than during the rest of a year (Fig. 2).

All data were checked for quality (1) by using min–max thresholds (4–32°C for temperature, 10–40 for salinity) defined by long-term climatology of the northern Adriatic (Artegiani et al., 1997; Lipizer et al., 2014), (2) by ensuring that vertical stability of the water column has been preserved, and (3) by visual checking and comparison of each data to its neighbors in both space (measurements at neighboring depths and stations) and time. Potential density anomalies (PDAs) with a reference pressure of zero were estimated using TEOS-10 algorithms.

Seasonal changes of all variables were largely removed from each series by fitting annual (12 months) and semi-annual (6 months) cosine functions to data. The procedure was separately applied for each station, depth and variable. The remaining signal within a time series, i.e. without annual and semi-annual cycle (referred as seasonal series), is referred as residual series in the paper.

Deleted: are

Deleted: as well

Deleted: Therein

Deleted: determined

Deleted: and

Deleted: .

Deleted: recent

Deleted: .

Deleted: entire ERA-Interim period (1979-2017)

Deleted: . SJ107, SJ105, SJ103, SJ101 and SJ108

Deleted: in order from Croatian to Italian coastline

Deleted: and

Deleted: checking if

Deleted: is

Deleted: .

Deleted: Then,

Deleted: v

Deleted: were carried out

Deleted: Such an approach allowed for larger significance of trend estimates and proper statistics (the estimate of annual averages by taking into account uneven samplings during different seasons).

The trend significance was estimated by Mann-Kendall nonparametric test.

3 Results

3.1 Seasonal cycle, variance and residual averages

Seasonal changes in the surface layer are quite strong (Fig. 3), with surface temperature reaching minimum of 8-9°C in February and maximum of 25-26°C in August. Monthly max-min range in the surface temperature is slightly larger on the western (SJ101) than on the eastern (RV001) section of the transect, due to stronger haline-driven stratification that decreases the rate of vertical heat exchange between surface and bottom layers. For the same reason, the monthly range of bottom temperature is lower on the western side than on the eastern side of the transect. Surface salinity peaks during wintertime (37.3 at SJ101 in January and 38.0 at RV001 in February), when the freshening by rivers is restricted to the western coastline (Artegiani et al., 1997), and falls down to (32.3 at SJ101 in May and 36.3 at SJ101 and RV001 in July, respectively) following the spring maximum in river discharges (Raicich, 1996). Bottom salinity does not change much, having values around 38.0 throughout a year. PDA seasonal cycle is mostly affected by temperature changes on the eastern side of the transect (up to 70% of PDA surface change is due to temperature changes, when linear equation of state of seawater is applied), and by salinity changes on the western side of the transect (up to 55% of PDA surface change is due to salinity changes). The largest PDA values are measured in February, with near-bottom values between 29.4 and 29.5 kg/m³ along the transect. These numbers indicate generation of the NAdDW over most of the northern Adriatic, filling the northern Adriatic shelf before flowing to the southeast along the western shelf break (Artegiani and Salusti, 1987; Janeković et al., 2014).

On the eastern side of the transect, the seasonal changes have a much larger amplitude than the residual variations for both surface and bottom temperatures (Fig. 4). The same applies to PDA. However, salinity, particularly for the bottom layer, is not as strongly dominated by the seasonal variations. The dominance of the seasonal signal in the temperature changes is emerging from percentages of annual and semi-annual cycle variance versus total variance (Fig. 5), which are above 90% at the surface and is not lower than 75% near the bottom. The variance of the salinity seasonal series surpass 30% at the surface of the eastern section of the transect, and 20% at the surface of the western section of the transect (station SJ108). This implies that transport of the Po River waters towards the eastern coastline has a larger seasonality, whilst station SJ108 is affected by the river plume uniformly throughout a year (Kourafalou, 1999). In the bottom layer, variance of salinity seasonal series is low (<5%), indicating a dominance of processes on interannual to decadal over seasonal timescale, as well as of transient changes occurring over a few months period. The PDA seasonal variance is affected by both temperature and salinity, and is largest in the subsurface layer of the eastern side of the transect (80-90%), while varying between 60 and 70% at the bottom of the western side of the transect.

The averages of temperature estimated from the residual series (Fig. 6) exhibit a substantial decrease with depth. This is the consequence of (1) cold and dense waters generated during winter, residing at the bottom and increasing stratification of the water column through the rest of the year, and (2) a flooding of the most of the northern Adriatic by waters of low salinity

- Deleted: Averages, s
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: The averages of temperature, salinity and PDA estimated from the residual series (Fig. 3) exhibit a substantial decrease in temperature with depth. This is the consequence of (1) cold and dense waters generated during a winter, residing at the bottom and ... [1]
- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: max-min
- Deleted: in the
- Deleted: section
- Deleted: is largest
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: while it
- Deleted: in July
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: more
- Deleted: at
- Deleted: section
- Deleted: whilst
- Deleted: it is influenced more
- Deleted: seasonal
- Deleted: at
- Deleted: section
- Deleted: The amplitude of changes of the temperature season ... [2]
- Deleted: 5
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: while the annual cycle of salinity has much lower ... [3]
- Deleted: overall
- Deleted: 6
- Deleted: is
- Deleted: V
- Deleted: in salinity
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: being
- Deleted: n
- Deleted: section
- Deleted: being
- Deleted: it is
- Deleted: ection
- Deleted: . salinity and PDA
- Deleted: in temperature

during most of a year. The flooding of the whole Rovinj-Po transect with low-salinity waters coming from the northern Adriatic rivers is reflected in the mean salinity distribution, with average salinity lower for about 4.0 at the surface than at the bottom off the river Po mouth (station SJ108), and for about 0.6 at the RV001 station. Further on, surface salinity is lower in the western side (33.7 in average at SJ108) than in the side section (37.3 in average at RV001) of the transect. The maximum in salinity is documented in near-bottom layers of stations SJ107 through SJ105, indicating the area where the largest advection of saline waters from the southeast is occurring. PDA distribution along the transect dominantly reflects the effects of salinity in the surface layer and of both temperature and salinity in the bottom layer, with the densest waters residing at the bottom of the central and western sections of the transect (stations SJ105 to SJ101).

3.2 Interannual variability

Hovmöller plots of residual temperatures, salinity and PDA series at RV001 and SJ101 stations (Fig. 7) show a strong interannual signal, both in surface and bottom layers. An overall increase in temperature at all stations can be visually detected, with prolonged cooler periods in the bottom layer at the beginning of the series (e.g. 1983-1987), and shorter periods of above-average temperatures more frequent in the second part of the series (e.g. 2000-2001, 2007, 2014). Regarding variations of the residual salinity series, they seem to be a combination of interannual (1-3 years) and quasi-decadal variability (5-10 years). Prolonged periods of higher salinity (e.g. 1987-1991, 2002-2008), interrupted with shorter periods of lower salinity (e.g. 1984-1986, 1991-1998, 2009-2015), all superimposed with interannual variability, can be noticed. Residual PDA interannual to decadal changes range between 27.2 kg/m³ at station SJ103 and 29.7 kg/m³ at station SJ105 near the bottom (30 m). By applying linear equation of state of seawater, it follows that ca. 80-90% and ca. 55% of residual PDA surface and bottom change are due to residual salinity changes, on average over the transect. Minimum densities are reached in periods 1993-1995, 2000-2002 and 2007-2015, while highest residual PDA values are observed in period 2003-2005. The last period matches the ending years of a strong cyclonic BiOS regime (1998-2006, Mihanović et al., 2015).

Temperature and salinity in the surface layer (0 m) are correlated at 95% at all stations but SJ108. An increase in temperature is associated with a decrease in salinity and vice versa. Yet, temperature and salinity are not correlated at 95% in the bottom layer (30 m), except at station RV001. Variability of residual temperature is presumably dominantly a result of the direct forcing from the atmosphere associated with the hemispheric patterns that are known to influence Central Mediterranean temperatures and precipitation (like East Atlantic pattern, Ionita et al., 2015; Scorzini et al., 2018). The bottom variability of residual salinity is likely largely due to advection of salt from the southeast through the Adriatic-Ionian thermohaline circulation (Orlić et al., 2006).

- Deleted:** it
- Deleted:** s
- Deleted:** There can be noticed p
- Deleted:** .
- Deleted:** on which interannual variability is superimposed.
- Deleted:** have the
- Deleted:** in near-bottom values (30 m)
- Deleted:** the PDA changes are found dominantly affected by salinity
- Deleted:** :
- Deleted:** , respectively,
- Deleted:**
- Deleted:** at
- Deleted:** stations,
- Deleted:** with a
- Deleted:** at
- Deleted:** everywhere
- Deleted:** any but
- Deleted:** station,
- Deleted:** indicating the dominance of different mechanisms which drive the bottom temperature and salinity variability.
- Deleted:** The
- Deleted:** surface
- Deleted:** variability
- Deleted:** in
- Deleted:** is
- Deleted:** resembling
- Deleted:** an
- Deleted:** and heat
- Deleted:** An overall increase in temperature at all stations can be visually detected, with prolonged cooler periods in the bottom layer at the beginning of the series (e.g. 1983-1987), and shorter periods of above-average temperatures more frequent in the second part of the series (e.g. 2000-2001, 2007, 2014).
- Deleted:** Regarding residual salinity series, it seems to be a combination of interannual (1-3 years) and quasi-decadal variability (5-10 years). There can be noticed prolonged periods of higher salinity (e.g. 1987-1991, 2002-2008), interrupted with shorter periods of lower salinity (e.g. 1984-1986, 1991-1998, 2009-2015), on which interannual variability is superimposed. It is a question if this variability is connected with the oscillatory Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS, Gačić et al., 2010), which has been found to affect southern and middle Adriatic (Civitarese et al., 2010; Mihanović et al., 2015). The BiOS was in anticyclonic regime between 1991 and 1998 (low salinity conditions), then switching to cyclone regime between 1998 and 2006 (high-salinity conditions) ... [4]

3.3 Trends

Temperature, salinity and PDA trends estimated on residual annual averages, and presented in Fig. 8, reveal an extensive and statistically significant heating of the entire water column over the whole transect. Trends range from 1-6°C over 100 years, reaching maximum value off the Po River mouth at the bottom of the SJ108 station. Positive salinity and PDA trends at this station are also large in the surface layer, and weak and even opposite in the bottom layer, indicating a weakening of stratification south of the Po River delta, and implying that this higher-than-average temperature bottom trends might be due to an increase of heat transfer towards the bottom in the area. Temperature trends are lowest on the eastern section of the transect (1-2°C over 100 years), where an inflow of waters from the middle Adriatic occurs (Franco et al., 1992; Orlić et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997).

Salinity annual trends are mostly insignificant over the transect. In addition to station SJ108, significant increase in salinity is also documented at the surface of station SJ107, indicating a mild increase of salinity along the eastern part of the section. The increase is presumably result of a combination of persistent salting of the middle and southern Adriatic (Vilibić et al., 2013; Lipizer et al., 2014) advected towards the northern Adriatic and of effects of freshwater influx from the northern Adriatic rivers. Interesting surface salinity trends are found off the Po River mouth, with trend at SJ101 negative, but insignificant, and trend at SJ108 positive and significant (>6 over 100 years). As both stations are Jargely influenced by the Po River plume (Kourafalou, 1999), which is characterized by a minimum of salinity in the surface layer (Fig. 3), these trends indicate a change in the Po River dynamics over the examined period, i.e. the plume has been restricted more towards the east and over station SJ101 during the recent decades, while it spread more to the south and over station SJ108, during the 1980s and 1990s.

The bipolar surface structure in trends off the Po River mouth is also detectable from PDA, while trends over the rest of the transect are both thermally- and haline-driven. For that reason, the PDA trends are mostly negative over the transect, with rates from -3 kg/m³ to -1 kg/m³ over 100 years.

Trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA differ between months. January temperature trends (Fig. 9) are positive, with maximum in bottom layers, at the central part of the transect and at station SJ108 (> 4°C over 100 years). The salinity January trend follows the temperature trend in the central section of the transect, indicating an increased rate of advection of the middle Adriatic waters to the northern Adriatic. Trends in summer months, in particular July, have more complex spatial structure due to baroclinicity. Temperature trends surpass 6°C over 100 years at the very surface and off the river Po delta, while they are insignificant and even opposite at the bottom of the central and eastern parts of the transect. Such a distribution indicates that vertical transfer of heat has been reducing in the central and eastern sections of the transect, resulting in accumulation of the heat energy close to the surface. In contrast, temperature trends are largest at the bottom of SJ108 station, where larger heat transfer to the bottom is presumably allowed by increased surface salinity and therefore reduced vertical stratification. The salinity trend in July is positive in the western part of the section, peaking at the station SJ108 (8.6 over 100 years).

Deleted: whole

Deleted: S

Deleted: while being

Deleted: in recent time

Deleted: this

Deleted: normally

Deleted: S

Deleted: the

Deleted: where

Deleted: the

Deleted: is

Deleted: while strongly positive

Deleted: these

Deleted: occasionally flooded

Deleted: being

Deleted: .

Deleted: i

Deleted: that

Deleted: spreading

Deleted: s

Deleted: in

Deleted: the

Deleted: trends

Deleted: section

Deleted: of residual

Deleted: variables

Deleted: .

Deleted: the

Deleted: S

Deleted: much

Deleted: River

Deleted: S

The trends estimated for a month (Fig. 10) reveal that trends are more intense and more significant during spring and summer months. Largest temperature trends on the eastern side of the transect (RV001) are documented between April and August: in the bottom layer from April through May and in the surface layer between July and August. The bottom trends go to near-zero values between July and November, revealing stability of weak vertical exchange of heat due to increased stratification and lowered mixing during late summer/autumn. These trends also reflect trends in air temperature which are larger during the summer and lower during the winter in the region (Shohami et al., 2011; Bartolini et al., 2012). A secondary maximum in temperature trends on the eastern side of the transect occurs in December. Temperature trends at SJ101 are similar to trends at RV001, but even higher during spring and summer (occasionally $>8^{\circ}\text{C}$ over 100 years), reflecting coherent seasonal changes over most of the transect. Yet, temperature trends at SJ108 are different, with a maximum restricted to depths higher than 10 m during spring and summer months.

Salinity trends present strong differences between the eastern and the western sides of the transect. Trends are insignificant on the eastern side of the transect, weakly negative in spring and positive in summer months (only in surface layers) and in December. In contrast, salinity trends are strongly negative at the surface of SJ101 during most of the year (Fig. 10, <-4 over 100 years), indicating positive trends in offshore expansion of the Po River plume. Simultaneously, salinity trends are strongly positive at the surface of SJ108 (occasionally >7 over 100 years).

PDA trends are negative at most of the transect in all months, except at surface layers of the SJ108 station. PDA decrease, although not significant, is evident in winter months (January-February), during which the NAdDW is generated in the northern Adriatic (Zore-Armanda, 1963; Artegiani et al., 1997; Mihanović et al., 2013). The 30-m February PDA trends range between -1.1 kg/m^3 at SJ101 and -0.8 kg/m^3 at RV001. The period in which the NAdDW spreads to the middle and southern Adriatic, March-June, is characterized with even more negative PDA trends, driven by both temperature and salinity trends.

As the time series are relatively short, it is a question if the trends are sensitive to the choice of the sampling interval or have a persistence regardless of the sampling interval. For temperature, trends are persistent (Fig. 11), significant and change a little over the whole transect, regardless of inclusion of recent data in the analysis (for the last 17 years). In contrast, salinity trends are substantially dependent on the data interval. For example, salinity trends are significantly positive along the eastern section of the transect for the data intervals between 1979 and 2000s. However, the trends became insignificant when series are extended series to the 2010s. Trends contrast even more for stations off the Po River mouth, where surface trends switch from negative to positive and then to negative with changing interval (1979 to early 2000s vs. 1979 to mid and late 2000s vs. 1979 to 2010s). Therefore, interannual to decadal variability of the Po River freshwater outflow may substantially alter the salinity trends in the northern Adriatic. That also refers to the PDA trends, sensitivity of which to the length of the examined time series is dominantly influenced by salinity changes in the surface layer. Yet, temperature effect keeps PDA trends more stable and mostly significantly negative in the bottom layer.

However, an uneven sampling through a year was conducted over the time interval, with more data gaps present in the first 11 years of monitoring (1979-1989). This particularly applies to autumn, when the maximum in temperature is present

Deleted: Seasonal changes in t

Deleted: at

Deleted: the station

Deleted: spring and summer

Deleted: differ more lot

Deleted: parts

Deleted: at

Deleted: part

Deleted: the

Deleted: station

Deleted: the

Deleted: station

Deleted: Therefore, the change of the Po River plume dynamics and of the pathway of freshwater in the northern Adriatic in recent decades was substantial and persistent in all seasons.

Deleted: seasons

Deleted: Decrease in PDA, although

Deleted: also

Deleted: the

Deleted: time

Deleted: period

Deleted: A negative salinity trend indicates a weakening of advection of saline middle Adriatic waters to the northern Adriatic, due to a weakening of the Adriatic thermohaline circulation (Vilibić et al., 2013).

in near-bottom layers (30 m, Fig. 4). To test if these gaps might affect the annual trend computation, ~~the gaps were mirrored~~ to the last 11 years of measurements (2007-2017) ~~before trend estimates~~. Precisely, the data gap present in 1979 at a certain station, depth and parameter is imposed to 2017 through omitting of the respective data, the gaps in 1980 are imposed to 2016, etc. Then, the annual trends are recomputed (not shown). The temperature trend shows a slight decrease, up to 10% at the most of the transect, and larger decrease (about 20 %) at 30 m of stations SJ105 and SJ108. Yet, overall distribution of temperature trends and of their significance over the transect remains the same. Salinity trends were not affected much by the mirroring, while the PDA trends resemble a small but not significant decrease over the transect driven by the decrease in temperature trends.

4 Discussion and conclusions

The study reveals several important conclusions coming from the presented analysis of multidecadal temperature, salinity and density dataset measured in the northern Adriatic between 1979 and 2017:

1. Albeit the northern Adriatic is quite shallow, its vertical stratification is persistent through most of the year (March-November) due to seasonal heating and freshening by a substantial river inflow.
2. Interannual to decadal changes in bottom temperature and salinity are not correlated, acting on different time scales and indicating different dominant mechanisms governing their variability i.e. presumably surface heat fluxes and advection of water masses mostly drive temperature and salinity changes, respectively.
3. Temperature trends are strongly positive, significant and persistent in time all over the investigated region, slightly overestimated by a change in sampling strategy over seasons, larger near the surface and during spring and summer over the most of the investigated area and seasons.
4. Salinity trends are weak and mostly insignificant, changing with data interval used for the trend computation, whilst reflecting changes in the plume dynamics of the major Adriatic river, Po River.
5. From surface salinity trends at stations SJ101 and SJ108, it can be hypothesized that the transport of freshwater has been reduced towards the southeast along the coast during the investigated period, and increased (or remained the same) towards the west. The consequence may be an increase in eddy kinetic energy and in residence time of waters in the northernmost part of the Adriatic.
6. Wintertime PDA trends indicate that lighter dense waters have been generated in the northern Adriatic during recent decades, dominantly due to temperature changes.

Our observed temperature trends are slightly higher than the average sea surface temperature trends in the Mediterranean in the examined period, which are also found to peak in summer (Shaltout and Omsted, 2014; Pastor et al., 2018). The largest trend derived from satellites (1982-2016, Pastor et al., 2018) is observed in June with the rate of 4.3°C over 100 years, over the whole Mediterranean, and ca. 5.5°C over 100 years over the northern Adriatic. The surface temperature trends estimated in June and derived from in situ measurements at the Rovinj-Po transect (1979-2016) are 6.3-8.5°C (exception

Deleted: we mirrored

Deleted: and

Deleted: then

Deleted: d

Deleted: the trends

Deleted: remained

Deleted: ing

Deleted: the

Deleted: .

Deleted: , and driven by changes in stratification

Deleted: while the fresh

Deleted: waters were more transported and kept on the northern Adriatic shelf

Deleted: The

Deleted: Mediterranean-wide

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

Deleted: , while being even larger in the northern Adriatic (

Deleted:)

Deleted: s

is SJI08, which is behaving differently as it is strongly influenced by the Po River plume). The lowest satellite-derived trends in the northern Adriatic are determined for October and equal to approximately 0.6°C over 100 years, versus 1.5-3.0°C over 100 years observed at the northern Adriatic transect. Overall, both satellite-derived and *in situ*-derived sea surface temperatures have the maximum in summer (June-July), while being lowest in October and January-February. Such large temperature trends in the northern Adriatic and in the examined period might be due to (1) much shallower thermocline in the northern Adriatic than in the rest of the Adriatic and Mediterranean (Franco et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997; Lipizer et al., 2014), resulting in a larger accumulation of heat energy near the surface, and (2) a consequence of atmospheric teleconnection processes acting on larger spatial and temporal scale, like the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO, Knight et al., 2006), which has been found to be responsible for a half of the sea surface temperature trend in the Mediterranean (Marullo et al., 2011; Skliris et al., 2012; Macias et al., 2013). Regarding rest of the Adriatic, the literature implies that sea surface temperature had a negative trend in the coastal eastern Adriatic between 1960 and 1975, while this trend was strongly positive between 1979 and 2015 (2.3-3.2°C over 100 years, Grbec et al., 2018). For that reason, *in situ* sea surface temperatures trends obtained over the middle Adriatic transversal transect between 1952 and 2010 (Vilibić et al., 2013) were found much lower, about 1.0°C over 100 years along the eastern section of the transect. Yet, these trends were much stronger (about 2.5°C over 100 years) along the western side of the middle Adriatic transect. Regardless of decadal and multidecadal oscillations, the temperature trends in the whole Mediterranean have been positive during the 1950-2015 period (Iona et al., 2018). The northern Adriatic trends follow these findings.

Differently, the Adriatic salinity fluctuations have been largely affected by the Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS, Gačić et al., 2010), which – on a decadal timescale – alters salinity in the Adriatic through advection of the highly saline LIW from the Levantine Basin or less saline Western Mediterranean waters. These alterations have been documented to affect the middle and southern Adriatic (Gačić et al., 2010; Mihanović et al., 2015), yet not proven to affect the shallow northern Adriatic, which is still considered to be mostly affected by local processes, including a substantial freshwater load by rivers (Franco et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997). Recent investigations on some chemical parameters (Dautović et al., 2017) and bivalve growth (Peharda et al., 2018) along the eastern coast of the northern Adriatic document a qualitative matching and significant correlations between these variables and the BiOS regimes. Our results are supportive to that hypothesis, as prolonged (5-10 years) periods of increased or lowered salinity can be found in the northern Adriatic, lagging after the BiOS reversals in the northern Ionian Sea for a few years. In order to properly quantify the effects of different local and remote drivers to the northern Adriatic thermohaline variability, further research is needed.

Still, salinity trends in the northern Adriatic are not significant over the investigated period, which differs from trends obtained in the middle Adriatic (Vilibić et al., 2013) or the whole Adriatic (Lipizer et al., 2014) over a longer time interval. Further, salinity increase in the Adriatic is found to be largest of all the Mediterranean basins in both present climate investigations and climate projections (Somot et al., 2006; Iona et al., 2018), whilst the whole Mediterranean is projected to salt (Vargas-Yanez et al., 2017). Whilst no significant trend in annual freshwater discharge has been documented in recent decades in the northern Adriatic (Zanchettin et al., 2008; Montanari, 2012), the difference in salinity trends between the middle

Deleted: the

Deleted: (i)

Deleted: ii

Deleted: a reflection of variability at

Deleted: is

Deleted: , as s

Deleted: s

Deleted: S

Deleted: like the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (Knight et al., 2006), which is found responsible for a half of the sea surface temperature trend in the Mediterranean (Marullo et al., 2011; Skliris et al., 2012; Macias et al., 2013).

Deleted: from them

and northern Adriatic reveals a change in the freshwater dynamics in the northern Adriatic. Conclusively, increased stratification in the northern Adriatic and intensified spreading of river waters over the shallow shelf due to increased thermally-driven stratification, might be one of [the](#) processes responsible for weakening of the Western Adriatic Current and the thermohaline circulation (Vilibić et al., 2013).

5 This study emphasizes the importance of continuous *in situ* monitoring of thermohaline and other ocean parameters over a prescribed set of stations and with satisfactorily resolution for climate studies (e.g. She et al., 2016). Resolution of measurements used in our analysis, is monthly to bimonthly. These [kind of](#) observations are scarce in the Mediterranean but continuous at two transect in the Adriatic Sea. The maintenance of these observations is a key for proper assessment of climate changes, which might be rapid in coastal areas and might have wider consequences, such as weakening of thermohaline
10 circulation, deoxygenation of deep regions, changing of the biogeochemical properties and fluxes and, at end, impacting the living organisms and fisheries of a region (Tintore et al., 2013).

Acknowledgements: We appreciate the work of researchers, technicians and research vessel crew of the Center for Marine Research at the Ruder Bošković Institute in collecting the temperature and salinity data used in the study. [Comments and](#)
15 [suggestions raised by two anonymous reviewers are greatly appreciated.](#) The research is supported by the Croatian Science Foundation through the ADIOS project (HRZZ grant IP-06-2016-1955).

References

- Androulidakis, Y.S., Kombiadou, K.D., Makris, C.V., Baltikas, V.N., and Krestenitis, Y.N.: Storm surges in the Mediterranean Sea: Variability and trends under future climatic conditions, *Dyn. Atm. Oceans*, 71, 56-82, doi: 10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2015.06.001, 2015.
- 5 Artegiani A., and Salusti, E.: Field observation of the flow of dense water on the bottom of the Adriatic Sea during the winter of 1981, *Oceanol. Acta*, 10, 387–391, 1987.
- Artegiani, A., Bregant, D., Paschini, E., Pinardi, N., Raicich, F., and Russo, A.: The Adriatic Sea general circulation, part I: air-sea interactions and water mass structure, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 27, 1492-1514, doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1997)027<1492:TASGCP>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- 10 Bartolini, G., di Stefano, V., Maracchi, G., and Orlandini, S.: Mediterranean warming is especially due to summer season: Evidences from Tuscany (central Italy), *Theor. Appl. Clim.*, 107, 279-295, doi: 10.1007/s00704-011-0481-1, 2012.
- Beg-Paklar, G., Isakov, V., Koračin, D., Kourafalou, V., and Orlić, M.: A case study of bora-driven flow and density changes on the Adriatic shelf (January 1987), *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 21, 1751–1783, doi: 10.1016/S0278-4343(01)00029-2, 2001.
- Bensi, M., Cardin, V., Rubino, A., Notarstefano, G., and Poulain, P.-M.: Effects of winter convection on the deep layer of the Southern Adriatic Sea in 2012, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 6064–6075, doi: 10.1029/2013JC009432, 2013.
- 15 Bergamasco, A., Oguz, T., and Malanotte-Rizzoli, P.: Modelling dense water mass formation and winter circulation in the northern and central Adriatic Sea, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 20, 279-300, doi: 10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00087-6, 1999.
- Bethoux, J.P., Gentili, B., Raunet, J., and Tailliez, D.: Warming trend in the Western Mediterranean deep-water, *Nature*, 347, 660-662, doi: 10.1038/347660a0, 1990.
- 20 Bengil, F., and Mavruk, S.: Warming in Turkish Seas: Comparative multidecadal assessment, *Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 19, 51-57, doi: 10.4194/1303-2712-v19_1_06, 2019.
- Branković, C., Guttler, I., and Gajić-Čapka, M.: Evaluating climate change at the Croatian Adriatic from observations and regional climate models' simulations, *Clim. Dyn.*, 41, 2353-2373, doi: 10.1007/s00382-012-1646-z, 2013.
- Buljan, M., and Zore-Armanda, M.: Oceanographic properties of the Adriatic Sea, *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev.*, 14, 11–98, 1976.
- 25 Civitarese, G., Gačić, M., Lipizer, M., and Borzelli Eusebi, L.G.: On the impact of the Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS) on the biogeochemistry and biology of the Adriatic and Ionian Seas (Eastern Mediterranean), *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3987–3997, doi: 10.5194/bg-7-3987-2010, 2010.
- Coppola, E., Verdecchia, M., Giorgi, F., Colaiuda, V., Tomassetti, B., and Lombardi, A.: Changing hydrological conditions in the Po basin under global warming, *Sci. Tot. Env.*, 493, 1183-1196, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.003, 2014.
- 30 Cusinato, E., Zanchettin, D., Sannino, G., and Rubino, A.: Mediterranean thermohaline response to large-scale winter atmospheric forcing in a high-resolution ocean model simulation, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 175, 4083-4110, doi: 10.1007/s00024-018-1859-0, 2018.

- Dautović, J., Vojvodić, V., Tepić, N., Čosović, B., and Ciglencčki, I.: Dissolved organic carbon as potential indicator of global change: A long-term investigation in the northern Adriatic, *Sci. Tot. Env.*, 587, 185-195, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.111, 2017.
- Djakovac, T., Supić, N., Aubry, F.B., Degobbis, D., and Giani, M.: Mechanisms of hypoxia frequency changes in the northern Adriatic Sea during the period 1972-2012, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 141, 179-189, doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.08.001, 2015.
- 5 Franco, P., and Michelato, A.: Northern Adriatic Sea: oceanography of the basin proper and of the western coastal zone. In: *Marine Coastal Eutrophication* (Eds. RA Vollenweider, R Marchetti and R Viviani), Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 35-62, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-89990-3.50013-4, 1992.
- Gačić, M., Borzelli, G.L.E., Civitarese, G., Cardin, V., and Yari, S.: Can internal processes sustain reversals of the ocean upper circulation? The Ionian Sea example, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L09608, doi:10.1029/2010GL043216, 2010.
- 10 Gačić, M., Civitarese, G., Kovačević, V., Ursella, L., Bensi, M., Menna, M., Cardin, V., Poulain, P.-M., Cosoli, S., Notarstefano, G., and Pizzi, C.: Extreme winter 2012 in the Adriatic. An example of climatic effect on the BiOS rhythm, *Ocean Sci.*, 10, 513-522, doi:10.5194/os-10-513-2014, 2014.
- Gao, X., Pal, J.S., and Giorgi, F.: Projected changes in mean and extreme precipitation over the Mediterranean region from a high resolution double nested RCM simulation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L03706, doi: 10.1029/2005GL024954, 2006.
- 15 Giani, M., Djakovac, T., Degobbis, D., Cozzi, S., Solidoro, C., and Fonda Umani, S.: Recent changes in the marine ecosystems of the northern Adriatic Sea, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 115, 1-13, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2012.08.023, 2012.
- Giorgi, F.: Climate change hot-spots, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L08707. doi: 10.1029/2006GL025734, 2006.
- Giorgi, F., Lionello, P.: Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region, *Global Planet. Change*, 63, 90-104, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.09.005, 2008.
- 20 Grbec, B., Morović, M., Beg Paklar, G., Kušpilić, G., Matijević, S., Matić, F., and Ninčević Gladan, Ž.: The relationship between the atmospheric variability and productivity in the Adriatic Sea area, *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K.*, 89, 1549-1558, doi: 10.1017/S0025315409000708, 2009.
- Grbec, B., Matić, F., Paklar, G.B., Morović, M., Popović, R., and Vilibić, I.: Long-term trends, variability and extremes of in situ sea surface temperature measured along the eastern Adriatic coast and its relationship to hemispheric processes, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 175, 4031-4036, doi: 10.1007/s00024-018-1793-1, 2018.
- 25 Grisogono, B., Belušić, D.: A review of recent advances in understanding the meso- and microscale properties of the severe Bora wind, *Tellus A*, 61, 1-16, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2008.00369.x, 2009.
- Iona, A., Theodorou, A., Sofianos, S., Watelet, S., Troupin, C., and Beckers, J.M.: Mediterranean Sea climatic indices: monitoring long-term variability and climate changes, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1829-1842, doi: 10.5194/essd-10-1829-2018, 2018.
- 30 Ionita, M., Boroneant, C., and Chelcea, S.: Seasonal modes of dryness and wetness variability over Europe and their connections with large scale atmospheric circulation and global sea surface temperature, *Clim. Dyn.*, 45, 2803-2829, doi: 10.1007/s00382-015-2508-2, 2015.

- Ivančić, I., Fuks, D., Najdek, M., Blažina, M., Devescovi, M., Šilović, T., Paliaga, P., and Orlić, S.: Long-term changes in heterotrophic prokaryotes abundance and growth characteristics in the northern Adriatic Sea, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 82, 206-216. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.05.008, 2010.
- Iveša, L., Djakovac, T., Devescovi, M.: Long-term fluctuations in *Cystoseira* populations along the west Istrian Coast (Croatia) related to eutrophication patterns in the northern Adriatic Sea, *Mar. Poll. Bull.*, 106, 162-173. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.03.010, 2016.
- Janeković, I., and Kuzmić, M.: Numerical simulation of the Adriatic Sea principal tidal constituents, *Ann. Geophys.*, 23, 1–12, doi: 10.5194/angeo-23-3207-2005, 2005.
- Janeković, I., Mihanović, H., Vilibić, I., and Tudor, M.: Extreme cooling and dense water formation estimates in open and coastal regions of the Adriatic Sea during the winter of 2012, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 119, 3200-3218, doi: 10.1002/2014JC009865, 2014.
- Knight, J.R., Folland, C.K., and Scaife, A.A.: Climate impacts of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L17706. doi: 10.1029/2006GL026242, 2006.
- Kourafalou, V.H.: Process studies on the Po River plume, North Adriatic Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 29963-29985, doi: 10.1029/1999JC900217, 1999.
- Kraus, R., Supić, N.: Impact of circulation on high phytoplankton blooms and fish catch in the northern Adriatic (1990-2004), *Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci.*, 91, 198-210, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2010.10.021, 2011.
- Lionello, P., and Scarascia, L.: The relation between climate change in the Mediterranean region and global warming, *Reg. Env. Change*, 18, 1481-1493, doi: 10.1007/s10113-018-1290-1, 2018.
- Lionello, P., Mufato, R., and Tomasin, A.: Sensitivity of free and forced oscillations of the Adriatic Sea to sea level rise, *Clim. Res.*, 29, 23-39, doi: 10.3354/cr029023, 2005.
- Lionello, P., Ozsoy, E., Planton, S., and Zanchetta, G.: Climate variability and change in the Mediterranean region, *Global Planet. Change*, 151, 1-3, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.04.005, 2017a.
- Lionello, P., Conte, D., Marzo, L., and Scarascia, L.: The contrasting effect of increasing mean sea level and decreasing storminess on the maximum water level during storms along the coast of the Mediterranean Sea in the mid 21st century, *Global Planet. Change*, 151, 80-91, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.06.012, 2017b.
- Lipizer, M., Partescano, E., Rabitti, A., Giorgetti, A., and Crise, A.: Qualified temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen climatologies in a changing Adriatic Sea. *Ocean Sci* 10, 771-797. doi: 10.5194/os-10-771-2014, 2014.
- Macias, D., Garcia-Gorrioz, E., and Stips, A.: Understanding the causes of recent warming of Mediterranean waters. How much could be attributed to climate change?, *PLoS One*, 8, e81591, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081591, 2013.
- Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., Artale, V., Borzelli-Eusebi, G.L., Brenner, S., Crise, A., Gačić, M., Kress, N., Marullo, S., Ribera d'Alcalá, M., Sofianos, S., Tanhua, T., Theocharis, A., Alvarez, M., Ashkenazy, Y., Bergamasco, A., Cardin, V., Carniel, S., Civitarese, G., D'Ortenzio, F., Font, J., Garcia-Ladona, E., Garcia-Lafuente, J.M., Gogou, A., Gregoire, M., Hainbucher, D., Kontoyannis, H., Kovačević, V., Kraskapoulou, E., Kroskos, G., Incarbona, A., Mazzocchi, M.G., Orlić, M., Ozsoy, E.,

- Pascual, A., Poulain, P.-M., Roether, W., Rubino, A., Schroeder, K., Siokou-Frangou, J., Souvermezoglou, E., Sprovieri, M., Tintoré, J., and Triantafyllou, G.: Physical forcing and physical/biochemical variability of the Mediterranean Sea: a review of unresolved issues and directions for future research, *Ocean Sci.*, 10, 281-322, doi: 10.5194/os-10-281-2014, 2014.
- Marić, D., Kraus, R., Godrijan, J., Supić, N., Djakovac, T., and Precali, R.: Phytoplankton response to climatic and anthropogenic influence in the north-eastern Adriatic during the last four decades, *Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci.*, 115, 98–112, 2012.
- Martin, P.J., Book, J.W., Burrage, D.M., Rowley, C.D., and Tudor, M.: Comparison of model-simulated and observed currents in the central Adriatic during DART, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C01S05, doi: 10.1029/2008JC004842, 2009.
- Marullo, S., Artale, V., and Santoleri, R.: The SST multidecadal variability in the Atlantic-Mediterranean region and its relation to AMO, *J. Clim.*, 24, 4385-4401, doi: 10.1175/2011JCLI3884.1, 2011.
- Medugorac, I., Orlić, M., Janeković, I., Pasarić, Z., and Pasarić, M.: Adriatic storm surges and related cross-basin sea-level slope, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 181, 79-90, doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.02.005, 2018.
- Mihanović, H., Vilibić, I., Carniel, S., Tudor, M., Russo, A., Bergamasco, A., Bubić, N., Ljubešić, Z., Viličić, D., Boldrin, A., Malačić, V., Celio, M., Comici, C., and Raicich, F.: Exceptional dense water formation on the Adriatic shelf in the winter of 2012, *Ocean Sci.*, 9, 561-572, doi:10.5194/os-9-561-2013, 2013.
- Mihanović, H., Vilibić, I., Dunić, N., and Šepić, J.: Mapping of decadal middle Adriatic oceanographic variability and its relation to the BIOS regime, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 120, 5615-5630, doi: 10.1002/2015JC010725, 2015.
- Millot, C., Candela, J., Fuda, J.L., and Tber, Y.: Large warming and salinification of the Mediterranean outflow due to changes in its composition. *Deep-Sea Res I* **53**: 656-666. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2005.12.017, 2006.
- Montanari, A.: Hydrology of the Po River: looking for changing patterns in river discharge, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16, 3739-3747, doi: 10.5194/hess-16-3739-2012, 2012.
- Mozetič, P., Solidoro, C., Cossarini, G., Socal, G., Precali, R., France, J., Bianchi, F., De Vittor, C., Smolaka, N., and Umani, S.F.: Recent trends towards oligotrophication of the northern Adriatic: Evidence from chlorophyll a time series, *Estuaries and Coasts* **33**, 362-375, doi: 10.1007/s12237-009-9191-7, 2010.
- Orlić, M., Gačić, M., and La Violette, P.E.: The currents and circulation of the Adriatic Sea, *Oceanol. Acta*, 15, 109–124, 1992.
- Orlić, M., Dadić, V., Grbec, B., Leder, N., Marki, A., Matić, F., Mihanović, H., Beg Paklar, G., Pasarić, M., Pasarić, Z., and Vilibić, I.: Wintertime buoyancy forcing, changing seawater properties and two different circulation systems produced in the Adriatic, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 111, C03S07, doi: 10.1029/2005JC003271, 2006.
- Pastor, F., Valiente, J.A., and Palau, J.L.: Sea surface temperature in the Mediterranean: Trends and spatial patterns (1982-2016), *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 175, 4017-4029, doi: 10.1007/s00024-017-1739-z, 2018.
- Peharda, M., Vilibić, I., Black, B.A., Markulin, K., Dunić, N., Džoić, T., Mihanović, H., Gačić, M., Puljas, S., and Waldman, R.: Using bivalve chronologies for quantifying environmental drivers in a semi-enclosed temperate sea, *Sci. Rep.*, 8, 5559, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23773-w, 2018.

- Planton, S., Lionello, P., Artale, V., Aznar, R., Carrillo, A., Colin, J., Congedi, L., Dubois, C., Elizalde, A., Gualdi, S., Hertig, E., Jacobeit, J., Jorda, G., Li, L., Mariotti, A., Piani, C., Ruti, P., Sanchez-Gomez, E., Sannino, G., Sevault, F., Somot, S., and Tsimplis, M.: The climate of the Mediterranean region in future climate projections. In: *Climate of the Mediterranean Region: From the Past to the Future* (Ed. P. Lionello), Elsevier Insights, 449-502. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-416042-2.00008-2, 2012.
- 5 Plavšić, M.: Long-term variations of folic acid concentrations in the Northern Adriatic, *Environ. Int.*, 30, 761-767, doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2003.12.010, 2004.
- Račić, F.: On the fresh balance of the Adriatic Sea, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 9, 305-319, doi: 10.1016/S0924-7963(96)00042-5, 1996.
- Scorzini, A.R., Di Bacco, M., and Leopardi, M.: Recent trends in daily temperature extremes over the central Adriatic region of Italy in a Mediterranean climatic context, *Int. J. Clim.*, 38, E741-E757, doi: 10.1002/joc.5403, 2018.
- 10 Shaltout, M., Omstedt, A.: Recent sea surface temperature trends and future scenarios for the Mediterranean Sea, *Oceanologia*, 56, 411-443, doi: 10.5697/oc.56-3.411, 2014.
- She, J., Allen, I., Buch, E., Crise, A., Johannessen, J.A., Le Traon, P.-Y., Lips, U., Nolan, G., Pinardi, N., Reissmann, J.H., Siddorn, J., Stanev, E., and Wehde, H.: Developing European operational oceanography for Blue Growth, climate change adaptation and mitigation, and ecosystem-based management, *Ocean Sci.*, 12, 953-976, doi: 0.5194/os-12-953-2016, 2016.
- 15 Shohami, D., Dayan, U., and Morin, E.: Warming and drying of the eastern Mediterranean: Additional evidence from trend analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D22101, doi: 10.1029/2011JD016004, 2011.
- Somot, S., Sevault, F., and Déqué, M.: Transient climate change scenario simulation of the Mediterranean Sea for the twenty-first century using a high-resolution ocean circulation model, *Clim. Dyn.*, 27, 851-879, doi: 10.1007/s00382-006-0167-z, 2006.
- 20 Skliris, N., Sofianos, S., Gkanasos, A., Mantziafou, A., Vervatis, V., Axaopoulos, P., and Lascaratos, A.: Decadal scale variability of sea surface temperature in the Mediterranean Sea in relation to atmospheric variability, *Ocean Dyn.*, 62, 13-30, doi: 10.1007/s10236-011-0493-5, 2012.
- Supić, N., Ivančić, I.: Hydrographic conditions in the northern Adriatic in relation to surface fluxes and the Po river discharge rates (1966-1992), *Period. Biol.*, 104, 203-209, 2002.
- 25 Supić, N., Grbec, B., Vilibić, I., and Ivančić, I.: Long-term changes in hydrographic conditions in northern Adriatic and its relationship to hydrological and atmospheric processes, *Ann. Geophys.*, 22, 733-745, doi: 10.5194/angeo-22-733-2004, 2004.
- Tintore, J., Vizoso, G., Casas, B., Heslop, E., Pascual, A., Orfila, A., Ruiz, S., Martinez-Ledesma, M., Torner, M., Cusi, S., Diedrich, A., Balaguer, P., Gomez-Pujol, L., Alvarez-Ellacuria, A., Gomara, S., Sebastian, K., Lora, S., Beltran, J.P., Renault, L., Juza, M., Alvarez, D., March, D., Garau, B., Castilla, C., Canellas, T., Roque, D., Lizaran, I., Pitarch, S., Carrasco, M.A.,
- 30 Lana, A., Mason, E., Escudier, R., Conti, D., Sayol, J.M., Barcelo, B., Alemany, F., Reglero, P., Massuti, E., Velez-Belchi, P., Ruiz, J., Oguz, T., Gomez, M., Alvarez, E., Ansorena, L., and Manriquez, M.: SOCIB: The Balearic Islands coastal ocean observing and forecasting system responding to science, technology and society needs, *Mar. Technol. Soc. J.*, 47, 101-117, doi: 10.4031/MTSJ.47.1.10, 2013.

- Trigo, I.F., and Davies, T.D.: Meteorological conditions associated with sea surges in Venice: A 40 year climatology, *Int. J. Clim.*, 22, 787-803, doi: 10.1002/joc.719, 2002.
- Tsimplis, M.N., and Baker, T.F.: Sea level drop in the Mediterranean Sea: An indicator of deep water salinity and temperature changes?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 1731-1734, doi: 10.1029/1999GL007004, 2000.
- 5 Vargas-Yanez, M., Garcia-Martinez, M.C., Moya, F., Balbin, R., Lopez-Jurado, J.L., Serra, M., Zunino, P., Pascual, J., and Salat, J.: Updating temperature and salinity mean values and trends in the Western Mediterranean: The RADMED project, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 157, 27-46, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2017.09.004, 2017.
- Vilibić, I.: An analysis of dense water production on the North Adriatic shelf, *Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci.*, 56, 697-707, doi: 10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00277-9, 2003.
- 10 Vilibić, I., Matijević, S., Šepić, J., and Kušpilić, G.: Changes in the Adriatic oceanographic properties induced by the Eastern Mediterranean Transient, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2085-2097, doi: 10.5194/bg-9-2085-2012, 2012.
- Vilibić, I., Šepić, J., and Proust, N.: Weakening of thermohaline circulation in the Adriatic Sea, *Clim. Res.*, 55, 217-225, doi: 10.3354/cr01128, 2013.
- Vilibić, I., Šepić, J., Pasarić, M., Orlić, M.: The Adriatic Sea: A long-standing laboratory for sea level studies, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 174, 3765-3811, doi: 10.1007/s00024-017-1625-8, 2017.
- 15 Zampieri, M., Giorgi, F., Lionello, P., and Nikulin, G.: Regional climate change in the Northern Adriatic, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 40-41, 32-46, doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2010.02.003, 2012.
- Zanchettin, D., Traverso, P., and Tomasino, M.: Po River discharges: a preliminary analysis of a 200-year time series, *Clim. Change*, 89, 411-433, doi: 10.1007/s10584-008-9395-z, 2008.
- 20 Zore-Armanda, M.: Les masses d'eau de la mer Adriatique, *Acta Adriat.*, 10, 5-88, 1963.




Figure 1. The northern Adriatic orography and bathymetry and location of stations.

5





Figure 2. Total number of samplings per month during the studied period.

5



Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: -0,25 cm, Line spacing: Double



Deleted:

Deleted: ¶

Figure 3. Mean temperature, salinity and PDA values, estimated from residual series, across the Rovinj-Po transect.





Deleted:

Figure 3. Seasonal course of temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ101 (left) and RV001 (right).

Deleted: 4

Deleted: RV001

Deleted: SJ101





Deleted:

Figure 4. Original series and residual component of the temperature, salinity and PDA series at surface (0 m, left) and bottom (30 m, right) of RV001.

Deleted: 5

Deleted: s



Deleted:

Figure 5. Ratio between seasonal and total variance of temperature, salinity and PDA.

Deleted: 6




Figure 6. Mean temperature, salinity and PDA values, estimated from residual series, across the Rovinj-Po transect.






Figure 7. Hovmöller plots of temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ101 and RV001. Seasonal cycle has been removed.

Deleted: RV001 and

Deleted: . BiOS regimes in the northern Ionian Sea have been marked (A - anticyclonic, C - cyclonic) following Mihanović et al. (2015).



Deleted:

Figure 8. Annual trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.






Figure 9. January and July trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.





Deleted:

- Deleted: monthly
- Deleted: over a year
- Deleted: RV001
- Deleted: SJ108

5 Figure 10. Distribution of trends in a month in residual temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ108, SJ101, and RV001. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.





Figure 11. Sensitivity of trend estimates to the length of the series at SJ101 and RV001 stations. The trends are estimated between 1979 and the year indicated at the x-axis. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.

5