

## ***Interactive comment on “Numerical issues of the Total Exchange Flow (TEF) analysis framework for quantifying estuarine circulation” by Marvin Lorenz et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 4 March 2019

This is a very useful manuscript that provides a detailed comparison of two methods to calculate the total exchange flow from numerical simulations. The paper ends with a best practice recipe to do these calculations. I would recommend that this paper be published essentially in its current form. I do have a few minor questions which perhaps they can address in the final version.

1) While the authors suggest that the “dividing salinity method” is preferred to the “sign method” but the former requires an algorithm to find extrema of  $Q$ . While they provide a detailed description of the algorithm they “came up with”, that particular working (“that we came up with”) made me wonder if they feel there are shortcomings in this

C1

method. If so please elaborate. If not perhaps they could change the wording to something like: “We provide a detailed description of an algorithm to obtain extrema of  $Q$  which is required to determine the dividing salinity values”.

2) Section 4.1 line 25. “The bulk values change considerably” assume they mean  $s$ ,  $Q$ . While they do show more variability than the dividing salinity method they only vary by a few percent. . . so referring to it as considerable change seems a bit severe. Also shouldn't the bulk quantities be noted as  $Q_{in}$ ,  $S_{in}$ ,  $Q_{out}$  and  $S_{out}$ ?

3) The map does not include the indicating the locations of places mentioned in the text ( Gotland Island, Gotland Basin, Bothnian Bay)

---

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2018-147>, 2019.

C2