The manuscript has improved substantially and most of the reviewers' questions and concerns have been addressed. There are a few points the authors might look into before this manuscript is ready for publication:

First line of abstract: typo "requires"

Page 3, line 9: the reference to Fig. 2 might be placed better at the end of the preceding sentence.

Page 5, last paragraph: Please revise your description of the linear mixed model. This paragraph stands somewhat detached from the rest of the manuscript and is hard to understand not knowing the program used. The authors should concentrate their discussion here on a clear explanation of the method and less on the technical details such as names of functions that are not instructive to the reader. What does this function drop1 do, what is the test about? Testing and omitting of terms in the model cannot be understood without further information on the tests.

Page 6, lines 24-30: While the method is clear, I miss some explanation on the relevance of these findings. They are only an example from one animal. Do other animals behave in a similar way? Or has this only been measured for one animal? What are the conclusions here? Birds are more active when they fly, than when sitting on their nests and they take multiple breaks? Please elaborate.

Page 8, line 7: What is meant by "In our case study…"? Does that refer to future work? Please elaborate.

After addressing these points the manuscript should be ready for publication.