

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Fate of river Tiber discharge investigated through numerical simulation and satellite monitoring” by R. Inghilesi et al.

A. Schiller (Referee)

andreas.schiller@csiro.au

Received and published: 15 July 2012

Dear Dr Inghilesi,

I am the Ocean Science topic editor of your manuscript. Firstly, I would like to apologise for the delay in the review process. This delay has been caused by various potential reviewers accepting to review your paper who subsequently failed to respond and provide a review. I have therefore decided to act as second reviewer.

I see merit in ultimately publishing your manuscript in Ocean Science. However, I concur with the comments made by reviewer 1 who concludes that

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



- your manuscript needs to be explicit as to why the international audience of Ocean Science should be interested in reading about a local phenomenon such as the discharge of the Tiber.

- with 31 figures in the current version of the manuscript you significantly exceed the number of figures acceptable for a normal journal article. Moreover, I noticed that there is no chronological order in the appearance and description of your figures in the manuscript. For instance, from Fig. 2 you jump to a description of Fig 10 before introducing and discussing Figs. 8, 6, 7 etc. Please

- reduce the number of figures to about half the number you have got now (reviewer 1 outlines how to best achieve this); and

- rewrite the article such that the figures are introduced and discussed in numerical order.

Based on the comments made by reviewer 1 and myself I decided that your manuscript requires a major revision before it can be considered for publication.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Andreas Schiller

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 9, 1599, 2012.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

