Aragonite saturation states and pH in western Norway fjords: 1 seasonal cycles and controlling factors, 2005-2009 2 3 A. M. Omar^{1,2}, I. Skjelvan¹, S.R. Erga³, A. Olsen² 4 5 ¹: Uni Research Climate, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway. 6 ²: Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway. ^{3:} Department of Biology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. 7 **Abstract:** 8 9 The uptake of anthropogenic Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) by the ocean leads to a process known as ocean acidification (OA) which lowers the aragonite saturation state (Ω_{Ar}) and pH, and this is 10 poorly documented in coastal environments including fjords due to lack of appropriate 11 observations. 12 Here we use weekly underway data from Voluntary Observing Ships (VOS) covering the 13 period 2005-2009 combined with data from research cruises to estimate Ω_{Ar} and pH values in 14 several adjacent western Norwegian fjords, and to evaluate how seawater CO₂ chemistry 15 16 drives their variations in response to physical and biological factors. The OA parameters in the surface waters of the fjords are characterized by strong seasonal 17 18 and spatially coherent variations. These changes are governed by the seasonal changes in temperature, salinity, formation and decay of organic matter, and vertical mixing with deeper, 19 20 carbon-rich coastal water. Annual mean pH and Ω_{Ar} values were 8.13 and 2.21, respectively. The former varies from minimum values (≈ 8.05) in late December - early January to 21 22 maximum values of around 8.2 during early spring (March-April) as a consequence of the phytoplankton spring bloom, which reduces Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC). In the 23 24 following months, pH decreases in response to warming. This thermodynamic decrease in pH 25 is reinforced by the deepening of the mixed layer, which enables carbon-rich coastal water to reach the surface, and this trend continues until the low winter values are reached again. Ω_{Ar} 26 on the other hand, reaches its seasonal maximum (>2.5) in mid to late summer (July -Sept), 27 when the spring bloom is over and pH is decreasing. The lowest Ω_{Ar} values ($\approx 1.3-1.6$) occur 28 29 during winter (Jan-Mar), when both pH and Sea Surface Temperature (SST) are low and DIC is highest. Consequently, seasonal Ω_{Ar} variations align with those of SST and salinity 30 31 normalized DIC (nDIC).

- We demonstrate that underway measurements of fugacity of CO₂ in seawater (fCO₂) and SST
- from VOS lines combined with high frequency observations of the complete carbonate system
- at strategically placed fixed stations provide an approach to interpolate OA parameters over
- 35 large areas in the fjords of western Norway.

1. Introduction:

- 37 The continued emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Le Quéré et al., 2015) are of global
- concern, not only because they are the main drivers of anthropogenic global warming, but
- also because of the changes in the ocean chemistry they cause (Ciais et al., 2013). The
- 40 increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration drives a net ocean CO₂ uptake, which leads to
- 41 higher proton (H⁺) concentration i.e. lower pH, lower concentration of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-})
- and, lower saturation state (Ω) for calcium carbonate in seawater. This process is known as
- ocean acidification (OA) (e.g. Royal Society, 2005), and it has direct and indirect effects on
- biological activity in the ocean (e.g. Gattuso and Hansson, 2011) including reported inhibition
- of biogenic calcification by marine organisms which precipitate 0.5–2.0 Gt of carbon as
- calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) in the global ocean every year (Bach, 2015).
- 47 For the open ocean, the rate of OA has been relatively well documented and understood
- during the last decade. Observations from time series stations and volunteer observing ships
- 49 in different oceanic regions consistently show systematic changes in surface ocean chemistry
- 50 that result from OA. Specifically, long-term negative trends of pH and saturation state for
- aragonite (Ω_{Ar}) have been observed (e.g. Lauvset et al., 2015; Bates et al., 2014).
- 52 For coastal regions observed rates of pH change largely differ from those expected from
- oceanic CO₂ uptake alone, as variations in other biogeochemical processes, related for
- example to changes in nutrient loading and eutrophication, are important as well (Clargo et al.
- 55 2015; Provoost et al., 2010; Wootton et al., 2008).
- The Norwegian west coast (Fig. 1) is dominated by fjords, narrow and deep estuaries, carved
- 57 by glacial processes, with a sill in the mouth where they connect to the coastal North Sea.
- Apart from being important recreation areas and marine pathways, these fjords are important
- 59 ecosystems and their physics, marine life, and associated environmental pressures have been
- relatively well studied (e.g. Matthews and Sands, 1973; Erga and Heimdal, 1984; Asplin et
- al., 2013; Brattegard et al., 2011; Stigebrandt, 2012; and references therein).

- However, only a few studies on the carbon cycle of Norwegian fjords exist in the literature,
- and these are from the high Arctic at Svalbard (Fransson et al., 2014; Omar et al., 2005). This
- 64 hampers our understanding of the natural variability and controls of seawater carbonate
- chemistry, which is a prerequisite for sound estimates of OA in these ecosystems. Generally,
- in the Northern Hemisphere, high latitude coastal regions are thought to be sinks for
- atmospheric CO₂, while low-latitude regions are thought to be CO₂ sources (Borges et al.,
- 68 2005; Cai et al., 2006; Chavez et al., 2007; Chen and Borges, 2009). The few existing studies
- of Norwegian fjords confirm the above picture; they act as an annual net sink for atmospheric
- 70 CO₂ (Fransson et al., 2014; Omar et al., 2005).
- 71 The carbon cycle of the northern North Sea, to which the western Norway fjords are
- 72 connected, has been well studied (Thomas et al., 2004; 2005; 2007; 2008; Bozec et al., 2005;
- 73 2006; Omar et al., 2010). However, observation-based OA estimates are still scarce. Recently,
- Clargo et al. (2015) observed a rapid pH decrease in the North Sea, but after accounting for
- biological processes, they estimated an ocean acidification rate consistent with concurrent
- atmospheric and open ocean CO₂ increases over the period they studied, 2001-2011.
- 77 Filling the knowledge gap on OA (and generally the carbon cycle) in western Norwegian
- 78 fjords is important because these areas are spawning grounds for different fish species
- 79 (Salvanes and Noreide, 1993; Johannessen et al., 2014), productions sites for pelagic
- calcifiers (Berge, 1962; Erga and Heimdal, 1984; Frette et al., 2004), the home for some coral
- reefs (e. g. Fosså et al., 2002), and significant food sources due to the aquaculture industry
- which operates there. Observations of the carbon cycle dynamics in the fjord system will not
- 83 only further our understanding and ability for prediction, but they will also serve as
- benchmarks against which future changes are compared.
- In this study, we present first estimates of OA parameters in surface waters of several adjacent
- western Norway fjords (Fig. 1), based mainly on weekly underway data from Voluntary
- Observing Ships (VOS) covering the period 2005-2009. We combine the underway data with
- available station data from research cruises to facilitate a complete description of the seawater
- CO_2 chemistry in accordance with the recommendations of OA core principles by
- McLaughlin et al. (2015). We focus on analyses of Ω_{Ar} and pH values and evaluate their
- variations in response to the physical and biological factors: summer warming and
- stratification, spring phytoplankton bloom, and deep mixing during fall and winter. First we
- 93 present the mean distribution across the different fjords (Korsfjord-Langenuen-

- Hardangerfjord) to understand the spatiotemporal patterns, then we collapse all data into a
- monthly time series to analyze the seasonal controls and resolve any interannual or multiyear
- 96 temporal patterns.

1.1 The study area

- The study area covers, from north to south, the interconnected Raunefjord (centered around
- 99 60.27°N; 5.17°E), the Korsfjord (centered around 60.17°N; 5.21°E), Langenuen, and southern
- parts of the Hardangerfjord, which are all situated along the western coast of mainland
- Norway (Fig. 1). The area stretches over some 60 km, but the main focus here will be on the
- area from the Korsfjord to the Hardangerfjord from which the vast majority of the data has
- been acquired.
- The bathymetry and hydrographic conditions of the fjords have been described elsewhere
- (Helle, 1978; Mathew and Sands, 1973; Bakke and Sands, 1977; Erga and Heimdal, 1984;
- Asplin et al., 2014). In the following only a brief account, based on the above studies, is
- 107 given.
- The Korsfjord is 690 m deep in its main basin and situated about 25 km south of the
- Norway's second largest city, Bergen. To the west Korsfjord is relatively well connected to
- the open coastal ocean of the northern North Sea through a 250 m deep sill at Marsteinen. To
- the north it connects with the Raunefjord through the 100 m deep strait Lerøysundet -
- between the islands Sotra and Lerøy. At the eastern end the fjord branches into the smaller
- and shallower fjords Lysefjord and Fanafjord, and to the southwest it connects with the open
- coast through the Selbjørnsfjord, which has a sill depth of 180 m at Selbjørn. To the south it
- 115 connects to the Hardangerfjord through the 25 km long and 300 m deep strait Langenuen.
- The Hardangerfjord is a 179 km long fjord ranking as the fourth longest fjord in the world. It
- stretches from the coastal open ocean in the southwest to the mountainous interior of Norway.
- Our study includes the southern parts of the fjord. This is bounded by the larger islands Stord
- and Tysnesøya in the north, the Haugaland peninsula in the south, and the smaller islands
- Fjellbergøya and Halsnøya on the south/east side. This part of the fjord is over 300 m deep in
- its basin (around 59.76N; 5.55E) and connects with the smaller fjords Ålfjord and Bjoafjord
- in the south.
- In the fjord system run-off from land mixes with salty water originating from the northward
- flowing Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC) to produce a typically salinity stratified water
- column with a complex circulation, forced both by external and internal factors. In particular,
- the coastal winds have a profound influence on the water circulation in western Norwegian

127	fjords producing episodic renewal of the deep water that follows periods of prolonged
128	northerly winds (Svendsen, 1981; Erga and Heimdal, 1984).
129	Besides wind forcing, the hydrography of the fjords is also influenced by winter cooling,
130	summer warming, snow melt and run-off. The fjords also receive freshwater through the
131	NCC, which carries water originating from the Baltic Sea and rivers in the southern North Sea
132	(Skagseth et al., 2011 and references therein). However, snow melt and run-off are the main
133	local sources for freshwater since the fjord system is generally ice free. Thus, on seasonal
134	time scales, salinity drives stratification during spring-summer and the water column is more
135	homogenous during winter. Additionally, Asplin et al. (2014) reported regular episodes of
136	water exchange between Hardangerfjord and the NCC that homogenized the upper 50 m of
137	the fjord by mixing with coastal water. During these events the water temperature inside the
138	Hardangerfjord regularly becomes identical with that of the adjacent coastal North Sea
139	(Asplin et al., 2014).
140	Water exchange with the NCC is important for the fjord ecosystems as it supplies nutrients
141	and oxygen to the area (Aure and Stigebrandt, 1989). In response, primary production is
142	enhanced in the fjords, which support rich and diverse marine life (Erga and Heimdal, 1984;
143	Erga, 1989; Salvanes and Noreide, 1993).
144	Erga and Heimdal (1984) studied the dynamics of the spring bloom in the Korsfjord and
145	estimated a total primary production of 74 g C m^{-2} during the period February – June. Further,
146	they reported light regime and water column stability to be dominant controls of the onset of
147	the bloom. They also pointed out that changes in the alongshore wind component are
148	important for the bloom dynamics, with persistent northerly winds inducing upwelling of
149	nutrient-rich coastal water that promotes blooming while the opposite situation follows on
150	from persistent southerly winds. During calm periods strong stratification develops, which
151	can ultimately lead to nutrient exhaustion in the upper water column.
152	The study area, with its adjacent waters, is ecologically and economically important because
153	it covers spawning grounds for a number of different fish species (Lie et al., 1978;
154	Johannessen et al., 2014). Additionally, the largest concentration of coral reefs in western
155	Norway is found in the Langenuen strait (Fosså, 2015). The fjord system also contributes to
156	the important aquaculture production that, with its annual fish production of >700 tonnes,
157	ranks Norway within the tenth place worldwide. About one fifth of this is produced in the
158	Hordaland County where the fiord system studied here is situated (http://www.diercke.com).

2. Data and methods

2.1 Weekly underway VOS data

160

179

Weekly underway measurements of fugacity of CO₂ in seawater (fCO₂) and SST were 161 obtained aboard the containership MS Trans Carrier (operated by Seatrans AS, Norway, 162 www.seatrans.no). During the study period, the ship sailed from Bergen to ports in 163 southwestern Norway on a weekly basis. It passed through several fjords including the 164 Korsfjord and the Hardangerfjord (Fig. 1), then crossed the North Sea mostly along a transect 165 roughly at 5°E longitude to Amsterdam, Netherlands, and then back on the same route (Omar 166 et al., 2010). The measurement method used aboard MS Trans Carrier was described in Omar 167 et al. (2010). The instrument recorded one fCO₂ and SST measurement about every three 168 minutes and automatically shut off when the ship approached ports in Bergen (20-30 km from 169 port ≈60.2°N) and Amsterdam, in order to protect the inlet filter from potentially polluted 170 171 seawater. Between February and December 2006 the VOS line was serviced by a sister ship, MS Norcliff, which was equipped with the same measurement system during that period. The 172 VOS line was in operation in the period September 2005 to September 2009. Data acquired 173 between 59.74°N – 60.16°N and 5.17°E – 5.58°E (The Korsfjord, Langenuen, and southern 174 175 parts of the Hardangerfjord) are used for the current analyses. This dataset will be referred to as the UW (e.g. UW fCO₂ and UW SST) which stands for underway. The UW data from the 176 years 2005, 2006, and 2007 are available from the SOCAT database (http://www.socat.info/), 177 the 2008 and 2009 UW data has been submitted for the SOCAT Version 4 release. 178

2.2 Cruise and fixed station data

- 180 We augment the VOS data with station data acquired during scientific cruises in the study area in the period 2007-2010 and in 2015, and during regular visits (1-4 times per month) to a 181 fixed station in the Raunefjord in 2007 and 2008. Table 1 summarizes details of these three 182 183 datasets, which will be referred to as the CS, 2015 and RF datasets, respectively. 184 Five of the cruises were conducted in the Korsfjord and the Raunefjord (Fig. 1, Table 1) onboard RV Hans Brattstrøm as part of the EU FP7 educational project CarboSchools (CS) in 185 186 2007-2010. The CS dataset covers mainly the spring and summer seasons reflecting the 187 somewhat opportunistic nature of the sampling campaign. The 2015 cruise took place during
- fall (September 24) as part of the Ocean Acidification project funded by the Norwegian
- Environment Agency, and measurements were taken at three stations in the Korsfjord,
- Langenuen and southern Hardangerfjord (Fig.1, red squares).

191	During each of the above cruises water samples were collected for analyses of parameters
192	including DIC, total alkalinity (TA), salinity and temperature at 1-2 stations. The DIC
193	concentrations were determined by the coulometric method (e.g. Johnson et al., 1993) with a
194	precision of $\pm 1~\mu mol~kg^{-1}$. TA was measured by potentiometric titration with strong acid
195	(HCl), and a precision of $\pm 2~\mu mol~kg^{-1}$. Accuracy was checked by using Certified Reference
196	Material supplied by A. Dickson (SIO). Once all samples have been corrected with respect to
197	offsets determined from the CRM measurements, the DIC and TA measurements were
198	accurate to within the respective measurement precision (above). Only surface data
199	(depth<=4m) from within the geographical rectangle 59.74-60.34°N and 5.17-5.55°E were
200	used in the current study.
201	The Department of Biology, University of Bergen has acquired CTD (SAIV) data from a
202	fixed station in Raunefjord (RF) during 27 days in 2007 and 35 days in 2008 as part of a
203	monitoring program close to the Marine Biological field station at Espegrend. These data
204	contained temperature and salinity profiles with one meter resolution. Averages of the
205	uppermost five meters have been used in this study and will be referred to as the RF dataset.
206	2.3 In situ pH sensor data
207	
	In January 2012 we carried out an evaluation of two pH sensors of the type Submersible
208	In January 2012 we carried out an evaluation of two pH sensors of the type Submersible Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological
208	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological
208 209	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a
208209210	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred
208209210211	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and
208209210211212	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and were left for 50 hours starting 24.01.2012 10:00 GMT, recording one measurement each hour
208209210211212213	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and were left for 50 hours starting 24.01.2012 10:00 GMT, recording one measurement each hour A full description of the measurement method for these instruments is found at
208 209 210 211 212 213 214	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and were left for 50 hours starting 24.01.2012 10:00 GMT, recording one measurement each hour A full description of the measurement method for these instruments is found at http://www.sunburstsensors.com/. In addition to pH, these instruments also recorded the
208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215	Autonomous Moored Instruments (SAMI_pH, second generation) at the Marine Biological field Station at the eastern shore of the Raunefjord. The sensors were suspended from a wooden frame attached to the floating docks around a raft-house in the fjord –some hundred meters from land. The instruments were submersed at about one meter depth in the fjord and were left for 50 hours starting 24.01.2012 10:00 GMT, recording one measurement each hour A full description of the measurement method for these instruments is found at http://www.sunburstsensors.com/. In addition to pH, these instruments also recorded the seawater temperature and they have measurement precision and accuracy of <0.001 and +/-

2.4 Methods

219

220

2.4.1 Complete seawater CO₂ chemistry from SST and fCO₂

A complete description of the seawater CO₂ chemistry from the UW SST and UW fCO₂ data 221 222 collected onboard MS Trans Carrier has been obtained through a 3-step procedure. This is similar to the procedure described in Nondal et al. (2009) with the main modification being 223 that in the current study, sea surface salinity (SSS) was determined from empirical 224 relationship with SST. 225 226 First, the RF dataset has been used to determine the regional SSS versus SST relationship. The RF data was chosen for this purpose because it covered all seasons well, both in 2007 and 227 2008. The identified regional SSS-SST relationship allowed us to estimate a SSS value for 228 each UW SST observation from MS Trans Carrier. This step was necessary because the total 229 number of measured SSS values were less than 150 data points, while the available underway 230 SST and fCO₂ data were much more numerous (> 9900 data points), covering most of the 231 232 study area during the years 2005-2009. The remaining SST and SSS data (CS, and from sensors) were used for evaluation to verify that SST-SSS relationship is valid for the whole 233 study area (section 3.1). Salinity values estimated from SST will be denoted as SSS(sst). 234 235 Second, we determined TA from SSS(sst) and SST using an algorithm we identified for the 236 region using the CS dataset. This allows us to estimate a corresponding alkalinity value for each UW fCO₂ observation obtained from MS Trans Carrier. Alkalinity values estimated from 237 238 measured SSS and SST data will be denoted as TA(sss), whereas TA values estimated from SSS(sst) and SST values will be denoted as TA(sst). 239 The UW fCO₂ together with TA (sst), UW SST, and SSS(sst) were then used to characterize 240 the full seawater CO₂ chemistry using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998; van Heuven et al., 241 2011), with K1 and K2 constants from Lueker et al. (2000). The CO2SYS calculation also 242 gives DIC, pH, Ω_{Ar} and all other seawater CO₂ chemistry variables. The data estimated using 243 this three stage procedure will be denoted pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) and are the main focus of this 244 245 study. pH and Ω_{Ar} values based on TA(sss) and fCO₂ will be denoted as pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar} (sss), 246 whereas values that are either measured or computed from measured TA and DIC will be 247 denoted as simply pH and Ω_{Ar} . nDIC denotes the DIC values normalized to constant salinity 248 (the mean value) according to Friis et al. (2003) with freshwater end member DIC 249 concentration of 1039 µmol kg⁻¹ inferred from the cruise data. An overview of the symbols 250 used for estimated and derived quantities used in this study is given in Table 2. 251

3. Results and discussion

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

280

281

3.1 Correlations and validations

- In this section we present the regression equations identified in this study in addition to validating the various estimation procedures used by comparing the estimated values with those measured/computed. The results of these comparisons are summarized in Table 3. For each comparison, the coefficient of determination (R^2) and the significance level (p-value) are used as metrics for the goodness of the correlation while the associated root-mean-square error (rmse) is benchmarked against the maximum target uncertainties developed by the Global Ocean Acidification Network (GOA-ON) and the California Current Acidification Network (C-CAN) of ± 0.2 for Ω_{Ar} (McLaughlin et al., 2015), which corresponds to maximum uncertainties of ± 0.02 , ± 1.25 or ± 1.8 in pH, SST, or SSS, respectively.
- The regional SST-SSS relationship obtained from the RF dataset is given by Eq. 1 and is depicted in Fig. 2a (filled symbols). Despite a clear covariation between SST and SSS, there is a lot of scatter in the data and the statistics of the regression equation is not particularly strong (Eq. 1). The observed correlation most probably arises from the annual cycles; during summer the study area embodies warm water diluted by runoff, whereas during winter the surface water is colder and saltier due to little or no runoff. The magnitude of these annual variations varies with time and space and this is reflected by the high degree of scatter in the relationship. Consequently, the identified regression model is able to explain only 27% of the salinity variations. Nonetheless, the independent station and sensor data (dots, squares, and stars), which have been acquired from the whole study area in different seasons, falls into a pattern around the relationship described by Eq.1 with a root-squared-mean error of 0.81 psu. Thus, from here on we assume that Eq. 1 is able to estimate the seasonal SSS variations across the whole study region. To verify this we have compared the monthly averages of RF_SSS data with values obtained using Eq.1 and monthly RF_SST. As shown in the last row of Table 3, the estimated values were significantly correlated with the monthly RF_SSS (R²=0.65 and p=0.002) and the resulting rmse of 0.3 was lower than the benchmark values of ± 1.8 .

279
$$SSS = -0.142SST + 32.09$$
, for $SSS > 29$; $R^2 = 0.27$; $n = 61$; $rmse = 1.2$. (1)

As further verification that the RF SST dataset is spatially representative, we compared it with the chronologically co-located UW SST that have been acquired onboard Trans Carrier across

- the whole study area. The two datasets were found to be almost identical (Fig. 2b; 3rd row
- 283 Table 3).
- The relationship between TA, SSS and SST is given by Eq. 2 according to:

286
$$TA = 32.09SSS - 4.39SST + 1210$$
, $R^2 = 0.90$; $n = 23$; $rmse = 13.0 \, \mu molkg^{-1}$. (2)

- Alkalinity is a semi-conservative parameter and is normally modelled as linear functions of
- salinity (e.g. Millero et al., 1998; Bellerby et al., 2005; Nondal et al, 2009). However, using a
- 290 multi-parameter linear regression with SST and SSS as independent parameters improved the
- regression statistics considerably (R²=0.90; n=23; rmse=13.0 µmolkg⁻¹) compared to a linear
- regression with only SSS (R²=0.67; n=23; rmse=24.0 µmolkg⁻¹). This is probably because
- SST acts as an indicator of the effect of nutrient cycling on TA in agreement to what has been
- reported for the open Atlantic Ocean (Lee et al, 2006).
- To estimate a corresponding TA value for each UW fCO₂ observation obtained from MS
- 296 Trans Carrier, we used salinity values estimated from the UW SST data by using Eq.1. The
- results (denoted as SSS(sst)) were then inputted into Eq.2 to obtain TA(sst) (see Table 2 for
- 298 nomenclature). The fact that TA(sst) are based on SSS(sst) rather than measured SSS values
- introduce an additional error in the estimated pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst). In order to assess this error
- we compared pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) with values based on the cruise data, i.e. pH(sss) and
- $\Omega_{Ar}(sss)$. First, we computed pH(sss) and $\Omega_{Ar}(sss)$ by combining all available measured SSS,
- estimated TA(sss) from Eq. 2, and co-located UW SST and UW fCO₂. Then we repeated the
- calculations, but this time we replaced the measured SSS with estimated SSS(sst) from Eq. 1
- to compute pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst). The very strong linear relationships between the resulting
- values in Figs. 2c and 2d (circles) confirms that the estimated pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) reproduce
- closely the measurement-based values of pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar} (sss) for the whole study area. This
- is also evident from comparison statistics on rows 1 and 2 in Table 3 which show that
- measured based values are well correlated ($R^2 \approx 1$, p<0.001) with those estimated with rmse
- values of 0.003 and 0.04 for pH(sss) and Ω_{Ar} (sst), respectively, which are well within the
- aforementioned maximum target uncertainties developed by the C-CAN (last column in Table
- 311 3).
- To quantify the total error associated with the pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) estimates, we considered
- two main sources for error. First we computed the residuals (estimated measurement-based)

using the data shown in Figs. 2c and 2d (including the sensor data). The mean difference for 314 the whole study area was 0.002 +/- 0.004 and 0.005 +/- 0.08 for pH and Ω_{Ar} , respectively. 315 Thus, the maximum probable error from this source is 0.006 and 0.09 for pH and Ω_{Ar} , 316 respectively. Additionally, we estimated that the computed and/or measured pH values 317 included an error of 0.007 pH units, which under the current conditions (mean TA, fCO₂, 318 SST, and SSS) would give an error of 0.038 in Ω_{Ar} . These two error estimates were combined 319 (as the square root of sum of squares) to determine the total error in our estimates, which were 320 found to be ± 0.01 and ± 0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar} , respectively. It must be noted that the above total 321 322 error was derived from all available observational data including the in situ sensor data 323 (shown in Fig. 2c and in described section 2.3), which are the only wintertime measurements 324 used in this study. This is important because the lack of wintertime data in the CS dataset which was used for the identification of AT-SSS/SST relationship (Eq. 2) means that 325 326 wintertime AT(sst) might be overestimated so that corresponding pH(sst) values would be overestimated. In fact, during the aforementioned comparison between pH(sst) and measured 327 328 pH we noted that for this particular dataset pH(sst) overestimated the measurements. However, the estimates were consistent with the observations to within the total error of ± 0.01 329 pH units. Thus, by utilizing the above total errors, we also accounted for the effect of this 330 possible caveat of Eq. 2 arising from the lack of wintertime TA measurements. 331 From the above we conclude that we are able to estimate pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) across the 332 whole study area and during all seasons with a total errors of ± 0.01 and ± 0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar} , 333 respectively. 334

3.2 Spatiotemporal variations

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

In order to present the mean distributions across the different fjords throughout the annual cycle, we condensed the data into one virtual year by projecting it onto non-equidistant rectangular grids using the "weighted-average gridding" method of the Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer, 2015). As evident from Fig. 3, there is a clear seasonality (for the interannual changes see section 3.3) in both pH(sst) and Ω_{ar} (sst). The former varies between minimum values (8.05) around New Year to typical maximum values of around 8.25, which occur during the late winter and/or spring (March-April). This increase is due to the reduction of DIC (Fig. 3d), induced by the phytoplankton spring bloom. This clearly counteracts and outweighs the negative effect on pH of warming the water column during this period. However, during April/May, the effect of warming begins to dominate and pH(sst) starts

decreasing. By September the SST starts decreasing, while pH continues to drop. This is due 346 to the effect of the fall mixing, which enables carbon-rich coastal water to reach the surface 347 layer, as mentioned in section 1, and is reflected by increasing DIC during this period (Fig. 348 3d). 349 350 The mean distribution of $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ also shows a significant seasonal variation. There are three 351 factors that drive this: (i) reduced concentrations of DIC by the spring bloom enriches the concentration of carbonate ions, (ii) $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ increases with rising temperature so that 352 warming during the summer actually reinforces the increase of Ω_{Ar} initiated by biological 353 carbon uptake, and (iii) reduced TA due to freshwater input from runoff and mixing of deeper 354 carbon-rich water into surface layer reduce $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ during fall. Thus, $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ reaches its 355 maximum (>2.5) in July-September, when the spring bloom is over and pH has already 356 started decreasing (Fig. 3a, c). The lowest $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ values ($\approx 1.3-1.6$) occur during winter 357 (January-March) when both pH and SST are low, despite TA is high due to high SSS values. 358 The decoupling in the seasonal cycles of pH and Ω_{Ar} clearly supports the case that pH alone is 359 360 not an adequate measure of ocean acidification, in accordance with the C-CAN recommendation that "measurements should facilitate determination of Ω_{Ar} and a complete 361 362 description of the carbonate system, including pH and pCO₂" (McLaughlin et al., 2015). The above described seasonal variations in pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) are spatially more or less 363 coherent within the whole study area, except for the slight south-north gradient during May-364 September, with highest values south of 60°N (see Fig. 3a,c). All in all, during summertime 365 366 the study area embodied warm surface water with high $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ and intermediate pH(sst) values. During winter, the surface water is cold with low $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ and pH(sst) values. 367 3.3 Controls of seasonal variability and trends 368 369 To investigate the seasonal variability more thoroughly, we computed monthly averages of pH(sst), SST, Ω_{Ar} (sst), and nDIC(sst) for one composite year. Then we quantified the effect 370 of DIC, TA, SST and SSS on the monthly changes of pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) in order to gain 371 more insight into the processes governing the seasonal variations and their relative importance 372 (Fig. 4). 373 374 For pH(sst) we used the decomposition method described in Lauvset et al. (2015) to quantify the importance of different parameters. This method estimates the monthly pH changes 375 376 expected from corresponding changes observed in SST, SSS, DIC, and TA as well as their

sum. The results are shown on Fig. 4 (a-e) where it can be seen that DIC is the most important 377 378 driver followed by SST and TA, whereas SSS had a negligible effect (not shown) on the seasonal pH variations. We also note that the effects of SST and TA combined are nearly 379 equal to, but opposite to that of DIC (Fig. 4c,d,e). As a result, the sum of all effects is <0.06 380 pH units, and compares well to the observed amplitudes (Fig. 4a), meaning that the 381 382 decomposition model is able to account for the observed seasonal changes. Note also the TA control is identical to that of SST (Fig. 4c,e). The reason for this is that TA values used here 383 are obtained from SSS(sst) and SST using Eq. 2, which in effect means that they are based on 384 385 SST. This emphasizes the need for measured SSS and TA values when the objective is to 386 analyze the controls of pH and Ω_{Ar} (sst) variations. For $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ we investigated the importance of different controls (DIC, TA, SST, SSS) by 387 varying them independently over their observed range, while holding all other drivers 388 constant, and re-computing $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$. The magnitude of the standard deviation of the results is 389 indicative of the importance of the varying drivers. The result of this exercise is shown on 390 Fig. 4f-i. Evidently, the variations of SST and SSS are the least important drivers for $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ 391 392 seasonal changes, since varying these parameters induces changes that are about an order of magnitude less than the observed seasonal amplitude in $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$. On the other hand, changing 393 DIC and TA (Fig. 4h,i) induces changes that are comparable to the seasonal amplitude 394 observed in $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ (Fig. 4a). We therefore conclude that seasonal changes in DIC and TA 395 are the most important driver for changes in $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$. 396 397 From the above we conclude that the main drivers of $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ are DIC and TA, whereas for 398 pH(sst), SST also has a significant impact. This means that the formation and destruction of 399 organic matter together with upwelling of carbon-rich coastal water, seasonal warming and 400 cooling, and runoff inputs, are the processes that govern most of the seasonal variability of 401 OA parameters within the study area. It then follows that interannual variability in the above processes would lead to corresponding variations in pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst). Such interannual 402 changes are evident from the monthly time series (Fig. S1), where the rate of seasonal change 403 differs between the years, both for SST and DIC normalized to the mean salinity (nDIC) 404 according to Friis et al. (2003). Additionally, for SST, the extreme values also change 405 between years. These changes are in turn reflected in the pH(sst) and $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ for which the 406 407 amplitude of the interannual variability (IAV), calculated as the temporal standard deviation, is presented in Table 4. For pH, IAV was normally much lower than the seasonal changes and 408 ranged between 0.01 and 0.02 although higher changes were observed during the months 409

April (0.04), and July and October (0.03). Similarly, for $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$, the IAV was typically 0.1 410 which is much lower than the seasonal changes (section 3.2). Higher IAVs were observed for 411 June (0.2) whereas November and December showed the lowest IAVs (<0.05). 412 Quantitatively, the above IAVs are probably lower limits due to the use of constant empirical 413 relationships for the estimation of SSS and AT (Eqs. 1 and 2). That is, there may be 414 interannual changes in the relationship between SST and SSS (Eq. 1) and/or between 415 SSS/SST and AT (Eq. 2). Thus, the use of a constant relationship over the years may have led 416 to underestimation of the resulting IAV. Consequently, a comprehensive analysis of the 417 418 drivers of the IAV was not carried in this study. However, sensitivity computations we 419 performed showed that year-to-year differences in pH were related to those in fCO₂ rather 420 than SST changes, whereas year-to-year differences in $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ were more related to those in 421 SST than fCO₂. In any case, the observed year-to-year differences were not systematic, and no 422 multiyear temporal trend was apparent from the 4-year time series analyzed in this study.

3.4 Inference of OA parameters from VOS underway data

423

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

Changes in the oceanic CO_2 -system variables are related through ratios called Buffer Factors. Specifically, changes in Ω_{Ar} and pH in response to CO_2 variations can be quantified by partial derivatives (γ_{DIC} , β_{DIC} , and ω_{DIC}), which have been defined by Egleston et al. (2010, their table 1), and the slope of these relationships can be expressed mathematically by:

428
$$\partial \ln \Omega / \partial \ln CO2 = \gamma_{DIC} / \omega_{DIC} = \frac{DIC - Alk_c^2 / S}{DIC - Alk_c P / HCO_3^-}$$
 (3)

429
$$\partial \ln H^+ / \partial \ln CO2 = \gamma_{DIC} / \beta_{DIC} = \frac{(DIC - Alk_C^2) / S}{(DICS - Alk_C^2) / Alk_C}$$
(4)

where expressions for the carbonate alkalinity Alk_C and the parameters P and S are defined in Egleston et al. (2010). We have evaluated the right hand sides of Eqs. 3 and 4, using the CS cruise data, and the results showed that these quantities change only a few per cents (1.3 and 3.4 %, respectively) due to seasonal changes in the various variables. The ratio $\gamma_{DIC}/\omega_{DIC}$ changed by 1-6 % and ranged from -1.08 to -0.980, while γ_{DIC}/β_{DIC} changed by 0.5-3 % and ranged from 0.84 to 0.88. This, together with the fact that equations 3 and 4 can be defined in terms of $ln(fCO_2)$ instead of $ln(CO_2)$ (Egleston et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 1993), suggests that in situations where underway surface fCO₂ and SST are frequently measured, while the CO₂ system is fully determined only occasionally, an easy way of interpolating the

seasonality in pH and Ω_{Ar} , is to predict them from fCO₂. We have implemented this 439 alternative way of estimating pH and Ω_{Ar} using the CS cruise data. For the estimation of Ω_{Ar} 440 we used fCO_{2@meanSST}, which is fCO₂ adjusted to constant temperature (i.e. at mean SST), 441 because these normalization improved the regression significantly. Since we were interested 442 in pH and Ω_{Ar} we plotted these parameters directly against $ln(fCO_2)$ or $ln(fCO_{2t@meanSST})$. The 443 results are shown in Fig. 5 and conform to tight relationships between computed pH and 444 $ln(fCO_2)$ values (Fig. 5a), and between computed Ω_{Ar} and $ln(fCO_{2t@meanSST})$ (Fig. 5b). Further, 445 by using linear curve fitting we determined the relationships according to: 446

447
$$pH = -0.389 \ln fCO_2 + 10.354$$
, $R^2 = 0.99$; $n = 28$; rmse=0.005. (5)

448
$$\Omega_{Ar} = \exp(-0.6741 \ln fCO_{241 mean SST} + 4.6422), R^2 = 0.94; n = 28; rmse = 0.07.$$
 (6).

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

The magnitude of the residuals (computed – estimated) associated with pH and Ω_{Ar} values obtained from the above relationships were 0.000 ± 0.005 and 0.01 ± 0.06 , respectively, which is comparable to the residuals associated with pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) (Table 3). An advantage of this procedure, however, is that it utilizes much tighter empirical relationships, involves fewer computational steps, and is based on UW data, which are much more numerous than station data from oceanography cruises. Thus, it minimizes errors introduced by intermediate results such as the TA-SSS/SST regression in Eq. 2 and/or seasonal data coverage. Furthermore, a direct comparison revealed that values obtained from Eqs. 5 and 6 were almost identical to those of pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) (Fig. S2) with values for R², p-value, and rmse of 1, 0, and 0.003 for pH; and 1, 0, and 0.02 for Ω_{Ar} . However, it is important to realize that for the above procedure too, a representative full description of the carbonate system is necessary for up-to-date determinations of Eqs. 5 and 6. Further, this calibration data ideally should include high frequency time series observations, since the slopes (i.e. Eqs. 3 and 4) change slightly with the carbonate system variables (e.g. DIC and TA, see Eqs. 3 and 4), which vary on multiple time scales (hours-days-years). Furthermore, the procedure is based on measurements of only one of the four master parameters constituting the carbonate system (i.e. fCO₂). Therefore, it only provides a way to interpolate pH and Ω_{Ar} values, but cannot support the analyses of controls that have been provided in the proceeding section. From Fig. 5b we note that lowest Ω_{Ar} values are associated with the highest $fCO_{2@meanSST}$ values, which occur during late fall and winter. Monitoring of these extreme values are of special interest because: (i) during late fall and early winter the upwelling of carbon-rich

water occurs and surface water also reflects the properties of the deeper water, and (ii) the rate of change at this point (lowest Ω_{Ar} , highest fCO_{2@meanSST}) indicates the time when under-471 saturation of calcium carbonate can be expected in these waters. To estimate this for the 472 current data we used Eq. 5 and the observation that the slope (i.e. Eq. 3) and intercept 473 decreased by about 0.0008 and 0.004 for every 1 µatm increase in mean fCO_{2@meanSST}. We 474 also took into account an uncertainty of ± 0.2 in the Ω_{Ar} estimates and found that Ω_{Ar} becomes undersaturated (<1) when mean annual fCO_{2@meanSST} is about 310±70 µatm higher than its present value (310 µatm). For business as usual emission scenario (RCP 8.5), this is 477 478 equivalent to about year 2070±10 if we assume that the development in the ocean follows that 479 of the atmosphere (i.e. constant disequilibrium between ocean and atmosphere).

On the basis of four years of weekly underway fCO₂ and SST data combined with sporadic

4. Summary and concluding remarks

470

475

476

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499 500 data from research cruises, the ocean acidification parameters pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) have been estimated and analyzed for western Norway fjords stretching over more than 60 km from the Korsfjord, through Langenuen strait, to southern parts of the Hardangerfjord. The total errors associated with the estimated values, ± 0.01 and ± 0.1 for pH and Ω_{Ar} , were about 50% lower than the maximum target uncertainties developed by the Global Ocean Acidification Network. Strong seasonal variations, more or less spatially coherent over the whole study area, were found for OA parameters in the surface waters of the fjords. These changes were governed mainly by the formation and decay of organic matter, vertical mixing with deeper carbon rich coastal water, and the seasonal changes in SST and SSS. The annual mean pH was 8.13, and this parameter varies typically between minimum values (≈8.05) around January to maximum values of around 8.2, which occur during the spring and/or late winter (March-April) as a consequence of the phytoplankton spring bloom that reduces DIC. However, sometime during April/May, the effect of warming starts to dominate, and pH(sst) starts decreasing. Later during fall, deepening of the mixed layer allows carbon-rich coastal water mix into the surface, which reduces pH until the low winter values are reached again. The mean value of $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ was found to be 2.21, and it reached its maximum (>2.5) in mid to

late summer (July to September), when the spring bloom is over and pH has started to

(January-March), when both pH and SST are low, and DIC is at its highest.

decrease. The lowest $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ values ($\approx 1.3-1.6$), on the other hand, occurred during winter

- Strong correlations of pH and Ω_{Ar} with fCO₂ and fCO_{2@meanSST} (fCO₂ adjusted to the mean
- temperature), respectively provide an approach to interpolate pH and Ω_{Ar} over large areas in
- the fjords of western Norway where underway measurements of fCO₂, SST, and SSS are
- available. However, both the slopes and the intercepts of these correlations vary slightly with
- 505 DIC and TA. Therefore, the most accurate interpolations will be achieved if the relationships
- are calibrated with high frequency observations of the complete carbonate system, measured
- at few strategically placed fixed stations.
- The Ω_{Ar} fCO_{2@meanSST} relationship, and the rate of change of its slope and intercept with
- 509 DIC, have been used to project the time when under-saturation of calcium carbonate could be
- expected to occur in the study area. This is expected to occur in the year 2070, if we assume
- business as usual emission scenario (RCP 8.5), and that oceanic CO₂ concentrations follow
- that of the atmosphere (i.e. constant disequilibrium between ocean and atmosphere).

5. Acknowledgements

513

522

- We are grateful for financial support by the Research Council of Norway (RCN) through the
- project FME SUCCESS, and by the Norwegian Environment Agency through the project
- 516 Havforsuring. The data collection has been financed by the EU IP CARBOOCEAN (Contract
- 517 no. 511176-2). This work would not have been possible without the generosity and help of the
- liner company SeaTrans AS and the captains and crew of MS Trans Carrier. We are grateful
- for the technical assistance provided by Tor de Lange, Kristin Jackson and Tomas Sørlie, and
- for encouraging and constructive comments from the reviewers (M. Ribas Ribas and E. M.
- Jones) that have improved the manuscript.

6. References

- Asplin, L., Johnsen, I.A., Sandvik, A.D., Albretsen, J., Sundfjord, V., Aure, J., & Boxaspen,
- K.K.: Dispersion of salmon lice in the Hardangerfjord, Marine Biology Research, 10:3,
- 525 216-225, DOI: 10.1080/17451000.2013.810755, 2014.
- Bakke, J.L. W. and Sands, N.J,: Hydrographical studies of Korsfjorden. western Norway, in
- 527 the period 1972-1977. *Sarsia* 63, 7-16, 1977.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Smith, K., Hankin, S., Olsen, A., Alin, S. R., Cosca, C., Harasawa,
- 529 S., Kozyr, A., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K. M., Schuster, U., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B.,
- Wada, C., Akl, J., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Cai, W.-J., Castle, R. D.,
- Chavez, F. P., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., De Baar, H. J. W., Evans, W., Feely, R.

- A., A. M., Ono, T., Park, G.-H., Paterson, K., Pierrot, D., Ríos, A. F., Sabine, C. L., Saito,
- 533 S., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T.,
- Sullivan, K. F., Sun, H., Sutton, A. J., Suzuki, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tjiputra, J.,
- Tsurushima, N., Van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Vlahos, P., Wallace, D. W. R.,
- Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J. (2014) An update to the Surface Ocean CO2 Atlas (SOCAT
- version 2). Earth System Science Data 6: 69-90. doi:10.5194/essd-6-69-2014.
- Fransson, A., Gao, Z., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Hoppema, M., Huang,
- W.-J., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ichikawa, T., Johannessen, T., Jones, E. M., Jones, S.,
- Jutterstrom, S., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N.,
- Manke, A. B., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Metzl, N., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar,

- Bates N. R., Asto, Y., Church, M., Currie, K., Dore, J., González-Dávila, M., Lorenzoni, L.,
- Muller-Karger, F., Olafsson, J., and Santana-Casiano, J.: A time-series view of changing
- ocean chemistry due to ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO2 and ocean acidification,
- 546 Oceanography, 27(1), 126–141, 2014.
- Berge, G.: Discoloration of the sea due to *Coccolithus huxleyi* bloom. Sarsia 6, 27-40, 1962.
- Borges AV.: Do we have enough pieces of the jigsaw to integrate CO2 fluxes in the coastal
- ocean? Estuaries 28:3–27, 2005.
- Bozec, Y., Thomas, H., Elkalay, K., de Baar, H.J.W.: The continental shelf pump for CO2 in
- the North Sea evidence from summer observations. Mar. Chem. 93, 131–147, 2005.
- Bozec, Y., Thomas, H., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Borges, A.V., Elkalay, K., de Baar, H.J.W.:
- Assessment of the processes controlling the seasonal variations of dissolved inorganic
- carbon in the North Sea. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 2746–2762, 2006.
- Brattegard, T., T. Høisæter, K. Sjøtun, T. Fenchel & F. Uiblein.: Norwegian fjords: From
- natural history to ecosystem ecology and beyond, Marine Biology Research, 7:5, 421-424,
- 557 DOI:10.1080/17451000.2011.561355, 2011.
- Cai, W-J., Dai M, Wang Y.: Air-sea exchange of carbon dioxide in ocean margins: a
- province-based synthesis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33:L12603, 2006.
- Chavez, F. P., T. Takahashi, W. J. Cai, G. Friederich, B. Hales, R. Wanninkhof, and R. A.
- Feely: Coastal Oceans, in The First State of the Carbon Cycle Report (SOCCR), edited by
- A. W. King et al., pp. 149–156, NOAA, Natl. Clim. Data Cent., Asheville, N. C., 2007.
- 563 Chen, C-TA., Borges AV.: Reconciling opposing views on carbon cycling in the coastal
- ocean: continental shelves as sinks and near-shore ecosystems as sources of atmospheric
- 565 CO2. Deep-Sea Res. II 56:578–90, 2009.

- Ciais, P., C. Sabine, G. Bala, L. Bopp, V. Brovkin, J. Canadell, A. Chhabra, R. DeFries, J.
- Galloway, M. Heimann, C. Jones, C. Le Quéré, R.B. Myneni, S. Piao and P. Thornton,
- 568 2013: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: Climate Change: The Physical
- Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
- Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
- Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp.
- 573 465–570, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015, 2013.
- 574 Clargo, N.M., L.A. Salt, H. Thomas and H.J.W. de Baar,: Rapid increase of observed DIC and
- pO2 in the surface waters of the North Sea in the 2001-2011 decade ascribed to climate
- change superimposed by biological processes, Mar. Chem., 177, 566–581, 2015.
- Egleston, E. S., C. L. Sabine, and F. M. M. Morel. Revelle revisited: Buffer factors that
- 578 quantify the response of ocean chemistry to changes in DIC and alkalinity. Global
- 579 Biogeochem. Cycl., 24, GB1002, doi:10.1029/2008GB003407, 2010.
- 580 Erga, S.R., and B.R. Heimdal: Ecological studies on the phytoplankton of Korsfjorden,
- western Norway. The dynamics of a spring bloom seen in relation to hydrographical
- conditions and light regime. J Plankton Res 6:67–90, 1984..
- 583 Erga, S.R.: Ecological studies on the phytoplankton of Boknafjorden, western Norway.
- I. The effect of water exchange processes and environmental factors on temporal and vertical
- variability of biomass. Sarsia 74: 161-176, 1989.
- Fosså, J.H., P.B. Mortensen, and D.M Furevik: The deep-water coral Lophelia pertusa in
- Norwegian waters: distribution and fishery impacts, Hydrobiologia, 471, 1-12, 2002.
- Fransson, A., M. Chierici, D. Nomura, M. A. Granskog, S. Kristiansen, T. Martma, and G.
- Nehrke: Effect of glacial drainage water on the CO2 system and ocean acidification state in
- an Arctic tidewater-glacier fjord during two contrasting years, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans
- 591 120, 2413–2429, doi:10.1002/2014JC010320, 2015.
- Frette, Ø., S.R. Erga, B. Hamre, J. Aure, J.J. Stamnes: Seasonal variability in inherent
- optical properties in a western Norwegian fjord. Sarsia 89: 276-291, 2004.
- Helle, H. B.: Summer replacement of deep water in Byfjord, western Norway: mas exchange
- acros the sill induced by upwelling, in Nihoul, J.C.J. (ed.), Hydrodynamics of estuaries and
- fjords" proceedings of the 9th Liege Colloquium on Ocean Hydrodeynamics, Elsevier pp.
- 597 441-464, 1978.
- Johannessen, A., G. Skaret, L. Langård, A. Slotte, Å. Husebø, and A. Fernö.: The dynamics
- of a metapopulation: Changes in life-history traits in resident herring that co-occur with

- oceanic herring during spawning. PLoS ONE 9(7): e102462, 2014.
- 601 Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102462.
- Lauvset, S. K., N. Gruber, P. Landschützer, A. Olsen, and J. Tjiputra: Trends and drivers in
- global surface ocean pH over the past 3 decades. Biogeosciences, 12, 1285–1298, 2015.
- Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., Park, G.-H.,
- Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Key, R. M.: Global relationships of total alkalinity with
- salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33
- 607 (19), L19605, 2006. doi:10.1029/2006gl027207.
- Le Quéré, C., Moriarty, R., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Sitch, S., Korsbakken, J. I.,
- Friedlingstein, P., Peters, G. P., Andres, R. J., Boden, T. A., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I.,
- Keeling, R. F., Tans, P., Arneth, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Barbero, L., Bopp, L., Chang, J.,
- Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P., Fader, M., Feely, R. A., Gkritzalis, T., Harris, I.,
- Hauck, J., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Klein Goldewijk, K., Koven, C.,
- Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lima, I. D., Metzl, N., Millero,
- F., Munro, D. R., Murata, A., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K.,
- Olsen, A., Ono, T., Pérez, F. F., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Rödenbeck,
- 616 C., Saito, S., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Steinhoff, T., Stocker, B. D., Sutton,
- A. J., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Werf, G. R., van
- Heuven, S., Vandemark, D., Viovy, N., Wiltshire, A., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Global
- Carbon Budget 2015, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 7, 349-396, doi:10.5194/essd-7-349-2015,
- 620 2015.
- Lewis, E., Wallace, D.W.R.: Program developed for CO2 system calculations,
- ORNL/CDIAC-105. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National
- Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, 1998.
- 624 Lie, U., Bjørstad, D., and Oug, E.: Eggs and larvae of fish from Lindåspollene. Sarsia 63,
- 625 163-167, 1978.
- Lueker, T.J., A.G. Dickson, and C.D. Keeling: Ocean pCO2 calculated from dissolved
- inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K1 and K2:Validation based on laboratory
- measurements of CO2 in gas and seawater at equilibrium. Mar. Chem. 70:105–119, 2000.
- 629 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00022-0.
- 630 Matthews, J.B.L. and Sands, N.: Ecological studies on the deep-water pelagic community of
- Korsfjorden, western Norway. The topography of the area and its hydrography in 1968-
- 632 1972, with a summary of the sampling programmes', Sarsia, 52, 29-52, 1973.

- 633 McLaughlin, K., S.B. Weisberg, A.G. Dickson, G.E. Hofmann, J.A. Newton, D. Aseltine-
- Neilson, A. Barton, S. Cudd, R.A. Feely, I.W. Jefferds, E.B. Jewett, T. King, C.J.
- Langdon, S. McAfee, D. Pleschner-Steele, and B. Steele: Core principles of the California
- 636 Current Acidification Network: Linking chemistry, physics, and ecological effects.
- 637 *Oceanography* 28(2):160–169, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2015.39.
- Nondal, G., Bellerby, R.G.J., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Olafsson, J.: Optimal evaluation of
- the surface ocean CO2 system in the northern North Atlantic using data from voluntary
- observing ships. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 7, 109–118, 2009.
- Omar, A., T. Johannessen, R. G. J. Bellerby, A. Olsen, L. G. Anderson, and C. Kivime: Sea-
- Ice brine formation in Storfjorden: Implications for the Arctic wintertime air—sea CO₂
- flux, p. 177-187. In The Nordic Seas: An integrated perspective. Geophysical Monograph
- Series 158 American Geo-physical Union, 2005.
- Omar, A., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Hoppema, M., Thomas, H., Borges, A.: Spatiotemporal
- variations of fCO₂ in the North Sea, Ocean Science, 6, 77-89, 2010.
- Provoost, P., van Heuven, S., Soetaert, K., Laane, R. W. P. M., and Middelburg, J. J.:
- Seasonal and long-term changes in pH in the Dutch coastal zone, Biogeosciences, 7, 3869-
- 3878, 2010. doi:10.5194/bg-7-3869-2010.
- Royal Society: Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. The Royal
- Society Policy Document 12/05. London. 68pp, 2005.
- Salvanes, A.G.V., and J.T. Noreide: Dominating sublittoral fish species in a west Norwegian
- fjord and their trophic links to cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). Sarsia 78, 221-234, 1993.
- 654 Schlitzer, R. Ocean Data View. https://odv.awi.de/, 2015.
- 655 Stigebrandt, A.: Hydrodynamics and Circulation of Fjords. Encyclopedia of Lakes and
- Reservoirs. Part of the series Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series pp 327-344, 2012.
- 657 Svendsen.H.: Wind-induced variations of circulation and water level in coupled fjord-coast
- 658 systems, in Saetre.R. and Mork.M. (eds.), Proc. Symp. Nonv. Coastal Current, Geilo,
- 659 September 1980, University of Bergen, pp. 229-262, 1981.
- Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Elkalay, K., De Baar, H.J.W., Borges, A.V. and Schiettecatte, L.-S.:
- Controls of the surface water partial pressure of CO2 in the North Sea. Biogeosciences, 2,
- 662 323–334, 2005.
- Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Elkalay, K. and De Baar, H.: Enhanced open ocean storage of CO2
- from shelf sea pumping, Science, 304, 1005–1008, 2004.
- Thomas, H., Prowe, A.E.F, Van Heuven, S., Bozec, Y., De Baar, H.J.W, Schiettecatte, L.-S.,
- Suykens, K., Koné, M., Borges, A.V., Lima, I.D. and Doney, S.C.: Rapid decline of the

667	CO2 buriering capacity in the North Sea and implications for the North Atlantic Ocean,
668	Global Biogechem. Cycles 21, 2007. doi:10.1029/2006GB002825.
669	Thomas, H., Schiettecatte, LS., Suykens, K., Kone, Y.J.M., Shadwick, E.H., Prowe, A.E.F.,
670	Bozec, Y., De Baar, H.J.W. and Borges, A.V.: Enhanced ocean carbon storage from
671	anaerobic alkalinity generation in coastal sediments, Biogeosciences, 6, 267–274, 2009.
672	van Heuven, S., D. Pierrot, J.W.B. Rae, E. Lewis and D.W.R. Wallace: MATLAB Program
673	Developed for CO2 System Calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105b. CDIAC, Oak Ridge
674	National Laboratory, Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A, 2011. doi:
675	10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS_MATLAB_v1.1
676	Wootton, J. T., Pfister, C. A., and Forester, J. D: Dynamic patterns and ecological impacts of
677	declining ocean pH in a high-resolution multi-year dataset, PNAS, 105, 18848–18853,
678	2008.
679	
680	
681	
682	
602	
683	
684	
685	
083	
686	
687	
688	
689	
690	
691	
692	
693	
694	
695	

Tables:

Table 1: Details of the CarboSchools (CS) and Raunefjord (RF) cruise datasets. The plus sign
 denotes the parameters for which sampling/measurement were carried out. For the RF dataset,
 each data point represents the average of five measurements acquired in the upper five meters.

Datasett; area	Date(m/d/y)	Lon (E)	Lat (N)	Depth (m)	DIC	TA	SST	SSS	Reference/ originator
CarboSchools (CS);									
Korsfjord/Raunefjord	04/13/2007	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	I. Skjelvan
, ,	04/13/2007	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	5
	04/25/2007	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	04/25/2007	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	09/04/2008	5.18	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.17	60.15	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.18	60.32	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	03/12/2009	5.18	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.17	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.18	60.16	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.18	60.16	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/24/2009	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.19	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.2	60.34	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/25/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.19	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.18	60.17	1	+	+	+	+	
	08/27/2009	5.2	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
	09/08/2010	5.2	60.33	1	+	+	+	+	
2017 17 (1)									I. Skjelvan / A.
2015; Korsfjord	09/29/2015			5	+	+	+	+	Omar

							I. Skjelvan / A.
2015; Langenuen	09/29/2015	5	+	+	+	+	Omar
							I. Skjelvan / A.
2015; Hardangerfjord	09/29/2015	5	+	+	+	+	Omar

Table 1(continued)

Datasett; area	Date(m/d/y)	Lon_E Lat_N	Depth (m)	DIC	TA	SST	SSS	Reference/ originator
								S. R. Erga / J.
RF; Raunefjord	01/03/2007		1-5			+	+	Egge
	01/23/2007		1-5			+	+	
	02/13/2007		1-5			+	+	
	02/27/2007		1-5			+	+	
	03/07/2007		1-5			+	+	
	03/13/2007		1-5			+	+	
	03/27/2007		1-5			+	+	
	04/10/2007		1-5			+	+	
	04/17/2007		1-5			+	+	
	04/23/2007		1-5			+	+	
	05/08/2007		1-5			+	+	
	05/19/2007		1-5			+	+	
	06/05/2007		1-5			+	+	
	06/12/2007		1-5			+	+	
	06/19/2007		1-5			+	+	
	08/31/2007		1-5			+	+	
	09/04/2007		1-5			+	+	
	09/11/2007		1-5			+	+	
	09/18/2007		1-5			+	+	
	09/26/2007		1-5			+	+	
	10/02/2007		1-5			+	+	
	10/09/2007		1-5			+	+	
	10/18/2007		1-5			+	+	
	10/31/2007		1-5			+	+	
	11/27/2007		1-5			+	+	
	12/11/2007		1-5			+	+	
	01/02/2008		1-5			+	+	
	02/05/2008		1-5			+	+	
	02/21/2008		1-5			+	+	
	03/05/2008		1-5			+	+	
	03/11/2008		1-5			+	+	
	03/25/2008		1-5			+	+	
	03/31/2008		1-5			+	+	
	04/08/2008		1-5			+	+	
	04/22/2008		1-5			+	+	
	04/29/2008		1-5			+	+	
	05/06/2008		1-5			+	+	
	05/13/2008		1-5			+	+	
	05/20/2008		1-5			+	+	
	05/20/2008		1-5			+		
	03/2//2008		1.5			+	+	

06/04/2008	1-5	+	+
06/11/2008	1-5	+	+
06/17/2008	1-5	+	+
06/24/2008	1-5	+	+
07/01/2008	1-5	+	+
07/08/2008	1-5	+	+
07/16/2008	1-5	+	+
08/12/2008	1-5	+	+
08/19/2008	1-5	+	+
08/26/2008	1-5	+	+
09/02/2008	1-5	+	+
09/09/2008	1-5	+	+
09/16/2008	1-5	+	+
09/23/2008	1-5	+	+
09/30/2008	1-5	+	+
10/07/2008	1-5	+	+
10/14/2008	1-5	+	+
10/21/2008	1-5	+	+
11/04/2008	1-5	+	+
11/20/2008	1-5	+	+
12/19/2008	1-5	+	+

Table 2: Overview of the symbols used for quantities estimated and/or derived from the measurement-based variables SSS, SST, TA, pH, DIC, fCO₂, and Ω_{Ar} .

Symbol	Meaning
TA(sss)	TA values estimated from measured SSS and SST using Eq. 2.
pH(sss), Ω_{Ar} (sss)	pH, and Ω_{Ar} values estimated by combining TA (sss) and fCO ₂ .
SSS(sst)	SSS values estimated from SST using Eq. 1.
TA(sst)	TA values determined from estimated SSS(sst) and SST using Eq. 2.
pH(sst), Ω_{Ar} (sst), DIC(sst)	Values of pH, Ω_{Ar} and DIC that have been obtained by combining TA (sst) ,
	fCO ₂ and ancillary variables.
fCO _{2t}	fCO ₂ at the mean temperature
fCO_{2ts}	fCO ₂ at the mean temperature and salinity
nDIC	DIC normalized to the mean salinity

Table 3: Results of the comparisons between measured-based and estimated values for pH, Ω_{Ar} , SST (°C), and SSS. For the first three parameters, the statistics of the linear relationships depicted on Fig 2b-2d are listed. For SSS, monthly averaged data are compared to estimates obtained with Eq.1 using monthly SST. For SST, the comparison is carried out to verify that measurements from Raunefjord are representative for the whole study area (i.e. UW_SST can be estimated by RF_SST), which is implicitly assumed by the use of Eq.1. R^2 is the coefficient of determination, and "rmse" denotes the root-square-mean error. The latter is compared against benchmarks derived from maximum target uncertainties (Max. uncertainty) developed by Ocean Acidification Networks (section 3.1). The *p-value* is the probability of no linear relation between the estimated and measurement-based values.

Compared variables	Co	mparison sta	atistics		Benchmarks
	R ²	p-value	# points	rmse	Max. uncertainty
pH_meas/comp. and pH(sst)	1.00	< 0.001	106	0.003	±0.02
Ω_{Ar} computed and $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$	0.98	< 0.001	106	0.04	±0.2
UW_SST and RF_SST	0.95	< 0.001	61	0.49	±1.25
SSS and SSS(sst)	0.65	0.002	12	0.3	±1.8

723

724

Table 4: Monthly mean values for pH(sst) and Ω_{Ar} (sst) and associated interannual variability (IAV), computed as standard deviations, in the study area for the period 2005-2009.

		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
pH(sst)	Mean	8.08	8.10	8.16	8.19	8.18	8.15	8.15	8.17	8.14	8.11	8.10	8.08
	IAV	< 0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
$\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$	Mean	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.2	1.9	1.8
	IAV	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	< 0.05	< 0.05

725

726

Figure texts:

- Fig. 1: An overview map of western Norway with a detailed map of the study area showing the positions from
 where cruise and underway data have been acquired. The thick grey arrow indicates the approximate position of
 the Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC).
- Fig. 2: A) RF SSS as a function of SST (filled symbols) with the regression line described by Eq.1. Sampling month is indicated by the color of the data points. The CS (dots), 2015 (squares), and sensor (stars) datasets are also shown for comparison with the regression line. B) Compares RF SST with chronologically co-located UW SST acquired from the whole study area during 2008 (blue) and 2007 (red). C) Compares pH(sst) with pH values that have been measured or computed from TA and DIC. Symbols are as in Fig. 1. D) Compares Ω_{Ar} (sst) with Ω_{Ar} values that have been computed from measured TA and DIC or from measured pH and UW fCO₂. Symbols are as in Fig. 1.
- Fig. 3: A) Estimated pH(sst), B) UW SST, C) estimated Ω_{Ar} (sst), and D) estimated DIC which have been normalized to the mean salinity of 30.5 as a function of latitude and time of the year. All data from 2005-2009 have been condensed into one virtual year to resolve the spatial and seasonal variations.
- Fig. 4: left panel: Monthly pH changes (Δ pH) as observed (Δ) and expected due to: sum of all derivers (Δ p), SST changes (Δ p), DIC changes (Δ p) and by TA changes (Δ p). right panel: Standard deviations in monthly mean Δ p are a result of variations in all parameters (Δ p) or only in SST (Δ p) in DIC (Δ p) in TA (Δ p).
- 743 **Fig. 5:** A) and B) pH and Ω_{Ar} from CS (dots) and 2015 (red squares) cruises plotted as a function of $ln(fCO_2)$ and $ln(fCO_{2@meanSST})$, respectively.
- 745 **FigS1:** Time series of monthly variations in **A**) pH(sst), **B**) SST, **C**) $\Omega_{Ar}(sst)$ and **D**) nDIC for the whole study area in 2005-2009.
- FigS2: A) compares pH(sst) with pH values obtained from Eq. 5 (y-axis). B) Compares Ω_{Ar} (sst) with values obtained from Eq. 6 (y-axis).











