Aragonite saturation states and pH in western Norway fjords: seasonal cycles and controlling factors, 2005-2009

A. M. Omar[1,2], I. Skjelvan[1], S.R. Erga[3], A. Olsen[2]

[1:] Uni Research Climate, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway.

[2:] Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway.

[3:] Department of Biology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.

Abstract:

The uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO_2) by the ocean leads to a process known as ocean acidification (OA) which lowers the aragonite saturation state (Ω_{Ar}) and pH, and this is poorly documented in coastal environments including fjords due to lack of appropriate observations.

Here we use weekly underway data from Voluntary Observing Ships (VOS) covering the period 2005-2009 combined with data from research cruises to estimate Ω_{Ar} and pH values in several adjacent western Norwegian fjords, and to evaluate how seawater CO_2 chemistry drives their variations in response to physical and biological factors.

The OA parameters in the surface waters of the fjords are subject to strong seasonal and spatially coherent variations. These changes are governed by the seasonal changes in temperature, salinity, formation and decay of organic matter, and vertical mixing with deeper, carbon-rich coastal water. Annual mean pH and Ω_{Ar} values were 8.13 and 2.21, respectively. The former varies from minimum values ($\approx$8.05) in late December - early January to maximum values of around 8.2 during early spring (March-April) as a consequence of the phytoplankton spring bloom, which reduces Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC). In the following months, pH decreases in response to warming. This thermodynamic decrease in pH is reinforced by the deepening of the mixed layer, which enables carbon-rich coastal water to reach the surface, and this trend continues until the low winter values of pH are reached again. Ω_{Ar}, on the other hand, reaches its seasonal maximum (>2.5) in mid to late summer (July - Sept), when the spring bloom is over and pH is decreasing. The lowest Ω_{Ar} values ($\approx$1.3-1.6) occur during winter (Jan-Mar), when both pH and Sea Surface Temperature (SST) are low and DIC is highest. Consequently, seasonal Ω_{Ar} variations align with those of SST and salinity normalized DIC (nDIC).

We demonstrate that underway measurements of fugacity of CO_2 in seawater (fCO_2) and SST from VOS lines combined with high frequency observations of the complete carbonate system at strategically placed fixed stations provide an approach to interpolate OA parameters over large areas in the fjords of western Norway.

1. Introduction:

The continued emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) (Le Quéré et al., 2015) are of global concern, not only because they are the main driver of anthropogenic global warming, but also because of the changes in the ocean chemistry they cause (Ciais et al., 2013). The increase in the atmospheric CO_2 concentration drives a net ocean CO_2 uptake, which leads to higher proton (H^+) concentration i.e. lower pH, lower concentration of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}) and lower saturation state (Ω) for calcium carbonate in seawater. This process is known as ocean acidification (OA) (e.g. Royal Society, 2005), and it has direct and indirect effects on biological activity in the ocean (e.g. Gattuso and Hansson, 2011) including reported inhibition of biogenic calcification by marine organisms which precipitate 0.5–2.0 Gt of carbon as calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) in the global ocean every year (Bach, 2015).

For the open ocean, the rate of OA has been relatively well documented and understood during the last decade. Observations from time series stations and volunteer observing ships in different oceanic regions consistently show systematic changes in surface ocean chemistry that result from OA. Specifically, long-term negative trends of pH and saturation state for aragonite (Ω_{Ar}) have been observed (e.g. Lauvset et al., 2015; Bates et al., 2014).

For coastal regions observed rates of pH change largely differ from those expected from oceanic CO_2 uptake alone, as variations in other biogeochemical processes, related for example to changes in nutrient loading and eutrophication, are important as well (Clargo et al. 2015; Provoost et al., 2010; Wootton et al., 2008).

The Norwegian west coast (Fig. 1) is dominated by fjords, narrow and deep estuaries, carved by glacial processes, with a sill in the mouth where they connect to the coastal North Sea. Apart from being important recreation areas and marine pathways, these fjords are important ecosystems and their physics, marine life, and associated environmental pressures have been relatively well studied (e.g. Matthews and Sands, 1973; Erga and Heimdal, 1984; Asplin et al., 2013; Brattegard et al., 2011; Stigebrandt, 2012; and references therein).

62   However, only a few studies on the carbon cycle of Norwegian fjords exist and these are only

63   from the high Arctic at Svalbard (Fransson et al., 2014; Omar et al., 2005). Generally, in the

64   Northern Hemisphere, high latitude coastal regions are thought to be sinks for atmospheric

65   CO_2, while low-latitude regions are thought to be CO_2 sources (Borges et al., 2005; Cai et al.,

66   2006; Chavez et al., 2007; Chen and Borges, 2009). The few existing studies of Norwegian

67   fjords confirm the above picture; they act as an annual net sink for atmospheric CO_2

68   (Fransson et al., 2014; Omar et al., 2005).

69   The carbon cycle of the northern North Sea, to which the western Norway fjords are

70   connected, has been well studied (Thomas et al., 2004; 2005; 2007; 2008; Bozec et al., 2005;

71   2006; Omar et al., 2010). However, observation-based OA estimates are still scarce. Recently,

72   Clargo et al. (2015) observed a rapid pH decrease in the North Sea, but after accounting for

73   biological processes, they estimated an ocean acidification rate consistent with concurrent

74   atmospheric and open ocean CO_2 increases over the period they studied, 2001-2011.

75   Filling the knowledge gap on OA (and generally the carbon cycle) in western Norwegian

76   fjords is important because these areas are spawning grounds for different fish species

77   (Salvanes and Noreide, 1993; Johannessen et al., 2014), production sites for pelagic calcifiers

78   (Berge, 1962; Erga and Heimdal, 1984; Frette et al., 2004), the home for some coral reefs (e.

79   g. Fosså et al., 2002), and significant food sources due to the aquaculture industry which

80   operates there. Observations of the carbon cycle dynamics in the fjord system will not only

81   further our understanding and ability for prediction, but they will also serve as benchmarks

82   against which future changes are compared.

83   In this study, we present first estimates of OA parameters in surface waters of several adjacent

84   western Norway fjords (Fig. 1), based mainly on weekly underway data from Voluntary

85   Observing Ships (VOS) covering the period 2005-2009. We combine the underway data with

86   available station data from research cruises to facilitate a complete description of the seawater

87   CO_2 chemistry in accordance with the recommendations of OA core principles by

88   McLaughlin et al. (2015). We focus on analyses of Ω_{Ar} and pH values and evaluate their

89   variations in response to the physical and biological factors: summer warming and

90   stratification, spring phytoplankton bloom, and deep mixing during fall and winter. First we

91   present the mean distribution across the different fjords (Korsfjord-Langenuen-

92   Hardangerfjord) to understand the spatiotemporal patterns, then we collapse all data into a

93   monthly time series to analyze the seasonal controls and resolve any interannual or multiyear

94   temporal patterns.

95   **1.1 The study area**

96   The study area covers, from north to south, the interconnected Raunefjord (centered around

97   60.27°N; 5.17°E), the Korsfjord (centered around 60.17°N; 5.21°E), Langenuen, and southern

98   parts of the Hardangerfjord, which are all situated along the western coast of mainland

99   Norway (Fig. 1). The area stretches over some 60 km, but the main focus here will be on the

100   area from the Korsfjord to the Hardangerfjord from which the vast majority of the data has

101   been acquired.

102   The bathymetry and hydrographic conditions of the fjords have been described elsewhere

103   (Helle, 1978; Mathew and Sands, 1973; Bakke and Sands, 1977; Erga and Heimdal, 1984;

104   Asplin et al., 2014). In the following only a brief account, based on the above studies, is

105   given.

106   The Korsfjord is 690 m deep in its main basin and situated about 25 km south of the

107   Norway's second largest city, Bergen. To the west, Korsfjord is relatively well connected to

108   the coastal North Sea through a 250 m deep sill at Marsteinen. To the north it connects with

109   the Raunefjord through the 100 m deep strait Lerøysundet - between the islands Sotra and

110   Lerøy. At the eastern end the fjord branches into the smaller and shallower fjords Lysefjord

111   and Fanafjord, and to the southwest it connects with the coastal North Sea through the

112   Selbjørnsfjord, which has a sill depth of 180 m at Selbjørn. To the south it connects to the

113   Hardangerfjord through the 25 km long and 300 m deep strait Langenuen.

114   The Hardangerfjord is a 179 km long fjord ranking as the fourth longest fjord in the world. It

115   stretches from the coastal open ocean in the southwest to the mountainous interior of Norway.

116   Our study includes the southern parts of the fjord. This is bounded by the larger islands Stord

117   and Tysnesøya in the north, the Haugaland peninsula in the south, and the smaller islands

118   Fjellbergøya and Halsnøya on the south/east side. This part of the fjord is over 300 m deep in

119   its basin (around 59.76N; 5.55E) and connects with the smaller fjords Ålfjord and Bjoafjord

120   in the south.

121   In the fjord system, run-off from land mixes with salty water originating from the northward

122   flowing Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC) to produce a typically salinity stratified water

123   column with a complex circulation, forced both by external and internal factors. In particular,

124   the coastal winds have a profound influence on the water circulation in western Norwegian

125 fjords producing episodic renewal of the deep water that follows periods of prolonged

126 northerly winds (Svendsen, 1981; Erga and Heimdal, 1984).

127 Besides wind forcing, the hydrography of the fjords is also influenced by winter cooling,

128 summer warming, and run-off. The fjords also receive freshwater through the NCC, which

129 carries water originating from the Baltic Sea and rivers in the southern North Sea (Skagseth et

130 al., 2011 and references therein). On seasonal time scales, salinity drives stratification during

131 spring-summer and the water column is more homogenous during winter. Additionally,

132 Asplin et al. (2014) reported regular episodes of water exchange between Hardangerfjord and

133 the NCC that homogenized the upper 50 m of the fjord by mixing with coastal water. During

134 these events the water temperature inside the Hardangerfjord regularly becomes identical with

135 that of the adjacent coastal North Sea (Asplin et al., 2014).

136 Water exchange with the NCC is important for the fjord ecosystems as it supplies nutrients

137 and oxygen to the area (Aure and Stigebrandt, 1989). In response, primary production is

138 enhanced in the fjords, which support rich and diverse marine life (Erga and Heimdal, 1984;

139 Erga, 1989; Salvanes and Noreide, 1993).

140 Erga and Heimdal (1984) studied the dynamics of the spring bloom in the Korsfjord and

141 estimated a total primary production of 74 g C m^{-2} during the period February – June. Further,

142 they reported light regime and water column stability to be dominant controls of the onset of

143 the bloom. They also pointed out that changes in the alongshore wind component are

144 important for the bloom dynamics, with persistent northerly winds inducing upwelling of

145 nutrient-rich coastal water that promotes blooming while the opposite situation follows on

146 from persistent southerly winds. During calm periods strong stratification develops, which

147 can ultimately lead to nutrient exhaustion in the upper water column.

148 The study area, with its adjacent waters, is ecologically and economically important because

149 it covers spawning grounds for a number of different fish species (Lie et al., 1978;

150 Johannessen et al., 2014). Additionally, the largest concentration of coral reefs in western

151 Norway is found in the Langenuen strait (Fosså, 2015). The fjord system also contributes to

152 the important aquaculture production that, with its annual fish production of >700 tonnes,

153 ranks Norway within the tenth place worldwide. About one fifth of this is produced in the

154 Hordaland County where the fjord system studied here is situated (http://www.diercke.com).

155 **2. Data and methods**

156 2.1 **Weekly underway VOS data**

157 Weekly underway measurements of fugacity of CO_2 in seawater (fCO_2) and SST were

158 obtained aboard the containership MS Trans Carrier (operated by Seatrans AS, Norway,

159 www.seatrans.no). During the study period, the ship sailed from Bergen to ports in

160 southwestern Norway on a weekly basis. It passed through several fjords including the

161 Korsfjord and the Hardangerfjord (Fig. 1), then crossed the North Sea mostly along a transect

162 roughly at 5°E longitude to Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and then back on the same route

163 (Omar et al., 2010). The measurement method used aboard MS Trans Carrier was described in

164 Omar et al. (2010). Briefly, the instrument uses a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) CO2/H2O

165 gas analyzer (LI-COR 6262) to determine the CO_2 concentration in a headspace air in

166 equilibrium with a continuous stream of seawater. One analysis was done Every 3 minutes

167 and the instrument was calibrated roughly every six hours with three reference gases, which

168 are traceable to reference standards provided by National Oceanic and Atmospheric

169 Administration / Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL). The instrument

170 automatically shut off when the ship approached ports in Bergen (20-30 km from port

171 ≈60.2°N) and Amsterdam, in order to protect the inlet filter from potentially polluted

172 seawater. Between February and December 2006 the VOS line was serviced by a sister ship,

173 MS Norcliff, which was equipped with the same measurement system during that period. The

174 VOS line was in operation in the period September 2005 to September 2009. Data acquired

175 between 59.74°N – 60.16°N and 5.17°E – 5.58°E (The Korsfjord, Langenuen, and southern

176 parts of the Hardangerfjord) are used for the current analyses. This dataset will be referred to

177 as the UW (e.g. UW fCO_2 and UW SST) which stands for underway. The UW data from the

178 years 2005, 2006, and 2007 are available from the SOCAT database (http://www.socat.info/),

179 the 2008 and 2009 UW data has been submitted for the SOCAT Version 4 release.

2.2 Cruise and fixed station data

181 We augment the VOS data with station data acquired during scientific cruises in the study

182 area in the period 2007-2010 and in 2015, and during regular visits (1-4 times per month) to a

183 fixed station in the Raunefjord in 2007 and 2008. Table 1 summarizes details of these three

184 datasets, which will be referred to as the CS, 2015 and RF datasets, respectively.

185 Five of the cruises were conducted in the Korsfjord and the Raunefjord (Fig. 1, Table 1)

186 onboard RV Hans Brattstrøm as part of the EU FP7 educational project CarboSchools (CS) in

187 2007-2010. The CS dataset covers mainly the spring and summer seasons reflecting the

188 somewhat opportunistic nature of the sampling campaign. The 2015 cruise took place during

189 fall (September 24) as part of the Ocean Acidification project funded by the Norwegian

190 Environment Agency, and measurements were taken at three stations in the Korsfjord,

191 Langenuen and southern Hardangerfjord (Fig.1, red squares).

192 During each of the above cruises water samples were collected for analyses of parameters

193 including DIC, total alkalinity (TA), salinity and temperature at 1-2 stations. The DIC

194 concentrations were determined by the coulometric method (e.g. Johnson et al., 1993) with a

195 precision of $\pm1.2\ \mu$mol kg^{-1}. TA was measured by potentiometric titration with strong acid

196 (HCl), and a precision of $\pm4.8\ \mu$mol kg^{-1}. Accuracy was checked by using Certified Reference

197 Material supplied by A. Dickson (SIO). Once all samples have been corrected with respect to

198 offsets determined from the CRM measurements, the DIC and TA measurements were

199 accurate to within 1.4 times respective measurement precision (above). Only surface data

200 (depth<=4m) from within the geographical rectangle 59.74-60.34°N and 5.17-5.55°E were

201 used in the current study.

202 The Department of Biology, University of Bergen has acquired CTD (SAIV) data from a

203 fixed station in Raunefjord (RF) during 27 days in 2007 and 35 days in 2008 as part of a

204 monitoring program close to the Marine Biological field station at Espegrend. These data

205 contained temperature and salinity profiles with one meter resolution. Averages of the

206 uppermost five meters have been used in this study and will be referred to as the RF dataset.

207 **2.3 In situ pH sensor data**

208 In January 2012 we carried out an evaluation of two pH sensors of the type Submersible

209 Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological

210 field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a

211 wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred

212 meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and

213 were left for 50 hours starting 24.01.2012 10:00 GMT, recording one measurement each hour.

214 A full description of the measurement method for these instruments is found at

215 http://www.sunburstsensors.com/. In addition to pH, these instruments also recorded the

216 seawater temperature and they have measurement precision and accuracy of <0.001 and +/-

217 0.003 pH units, respectively. During the test, salinity was also recorded using a Seaguard

218 RCM from Aanderaa Data Instruments. These sensor data were used to assess the uncertainty

219 in our pH values estimated as described in section 3.1.

2.4 Methods

2.4.1 Complete seawater CO_2 chemistry from SST and fCO_2

We obtained complete description of the seawater CO_2 chemistry from the UW SST and UW fCO_2 data collected onboard MS Trans Carrier through a 3-step procedure as described below. This is similar to the procedure described in Nondal et al. (2009) with the main modification being that in the current study, sea surface salinity (SSS) was determined from empirical relationship with SST.

First, the RF dataset has been used to determine the regional SSS versus SST relationship. The RF data was chosen for this purpose because it covered all seasons well, both in 2007 and 2008. The identified regional SSS-SST relationship allowed us to estimate a SSS value for each UW SST observation from MS Trans Carrier. This step was necessary because the total number of measured SSS values were less than 150 data points, while the available underway SST and fCO_2 data were much more numerous (> 9900 data points), covering most of the study area during the years 2005-2009. The remaining SST and SSS data (CS, and from sensors) were used for evaluation to verify that SST-SSS relationship is valid for the whole study area (section 3.1). Salinity values estimated from SST will be denoted as SSS(sst).

Second, we determined TA from SSS(sst) and SST using an algorithm we identified for the region using the CS dataset. This allows us to estimate a corresponding alkalinity value for each UW fCO_2 observation obtained from MS Trans Carrier. Alkalinity values estimated from measured SSS and SST data will be denoted as TA(sss), whereas TA values estimated from SSS(sst) and SST values will be denoted as TA(sst).

The UW fCO_2 together with TA (sst), UW SST, and SSS(sst) were then used to characterize the full seawater CO_2 chemistry using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998; van Heuven et al., 2011), with K1 and K2 constants from Lueker et al. (2000). The concentration of silicate and phosphate has been put to zero during the calculations, and the errors introduced by this simplification were negligible compared to the uncertainties from other sources (to be described in section 3.1). The CO2SYS calculation also gives DIC, pH, Ω_{Ar} and all other seawater CO_2 chemistry variables. The data estimated using this three stage procedure will be denoted pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) and are the main focus of this study.

pH and Ω_{Ar} values based on TA(sss) and fCO_2 will be denoted as pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar}(sss), whereas values that are either measured or computed from measured TA and DIC will be

251 denoted as simply pH and Ω_{Ar}. nDIC denotes the DIC values normalized to constant salinity

252 (the mean value) according to Friis et al. (2003) with freshwater end member DIC

253 concentration of 1039 µmol kg^{-1} inferred from the cruise data. An overview of the symbols

254 used for estimated and derived quantities used in this study is given in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Correlations and validations

257 In this section we present the regression equations identified in this study in addition to

258 validating the various estimation procedures used by comparing the estimated values with

259 those measured/computed. The results of these comparisons are summarized in Table 3. For

260 each comparison, the coefficient of determination (R^2) and the significance level (*p*-value) are

261 used as metrics for the goodness of the correlation while the associated root-mean-square

262 error (rmse) is benchmarked against the maximum target uncertainties developed by the

263 Global Ocean Acidification Network (GOA-ON) and the California Current Acidification

264 Network (C-CAN) of ±0.2 for Ω_{Ar} (McLaughlin et al., 2015), which corresponds to maximum

265 uncertainties of ±0.02, ±1.25 or ±1.8 in pH, SST, or SSS, respectively.

266 The regional SST-SSS relationship obtained from the RF dataset is given by Eq. 1 and is

267 depicted in Fig. 2a (filled symbols). Despite a clear covariation between SST and SSS, there

268 is a lot of scatter in the data and the statistics of the regression equation is not particularly

269 strong (Eq. 1). The observed correlation most probably arises from the annual cycles; during

270 summer the study area embodies warm water diluted by runoff, whereas during winter the

271 surface water is colder and saltier due to little or no runoff. The magnitude of these annual

272 variations varies with time and space and this is reflected by the high degree of scatter in the

273 relationship. Consequently, the identified regression model is able to explain only 27% of the

274 salinity variations. Nonetheless, the independent station and sensor data (dots, squares, and

275 stars), which have been acquired from the whole study area in different seasons, falls into a

276 pattern around the relationship described by Eq.1 with a root-squared-mean error of 0.81.

277 Thus, we assume that Eq. 1 is able to estimate the seasonal SSS variations across the whole

278 study region. To verify this we have compared the monthly averages of RF_SSS data with

279 values obtained using Eq.1 and monthly RF_SST. As shown in the last row of Table 3, the

280 estimated values were significantly correlated with the monthly RF_SSS (R^2=0.65 and

281 p=0.002) and the resulting rmse of 0.3 was lower than the benchmark values of ±1.8.

$$SSS = -0.142 SST + 32.09, \text{ for SSS>29; } R^2=0.27; n=61; \text{rmse}=1.2. \tag{1}$$

As further verification that the RF SST dataset is spatially representative, we compared it with the chronologically co-located UW SST that have been acquired onboard Trans Carrier across the whole study area. The two datasets were found to be almost identical (Fig. 2b; 3rd row Table 3).

The relationship between TA, SSS and SST obtained from the CS and 2015 datasets is given by Eq. 2 according to:

$$TA = 32.09 SSS - 4.39 SST + 1210, R^2=0.90; n=23; \text{rmse}=13.0 \, \mu\text{molkg}^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Alkalinity is a semi-conservative variable and is normally modelled as linear function of salinity (e.g. Millero et al., 1998; Bellerby et al., 2005; Nondal et al, 2009). However, using a multi-parameter linear regression with SST and SSS as independent parameters improved the regression statistics considerably ($R^2=0.90$; n=23; rmse=13.0 μmolkg^{-1}) compared to a linear regression with only SSS ($R^2=0.67$; n=23; rmse=24.0 μmolkg^{-1}). This is probably because SST acts as an indicator of the effect of nutrient cycling on TA in agreement to what has been reported for the open Atlantic Ocean (Lee et al, 2006).

To estimate a corresponding TA value for each UW fCO_2 observation obtained from MS Trans Carrier, we used salinity values estimated from the UW SST data by using Eq.1. The results (denoted as SSS(sst)) were then inputted into Eq.2 to obtain TA(sst) (see Table 2 for nomenclature). The fact that TA(sst) is based on SSS(sst) rather than measured SSS values introduces an additional error in the estimated pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst). In order to assess this error we compared pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) with values based on the cruise data, i.e. pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar}(sss). First, we computed pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar}(sss) by combining all available measured SSS, estimated TA(sss) from Eq. 2, and co-located UW SST and UW fCO_2. Then we repeated the calculations, but this time we replaced the measured SSS with estimated SSS(sst) from Eq. 1 to compute pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst). The very strong linear relationships ($R^2 \approx 1$) between the resulting values in Figs. 2c and 2d (circles) confirms that the estimated pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) reproduce closely the measurement-based values of pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar}(sss) for the whole study area. This is also evident from comparison statistics on rows 1 and 2 in Table 3 which show that measured-based values are well correlated ($R^2 \approx 1$, p<0.001) with those estimated with rmse values of 0.003 and 0.04 for pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar}(sst), respectively, which are well within

314   the aforementioned maximum target uncertainties developed by the C-CAN (last column in

315   Table 3).

316   To quantify the total error associated with the pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) estimates, we considered

317   two main sources for error. First we computed the residuals (estimated – measurement-based)

318   using the data shown in Figs. 2c and 2d (including the sensor data). The mean difference for

319   the whole study area was 0.002 +/- 0.004 and 0.005 +/- 0.08 for pH and Ω_{Ar}, respectively.

320   Thus, the maximum probable error from this source is 0.006 and 0.09 for pH and $\Omega_{Ar,}$

321   respectively. Additionally, we estimated that the computed and/or measured pH values

322   included an error of 0.012 pH units, which under the current conditions (mean TA, fCO_2,

323   SST, and SSS) would give an error of 0.038 in Ω_{Ar}. These two error estimates were combined

324   (as the square root of sum of squares) to determine the total error in our estimates, which were

325   found to be ±0.013 and ±0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar}, respectively. It must be noted that the above

326   total error was derived from all available observational data including the in situ sensor data

327   (shown in Fig. 2c and in described section 2.3), which are the only wintertime measurements

328   used in this study. This is important because the lack of wintertime data in the CS dataset

329   which was used for the identification of AT-SSS/SST relationship (Eq. 2) means that

330   wintertime AT(sst) might be overestimated so that corresponding pH(sst) values would be

331   overestimated. In fact, during the aforementioned comparison between pH(sst) and measured

332   pH we noted that for this particular dataset pH(sst) overestimated the measurements.

333   However, the estimates were consistent with the observations to within the total error of ±0.01

334   pH units. Thus, by utilizing the above total errors, we also accounted for the effect of this

335   possible caveat of Eq. 2 arising from the lack of wintertime TA measurements.

336   From the above we conclude that we are able to estimate pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) across the

337   whole study area and during all seasons with a total errors of ±0.01 and ±0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar},

338   respectively.

339   **3.2 Spatiotemporal variations**

340   In order to present the mean distributions across the different fjords throughout the annual

341   cycle, we condensed the data into one virtual year by projecting it onto non-equidistant

342   rectangular grids using the "weighted-average gridding" method of the Ocean Data View

343   software (Schlitzer, 2015). As evident from Fig. 3, there is a clear seasonality (for the

344   interannual changes see section 3.3) in both pH(sst) and Ω_{ar} (sst). The former varies between

345   minimum values (8.05) around New Year to typical maximum values of around 8.25, which

346   occur during the late winter and/or spring (March-April). This increase is due to the reduction

347   of DIC (Fig. 3d), induced by the phytoplankton spring bloom. This clearly counteracts and

348   outweighs the negative effect on pH of warming the water column during this period.

349   However, during April/May, the effect of warming begins to dominate and pH(sst) starts

350   decreasing. By September the SST starts decreasing, while pH continues to drop. This is due

351   to the effect of the fall mixing, which enables carbon-rich coastal water to reach the surface

352   layer, as mentioned in section 1, and is reflected by increasing DIC during this period (Fig.

353   3d).

354   The mean distribution of Ω_{Ar}(sst) also shows a significant seasonal variation. There are three

355   factors that drive this: (i) reduced concentrations of DIC by the spring bloom increases the

356   concentration of carbonate ions, (ii) Ω_{Ar}(sst) increases with rising temperature so that

357   warming during the summer actually reinforces the increase of Ω_{Ar} initiated by biological

358   carbon uptake, and (iii) reduced TA due to freshwater input from runoff and mixing of deeper

359   carbon-rich water into surface layer reduce Ω_{Ar}(sst) during fall. Thus, Ω_{Ar}(sst) reaches its

360   maximum (>2.5) in July-September, when the spring bloom is over and pH has already

361   started decreasing (Fig. 3a, c). The lowest Ω_{Ar}(sst) values ($\approx$1.3-1.6) occur during winter

362   (January-March) when both pH and SST are low, despite TA being high due to high SSS

363   values. The decoupling in the seasonal cycles of pH and Ω_{Ar} clearly supports the case that pH

364   alone is not an adequate measure of ocean acidification, in accordance with the C-CAN

365   recommendation that "measurements should facilitate determination of Ω_{Ar} and a complete

366   description of the carbonate system, including pH and pCO_2" (McLaughlin et al., 2015).

367   The above described seasonal variations in pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) are spatially more or less

368   coherent within the whole study area, except for the slight south-north gradient during May-

369   September, with highest values south of 60°N (see Fig. 3a,c). All in all, during summertime

370   the study area embodied warm surface water with high Ω_{Ar}(sst) and intermediate pH(sst)

371   values. During winter, the surface water is cold with low Ω_{Ar}(sst) and pH(sst) values.

372   **3.3 Controls of seasonal variability and trends**

373   To investigate the seasonal variability more thoroughly, we computed monthly averages of

374   pH(sst), SST, Ω_{Ar} (sst), and nDIC(sst) for one composite year. Then we quantified the effect

375   of DIC, TA, SST and SSS on the monthly changes of pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) in order to gain

376   more insight into the processes governing the seasonal variations and their relative importance

377   (Fig. 4).

378   For pH(sst) we used the decomposition method described in Lauvset et al. (2015) to quantify

379   the importance of different parameters. This method estimates the monthly pH changes

380   expected from corresponding changes observed in SST, SSS, DIC, and TA as well as their

381   sum. The results are shown on Fig. 4 (a-e) where it can be seen that DIC is the most important

382   driver followed by SST and TA, whereas SSS had a negligible effect (not shown) on the

383   seasonal pH variations. We also note that the effects of SST and TA combined are nearly

384   equal to, but opposite to that of DIC (Fig. 4c,d,e). As a result, the sum of all effects is <0.06

385   pH units, and compares well to the observed amplitudes (Fig. 4a), meaning that the

386   decomposition model is able to account for the observed seasonal changes. Note also the TA

387   control is identical to that of SST (Fig. 4c,e). The reason for this is that TA values used here

388   are obtained from SSS(sst) and SST using Eq. 2, which in effect means that they are based on

389   SST. This emphasizes the need for measured SSS and TA values when the objective is to

390   analyze the controls of pH and Ω_{Ar}(sst) variations.

391   For Ω_{Ar}(sst) we investigated the importance of different controls (DIC, TA, SST, SSS) by

392   varying them independently over their observed range, while holding all other drivers

393   constant, and re-computing Ω_{Ar}(sst). The magnitude of the standard deviation of the results is

394   indicative of the importance of the varying drivers. The result of this exercise is shown on

395   Fig. 4f-i. Evidently, the variations of SST and SSS are the least important drivers for Ω_{Ar}(sst)

396   seasonal changes, since varying these parameters induces changes that are about an order of

397   magnitude less than the observed seasonal amplitude in Ω_{Ar}(sst). On the other hand, changing

398   DIC and TA (Fig. 4h,i) induces changes that are comparable to the seasonal amplitude

399   observed in Ω_{Ar}(sst) (Fig. 4a). We therefore conclude that seasonal changes in DIC and TA

400   are the most important driver for changes in Ω_{Ar}(sst).

401   From the above we conclude that the main drivers of Ω_{Ar}(sst) are DIC and TA, whereas for

402   pH(sst), SST also has a significant impact. This means that the formation and destruction of

403   organic matter together with upwelling of carbon-rich coastal water, seasonal warming and

404   cooling, and runoff inputs, are the processes that govern most of the seasonal variability of

405   OA parameters within the study area. It then follows that interannual variability in the above

406   processes would lead to corresponding variations in pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst). Such interannual

407   changes are evident from the monthly time series (Fig. S1), where the rate of seasonal change

408   differs between the years, both for SST and DIC normalized to the mean salinity (nDIC)

409   according to Friis et al. (2003). Additionally, for SST, the extreme values also change

410   between years. These changes are in turn reflected in the pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) for which the

411   amplitude of the interannual variability (IAV), calculated as the temporal standard deviation,

412   is presented in Table 4. For pH, IAV was normally much lower than the seasonal changes and

413   ranged between 0.01 and 0.02 although higher changes were observed during the months

414   April (0.04), and July and October (0.03). Similarly, for Ω_{Ar}(sst), the IAV was typically 0.1

415   which is much lower than the seasonal changes (section 3.2). Higher IAVs were observed for

416   June (0.2) whereas November and December showed the lowest IAVs (<0.05).

417   Quantitatively, the above IAVs are probably lower limits due to the use of constant empirical

418   relationships for the estimation of SSS and AT (Eqs. 1 and 2). That is, there may be

419   interannual changes in the relationship between SST and SSS (Eq. 1) and/or between

420   SSS/SST and TA (Eq. 2). Thus, the use of a constant relationship over the years may have led

421   to underestimation of the resulting IAV. Consequently, a comprehensive analysis of the

422   drivers of the IAV was not carried out in this study. However, sensitivity computations we

423   performed showed that year-to-year differences in pH were related to those in fCO_2 rather

424   than SST changes, whereas year-to-year differences in Ω_{Ar}(sst) were more related to those in

425   SST than fCO_2. In any case, the observed year-to-year differences were not systematic, and no

426   multiyear temporal trend was apparent from the 4-year time series analyzed in this study.

3.4 Inference of OA parameters from VOS underway data

428   Changes in the oceanic CO_2-system variables are related through ratios called Buffer Factors.

429   Specifically, changes in Ω_{Ar} and pH in response to aqueous CO_2 ($[CO_2(aq)] = [CO2] +$

430   $H_2CO_3]$, henceforth denoted as CO_2) variations can be quantified by partial derivatives (

431   $\gamma_{DIC} = (\partial \ln CO2 / \partial DIC)^{-1}$, $\beta_{DIC} = (\partial H^+ / \partial DIC)^{-1}$, and $\omega_{DIC} = (\partial \ln \Omega / \partial DIC)^{-1}$), which have

432   been defined by Egleston et al. (2010, their table 1), and the slope of these relationships can

433   be expressed mathematically by:

$$\partial \ln \Omega / \partial \ln CO_2 = \gamma_{DIC} / \omega_{DIC} = \frac{DIC - Alk_C^2 / S}{DIC - Alk_C P / HCO_3^-} \tag{3}$$

$$\partial \ln H^+ / \partial \ln CO_2 = \gamma_{DIC} / \beta_{DIC} = \frac{(DIC - Alk_C^2)/S}{(DICS - Alk_C^2)/Alk_C} \tag{4}$$

436   where expressions for the carbonate alkalinity Alk_C and the parameters P and S are defined in

437   Egleston et al. (2010). We have evaluated the right hand sides of Eqs. 3 and 4, using the CS

438   cruise data, and the results showed that these quantities change only a few per cents (1.3 and

439   3.4 %, respectively) due to seasonal changes in the various variables. The ratio $\gamma_{DIC} / \omega_{DIC}$

440   changed by 1-6 % and ranged from -1.08 to -0.980, while $\gamma_{DIC} / \beta_{DIC}$ changed by 0.5-3 % and

441   ranged from 0.84 to 0.88. This, together with the fact that equations 3 and 4 can be defined

442   in terms of $ln(fCO_2)$ instead of $ln(CO_2)$ (Egleston et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 1993),

443   suggests that in situations where underway surface fCO_2 and SST are frequently measured,

444   while the CO_2 system is fully determined only occasionally, an easy way of interpolating the

445   seasonality in pH and Ω_{Ar}, is to predict them from fCO_2. We have implemented this

446   alternative way of estimating pH and Ω_{Ar} using the CS cruise data. For the estimation of Ω_{Ar}

447   we used $fCO_{2@meanSST}$, which is fCO_2 adjusted to constant temperature (i.e. at mean SST),

448   because this normalization improved the regression significantly. Since we were interested in

449   pH and Ω_{Ar} we plotted these parameters directly against $ln(fCO_2)$ or $ln(fCO_{2t@meanSST})$. The

450   results are shown in Fig. 5 and conform to tight relationships between computed pH and

451    $ln(fCO_2)$ values (Fig. 5a), and between computed Ω_{Ar} and $ln(fCO_{2t@meanSST})$ (Fig. 5b). Further,

452   by using linear curve fitting we determined the relationships according to:

453    $$pH = -0.389 \ln fCO_2 + 10.354, \quad R^2=0.99; \; n=28; \; rmse=0.005. \tag{5}$$

454    $$\Omega_{Ar} = \exp(-0.6741 \ln fCO_{2 \, at \, meanSST} + 4.6422), \quad R^2=0.94; \; n=28; \; rmse=0.07. \tag{6}$$

455   The magnitude of the residuals (computed – estimated) associated with pH and Ω_{Ar} values

456   obtained from the above relationships were 0.000 +/- 0.005 and 0.01 +/- 0.06, respectively,

457   which is comparable to the residuals associated with pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) (Table 3). An

458   advantage of this procedure, however, is that it utilizes much tighter empirical relationships,

459   involves fewer computational steps, and is based on UW data, which are much more

460   numerous than station data from oceanography cruises. Thus, it minimizes errors introduced

461   by intermediate results such as the TA-SSS/SST regression in Eq. 2 and/or seasonal data

462   coverage. Furthermore, a direct comparison revealed that values obtained from Eqs. 5 and 6

463   were almost identical to those of pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) (Fig. S2) with values for R^2, p-value,

464   and rmse of 1, 0, and 0.003 for pH; and 1, 0, and 0.02 for Ω_{Ar}. However, it is important to

465   realize that for the above procedure too, a representative full description of the carbonate

466   system is necessary for up-to-date determinations of Eqs. 5 and 6. Further, this calibration

467   data ideally should include high frequency time series observations, since the slopes (i.e. Eqs.

468   3 and 4) change slightly with the carbonate system variables (e.g. DIC and TA, see Eqs. 3 and

469   4), which vary on multiple time scales (hours-days-years). Furthermore, the procedure is

470   based on measurements of only one of the four master parameters constituting the carbonate

471 system (i.e. fCO$_2$). Therefore, it only provides a way to interpolate pH and Ω_{Ar} values, but

472 cannot support the analyses of controls that have been provided in the proceeding section.

473 From Fig. 5b we note that lowest Ω_{Ar} values are associated with the highest fCO$_{2@meanSST}$

474 values, which occur during late fall and winter. Monitoring of these extreme values are of

475 special interest because: (i) during late fall and early winter the upwelling of carbon-rich

476 water occurs and surface water also reflects the properties of the deeper water, and (ii) the rate

477 of change at this point (lowest Ω_{Ar}, highest fCO$_{2@meanSST}$) indicates the time when under-

478 saturation of calcium carbonate can be expected in these waters. To estimate this for the

479 current data we used Eq. 5 and the observation that the slope (i.e. Eq. 3) and intercept

480 decreased by about 0.0008 and 0.004 for every 1 µatm increase in mean fCO$_{2@meanSST}$. We

481 also took into account an uncertainty of ±0.2 in the Ω_{Ar} estimates and found that Ω_{Ar} becomes

482 undersaturated (<1) when mean annual fCO$_{2@meanSST}$ is about 310±70 µatm higher than its

483 present value (310 µatm). For business as usual emission scenario (RCP 8.5), this is

484 equivalent to about year 2070±10 if we assume that the development in the ocean follows that

485 of the atmosphere (i.e. constant disequilibrium between ocean and atmosphere).

486 **4. Summary and conclusions**

487 We have been able to determine, for the first time, the seasonal changes and drivers of pH and

488 Ω_{Ar} across western Norwegian fjords (59.74°N – 60.23°N and 5.16°E – 5.6°E), based on

489 underway fCO2 and sea surface temperature (SST) data combined with sporadic data from

490 research cruises and empirical relationship.

491 During summertime the study area embodied warm surface water with high Ω_{Ar} (<2.5) and

492 intermediate pH values (8.12-8.17). During winter, the surface water was cold with low Ω_{Ar}

493 and pH values, 1.3-1.6 and 8.05-8.07, respectively. Maximum pH of 8.25 was encountered

494 during the phytoplankton spring bloom (March-April). Seasonal changes in DIC, TA and SST

495 were the most important drivers of pH and Ω_{Ar} changes, although the SST influence on Ω_{Ar}

496 was only weak.

497 Measurement errors together with seasonal and spatial gaps in the data used to identify the

498 empirical relationships are considered as two main sources for uncertainties, and the

499 computed total errors associated with the estimated values (±0.01 and ±0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar})

500 are about 50% of the maximum target uncertainties developed by the Global Ocean

501 Acidification Network.

We have shown that the strong correlations of pH and ΩAr with fCO2 and fCO2@meanSST (fCO2 adjusted to the mean temperature), respectively, provide an approach to interpolate pH and ΩAr values both seasonally and spatially. Furthermore, the ΩAr - fCO2@meanSST relationship, and the rate of change of its slope and intercept with DIC, have been used to estimate that under-saturation of the aragonite mineral can occur in the study area by the year 2070±10, if we assume business as usual emission scenario (RCP 8.5) and constant CO2 disequilibrium between ocean and atmosphere.

5. Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support by the Research Council of Norway (RCN) through the project FME SUCCESS, and by the Norwegian Environment Agency through the project *Havforsuring*. The data collection has been financed by the EU IP CARBOOCEAN (Contract no. 511176-2). This work would not have been possible without the generosity and help of the liner company SeaTrans AS and the captains and crew of MS Trans Carrier. We are grateful for the technical assistance provided by Tor de Lange, Kristin Jackson and Tomas Sørlie, and for encouraging and constructive comments from the reviewers (M. Ribas Ribas and E. M. Jones) and the topic editor Dr. Mario Hoppema that have improved the manuscript.

6. References

Asplin, L., Johnsen, I.A., Sandvik, A.D., Albretsen, J., Sundfjord, V., Aure, J., & Boxaspen, K.K.: Dispersion of salmon lice in the Hardangerfjord, Marine Biology Research, 10 (3), 216-225, DOI: 10.1080/17451000.2013.810755, 2014.

Bakke, J.L. W. and Sands, N.J,: Hydrographical studies of Korsfjorden. western Norway, in the period 1972-1977. Sarsia 63, 7-16, 1977.

Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Smith, K., Hankin, S., Olsen, A., Alin, S. R., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Kozyr, A., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K. M., Schuster, U., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C., Akl, J., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Cai, W. J., Castle, R. D., Chavez, F. P., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., de Baar, H. J. W., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., Fransson, A., Gao, Z., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Hoppema, M., Huang, W. J., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ichikawa, T., Johannessen, T., Jones, E. M., Jones, S. D., Jutterström, S., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Manke, A. B., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Metzl, N., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Park, G. H., Paterson, K., Pierrot, D., Ríos, A. F., Sabine, C. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T.,

534   Sullivan, K. F., Sun, H., Sutton, A. J., Suzuki, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tjiputra, J.,

535   Tsurushima, N., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Vlahos, P., Wallace, D. W. R.,

536   Wanninkhof, R., and Watson, A. J.: An update to the Surface Ocean CO2 Atlas (SOCAT

537   version 2), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 6, 69–90, 2014, doi:10.5194/essd-6-69-2014.

538   Bates N. R., Asto, Y., Church, M., Currie, K., Dore, J., González-Dávila, M., Lorenzoni, L.,

539   Muller-Karger, F., Olafsson, J., and Santana-Casiano, J.: A time-series view of changing

540   ocean chemistry due to ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO2 and ocean acidification,

541   Oceanography, 27(1), 126–141, 2014.

542   Berge, G.: Discoloration of the sea due to Coccolithus huxleyi bloom. Sarsia 6, 27-40, 1962.

543   Borges AV. : Do we have enough pieces of the jigsaw to integrate CO2 fluxes in the coastal

544   ocean? Estuaries 28, 3–27, 2005.

545   Bozec, Y., Thomas, H., Elkalay, K., de Baar, H.J.W.: The continental shelf pump for CO2 in

546   the North Sea - evidence from summer observations. Mar. Chem. 93, 131–147, 2005.

547   Bozec, Y., Thomas, H., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Borges, A.V., Elkalay, K., de Baar, H.J.W.:

548   Assessment of the processes controlling the seasonal variations of dissolved inorganic

549   carbon in the North Sea. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 2746–2762, 2006.

550   Brattegard, T., T. Høisæter , K. Sjøtun , T. Fenchel & F. Uiblein.: Norwegian fjords: From

551   natural history to ecosystem ecology and beyond, Marine Biology Research 7(5), 421-424,

552   DOI:10.1080/17451000.2011.561355, 2011.

553   Cai, W-J., Dai M, Wang Y.: Air-sea exchange of carbon dioxide in ocean margins: a

554   province-based synthesis. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L12603, doi:10.1029/2006GL026219,

555   2006.

556   Chavez, F. P., T. Takahashi, W. J. Cai, G. Friederich, B. Hales, R. Wanninkhof, and R. A.

557   Feely: Coastal Oceans, in The First State of the Carbon Cycle Report (SOCCR), edited by

558   A. W. King et al., pp. 149–156, NOAA, Natl. Clim. Data Cent., Asheville, N. C., 2007.

559   Chen, C-TA., Borges AV.: Reconciling opposing views on carbon cycling in the coastal

560   ocean: continental shelves as sinks and near-shore ecosystems as sources of atmospheric

561   CO2. Deep-Sea Res. II 56, 578–90, 2009.

562   Ciais, P., C. Sabine, G. Bala, L. Bopp, V. Brovkin, J. Canadell, A. Chhabra, R. DeFries, J.

563   Galloway, M. Heimann, C. Jones, C. Le Quéré, R.B. Myneni, S. Piao and P. Thornton,

564   2013: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: Climate Change: The Physical

565   Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the

566   Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.

567   Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].

568   Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp.

569   465–570, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015, 2013.

570 Clargo, N.M., L.A. Salt, H. Thomas and H.J.W. de Baar: Rapid increase of observed DIC and

571   pCO2 in the surface waters of the North Sea in the 2001-2011 decade ascribed to climate

572   change superimposed by biological processes, Mar. Chem., 177, 566–581, 2015.

573 Egleston, E. S., C. L. Sabine, and F. M. M. Morel. Revelle revisited: Buffer factors that

574   quantify the response of ocean chemistry to changes in DIC and alkalinity . Global

575   Biogeochem. Cycl., 24, GB1002, doi:10.1029/2008GB003407, 2010.

576 Erga, S.R.,and B.R. Heimdal: Ecological studies on the phytoplankton of Korsfjorden,

577   western Norway. The dynamics of a spring bloom seen in relation to hydrographical

578   conditions and light regime. J Plankton Res 6, 67–90, 1984.

579 Erga, S.R.: Ecological studies on the phytoplankton of Boknafjorden, western Norway.

580   I. The effect of water exchange processes and environmental factors on temporal and vertical

581   variability of biomass. Sarsia 74, 161-176, 1989.

582 Fosså, J.H., P.B. Mortensen, and D.M Furevik: The deep-water coral Lophelia pertusa in

583   Norwegian waters: distribution and fishery impacts, Hydrobiologia, 471, 1-12, 2002.

584 Fransson, A., M. Chierici, D. Nomura, M. A. Granskog, S. Kristiansen, T. Martma, and G.

585   Nehrke: Effect of glacial drainage water on the CO2 system and ocean acidification state in

586   an Arctic tidewater-glacier fjord during two contrasting years, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans

587   120, 2413–2429, doi:10.1002/ 2014JC010320, 2015.

588 Frette, Ø., S.R. Erga, B. Hamre, J. Aure, J.J. Stamnes: Seasonal variability in inherent

589   optical properties in a western Norwegian fjord. Sarsia 89: 276-291, 2004.

590 Helle, H. B. : Summer replacement of deep water in Byfjord, western Norway: mass

591   exchange acros the sill induced by upwelling, in Nihoul, J.C.J. (ed.), Hydrodynamics of

592   estuaries and fjords" proceedings of the 9th Liege Colloquium on Ocean Hydrodeynamics,

593   Elsevier pp. 441-464, 1978.

594 Johannessen, A., G. Skaret, L. Langård, A. Slotte, Å. Husebø, and A. Fernö. : The dynamics

595   of a metapopulation: Changes in life-history traits in resident herring that co-occur with

596   oceanic herring during spawning. PLoS ONE 9(7): e102462,

597   Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102462, 2014.

598 Lauvset, S. K., N. Gruber, P. Landschützer, A. Olsen, and J. Tjiputra: Trends and drivers in

599   global surface ocean pH over the past 3 decades. Biogeosciences, 12, 1285–1298, 2015.

600 Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., Park, G.-H.,

601   Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Key, R. M.: Global relationships of total alkalinity with

salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33 (19), L19605, doi:10.1029/2006gl027207, 2006.

Le Quéré, C., Moriarty, R., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Sitch, S., Korsbakken, J. I., Friedlingstein, P., Peters, G. P., Andres, R. J., Boden, T. A., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Keeling, R. F., Tans, P., Arneth, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Barbero, L., Bopp, L., Chang, J., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P., Fader, M., Feely, R. A., Gkritzalis, T., Harris, I., Hauck, J., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Klein Goldewijk, K., Koven, C., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lima, I. D., Metzl, N., Millero, F., Munro, D. R., Murata, A., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K., Olsen, A., Ono, T., Pérez, F. F., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Rödenbeck, C., Saito, S., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Steinhoff, T., Stocker, B. D., Sutton, A. J., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Werf, G. R., van Heuven, S., Vandemark, D., Viovy, N., Wiltshire, A., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Global Carbon Budget 2015, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 7, 349-396, doi:10.5194/essd-7-349-2015, 2015.

Lewis, E., Wallace, D.W.R.: Program developed for CO2 system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, 1998.

Lie, U., Bjørstad, D., and Oug, E.: Eggs and larvae of fish from Lindåspollene. Sarsia 63, 163-167, 1978.

Lueker, T.J., A.G. Dickson, and C.D. Keeling: Ocean pCO2 calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K1 and K2:Validation based on laboratory measurements of CO2 in gas and seawater at equilibrium. Mar. Chem. 70:105–119, DOI: 10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00022-0, 2000.

Matthews, J.B.L. and Sands, N.: Ecological studies on the deep-water pelagic community of Korsfjorden, western Norway. The topography of the area and its hydrography in 1968-1972, with a summary of the sampling programmes', Sarsia, 52, 29-52, 1973.

McLaughlin, K., S.B. Weisberg, A.G. Dickson, G.E. Hofmann, J.A. Newton, D. Aseltine-Neilson, A. Barton, S. Cudd, R.A. Feely, I.W. Jefferds, E.B. Jewett, T. King, C.J. Langdon,S. McAfee, D. Pleschner-Steele, and B. Steele: Core principles of the California Current Acidification Network: Linking chemistry, physics, and ecological effects. Oceanography 28(2):160–169, DOI: 10.5670/oceanog.2015.39, 2015.

634 Nondal, G., Bellerby, R.G.J., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Olafsson, J.: Optimal evaluation of
635   the surface ocean CO_2 system in the northern North Atlantic using data from voluntary
636   observing ships. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 7, 109–118, 2009.

637 Omar, A., T. Johannessen, R. G. J. Bellerby, A. Olsen, L. G. Anderson, and C. Kivime: Sea-
638   Ice brine formation in Storfjorden: Implications for the Arctic wintertime air—sea CO_2
639   flux, p. 177-187. In The Nordic Seas: An integrated perspective. Geophysical Monograph
640   Series 158 American Geophysical Union, 2005.

641 Omar, A., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Hoppema, M.,Thomas, H., Borges, A.: Spatiotemporal
642   variations of fCO_2 in the North Sea, Ocean Science, 6, 77-89, 2010.

643 Provoost, P., van Heuven, S., Soetaert, K., Laane, R. W. P. M., and Middelburg, J. J.:
644   Seasonal and long-term changes in pH in the Dutch coastal zone, Biogeosciences, 7, 3869-
645   3878, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3869-2010, 2010.

646 Royal Society: Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. The Royal
647   Society Policy Document 12/05. London. 68pp, 2005.

648 Salvanes, A.G.V., and J.T. Noreide: Dominating sublittoral fish species in a west Norwegian
649   fjord and their trophic links to cod (Gadus morhua L.). Sarsia 78, 221-234, 1993.

650 Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View. https://odv.awi.de/, 2015.

651 Stigebrandt, A.: Hydrodynamics and Circulation of Fjords. Encyclopedia of Lakes and
652   Reservoirs. Part of the series Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series pp 327-344, 2012.

653 Svendsen.H.: Wind-induced variations of circulation and water level in coupled fjord-coast
654   systems, in Saetre.R. and Mork.M. (eds.), Proc. Symp. Nonv. Coastal Current, Geilo,
655   September 1980, University of Bergen, pp. 229-262, 1981.

656 Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Elkalay, K., De Baar, H.J.W., Borges, A.V. and Schiettecatte, L.-S.:
657   Controls of the surface water partial pressure of CO_2 in the North Sea. Biogeosciences, 2,
658   323–334, 2005.

659 Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Elkalay, K. and De Baar, H.: Enhanced open ocean storage of CO_2
660   from shelf sea pumping, Science, 304, 1005–1008, 2004.

661 Thomas, H., Prowe, A.E.F, Van Heuven, S., Bozec, Y., De Baar, H.J.W, Schiettecatte, L.-S.,
662   Suykens, K., Koné, M., Borges, A.V., Lima, I.D. and Doney, S.C.: Rapid decline of the
663   CO_2 buffering capacity in the North Sea and implications for the North Atlantic Ocean,
664   Global Biogechem. Cycles 21, doi:10.1029/2006GB002825, 2007.

665 Thomas, H., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Suykens, K., Kone, Y.J.M., Shadwick, E.H., Prowe, A.E.F.,
666   Bozec, Y., De Baar, H.J.W. and Borges, A.V.: Enhanced ocean carbon storage from
667   anaerobic alkalinity generation in coastal sediments, Biogeosciences, 6, 267–274, 2009.

668 van Heuven, S., D. Pierrot, J.W.B. Rae, E. Lewis and D.W.R. Wallace: MATLAB Program

669 Developed for CO2 System Calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105b. CDIAC, Oak Ridge

670 National Laboratory, Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A,

671 doi:10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS_MATLAB_v1.1, 2011.

672 Wootton, J. T., Pfister, C. A., and Forester, J. D: Dynamic patterns and ecological impacts of

673 declining ocean pH in a high-resolution multi-year dataset, PNAS, 105, 18848–18853,

674 2008.

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

 Tables:

Table 1: Details of the CarboSchools (CS) and Raunefjord (RF) cruise datasets. The plus signs denote the parameters for which sampling/measurement were carried out. For the RF dataset, each data point represents the average of five measurements acquired in the upper five meters.

Datasett; area	Date(m/d/y)	Lon (E)	Lat (N)	Depth (m)	DIC	TA	SST	SSS	Reference/ originator
CarboSchools (CS); Korsfjord/Raunefjord	04/13/2007	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	I. Skjelvan
	04/13/2007	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	04/25/2007	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	04/25/2007	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	09/04/2008	5.18	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.17	60.15	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.18	60.32	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.18	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.18	60.16	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.18	60.16	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.2	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.2	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	09/08/2010	5.2	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
2015; Korsfjord	09/29/2015			5	+	+	+	+	I. Skjelvan / A. Omar
2015; Langenuen	09/29/2015			5	+	+	+	+	I. Skjelvan / A. Omar
2015; Hardangerfjord	09/29/2015			5	+	+	+	+	I. Skjelvan / A. Omar

703

705   Table 1(continued)

Datasett; area	Date(m/d/y)	Lon_E	Lat_N	Depth (m)	DIC	TA	SST	SSS	Reference/ originator
RF; Raunefjord	01/03/2007			1-5			+	+	S. R. Erga / J. Egge
	01/23/2007			1-5			+	+	
	02/13/2007			1-5			+	+	
	02/27/2007			1-5			+	+	
	03/07/2007			1-5			+	+	
	03/13/2007			1-5			+	+	
	03/27/2007			1-5			+	+	
	04/10/2007			1-5			+	+	
	04/17/2007			1-5			+	+	
	04/23/2007			1-5			+	+	
	05/08/2007			1-5			+	+	
	05/19/2007			1-5			+	+	
	06/05/2007			1-5			+	+	
	06/12/2007			1-5			+	+	
	06/19/2007			1-5			+	+	
	08/31/2007			1-5			+	+	
	09/04/2007			1-5			+	+	
	09/11/2007			1-5			+	+	
	09/18/2007			1-5			+	+	
	09/26/2007			1-5			+	+	
	10/02/2007			1-5			+	+	
	10/09/2007			1-5			+	+	
	10/18/2007			1-5			+	+	
	10/31/2007			1-5			+	+	
	11/27/2007			1-5			+	+	
	12/11/2007			1-5			+	+	
	01/02/2008			1-5			+	+	
	02/05/2008			1-5			+	+	
	02/21/2008			1-5			+	+	
	03/05/2008			1-5			+	+	
	03/11/2008			1-5			+	+	
	03/25/2008			1-5			+	+	
	03/31/2008			1-5			+	+	
	04/08/2008			1-5			+	+	
	04/22/2008			1-5			+	+	
	04/29/2008			1-5			+	+	
	05/06/2008			1-5			+	+	
	05/13/2008			1-5			+	+	
	05/20/2008			1-5			+	+	
	05/27/2008			1-5			+	+	
	06/04/2008			1-5			+	+	
	06/11/2008			1-5			+	+	
	06/17/2008			1-5			+	+	
	06/24/2008			1-5			+	+	
	07/01/2008			1-5			+	+	
	07/08/2008			1-5			+	+	
	07/16/2008			1-5			+	+	

08/12/2008	1-5	+	+
08/19/2008	1-5	+	+
08/26/2008	1-5	+	+
09/02/2008	1-5	+	+
09/09/2008	1-5	+	+
09/16/2008	1-5	+	+
09/23/2008	1-5	+	+
09/30/2008	1-5	+	+
10/07/2008	1-5	+	+
10/14/2008	1-5	+	+
10/21/2008	1-5	+	+
11/04/2008	1-5	+	+
11/20/2008	1-5	+	+
12/19/2008	1-5	+	+

706

707 **Table 2:** Overview of the symbols used for quantities estimated and/or derived from the measurement-based

708 variables SSS, SST, TA, pH, DIC, fCO_2, and Ω_{Ar}.

Symbol	Meaning
TA(sss)	TA values estimated from measured SSS and SST using Eq. 2.
pH(sss), Ω_{Ar}(sss)	pH, and Ω_{Ar} values estimated by combining TA (sss) and fCO_2.
SSS(sst)	SSS values estimated from SST using Eq. 1.
TA(sst)	TA values determined from estimated SSS(sst) and SST using Eq. 2.
pH(sst), Ω_{Ar}(sst), DIC(sst)	Values of pH, Ω_{Ar} and DIC that have been obtained by combining TA (sst) , fCO_2 and ancillary variables.
fCO_{2t}	fCO_2 at the mean temperature
fCO_{2ts}	fCO_2 at the mean temperature and salinity
nDIC	DIC normalized to the mean salinity

709

710 **Table 3:** Results of the comparisons between measurement-based and estimated values for pH, Ω_{Ar}, SST (°C),

711 and SSS. For the first three parameters, the statistics of the linear relationships depicted on Fig 2b-2d are listed.

712 For SSS, monthly averaged data are compared to estimates obtained with Eq.1 using monthly SST. For SST, the

713 comparison is carried out to verify that measurements from Raunefjord are representative for the whole study

714 area (i.e. UW_SST can be estimated by RF_SST), which is implicitly assumed by the use of Eq.1. R^2 is the

715 coefficient of determination, and "rmse" denotes the root-mean-square error. The latter is compared against

716 benchmarks derived from maximum target uncertainties (Max. uncertainty) developed by Ocean Acidification

717 Networks (section 3.1). The *p-value* is the probability of no linear relation between the estimated and

718 measurement-based values.

Compared variables	Comparison statistics			Benchmarks	
	R^2	p-value	# points	rmse	Max. uncertainty
pH_meas/comp. and pH(sst)	1.00	<0.001	106	0.003	±0.02
Ω_{Ar}_computed and Ω_{Ar}(sst)	0.98	<0.001	106	0.04	±0.2
UW_SST and RF_SST	0.95	<0.001	61	0.49	±1.25

				0.65	0.002	12	0.3	±1.8
SSS and SSS(sst)								

Table 4: Monthly mean values for pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar}(sst) and associated interannual variability (IAV), computed as standard deviations, in the study area for the period 2005-2009.

		Jan	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**Jun**	**Jul**	**Aug**	**Sep**	**Oct**	**Nov**	**Dec**
pH(sst)	Mean	8.08	8.10	8.16	8.19	8.18	8.15	8.15	8.17	8.14	8.11	8.10	8.08
	IAV	<0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
Ω_{Ar}(sst)	Mean	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.2	1.9	1.8
	IAV	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	<0.05	<0.05

Figure texts:

Fig. 1: An overview map of western Norway with a detailed map of the study area showing the positions from where cruise and underway data have been acquired. The thick grey arrow indicates the approximate position of the Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC).

Fig. 2: A) RF SSS as a function of SST (filled symbols) with the regression line described by Eq.1. Sampling month is indicated by the color of the data points. The CS (dots), 2015 (squares), and sensor (stars) datasets are also shown for comparison with the regression line. **B)** Compares RF SST with chronologically co-located UW SST acquired from the whole study area during 2008 (blue) and 2007 (red). **C)** Compares pH(sst) with pH values that have been measured or computed from TA and DIC. Symbols are as in Fig. 1. **D)** Compares Ω_{Ar}(sst) with Ω_{Ar} values that have been computed from measured TA and DIC or from measured pH and UW fCO_2. Symbols are as in Fig. 1. In the legend, "reg." means regression line.

Fig. 3: A) Estimated pH(sst), B) UW SST, C) estimated Ω_{Ar}(sst), and D) estimated DIC which have been normalized to the mean salinity of 30.5 as a function of latitude and time of the year. All data from 2005-2009 have been condensed into one virtual year to resolve the spatial and seasonal variations.

Fig. 4: left panel: Monthly pH changes (ΔpH) as observed (**A**) and expected due to: sum of all derivers (**B**), SST changes (**C**), DIC changes (**D**) and by TA changes (**E**). **right panel:** Standard deviations in monthly mean Ω_{Ar} as a result of variations in all parameters (**F**) or only in SST (**G**) in DIC (**H**) in TA (**I**).

Fig. 5: A) and B) pH and Ω_{Ar} from CS (dots) and 2015 (red squares) cruises plotted as a function of $ln(fCO_2)$ and $ln(fCO_{2@meanSST})$, respectively.