



Department of Solar Energy and Environmental Physics
The Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel
ashkena@bgu.ac.il
Yosef Ashkenazy

May 12, 2016

Dr. John M. Huthnance
Handling Topic Editor, Ocean Science
jmh@noc.ac.uk

**RE: os-2016-8 “Current temporal asymmetry and the role of tides:
Nan-Wan Bay vs. the Gulf of Elat”** by Yosef Ashkenazy, Erick Fredj, Hezi
Gildor, Gwo-Ching Gong, and Hung-Jen Lee

Dear Dr. Huthnance,

Thank you very much for your detailed response on the revised manuscript. It is unusual that editors make the efforts to read carefully the papers they are considering and we really appreciate your efforts and helped us to improve our manuscript. Please find below our response to all your comments. In your report you wrote:

Thank-you for your revised version. However, I need to tell you that referee 1 wanted “major revisions” and to see the revised version again. I think this means that they were expecting rather more revision. I guess that may be in respect of motivation and the wider significance (both reviewers only rated the significance as “fair”). So I am asking you please to consider doing rather more in response to (both) reviewers comments, so that I can sensibly send it back for re-review, at least to the one that asked for it.

We note that Reviewer 1 already responded to our reply and to the revisions we have performed in response to his/her comments and was actually satisfied with them. This Reviewer 1 response was posted in the on-line discussions web-page on 20 April, 2016. Reviewer’s 1 second report is:

It is a pleasure to see how the authors have responded to my first review. I am satisfied with the answers and improvements, although I did not manage to find an updated .pdf version of the manuscript. So, on the

basis of the authors responses (and expecting that they will be reflected in the new manuscript), I am happy to recommend publication.

We hope that you will find our revised manuscript suitable for publication in Ocean Science.

The revised version of the re-submission includes: our response to your comments, the (slightly) revised response to the reviewers' comments, the revised version of the manuscript, and the revised version of the manuscript that includes the differences between the initial submitted version of the manuscript and this revised version of the manuscript.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Y. Ashkenazy'.

Yosef Ashkenazy, Erick Fredj, Hezi
Gildor, Gwo-Ching Gong, and
Hung-Jen Lee

Editor

Specific comments

Page 2 line 10. “. . . properties of surface currents”?

Done.

Page 2 line 27. “. . . would result in additional conclusions.” ?

Done.

Page 3 line 5. “. . . (“wan” is Chinese for bay) . . .”

Done.

Page 3 lines 17-18. “The semidiurnal and diurnal tidal components of the currents in Nan-Wan Bay are approximately equal in their magnitude (Lee et al., 1997) but are modulated by the spring-neap tidal cycle. The temperature . . .”

Done.

Page 3 line 27. The meaning of “semidiurnal peak” is not clear to me. Is it the maximum current within the 12-hour tidal cycle, or in the spring-neap cycle, or saying that semidiurnal exceeds diurnal? If this is clear I dont think you need to refer to the “form factor”.

Following the Editor’s suggestion we rewrote this sentence as follows:

The semidiurnal peak, forced by the flux of water through the Straits of Tiran, dominates the weak diurnal tidal component . . .

Pages 6-7. There is a lot of emphasis on the asymmetry. In your response to referee 2 you say “we find . . . to be fundamental and interesting enough to be considered”. I can accept this but it would help if you stated more about why they are interesting in the revised text. I agree they could test model results. For example, there could be a relation with the degree of non-linearity and rectification (which models might find more difficult).

In response to the Editor comment we added the following sentences to the revised manuscript: on page 2, lines 29-31

The asymmetry and other statistical characteristics of surface currents may be used to test and validate the performance of oceanic models.

and on page 7, lines 16-17

The asymmetry measure may be used to test the performance of ocean models as it also quantifies nonlinear aspects of the underlying process.

Page 7 lines 33-34. This sentence seems to say much the same thing twice. Also (line 34) “the surface current asymmetry” does not apply in the Gulf of Elat which the sentence is discussing, and (line 33) you dont need “suggests that it has a weak tidal signal” since you already know that the Gulf of Elat tides are weak.

We agree and rewrote this problematic sentence as follows:

This absence of asymmetry is associated with the weak tidal signal in the Gulf of Elat.

Page 8 lines 12-14. Twice you say in the response that “we stress that we purposely choose such different environments . . .” but I dont really see this at page 8 lines 12-14 (I am not sure which version is referred to). Perhaps this could be added with reasons (why “purposely”) in the appropriate place.

Probably the word “purposely” is not appropriate and we excluded it now from the response to the reviewers. As for the reference to “page 8, lines 12-14”, mistakenly this was not in place. We rewrote our response to the reviewers as follows

We highlight the choice of different environments in several places in the revised manuscript: (i) page 1, line 1-2, (ii) page 2, lines 17-19, (iii) page 2, lines 24-25, (iv) page 7, lines 11-13. In addition we also state in the Summary section (in page 8, lines 12-14):

We fitted the PDFs of the surface currents to the Weibull distribution and found large spatial and seasonal variability of the Weibull distribution parameters (the shape k and scale λ parameters) in both basins, in spite of the many differences between the two regions.

Page 8 end of Summary. Add “In addition, the statistical characteristics of the various regions should be used as a benchmark for model performance”? as in your response to reviewer 1 §5.

Done.

Reviewer 1

We thank Reviewer 1 for her/his thoughtful comments. Reviewer 1 concludes that *“In my opinion, the paper is in principle clearly written, regarding methods and results. However, to truly appreciate the added value of this study, I think the motivation of the study should be better articulated (including the choice of bays) as well as the wider implications and overall significance of the results.”* We are grateful for the reviewer’s comments which helped us to improve the paper. Below is our detailed response.

Specific comments

§1, first goal. The first goal, stated on line 17 on page 2, only seems meaningful because of the outcome. I mean: what if you would have found the opposite results, i.e. different statistics? In my opinion, you could not conclude anything about uniqueness because of the profound differences between the two bays. Please comment on this.

We agree and rephrased the goal of the paper as follows:

The first goal of the present study was to verify whether the spatial variability of the statistical properties of the surface currents in the Gulf of Elat, reported in Ashkenazy and Gildor (2011), also exists in a quite different environment such as the Nan-Wan Bay of Taiwan (Fig. 1a,c).

§1, choice of bays. These differences between the two bays appear to be so profound that it is hard to really learn from the results. To avoid the impression of a somewhat artificial choice, I invite the authors to better motivate their choice to compare these two bays.

These two bays are very different, as described in manuscript, while at the same time, high-quality radar data exist for both. This is exactly why we choose these two locations. It wouldn’t be that interesting to compare two similar bays. Moreover, had the results show that both bays share the same statistics (in all aspects), it would have been very surprising. That some of the characteristics are the same despite the large differences between the bays, suggest that our choice was actually not so bad, and that the reported conclusion regarding the natural variability of the statistical properties of surface currents is relevant in other marine environments.

We highlight the choice of different environments in several places in the revised manuscript: (i) page 1, line 1-2, (ii) page 2, lines 17-19, (iii) page 2, lines 24-25, (iv) page 7, lines 11-13. In addition we also state in the Summary section (in page 8, lines 12-14):

We fitted the PDFs of the surface currents to the Weibull distribution and found large spatial and seasonal variability of the Weibull distribution

parameters (the shape k and scale λ parameters) in both basins, in spite of the many differences between the two regions.

§3.4, asymmetry. The notation of τ seems incorrect. According to Eq. (2), it is a number of time steps, i.e. an integer number. Yet, according to the text it is a time interval, measured in days. What is missing is the conversion by the time step Δt of the time series. Correct would be: time interval $\tau = N_\tau \Delta t$ with N_τ the number of time steps to be used in the summation in Eq. (2). Please correct/clarify., choice of bays.

We agree and changed the text and Eq. (2) accordingly as follows:

The asymmetry measure, A , of the current speed time series s_i ($i = 1 \dots N$ where N is the length of the time series) can be expressed as:

$$A(\tau) = \frac{1}{N - N_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{N-N_\tau} \Theta(s_{i+N_\tau} - s_i), \quad (2)$$

where $\tau = N_\tau \Delta t$ is the asymmetry time interval, Δt is the measurement temporal resolution, N_τ is the number of time steps compose the asymmetry time interval, and $\Theta(x)$ is a step function which is 1 for $x > 0$ and zero otherwise.

§4, standard deviation. I think the last statement on p.6 (line 33) is only correct if, in the calculation of the standard deviations per season, the annual mean is used (rather than the mean of that particular season). For example: it is theoretically possible to have zero standard deviations per season (constant values within season, but differing from one season to another), in combination with a nonzero overall (annual) standard deviation. Can you comment on this?

Following the reviewer's comment we decided to remove this confusing sentence from the revised manuscript.

§5, summary. I miss some elaboration on the wider implications of these results. This makes it hard for me to assess the overall significance of the results. Please expand.

Following the reviewer's comment we added the following sentences to the end of the summary section of the revised manuscript:

Our results indicate large spatial variability of the statistical properties of surface currents, even in small regions of a few kilometers and in very different environments. Thus, regional ocean modeling verification as well as the estimation of kinetic energy that can be extracted using ocean currents should be performed by using sufficiently fine spatial resolution. In addition, the statistical characteristics of the various regions should

be used as a benchmark for model performance.

Technical corrections

Throughout manuscript: please be consistent with fall vs autumn.

Done—we now only use the term 'fall'. Thank you.

§2.1-2, study regions. Perhaps consider mentioning the form factor F to quantify the relative importance of diurnal and semidiurnal tides for both basins?

We agree that the form factor F is a useful measure to quantify the importance of diurnal and semidiurnal tides. Yet, since it is clear that tides are much more significant in Nan Wan Bay compare to the Gulf of Elat, and to simplify and ease the reading of the manuscript, we prefer not to introduce and present this form factor measure.

Page 5, below Eq. (1). Please state that x represents the random variable (symbol not explained).

We now write that x is a “Weibull random variable”.

Page 5, line 15. It is not clear that these are three alternatives: I guess either (i), (ii) or (iii) is used. Further to this, I presume that different moments refers to statistical moments, and I think that hazard function may not be clear to some readers.

We actually analyzed using all three alternatives. The different methods yielded similar results and we mention it in the text. Following the reviewer’s comment we rephrased these sentences as follows:

...it is possible to estimate k using either (i) the different statistical moments, or (ii) the hazard function (see Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011, for more details), or (iii) the maximum likelihood estimator of the Weibull distribution The different methods yielded similar results, and we thus present below only the results that are based on the different moment estimation (see Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011).

Page 5, line 32: “The asymmetry measure of the current speed...” change into “The asymmetry measure A of the current speed...”

Done.

Figures 3, 4, 5, and 7: please include in the caption that these plots are about Nan Wan Bay

Done.

Figure 5: it is not clear from the figure and caption that the quantity A is plotted here. Please add.

Done.

Reviewer 2

We thank very much Reviewer 2 for the important comments. Reviewer 2 stated that *“This paper makes some interesting and important contributions, in particular the introduction of the somewhat unusual distribution the authors promote; at least to me the distribution was not exactly a household name. The paper is unquestionably competently done, and well presented.”*. At the end of review, reviewer 2 wrote: *“But - once they made the choice to compare those two fundamentally different bays, and once they chose the physical variable they did, the authors did an excellent job deonstrating a very useful and practical application for these quite unique data sets.”* We thank the reviewer for this evaluation and address below all the other comments of the reviewer.

There are two main issues I thought the authors can better address in a future review.

The first is the rationale for the comparison of the two bays. As I read the ms, my strong impression was that the comparison was made for one reason only: the presence of the radar system the authors use in both locales. This is not a complleing reason for comparison. On the contrary are more compelling arguments against the comparison, in particular that the bays are SO dramatically bathymetrically and geometrically different, and that they are forced by fundamentally different processes. These two observations taken together suggest that neither bay is a particularly interesting or apt comparison for the other. To be sure, each is perfectly interesting in its own right. Its just the comparison that feels strained.

These two bays are very different, as described in manuscript, while at the same time, high-quality radar data exist for both. This is exactly why we choose these two locations. It wouldn't be that interesting to compare two similar bays. Moreover, had the results show that both bays share the same statistics (in all aspects), it would have been very surprising. That some of the characteristics are the same despite the large differences between the bays, suggest that our choice was actually not so bad, and that the reported conclusion regarding the natural variability of the statistical properties of surface currents is relevant in other marine environments.

We highlight the choice of different environments in several places in the revised manuscript: (i) page 1, line 1-2, (ii) page 2, lines 17-19, (iii) page 2, lines 24-25, (iv) page 7, lines 11-13. In addition we also state in the Summary section (in page 8, lines 12-14):

We fitted the PDFs of the surface currents to the Weibull distribution and found large spatial and seasonal variability of the Weibull distribution parameters (the shape k and scale λ parameters) in both basins, in spite of the many differences between the two regions.

Second, I can think of many physical variables to characterize the flow by. Time

of acceleration of surface current seems secondary at best, and the authors do not provide compelling rationale for this peculiar choice.

We agree that are many other measures that one could use. Yet, we find the parameters of the probability density function as well as the asymmetry of the time series to be fundamental and interesting enough to be considered. Moreover, as now suggested in the Summary, these statistical characteristics can be easily used as a benchmark for model performance. We hope to explore more features of the time series in the future.

Current temporal asymmetry and the role of tides: Nan-Wan Bay vs. the Gulf of Elat

Yosef Ashkenazy¹, Erick Fredj², Hezi Gildor³, Gwo-Ching Gong⁴, and Hung-Jen Lee⁵

¹Department of Solar Energy and Environmental Physics, BIDR, Ben-Gurion University, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, 84990, Israel

²The Jerusalem College of Technology, Jerusalem, Israel

³Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University, Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

⁴Institute of Marine Environment and Ecology, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan

⁵Department of Marine Environmental Informatics, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan

Correspondence to: Y. Ashkenazy (ashkena@bgu.ac.il)

Abstract. Nan-Wan Bay in Taiwan and the Gulf of Elat in Israel are two different coastal environments, and as such, their currents are expected to have different statistical properties. While Nan-Wan Bay is shallow, has three open boundaries, and is directly connected to the open ocean, the Gulf of Elat is deep, semi-enclosed, and connected to the Red Sea via the Straits of Tiran. Surface currents have been continuously measured with fine temporal (less than or equal to one hour) and spatial resolution (less than or equal to one km) for more than a year in both environments using coastal radars (CODARs) that cover a domain of roughly 10×10 kms. These measurements show that the currents in Nan-Wan Bay are much stronger than those in the Gulf of Elat and that the mean current field in Nan-Wan Bay exhibits cyclonic circulation, which is stronger in the summer; in the Gulf of Elat, the mean current field is directed southward and is also stronger during the summer. We have compared the statistical properties of the current speeds in both environments and found that both exhibit large spatial and seasonal variations in the shape parameter of the Weibull distribution. However, we have found fundamental and significant differences when comparing the temporal asymmetry of the current speed (i.e., the ratio between the time during which the current speed increases and the total time). While the Nan-Wan Bay currents are significantly asymmetric, those of the Gulf of Elat are not. We then extracted the tidal component of the Nan-Wan Bay currents and found that it is strongly asymmetric, while the asymmetry of tidally filtered currents is much weaker. We thus conclude that the temporal asymmetry of the Nan-Wan Bay currents reported here is due to the strong tides in the region. We show that the asymmetry ratio in Nan-Wan Bay varies spatially and seasonally: (i) the currents increase rapidly and decay slowly in the northern part of the domain and vice versa in the southern part, and (ii) the asymmetry is stronger during summer.

1 Introduction

Ocean variability covers a wide range of temporal and spatial scales, from seconds to tens of thousands of years and from millimeters to tens of thousands of kilometers. Obviously, even the most advanced ocean models cannot resolve this wide range of scales, and thus they use sub-grid parameterizations to account for such phenomena. Modeling of oceanic dynamics is

often based on forcing from point measurements and on long-term-mean measurements (e.g., monthly averages). In addition, models are often calibrated (tuned) and validated against these long-term-mean point measurements. Such calibration and validation is performed under the assumption that these measurements represent the spatial grid resolution of the ocean model.

One key variable of ocean dynamics is ocean currents. There are various ways to measure ocean currents, particularly ocean surface currents. Point measurement tools include rotor-based devices and the acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP). Various types of drifters and floats can be used to approximate the currents. Satellite data that measure the sea surface height can be used to estimate geostrophic currents; these provide, on a daily basis, global scale surface current maps with resolutions of a few kilometers. Coastal radar (CODAR, see details below) systems are increasingly used to measure surface currents at finer spatial (from a few hundred meters) and temporal (half an hour and more) scales. Such rich and detailed data can be used to analyze the statistical properties ~~and of~~ surface currents.

The Weibull distribution was previously used to characterize the probability density function (PDF) of altimeter-based surface currents (e.g., Chu, 2008, 2009), and global maps of the shape and scale parameters of the Weibull distribution were constructed. A detailed statistical analysis of CODAR-based surface currents from the northern tip of the Gulf of Elat (Aqaba) was performed by some of us (Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011); it was found that the shape and scale parameters of the Weibull distribution significantly vary in this small area of $6 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$ (Fig. 1b,d). Using a variant of a simple Ekman layer model, this spatial variability was attributed to the temporal variability of the local winds.

The first goal of the present study was to verify whether the spatial variability of the statistical properties of the surface currents in the Gulf of Elat, reported in Ashkenazy and Gildor (2011) ~~are unique to Elat or whether they exist~~, also exists in a quite different environment such as the Nan-Wan Bay of Taiwan (Fig. 1a,c). There are several differences between the Gulf of Elat and Nan-Wan Bay: (i) Nan-Wan Bay is open to the ocean from three sides, while the Gulf of Elat is a semi-enclosed basin (with one open boundary); (ii) Nan-Wan Bay is directly connected to the world ocean (the South China Sea), while the Gulf of Elat is connected to the world ocean (the Indian Ocean) via two straits, to and from the Red Sea; (iii) the water depth in Nan-Wan Bay (in the study area, Fig. 1c) is relatively shallow compared with the depth of the Gulf of Elat (in the study area, Fig. 1d); and (iv) the currents of Nan-Wan Bay have a strong tidal component, while those of the Gulf of Elat do not. We find that the level of variability in these two different basins is similar. We note that the specific choice of Nan-Wan Bay and the Gulf of Elat is primarily since high quality continuous CODAR data was available to us for analysis for these two locations; it is possible that comparison of CODAR data from other locations (which unfortunately were not available to us) would results in additional

The second goal of the present study was to identify and quantify the surface currents' temporal asymmetry (i.e., the ratio between the time during which the current speed increases and the total time) in both Nan-Wan Bay and the Gulf of Elat. The asymmetry and other statistical characteristics of surface currents may be used to test and validate the performance of oceanic models. We found that the surface currents in Nan-Wan Bay are significantly asymmetric, while those of the Gulf of Elat are symmetric. We show here that the asymmetry of Nan-Wan Bay's currents is rooted in the strong tides of the bay, while the absence of asymmetry in the Gulf of Elat is associated with the relatively weak tidal signal in this gulf.

The paper is organized as follows. We first describe the research area of Nan-Wan Bay (Sec. 2.1) and the Gulf of Elat (Sec. 2.2). We next describe the CODAR data, the statistical methods used to evaluate the parameters of the currents PDFs, the detiding procedure, and the measure for current temporal asymmetry (Sec. 3). We then compare the statistical properties of Nan-Wan Bay with those of the Gulf of Elat (Sec. 4). The results regarding the temporal asymmetry of the currents and their relation to the tides are discussed in Sec. 4. A summary then follows (Sec. 5).

2 Study regions

2.1 Nan-Wan Bay

Nan-Wan (“wan” ~~in Chinese means is~~ Chinese for bay) Bay is located at the southernmost part of Taiwan (Fig. 1a,c). It is bounded by western (Mou-Bi-Tou) and eastern (O-Luan-Bi) capes in which the distance between them is ~ 14 km. The bay is surrounded by the Taiwan Strait (from the west), the South China Sea (from the southwestern direction), the Luzon Strait (from the south), and the Pacific Ocean (from the east). The bay includes some seamounts that partially block it. The eastern side of the bay includes a shallow continental shelf (≈ 5 km wide); the western side of the bay has a very small continental shelf. The curved (parallel to the coast) channel is partially bounded by the southern seamount.

Nan-Wan is subject to monsoonal winds; these blow from the southwestern direction during summer and from the north-eastern direction during late fall, winter, and early summer. Thus, during winter, the strong monsoon winds blow downhill to the south from the mountains of Nan-Wan towards the bay. During the fall, wind-driven currents are weak compared to the tidally driven currents; yet, wind-driven currents are strong during a few typhoon events. The north-flowing Kuroshio Current is adjacent to Taiwan from the east, but still, its influence on Nan-Wan Bay is not significant compared to the tidally driven currents. The tidal current speed at spring tides exceeds 2 m/s (Lee et al., 1997, 1999a, b).

The semidiurnal and diurnal tidal components of the currents in Nan-Wan are Bay are approximately equal in their magnitude (Lee et al., 1997) but are modulated by the spring-neap tidal cycle. ~~These two are approximately equal in their magnitude~~ (Lee et al., 1997). The temperature hardly drops around the neap tides, while during the spring tides, the temperature can suddenly drop by several degrees for several hours due to strong tidally magnified upwelling. Such a temperature drop can reach 10°C . For example, on November 24, 1988, the sea surface temperature dropped suddenly (within a few hours) by 10°C (from 24°C to 14°C), leading to a massive fish kill. A similar event occurred during July 2008.

2.2 The Gulf of Elat

The study region is located in the northern terminus of the Gulf of Elat/Aqaba, in the northeastern region of the Red Sea; it is a nearly rectangular, deep (~ 700 m; Fig. 1d), and semi-enclosed basin. A desert mountain range surrounds the Gulf of Elat and steers the persistent northerly wind along its main axis (Berman et al., 2003; Afargan and Gildor, 2015). Several components affect the water circulation/currents in the gulf: winds, tides, and the thermohaline circulation. The semidiurnal peak, forced by the flux of water through the Straits of Tiran, dominates the weak diurnal tidal component (Genin and Paldor, 1998; Monismith

and Genin, 2004; Manasrah et al., 2006; Carlson et al., 2012). The surface current in the study region often exhibits a complex (although spatially coherent) pattern, including eddies that cover a large part of the domain (Gildor et al., 2010; Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2009, 2011).

The gulf is almost blocked from the cold and dense water of the world ocean due to the shallow sill (137 m) between the Indian Ocean and the Red Sea (Bab el Mandeb) and the shallow sill (240 m) between the Red Sea and the Gulf of Elat (the Tiran Strait) (Genin, 2008). Thus, the water column in the gulf exhibits weak stratification and winter deep water formation caused by surface cooling and evaporation (Wolf-Vecht et al., 1992; Genin et al., 1995; Biton et al., 2008; Biton and Gildor, 2011a; Carlson et al., 2014). During February and March, temperature and salinity are almost uniform down to a depth of a few hundred metres (and sometimes down to the bottom); new stratification begins to form in March (Wolf-Vecht et al., 1992). The gulf is stratified in summer when an upper (~ 200 m) warm layer overlies a homogeneous deeper layer (Biton and Gildor, 2011b).

The wind in the Elat region is northerly (with a small easterly component) during most of the year (Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011; Afargan and Gildor, 2015). Strong southerly winds occur rarely during the winter, usually during southern storms. There is a strong diurnal cycle associated with the diurnal breeze cycle in the summer (Saaroni et al., 2004). On average, the wind is stronger during summer.

3 Methods

3.1 HF-radar-based currents

High frequency (HF) radar systems for surface current measurements (Barrick et al., 1985; Gurgel et al., 1999b), like the SeaSonde (Hodgins, 1994) and Wellen Radar (WERA; Gurgel et al., 1999a), have become popular in recent years and have mainly been used to study coastal circulation. These systems usually operate at a frequency of ~ 24 MHz or lower, covering distances from several tens of kilometers up to more than a hundred kilometers, at a resolution of a few kilometers.

Many articles have described in detail the theory behind the HF radar surface current measurements (e.g., Gurgel et al., 1999b; Barrick et al., 1985). Briefly, surface gravity waves reflect radio waves that were transmitted by the HF radar, and these are again detected by the radar. Surface currents can be measured based on the Bragg resonance of the surface waves with the transmitted radio waves. The received spectrum is not identical to the transmitted spectrum due to the Doppler shift caused by the radial component of the phase shift of incoming and outgoing waves. Waves that are superimposed on a current lead to a further shift in the spectral peaks. This additional shift allows the extraction of the radial component of the current. It is possible to calculate the surface velocity field based on two radar sites that measure the radial velocity of a patch of water from two different angles.

CODAR systems have been used to measure surface current fields both in Nan-Wan (Fig. 2a) and in the Gulf of Elat (see, e.g., Gildor et al., 2009, 2010). There are two CODAR stations in Nan-Wan (indicated by the red dots in Fig. 2a) operated at 24-27 MHz; a third CODAR station has been operated since June 2014 and is not included here. The CODARs produce current fields with a spatial resolution of 1.5 km and a temporal resolution of 1 hour. The CODAR measurements in the Gulf of Elat are

conducted by two 42-MHz SeaSonde HF radar systems that were installed in the gulf in August 2005. They measure currents at a temporal resolution of half an hour and a spatial resolution of about 300 m. Below we analyze one year of surface current fields for both Nan-Wan (from March 1, 2013) and the Gulf of Elat (from October 1, 2005). The surface current fields were filtered and interpolated to fill spatial gaps in the observation (see, e.g., Lekien and Gildor, 2009; Lekien et al., 2004). There were many missing days of Nan-Wan Bay data from September to November, 2013, and we thus do not present the results of these ~~autumn~~-fall months. Consequently, the presented annual mean results underestimate the effect of the fall season.

3.2 The Weibull distribution

In a previous study (Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011), some of us have analyzed the parameters of the Weibull distribution describing the PDF of the surface currents in the Gulf of Elat. It was found that these parameters exhibit a large spatial variability that changes seasonally. Here we applied the same procedure on the Nan-Wan CODAR surface current measurements. We thus only briefly describe the parameter estimation procedure, and the interested reader can find more details in Ashkenazy and Gildor (2011).

The Weibull distribution was suggested as an appropriate PDF of wind (e.g., Monahan, 2006, 2010) and surface current (e.g., Chu, 2008, 2009) speed. While it is possible that other distributions may be more appropriate models for current speeds, we restricted ourselves to the Weibull distribution to allow comparison with previous results.

The Weibull PDF has two parameters, the scale and shape parameters, λ and k , and is given by

$$f(x; \lambda, k) = \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} e^{-(x/\lambda)^k}, \quad (1)$$

where x is a Weibull random variable. λ and k are greater than zero. Given a dataset (in our case, the time series of surface current speed), it is possible to estimate k using either (i) the different ~~moments~~, statistical moments, or (ii) the hazard function ~~;~~ and (see Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011, for more details), or (iii) the maximum likelihood estimator of the Weibull distribution. Once the shape parameter, k , is found, it is possible to estimate the scale parameter, λ , for example, by using the relation between λ and the mean of the time series. The different methods yielded similar results, and we thus present below only the results that are based on the different moment estimation (see Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011).

A typical current speed time series from Nan-Wan Bay (from the location marked by “×” in Fig. 2) is shown in Fig. 3a. The corresponding PDF and the Weibull fit are shown in Fig. 3c,d. The estimated shape parameter is $k \approx 2$, indicating that this specific PDF is close to the Rayleigh distribution. For comparison, we also plotted the Weibull distribution with $k = 1$, which corresponds to the exponential distribution. Unlike the PDF of the data, this PDF decreases monotonically and indicates a higher probability for high current speed values.

3.3 Detiding

To study the effect of tides on the CODAR currents, we implemented the algorithm of Pawlowicz et al. (2002). We decomposed the current speed time series into the tidal component and detided time series. An example of such time series from Nan-Wan

Bay is shown in Fig. 3a,b. It is clear that the tidal component dominates the current time series, as reported by previous studies on Nan-Wan Bay (Lee et al., 1997, 1999a, b).

3.4 Temporal asymmetry

To measure the temporal asymmetry of the current speed time series, we computed the ratio between the increasing speed time steps and the total number time steps. This and similar measures were used to quantify the asymmetry of the temperature time series (Bartos and Janosi, 2005; Ashkenazy et al., 2008). The asymmetry measure, A , of the current speed time series s_i ($i = 1 \dots N$ where N is the length of the time series) can be expressed as:

$$A(\tau) = \frac{1}{N - \tau} \frac{1}{N - N_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{N-\tau} \Theta(s_{i+\tau} - s_i), \quad (2)$$

where $\Theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and is zero otherwise and $\tau = N_\tau \Delta t$ is the asymmetry time interval, Δt is the measurement temporal resolution, N_τ is the number of time steps compose the asymmetry time interval, and $\Theta(x)$ is a step function which is 1 for $x > 0$ and is zero otherwise. Thus, when the number of positive increments is equal to the number of negative increments, $A = 0.5$. $A > 0.5$ ($A < 0.5$) indicates that the current speed increases (decreases) gradually and decreases (increases) rapidly. $2A - 1$ indicates by how much the number of positive increments exceeds the negative ones; for example, if $A = 0.55$, there are 10% more positive increments than negative increments. It is possible to measure the asymmetry over a different time interval τ . When the time series is asymmetric and periodic, the asymmetry measure (2) will change sign when τ exceeds half of the period of the time series.

We used a surrogate data test to assess the significance of the asymmetry results. Specifically, we randomly shuffled the time series and then measured the asymmetry $A(\tau)$. If the original asymmetry lies outside the error bar of the surrogate data (e.g., outside the range of the mean ± 1 std.), the asymmetry of the original time series may be considered as significant.

4 Results

We first calculated the mean surface current field for each grid point in study area of Nan-Wan Bay (Fig. 2). Consistent with previous studies in the region (Lee et al., 1997, 1999a, b), the surface currents are strong and exhibit cyclonic circulation with the center located close to middle of the line connecting the capes of Nan-Wan Bay (Fig. 2b). The currents are much stronger during the summer (Fig. 2d) than during the winter (Fig. 2c). The mean current speed (in the research area) is 80 cm s^{-1} in the summer and 60 cm s^{-1} in the winter (Table 1). Moreover, as is reflected in Fig. 2, the mean zonal velocity during the summer is more than four times greater than the winter one (Table 1), pointing to an eastward current south of the cyclonic eddy. The current speed is relatively weak in the northern part of the domain and largest in the eastern part of the domain (Fig. 4c,f,i), possibly due to the Kuroshio Current.

We next examined the shape and scale parameters of the Weibull fit to the surface current of Nan-Wan Bay (Fig. 4). As expected, the spatial pattern of the scale parameter, λ , is similar to the pattern of the current speed (the second column of Fig. 4 versus the third column). Interestingly, the shape parameter of the Weibull distribution, k (first column Fig. 4), exhibits large

spatial and seasonal variability. While the k parameter is maximal in the northwestern region during the winter, it is maximal in the southeastern region during the summer, suggesting a different dynamics during these two seasons, probably related to tides as these also exhibit strong seasonal variations. Moreover, the k parameter is much larger during the summer ($k \approx 2.21$) than during the winter ($k \approx 1.66$ Table 1) and exhibits large spatial variability in both seasons (from 1.8 to 2.8 during the summer and from 1.5 to 2 during the winter). This is probably related to the tides that are stronger during the fall and summer periods; more accurately, the spatial mean standard deviations of the tidal component were 21.5, 25.5, 30.85, 23.6, and 18.9 cm s⁻¹ for the annual, summer, fall, winter, and spring periods, respectively [note that the fall value is less reliable due to lack of data.]

~~Note that the annual spatial mean standard deviations is necessarily equals to the mean of the spatial means of all seasons.~~

A comparison of the results shown in Fig. 4 with the ones from the Gulf of Elat (Fig. 3 of Ashkenazy and Gildor, 2011) indicates a similar range of spatial and seasonal variability. Yet the surface currents in the Gulf of Elat are much weaker than those in Nan-Wan Bay and the value of the shape parameter, k , is lower there. We thus conclude that the spatial and seasonal variability of the surface currents' statistical parameters is not unique to Elat and, indeed, is also present in the quite different region and environment of Nan-Wan Bay. We stress here that we observed the spatial variability, both in Nan-Wan Bay and the Gulf of Elat, in a relatively small region on the order of ten by ten kilometers.

Encouraged by the apparent asymmetry of the surface speed (see the black arrows in Fig. 3a), we next studied the temporal asymmetry of the surface current field, both in Nan-Wan Bay and the Gulf of Elat, following Eq. (2). The asymmetry measure may be used to test the performance of ocean models as it also quantifies nonlinear aspects of the underlying process. Fig. 5a depicts the Nan-Wan Bay asymmetry measure, $A(\tau)$, of the annual time series for a one hour time interval. First, we found large spatial variability in $A(\tau)$, in which it is less than 0.5 in parts of the northern side of the basin and larger than 0.5 in the southern side of the domain. This indicates that statistically the current speed increases gradually and decreases more rapidly in the southern part of the domain, in contrast to the current surface increase/decrease in extensive regions in the northern side of the basin. The asymmetry range is between $0.48 < A < 0.52$, indicating up to 4% positive/negative steps compared to negative/positive steps. Second, we randomly shuffled the time series of each grid point, calculated the asymmetry measure A and found the mean value ± 1 std of $A = 0.5 \pm 0.003$. This indicates that the reported asymmetry is significant, and it is well outside these error bars. Third, for a time lag of $\tau = 7$ hours (Fig. 5b), we found that the asymmetry changes sign for extensive parts of the domain. This suggests that the asymmetry is linked to tides that have a ~~semi-diurnal~~ semidiurnal periodicity for which the asymmetry should change sign for a time lag that is half the period. Fourth, the asymmetry during the summer (Fig. 5d) was found to be much stronger than the winter one (Fig. 5c), again suggesting that the asymmetry is influenced by the tides, as the tidal signal is stronger during the summer than during the winter. In the summer, A exceeds 0.54, indicating that in the southern part of the domain, there are at least 8% more positive increments of the surface currents than negative ones. Finally, the pattern of the annual asymmetry field (Fig. 5a) was found to be similar to the summer one (Fig. 5d).

We performed a similar asymmetry analysis for the Gulf of Elat's surface currents (Fig. 6). Here, however, we did not find significant asymmetry as A fluctuated very closely around 0.5 with an asymmetry similar to a randomly shuffled time series. This absence of asymmetry ~~in the Gulf of Elat suggests that it has a~~ is associated with the weak tidal signal ~~, as well as~~ supporting the proposition that the surface current asymmetry is connected to the tides in the Gulf of Elat.

To verify the hypothesis that the tides underlie the observed asymmetry in Nan-Wan Bay, we decomposed the surface current time series, at every grid point in the domain, into tidal and detided components. In Fig. 3a,b, we present this decomposition, which indicates a large and apparently asymmetric tidal component. We then calculated the asymmetry of the tidal and detided components of the surface currents (Fig. 7). While the detided signals exhibit hardly any asymmetry (Fig. 7b,d,f) the tidal component is highly asymmetric (Fig. 7a,c,e), even more than the original time series. Also here the asymmetry during the summer is much stronger than the winter one. The range of asymmetry during the summer is $0.44 < A < 0.56$, indicating that the relative number of positive/negative increments in the tidal component of the surface currents can reach 12%. We thus conclude that the observed asymmetry in Nan-Wan Bay (both spatial and temporal as shown in Fig. 5) is due to the asymmetry of the tides in the region.

10 5 Summary

We analyzed the statistical properties of CODAR-based surface current fields in Nan-Wan Bay, Taiwan, and compared them to the statistical properties of CODAR-based surface current fields from the Gulf of Elat, Israel. The study area of both areas is on the order of 10×10 km. We fitted the PDFs of the surface currents to the Weibull distribution and found large spatial and seasonal variability of the Weibull distribution parameters (the shape k and scale λ parameters) in both basins, in spite of the many differences between the two regions. In addition, we also analyzed the temporal asymmetry of the surface current time series and found that Nan-Wan Bay's currents are asymmetric, while those of the Gulf of Elat are not. The asymmetry in Nan-Wan Bay is stronger in the summer. By analyzing the asymmetry of the tidal component of the currents, we associated the observed asymmetry with the tides.

Many previous studies have reported on the asymmetry of tidal currents, mainly in estuaries and coastal regions (e.g., Boon, 1975; Friedrichs and Aubrey, 1988; Blanton et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002; Hoitink et al., 2003; Nidzieko, 2010). The tidal asymmetry is influenced by, among other factors, the bathymetry of the basin. The spatial differences in the asymmetry may be related to differences in the bathymetry of the basin, to the regional variability of the flow pattern, and to the asymmetry between flood-ebb tidal currents (Lee et al., 1999a); we hope to explore these possibilities in the near future. In addition, the asymmetry of satellite-based surface current data may be studied in the future as the temporal and spatial resolutions of this altimetry-based data constantly increase with time. Our results indicate large spatial variability of the statistical properties of surface currents, even in regions of a few kilometers. Thus, regional ocean modeling verification as well as the estimation of kinetic energy that can be extracted using ocean currents should be performed by using sufficiently fine spatial resolution. In addition, the statistical characteristics of the various regions should be used as a benchmark for model performance.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the Israel-Taiwan Ministry of Science and Technology Program for financial support.

References

- Afargan, H. and Gildor, H.: The role of the wind in the formation of coherent eddies in the Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 142, 75–95, 2015.
- Ashkenazy, Y. and Gildor, H.: Long-range temporal correlations of ocean surface currents, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C09 009, doi:10.1029/2008JC005235, 2009.
- Ashkenazy, Y. and Gildor, H.: On the probability and spatial distribution of ocean surface currents, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 41, 2295–2306, 2011.
- Ashkenazy, Y., Feliks, Y., Gildor, H., and Tziperman, E.: Asymmetry of daily temperature records, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 3327–3336, 2008.
- Barrick, D. E., Lipa, B. J., and Crissman, R. D.: Mapping surface currents with CODAR, *Sea Technology*, 26, 43–48, 1985.
- Bartos, I. and Janosi, I. M.: Atmospheric response function over land: Strong asymmetries in daily temperature fluctuations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L23 820, 2005.
- Berman, T., Paldor, N., and Brenner, S.: The seasonality of tidal circulation in the Gulf of Elat, *Isr. J. Earth. Sci.*, 52, 11–19, 2003.
- Biton, E. and Gildor, H.: The general circulation of the Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba revisited: The interplay between the exchange flow through the Straits of Tiran and surface fluxes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C08 020, 2011a.
- Biton, E. and Gildor, H.: Stepwise seasonal restratification and the evolution of salinity minimum in the Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C08 022, 2011b.
- Biton, E., Silverman, J., and Gildor, H.: Observations and Modeling of pulsating density current, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L14 603, 2008.
- Blanton, J. O., Lin, G., and Elston, S. A.: Tidal current asymmetry in shallow estuaries and tidal creeks, *Continental Shelf Research*, 22, 1731–1743, 2002.
- Boon, J. D.: Tidal discharge asymmetry in a salt marsh drainage system, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 20, 71–80, 1975.
- Carlson, D. F., Fredj, E., Gildor, H., Biton, E., Steinbuck, J. V., Monismith, S. G., and Genin, A.: Observations of tidal currents in the northern Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba (Red Sea), *J. Mar. Sys.*, 102, 14–28, 2012.
- Carlson, D. F., Fredj, E., and Gildor, H.: The annual cycle of vertical mixing and restratification in the Northern Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba (Red Sea) based on high temporal and vertical resolution observations, *Deep Sea Res.*, 84, 1–17, 2014.
- Chu, P. C.: Probability distribution function of the upper equatorial Pacific current speeds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L12 606, 2008.
- Chu, P. C.: Statistical Characteristics of the Global Surface Current Speeds Obtained From Satellite Altimetry and Scatterometer Data, *IEEE J. of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2, 27–32, 2009.
- Friedrichs, C. T. and Aubrey, D. G.: Non-linear Well-mixed Tidal Distortion in Shallow Estuaries: a Synthesis, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 27, 521–545, 1988.
- Genin, A.: The physical setting of the Gulf of Aqaba: an explanation for a unique occurrence of tropical communities in the subtropics., in: *Aqaba-Eilat, the Improbable Gulf environment, biodiversity and preservation*, edited by Por, F. D., pp. 15–20, Magnes Press, 2008.
- Genin, A. and Paldor, N.: Changes in the circulation and current spectrum near the tip of the narrow, seasonally mixed Gulf of Elat, *Isr. J. Earth. Sci.*, 47, 87–92, 1998.
- Genin, A., Lazar, B., and Brenner, S.: Vertical mixing and coral death in the Red Sea following the eruption of Mount Pinatubo, *Nature*, 377, 507–510, 1995.
- Gildor, H., Fredj, E., Steinbuck, J., and Monismith, S.: Evidence for Submesoscale Barriers to Horizontal Mixing in the Ocean from Current Measurements and Aerial Photographs, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 39, 1975–1983, 2009.
- Gildor, H., Fredj, E., and Kostinski, A.: The Gulf of Eilat/Aqaba: a natural driven cavity?, *Geophys. Astro. Fluid Dyn.*, 104, 301–308, 2010.

- Gurgel, K. W., Antonischki, G., Essen, H. H., and Schlick, T.: Wellen Radar (WERA): a new ground-wave HF radar for ocean remote sensing, *Coastal Engineering*, 37, 219–234, 1999a.
- Gurgel, K. W., Essen, H. H., and Kingsley, S. P.: High-frequency radars: physical limitations and recent developments, *Coastal Engineering*, 37, 201–218, 1999b.
- 5 Hodgins, D. O.: Remote sensing of ocean surface currents with the SeaSonde HF radar, *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.*, 1, 109–129, 1994.
- Hoitink, A., Hoekstra, P., and Van Maren, D.: Flow asymmetry associated with astronomical tides: Implications for the residual transport of sediment, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (1978–2012)*, 108, 2003.
- Lee, H.-J., Chao, S.-Y., Fan, K.-L., Wang, Y.-H., and Liang, N.-K.: Tidally induced upwelling in a semi-enclosed basin: Nan Wan Bay, *Journal of Oceanography*, 53, 467–480, 1997.
- 10 Lee, H.-J., Chao, S.-Y., and Fan, K.-L.: Flood–ebb disparity of tidally induced recirculation eddies in a semi-enclosed basin: Nan Wan Bay, *Continental Shelf Research*, 19, 871–890, 1999a.
- Lee, H.-J., Chao, S.-Y., Fan, K.-L., and Kuo, T.-Y.: Tide-induced eddies and upwelling in a semi-enclosed basin: Nan Wan, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 49, 775–787, 1999b.
- Lekien, F. and Gildor, H.: Computation and approximation of the length scales of harmonic modes with application to the mapping of surface currents in the Gulf of Eilat, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C06 024, doi:1029/2008JC004742, 2009.
- 15 Lekien, F., Coulliette, C., Bank, R., and Marsden, J.: Open-boundary modal analysis: Interpolation, extrapolation, and filtering, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, C12 004, 2004.
- Manasrah, R. S., Al-horani, F. A., Rasheed, M. Y., Al-rousan, S. A., and Khalaf, M. A.: Patterns of summer vertical and horizontal currents in coastal waters of the northern Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea, *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 69, 567–579, 2006.
- 20 Monahan, A. H.: The Probability Distribution of Sea Surface Wind Speeds. Part I: Theory and SeaWinds Observations, *J. Climate*, 19, 497–520, 2006.
- Monahan, A. H.: The Probability Distribution of Sea Surface Wind Speeds: Effects of Variable Surface Stratification and Boundary Layer Thickness, *J. Climate*, 23, 5151–5162, 2010.
- Monismith, S. G. and Genin, A.: Tides and sea level in the Gulf of Aqaba (Eilat), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, 2004.
- 25 Nidziko, N. J.: Tidal asymmetry in estuaries with mixed semidiurnal/diurnal tides, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (1978–2012)*, 115, 2010.
- Pawlowicz, R., Beardsley, B., and Lentz, S.: Classical tidal harmonic analysis including error estimates in MATLAB using T_TIDE, *Computers & Geosciences*, 28, 929–937, 2002.
- Saaroni, H., Maza, E., and Ziv, B.: Summer sea breeze, under suppressive synoptic forcing, in a hyper-arid city: Eilat, Israel, *Climate Research*, 26, 213–220, 2004.
- 30 Wang, Z., Jeuken, M., Gerritsen, H., De Vriend, H., and Kornman, B.: Morphology and asymmetry of the vertical tide in the Westerschelde estuary, *Continental Shelf Research*, 22, 2599–2609, 2002.
- Wolf-Vecht, A., Paldor, N., and Brenner, S.: Hydrographic indications of the advection/ convection effects in the Gulf of Eilat, *Deep Sea Res.*, 39, 1393–1401, 1992.

Table 1. Summary of the surface current statistics of Nan-Wan Bay. The table includes: the shape parameter k of the Weibull distribution, the scale parameter λ (cm s^{-1}) of the Weibull distribution, the mean zonal current u (cm s^{-1}), the mean meridional current v (cm s^{-1}), and the mean current speed $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ (cm s^{-1}). The mean \pm one standard deviation is given for the annual, winter and summer periods.

Parameter	Annual	Winter	Summer
k	1.78 ± 0.09	1.66 ± 0.11	2.21 ± 0.03
λ	74.1 ± 12.2	67.4 ± 10.8	90.6 ± 18.7
u	32.6 ± 15.8	16 ± 14.4	67.1 ± 25.1
v	-2.16 ± 9.32	-9 ± 7.56	2.27 ± 16.8
$\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$	65.9 ± 10.8	60.3 ± 9.77	80.43 ± 16.7




Figure 1. (a) Southeastern China-Taiwan region. The white rectangle indicates the region of Nan-Wan Bay. (b) Northern Red Sea region. The white rectangle indicates the northern part of the Gulf of Elat. (c) The bathymetry of Nan-Wan Bay, marked by the white rectangle in panel (a). The white rectangle indicates the approximate regions covered by the CODAR stations. (d) The bathymetry of the northern Gulf of Elat, marked by the white rectangle in panel (b). The white rectangle indicates the approximate regions covered by the CODAR stations.




Figure 2. Current field of Nan-Wan Bay as estimated by the CODAR. (a) Snapshot from 19:00 on March 7, 2013. (b) Annual mean current field. (c) Winter (DJF) mean current field. (d) Summer (JJA) mean current field. The filled red circles indicate the locations of the CODAR stations. The “x” indicates the location of the sample time series shown in Fig. 3a.




Figure 3. (a) A sample of speed time series [from Nan-Wan Bay](#) (blue) spanning July 12, 2013 to August 1, 2013, from 120°E, 21.87°N (indicated by the “x” in Fig. 2) and its corresponding detided time series (red). (b) The tidal component of the current speed time series shown in (a). (c) The probability density function (PDF) of the current speed time series (filled blue circles) of JJA 2013 (part of which is shown in (a)), its best Weibull distribution fit (red curve) and the Weibull PDF for $k = 1$. (d) Same as (c) in a semi-log presentation.




Figure 4. Summary of the statistical results [of the Nan-Wan Bay](#). Left column: the shape k parameter of the Weibull distribution; middle column: the scale λ parameter of the Weibull distribution; right column: mean current speed (in cm/s). The first, second and third rows summarize the annual (1-3-2013 to 1-3-2014), winter (DJF of 2013-2014) and summer (JJA, 2013) results.




Figure 5. The asymmetry ratio, A [Eq. (2)] of the Nan-Wan Bay current speed time series for the (a) 1 hour time interval (lag) for annual time series, (b) 7 hours time interval for annual time series, (c) 1 hour time interval for winter time series, and (d) 1 hour time interval for summer time series. The 0.5 value is indicated by the black contour line.




Figure 6. Same as Fig. 5 for the Gulf of Elat. No significant asymmetry is observed.




Figure 7. The asymmetry ratio [of the Nan-Wan Bay current speed time series](#), for the annual (upper panels), winter (middle panels), and summer (bottom panels) time series, and for the tidal (left panels) and detided (right panels) time series. The 0.5 value is indicated by the black contour line.