

## ***Interactive comment on “Forecast skill score assessment of a relocatable ocean prediction system, using a simplified objective analysis method” by Reiner Onken***

### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 24 July 2017

Title: Forecast skill score assessment of a relocatable ocean prediction system, using a simplified objective analysis method  
Author: Reiner Onken

#### GENERAL comments

The paper presents the Relocatable Ocean Prediction System (ROPS) which is implemented in the Western Mediterranean Sea. ROPS is one-way nested in the MERCATOR global ocean model by downscaling. The model has assimilated, with a simplified objective analysis (OA) method, observational data collected in June 2014 in the main frame of the REP14-MED experiment. A sensitivity study with respect to four OA parameters is then performed. The objectives exposed in the abstract are well achieved

C1

and the work is well structured and organized. Minor revision are suggested to the author, as described below.

#### SPECIFIC comments

• @page 1 rows 8:9 – In addition to (De Dominicis et al. 2014) and Rowley and Mask, 2014 I suggest to cite Trotta et al. 2016 as another recent work on Relocatable Ocean Prediction System based on NEMO HD code i.e. Trotta et al (2016) A Structured and Unstructured Relocatable ocean model for Forecasting (SURF). Deep Sea Research Part II: Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 133, pp.54-75

• @page 3 sect.2.1 – Specify also which numerical schemes have been used for momentum advection and advection of tracers.

• @page 4 row 17 - The grid spacing ratio parent/child is set to 6.2. Why this value and how it is compared with other dynamical downscaling studies?

• @page 4 rows 28:33 - Are the interpolated fields adjusted in order to prevent violation of the continuity equation?

• @page 6 row 10: - How much spin-up period is needed for the child model?

• @page 6 row 13 - How are the eddy viscosity  $\epsilon$  diffusivity coefficient of the child related to the parent model? Do the child/parent models use the same lateral subgrid-scale mixing scheme?

#### Technical corrections.

• @page 1 row 8 – The citation De Dominicis, 2013 should be De Dominicis et al. 2014 (check references list).

• @page 5 row 8 – the sentence ‘iss 1 hour’ should be “is 1 hour”

• In the vertical distribution of the skill score (SS) (fig 9,11) increase the thickness of the vertical dot line (SS=0) in order to better distinguish the positive and negative value

C2

of the SS or you can use a contrasting background colour as in fig.12.

The series of experiments are well done and I suggest the author to address the above points and re-submit the paper.

---

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2017-35>, 2017.