### Replay to reviewer, Prof. Oka

Thank you very much for your review to our manuscript. I was revised the manuscript according to your comment. The modified part are shown in this document.

#### 1. There are too many grammar errors and typos throughout the manuscript.

- A. The manuscript was checked by English native speakers.
- 2. I do not well understand what the words "recirculation" and "timescale" in this manuscript mean. In physical oceanography, recirculation means the return flow associated with an intense western boundary current, but the word seems to be used for "transportation" in this manuscript. Also, timescale usually means a cycle of temporal variability, but this manuscript does not treat any variability. All "timescale" in this manuscript can be deleted. For example, it is more appropriate to rewrite the first sentence of the abstract to "137Cs derived from the Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant Accident rapidly transported to the Sea of Japan several years after its release to the environment in March 2011."
- A. The word "timescale" was deleted and the term "re-circulation" was modified as "transportation".
- 3. In Sec. 1, the authors need to explain which part of the Japan Sea was influenced by atmospheric deposition in March 2011. They also need to explain clearly what the main purpose of this study, with necessary explanation of its background.
- A. We added <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations as well as the sampling location by usingcolor in Fig. 1. The colored circle represent the sample measured in 2011. (white open circles were measurement data after 2012; triangle is the point conducted to vertical profile; The monitoring sites by Japanese and Korean governments were also plotted. As shown in Fig. 1, higher <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were observed in the north of 40°N.

[Page 16, Line 21]

In the northeastern part of the SOJ, atmospherically deposited radiocaesium were caused to approximately 1-2 times higher activity concentrations of radiocaesium in May 2011 (1.5-2.8 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) in northeastern SOJ than those of before the FNPP1 accident (~1.5 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) (Fig. 1). By the end of 2011, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in the northeastern part of the SOJ had rapidly decreased to almost the same levels as those before the FNPP1 accident (Inoue et al., 2012). In fact, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration in surface water at an SOJ coastal monitoring site after July 2011 was almost the same as the pre-FNPP1 accident level (http://radioactivity.nsr.go.jp/ja/list/195/list-8.html).



Figure 1: Location of the sampling points after the FNPP1 accident. Large red circles are sites monitored by the Japanese government. Blue circles are sites monitored by the Korean government. Black triangles are sites with measured vertical profiles. Circle colors corresponds to the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations measured in 2011. Open circles are sites measured after the FNPP1-accident. The area around Japan was divided into 3 regions: the SOJ, ECS, and SJPN (<141.5°E).

- 4. Section 2 does not explain at what depths radiocaesium was sampled, especially at the coastal monitoring stations maintained by the Japanese and Korean governments (Fig. 7). In addition, readers cannot understand in which part of the East China Sea. (especially south of 30N) radiocaesium was sampled from Fig. 6, which does not show islands or bathymetry. These make it very difficult to understand the analysis in Sec. 3.2.
  - A. Seawater sampling methods are different with each organization. The monitoring data by the Japanese government (MARIS) was using bucket sampler. In Korea, seawater was sampled by Rosette sampler and/or intake sampling. The sampling by volunteer vessel was conducted by bucket or intake sampling. Therefore, we define the surface seawater as collecting less than 10 m. In order to show the sampling depth of seawater, the information of sampling depth was described in more detail. In order to clearly show the topographical information, the authors added the islands and bathymetry in the Map's Figure (such as Fig. 1, 8, 9, Fig.SI12)

Fig. 1 has already shown in Page 2.



Figure 9: Latitudinal and horizontal distributions of the <sup>134</sup>Cs/<sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration ratios measured at the coastal sites of the SOJ and ECS in 2015-2016. The values were radioactive decay-corrected to 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2011. The data measured in the Ogasawara area (red circles in (a)) were also added. (a) Latitudinal distribution, (b) horizontal distribution.



Figure SI12. Horizontal distributions of <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in SJPN and ECS from 2011 to 2016. Circles mean the surface data. Square denotes stations having vertical distribution. Unit is Bq m<sup>-3</sup>. Higher activity concentrations around 25-30°N and 135-140°E were measured in the year of 2012.

#### [Page 3, Line 4-5]

The term "surface seawater" used in this study defines a sample collected at less than 10 m depth.

#### [Page 5, Line 1-4]

Fig. 5 show the temporal variation in the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at different depths at station 314-01 in the ECS (Fig. 5a; 0-140 m) and station 105-11 in the SOJ (Fig. 5b; 0-2000 m). The increase in the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at the subsurface layer (140 m at the station 314-01, 200 m at the station 105-11) occurred 1 year earlier than that in the shallower layers.

#### 5. Although the aim of this paper is important to understand the "fate" of

#### STMW(e.g., Gary et al., 2014, Journal of Physical Oceanography), the authors should understand that STMW is characterized by its low stratification or low potential vorticity, and not all water with potential density of 25.0-25.6 kg m-3 is STMW. When STMW is entrained into the Kuroshio at the western boundary, it rapidly loses its low potential vorticity and is not STMW anymore.

A. Thank you very much for the adequate comments. In SJPN, the peak of <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations was observed in the seawater corresponds to the potential density 25.2 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. Therefore, we can consider that <sup>137</sup>Cs existed in the STMW. In ECS, <sup>137</sup>Cs was mainly observed in the layer having similar potential density. However, it was revealed that <sup>137</sup>Cs in the SOJ was observed in denser layer. As the reviewer mentioned, these distributions pattern clearly show the FATE of STMW in the SOJ. Section 4 was largely modified.

#### [Page 5, Line 15-29]

The vertical distributions of the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration over the depth and potential density  $(\sigma_{\theta}, \text{kg m}^{-3})$  profiles in the southern Japan (SJPN), in the ECS, and at the station 105-11 are shown in Fig. 6. In the SJPN, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations showed subsurface maxima at approximately 300 m depth in 2012-2013, with activities of 8.2-12.3 Bqm<sup>-3</sup> as shown in Fig. 6a. These high <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were measured in the region between 136-138°E and 26-30°N. After 2014, a subsurface peak in the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration was not observed. These subsurface peaks in the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were found in the layer corresponding to a potential density of 25.2 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 6b). This is consistent with previous findings of an <sup>137</sup>Cs activity maximum in STMW with a potential density of 25.0-25.6 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Kaeriyama et al., 2014; Kumamoto et al., 2014). In the ECS, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations gradually increased beginning in 2012 and attained the maximum activity concentrations (2.9±0.24 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) in 2015, following by a decreasing trend in the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in 2016, as shown in Fig. 6c. The higher <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations above 2 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> in the ECS were found in the layer corresponding to a potential density of 23.6-25.2 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, as shown in Fig. 6d. On the other hand, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at the station 105-11, located in the western SOJ, decreased with increasing depth until 500 m (Fig. 6e). The higher <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at the station 105-11 were measured in 2014 and 2015, and the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations decreased in 2016. The <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations above 2 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> at the station 105-11, except one sample measured at 2015, were located in the layer with a potential density of 25.8-27.1 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 6f).

#### [Page 7, Line 22-35]

As shown in Fig. 6e, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at station 105-11, located along the western TWC in the SOJ, were maximum at the surface and gradually decreased with increasing depth. This vertical distribution is different from those in the SJPN (Fig. 6a) and ECS (Fig. 6c). Particularly, the subsurface peak observed in the SJPN and ECS did not appear at station 105-11. At station 105-11, most of the <sup>137</sup>Cs existed in seawater with a potential density of 25.7-27.3 kg  $m^{-3}$  (Fig. 6f), which was located in a higher potential density layer than that in the SJPN and ECS. A similar vertical distribution was also observed at the western coast of the Japanese Islands along the eastern TWC (Fig. SI11). These distributions were due to cooling in the surface layer after water was transported from the Tsushima Strait. Physical processes such as the convergence and subduction of surface water inside the eddies are important mechanisms of downward transport of radiocaesium (Miyao et al., 1998; Budyansky et al., 2015). Based on the lagrangian analysis of the vertical structure of the eddies in the SOJ, the eddy in summer was characterized as unstable layer results in thinner mixed layer depth and weaker seasonal pycnocline in upper layer. During winter season, the eddy became to be very stable in the upper layers, which leads to increase mixed layer depth and become to weak seasonal pycnocline (Prants et al., 2015). There is a possibility of the seasonality of downward transport of radiocaesium. As expected, the past global fallout-<sup>137</sup>Cs had already penetrated and accumulated in the deeper layers of the SOJ.

### **Reply to reviewer 2**

Thank you very much for valuable your comments and questions. According to your comments, the manuscript was revised. The answer to your comments are described as below.

#### **Major points:**

- Although English is not my mother tongue, I found that grammar and typing mistakes are everywhere in the manuscript; furthermore, it contains many awkward sentences that confuse the reader and make the scientific message difficult to understand. I suggest the authors to consider English professional editing for the revised version.
- A. The author ask to the English editing company to correct English.
  - Analyses: to properly analyze the circulation and to estimate transport (by the way, how this is done in this manuscript?), especially through the straights or across frontal structure, I suggest the authors to present cross-sections (longitude or latitude versus depth) of radiocaesium.
- A. In order to clearly show the propagation of FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs, latitude-time cross sections at the potensial density surface were depicted in Figure 7. We selected the 25.2±0.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup> surface data becasue the maximum <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration was obsevred at this layer. In other wods, we think that the center of FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs transported in the North Pacific Ocean was located in this layer. In this latitude-time cross sections, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in the ECS were maximum in 2014/2015, and then tended to decrease in 2016. In southwest SOJ, <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were gradually increased until 2016. In the northeastern Japanese monitoring stations, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were gradually increased. These suggest the propagation of the <sup>137</sup>Cs ocurred form suthwestern SOJ and northeastern SOJ.

#### (Page 5, Line 30; Page 6, Line 5)

Fig. 7 displays the latitude-time cross sections of the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at potential temperatures of 25.2±0.5 kgm<sup>-3</sup> along the western TWC, 25.7±0.5 kgm<sup>-3</sup> along the eastern TWC, and 26.7±0.5 kgm<sup>-3</sup> along the eastern TWC. These potential density surfaces were selected to show the maximum <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations observed in the ECS. The vertical distributions of the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations with depth and potential density at each monitoring station are displayed in Fig. SI11. Note that in the SOJ, the vertical distributions of the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations below 250 m were almost constant, and the subsurface peak of <sup>137</sup>Cs was not found at the monitoring stations along the eastern TWC (Fig. SI11). It is noted that the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations before the FNPP1 accident were approximately 1.5 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>. As shown in Fig. 7a, in the ECS, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations gradually increased and attained the maximum in 2014/2015. The <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in the ECS tended to decrease in 2016 in a layer with a density of 25.2±0.5 kgm<sup>-3</sup>. In the southwestern part of the SOJ (Shimane, Fukui, Ishikawa, Niigata), the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations also gradually increased beginning in 2012, and the activity concentrations attained a maximum of 2.5 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> in 2015/2016; these trends were almost the same as those at the monitoring stations in the ECS. In the northwestern SOJ (Aomori and Tomari), the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were slightly increased and higher activity concentrations above 2 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> were observed in 2016. These results revealed that the propagation of FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs occurred within several years from the ECS to the SOJ along the TWC.

On the other hand, the latitude-time cross section along the western TWC indicated that the higher activity concentrations were observed in the layers with potential densities of  $25.7\pm0.5$  and  $26.7\pm0.5$  kgm<sup>-3</sup> than other layers (Fig. 7b,c). Higher <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were observed in the higher potential density layer in comparison with those in the seawater along with eastern TWC. In the 105-11 station, the

decrease of <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were started from 2015/2016.

#### (Page 7, Line 13-21)

In this study, we revealed that FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs entered the SOJ via the ECS; then, FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs was transported northward with the TWC. In the SOJ, a time lag of the propagation of FNPP1-radiocaesium of approximately one year was observed (Fig. 7). Based on measurements of phosphate, one of the dominant seawater nutrients, Kodama et al. (2016) revealed that the phosphate concentrations in surface seawater during winter were significantly positively correlated with the concentrations in the saline ECS seawater in the preceding summer, and the surface water of the southern SOJ was almost entirely replaced by the ECS seawater during May–October. Kodama et al. (2016) suggested that the transport of water-soluble constituents from the ECS to the SOJ takes at least approximately 0.5 years. The propagation of FNPP1-radiocaesium in the SOJ was consistent with the propagation time scale of nutrients concentration change from the ECS to the SOJ (Kodama et al., 2016).



Figure 7: Latitude-time cross sections of the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at a potential density. (a) along with an eastern TWC at a potential density of 25.2  $\pm$ 0.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, (b) along with a western TWC at a potential density of 25.7  $\pm$ 0.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, (c) along with a western TWC at a potential density of 26.7  $\pm$ 0.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. The ECS stations described on the x-axis are Kagoshima and Saga stations. Southwestern SOJ includes the monitoring stations Shimane, Fukui, Ishikawa, and Niigata. The northwestern SOJ includes the monitoring stations Aomori and Tomari. Colour indicates the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations (Bq m<sup>-3</sup>).

### - Looking at Fig. 1, it seems that the authors have access to at least a few almost-synoptic measurements along "sections" (including at the straights), so this seems feasible.

A. The data used in this study was collected by literarture reserach except for our own data to measure the <sup>134</sup>Cs activity concentrations. The most of sections data did not measure reapeatedly. Instead of this, we investigated the time vatiation at the monitoring sites by the Japanese and Korean government.

#### (Page 2, Line 41- Page 3, Line 16)

#### 2.1. Data sources

After the FNPP1 accident, many radiocaesium measurements were taken in the SOJ and western North Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). To elucidate the temporal and spatial distributions of the radiocaesium activity concentrations, we use as many data points as possible. We, therefore, compiled all available data from the literature and reported studies. Most of the data before the FNPP1 accident was included in the database, "Historical Artificial Radionuclides in the Pacific Ocean and its Marginal Seas (HAM database)" (Aoyama and Hirose, 2003 and their updated version). The data observed after the FNPP1 accident were shown in Aoyama et al. (2016a). The term "surface seawater" used in this study defines a sample collected at less than 10 m depth.

We also focused on the Japanese government's monitoring data at Tomari (42.98-43.17°N, 140.21-140.30°E), Aomori (41.13-41.22°N, 141.50-141.67°E), Niigata (37.62-38.10°N, 138.38-138.84°E), Ishikawa (36.87-37.29°N, 136.43-136.47°E), Fukui (35.75-36.09°N, 135.50-135.83°E), Shimane (35.67-35.80°N, 132.87-133.2°E), Saga (33.57-33.62°N, 129.73-129.98°E), and Kagoshima (31.58-31.93°N, 130.02-130.15°E) (Marine Ecology Research Institute, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016) (Fig. 1). These measurements were taken once a year (from the middle of May to early June). Near the Aomori sites, offshore monitoring was also conducted twice a year (May and October) at the Rokkasho Reprocessing Plant (39.5-41.4°N, 141.5-142.3°E). Seawater was sampled from 0-664 m with different depths at each monitoring site. Monitoring data (304-01(33.0°N, 127.7°E), 105-11(37.3°N, 131.3°E)) from the Korean government was also used in this analysis (Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016) (Fig. 1). At these monitoring sites, the surface seawater (0 m) measurements were taken four times (February, April, August, October) a year.

- There are also many other ways to gain insights into transport processes in the ocean. For surface pathways, one could be looking at satellite data (SST patterns and SSH data to derive geostrophic currents). For subsurface pathways, one could examine the subsurface dispersal of ARGO floats in the region and exploit numerical models. The latter includes (1) exploring the outputs of Eulerian mesoscale models (looking at the list of references, some outputs may be sourced by the authors themselves; the Japanese modelling group at the Earth-Simulator possibly run routinely models in the region; there are also some outputs publicly available, for instance on <a href="http://marine.copernicus.eu/services-portfolio/access-to-products/">http://marine.copernicus.eu/services-portfolio/access-to-products/</a>) as well as (2) performing ad-hoc Lagrangian experiments to unveil pathways (see also some references provided below). Altogether, these additional analyses could really help to grasp the three-dimensional structure of the flow and could provide further evidences to support their conclusions.

A. Data analysis by using satellite data, ARGO, model simulation, lagrangian experiments give us very useful information to invesrigate the transport of radiocaesium in the seawater. Authors recognized the importance of these data to interpret the transport as well as spatial and temporal distributions of FNPP1-radiocaesium. However, the evaluation of radiocaesium propagation from interior to the surface seawater did not obtain by using the satellite data. Although model simulation is very useful to interpret the transport and distribution of radiocaesium based on the measurement results at first stage. This matter is also suggested by the reviewer 1. The authors analyzed the radiocesium distributions by using only the observation data. The transport of radiocaesium by using the numerous data such as Japan Coastal Ocean Predicability Experiment (JCOPE) will be investigated as next step.

### Discussion: I found that the results could be discussed further. For instance, the authors assumed that atmospheric deposition occurred only over the Pacific but what if airborne radiocaesium also felt down over the Sea of Japan?

A. Just after the FNPP1 accident, <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations were increased in comparison with those before the FNPP1 accident (about 1.5 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) in the northern part of the Sea of Japan (north of 40°N). The higher <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations exceed the concentrations before the FNPP1 accident was obsevred in the region north of 40 degree. In Fig. 1, <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations measured in 2011 were shown in color circles.



(Page 2, Line 16-21)

In the northeastern part of the SOJ, atmospherically deposited radiocaesium were caused to approximately 1-2 times higher activity concentrations of radiocaesium in May 2011 (1.5-2.8 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) in northeastern SOJ than those of before the FNPP1 accident (~1.5 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) (Fig. 1). By the end of 2011, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations in the northeastern part of the SOJ had rapidly decreased to almost the same levels as those before the FNPP1 accident (Inoue et al., 2012). In fact, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration in surface water at an SOJ coastal monitoring site after July 2011 was almost the same as the pre-FNPP1 accident level (http://radioactivity.nsr.go.jp/ja/list/195/list-8.html).

They conclude with a transport pathway crossing a well-established oceanic front : what kind of physical processes (front destabilization? Mesoscale processes? Etc...) could explain this route? What about discussing the effect of diffusive mixing?... A recent publication (Sania et al. PNAS 2017) suggests that continuous submarine groundwater discharge could also contribute to the radioactive elements measured in Japanese coastal waters; this process could also occur on the western shores...etc...

A. In this study, we focus on the description of the spatial and temporal distributions of radiocaesium activity concentrations, FNPP1 derived radiocaesium activity concentrations, and

<sup>134</sup>Cs/<sup>137</sup>Cs activity ratio. In the revised manuscript, the authors discuss about the advection and

vertical mixing of FNPP1-137Cs in the SOJ. As for the Sania et al.(2017), the unexepcted high activity concentrations of 137Cs (up to 23000 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>) were obsevred in ground water. In the coastal site of SOJ, the <sup>137</sup>Cs associated with inflow from the gound water as well as river water might be negribible to the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentratins in the seawater. Therefore, the author think that the contribution from a groud water is minor.

(Page 7, Line 12-35)

#### 4.1. Advection and vertical mixing of FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs in the SOJ

In this study, we revealed that FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs entered the SOJ via the ECS; then, FNPP1-<sup>137</sup>Cs was transported northward with the TWC. In the SOJ, a time lag of the propagation of FNPP1-radiocaesium of approximately one year was observed (Fig. 7). Based on measurements of phosphate, one of the dominant seawater nutrients, Kodama et al. (2016) revealed that the phosphate concentrations in surface seawater during winter were significantly positively correlated with the concentrations in the saline ECS seawater in

the preceding summer, and the surface water of the southern SOJ was almost entirely replaced by the ECS seawater during May-October. Kodama et al. (2016) suggested that the transport of water-soluble constituents from the ECS to the SOJ takes at least approximately 0.5 years. The propagation of FNPP1radiocaesium in the SOJ was consistent with the propagation time scale of nutrients concentration change from the ECS to the SOJ (Kodama et al., 2016). As shown in Fig. 6e, the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations at station 105-11, located along the western TWC in the SOJ, were maximum at the surface and gradually decreased with increasing depth. This vertical distribution is different from those in the SJPN (Fig. 6a) and ECS (Fig. 6c). Particularly, the subsurface peak observed in the SJPN and ECS did not appear at station 105-11. At station 105-11, most of the <sup>137</sup>Cs existed in seawater with a potential density of 25.7-27.3 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 6f), which was located in a higher potential density layer than that in the SJPN and ECS. A similar vertical distribution was also observed at the western coast of the Japanese Islands along the eastern TWC (Fig. SI11). These distributions were due to cooling in the surface layer after water was transported from the Tsushima Strait. Physical processes such as the convergence and subduction of surface water inside the eddies are important mechanisms of downward transport of radiocaesium (Miyao et al., 1998; Budyansky et al., 2015). Based on the lagrangian analysis of the vertical structure of the eddies in the SOJ, the eddy in summer was characterized as unstable layer results in thinner mixed layer depth and weaker seasonal pycnocline in upper layer. During winter season, the eddy became to be very stable in the upper layers, which leads to increase mixed layer depth and become to weak seasonal pycnocline (Prants et al., 2015). There is a possibility of the seasonality of downward transport of radiocaesium. As expected, the past global fallout-<sup>137</sup>Cs had already penetrated and accumulated in the deeper layers of the SOJ.

 Bibliography: this contribution contains a large number of auto-citations and crucially suffers from a lack of key references. A large body of bibliography is not cited nor discussed properly. Bibliographic items which have been totally omitted in the present manuscript, but which MUST be cited and properly discussed in a revised, include:

Behrens, E.; Schwarzkopf, F. U.; Lubbecke, J. F.; Boning, C. W. Model simulations on the long-term dispersal of 137Cs released into the Pacific Ocean off Fukushima. Environ. Res. Lett. 2012, 7, 034004.

A. (Page 1, Line 34-36)

It was revealed that dilution due to horizontal and vertical dispersion in the vicinity of Kuroshio leaded to a rapid decrease of the radiocaesium activity concentrations (Behrens et al., 2012).

Budyansky, M.V., V.A. Goryachev, D.D. Kaplunenko, V.B. Lobanov, S.V. Prants, A.F. Sergeev, N.V. Shlyk, M.Yu. Uleysky, Role of mesoscale eddies in transport of Fukushima-derived cesium isotopes in the ocean, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, Volume 96, 2015, Pages 15-27, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2014.09.007</u>.

A. (Page 7, Line 28-30)

Physical processes such as the convergence and subduction of surface water inside the eddies are important mechanisms of downward transport of radiocaesium (Miyao et al., 1998; Budyansky et al., 2015).

Oka, E., Qiu, B., 2012. Progress of North Pacific mode water research in the past decade. J. Oceanogr. 68, 5–20, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10872-011-0032-5.

Oka, E., Qiu, B., Kouketsu, S., Uehara, K., Suga, T., 2012. Decadal seesaw of the Central and Subtropical Mode Water formation associated with the Kuroshio Extension variability. J. Oceanogr. 68, 355–360.

A. (Page 6, Line 32-33)

One of the region in which <sup>137</sup>Cs was deposited in south of Kuroshio and Kuroshio Extension regions corresponded to the STMW formation region (Aoyama et al., 2016; Oka et al., 2012, 2013).

# Prants, S.V., M.V. Budyansky, V.I. Ponomarev, M.Yu. Uleysky, P.A. Fayman. Lagrangian analysis of the vertical structure of eddies simulated in the Japan Basin of the Japan/East Sea. Ocean Modelling. V.86 pp.128-140 (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.12.010.

- A. (Page 7, Line 30-33)
  - Based on the lagrangian analysis of the vertical structure of the eddies in the SOJ, the eddy in summer was characterized as unstable layer results in thinner mixed layer depth and weaker seasonal pycnocline in upper layer. During winter season, the eddy became to be very stable in the upper layers, which leads to increase mixed layer depth and become to weak seasonal pycnocline (Prants et al., 2015).

# Prants, S.V., M.V. Budyansky, M.Yu. Uleysky. Statistical analysis of Lagrangian transport of subtropical waters in the Japan Sea based on AVISO altimetry data. Nonlin. Processes Geophys. V.24, p. 89-99, 2017 doi:10.5194/npg-24-1-2017.

A. This paper described the northward transport of subtropical modewaters in the Sea of Japan. ; The subtropocal water transported « gates » in specific places and time. In the frontal area, there are some « forbitten » zone that the northward transport did not obsevred. However, the radiocaesium data investigated in this manuscript was only measured at coastal site of SOJ. The foucus of the Prants et al. (2017) is a little different from that of our manuscript. Therefore, the author used this paper as showing the feature of SOJ.

#### (Page 1, Line 26-34)

The SOJ is located between the Eurasian continent and the Japanese archipelago. The area is 1008000 km<sup>2</sup>, and the mean depth is 1667 m (Menard and Smith, 1966). The SOJ is connected to the Pacific Ocean at its southwest through the Tsushima Straits and northeast through Tsugaru Straits. Warm and saline seawater passes through the Tsushima Strait as the Tsushima Warm Current (TWC), and this current splits into three paths. One is the nearshore current along the west coast of Honshu Island, Japan, and the seawater passes through the Tsugaru Straits and enters to the Pacific Ocean again. The seawater transported to the northward passes though the Soya Strait and connected to the Okhotsk Sea. Another current flows north of the Korean Peninsula. This current meets the North Korean Cold Current, which is the prolongation of the Liman Cold Current. Therefore, this northward warm subtropical waters and southward cold subarctic waters form the Polar Front meet at approximately 40°N, and the SOJ is largely divided into two regions (Prants et al., 2017).

## Rossi, V.; Van Sebille, E.; Sen Gupta, A.; Garçon, V.; England, M. H. Multi-decadal projections of surface and interior pathways of the Fukushima Cesium-137 radioactive plume. Deep Sea Res., Part I 2013, 80, 37–46.

A. This paper was re-submitted as « Corridendum to « Multi-decadal projections of surface and interor pathways of the Fukushima cesium-137 radioactive plume » (Deep Sea Reserach 1, 93, (2014), 162-164). In orther to describe the model simulation results, the author added Rossi et al. (2014) and Tsubono et al. (2016).

(Page 1, Line 33-34)

Ocean circulated models captured that the FNPP1-derived radiocaesium were transported in the North Pacific Ocean with advecting and diluting (Tsubono et al., 2016; Rossi et al., 2014).

- Some figures are not necessarily well chosen and some are not very informative due to their poor content and/or low quality and low visual rendering. This is especially true for fig. 2

#### (e.g. grey and red data points and error bars are not readable)

A. Almost all Figures were rewrite.

As for Fig.2, Authors think that the longtime variation of <sup>137</sup>Cs actiity concentrations in the SOJ was necessary to discuss the enhanced activity concentrations of <sup>137</sup>Cs after the FNPP1 accident. In order to show clearly the activity concentrations of <sup>137</sup>Cs, the error bars of each sample was removed in this Figure.



#### - fig. 3 (panels d, e and f: cut the x-axis in mid-2016 since there is no data afterwards);

A. The period in the x-axis range for Fig 3d, e, f were modified and these were mover to Figure 4.



fig. 5 (subplots are not numbered; what does black color means in panel 5c and 6c?);
A. Fig. 5 was removed from the revised manuscript.

#### - the scatters in fig. 9 are not clear to me, please clarify.

A. Before the FNPP1 accident, <sup>137</sup>Cs were reelased from the large scale nuclear bomb experiment. After the FNPP1 accideint, <sup>137</sup>Cs were derived from two sources, global fallout and FNPP1 accident. Because of shorter lifetime, <sup>134</sup>Cs (T1/2=2.06 year) was only derived from the FNPP1 accident. Therefore, the <sup>134</sup>Cs/<sup>137</sup>Cs activity ratio is used as a useful traser to investigate the transport of radiocaesium derived from the FNPP1. It tends to the positive relationship between the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations above 1.5 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>, which is the <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations before the FNPP1 accident, and the <sup>134</sup>Cs/<sup>137</sup>Cs activity ratio. These relations in the SOJ suggests the contribution of <sup>137</sup>Cs originaed from the FNPP1 accident. However, this relationship might be unclear for the reviewers. Therefore, this Figure was removed in the revied manuscript.