

The authors have addressed my comments and I am satisfied with the improvements.

Still, I have a few minor comments. The most important is that with the additions and alterations quite a few new language errors have been introduced. I will not list all of them, but the authors should carefully reread their manuscript, or have it corrected.

2.4 Study period and data availability

line 200: *wind-induced maximum*: as the surge is (mainly) due to wind, also the other maxima must be wind-induced. What the authors are explaining here is that there is a difference between a **locally** generated surge and a surge that is generated further away and propagates to the study area.

line 230: Should *DD* be *DDA*? Actually, in 254 there is a link between SAR and DDA. That should be made here already.

3.2 Altimeter-model comparison

line 281: You might want to avoid the abbreviation *SWH* altogether. It is introduced here, again in line 375, and very often you still use *significant wave height* which looks perfectly natural.