

# 1 **A discussion on the use of X-band SAR images in marine** 2 **applications**

3

4 **D. Schiavulli<sup>1</sup>, A. Sorrentino<sup>2</sup> and M. Migliaccio<sup>2</sup>**

5 [1]{Università degli Studi di Napoli “Parthenope”, Dipartimento di Scienze Applicate Napoli,  
6 Italy}

7 [2]{Università degli Studi di Napoli “Parthenope”, Dipartimento per le Tecnologie Napoli,  
8 Italy}

9 Correspondence to: D.Schiavulli (domenico.schiavulli@uniparthenope.it)

10

## 11 **Abstract**

12 The Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) is able to generate images of the sea surface that can be  
13 exploited to extract geophysical information of environmental interest. In order to enhance the  
14 operational use of these data in the marine applications the revisit time is to be improved. This  
15 goal can be achieved by using SAR virtual or real satellite constellations and/or exploiting  
16 new antenna technologies that allow huge swath and fine resolution. Within this framework,  
17 the presence of the Italian and German X-band SAR constellations is of special interest while  
18 the new SAR technologies are not nowadays operated.

19 In this paper we review the most relevant marine applications with special attention to X –  
20 band and related SAR image quality. Special care is also paid to high intensity precipitating  
21 clouds, i.e. rain cells, since they represent a weather condition that hampers use of X – band  
22 SAR image for marine applications.

23

## 24 **1 Introduction**

25 In marine applications is of paramount relevance the revisit time. In order to improve the  
26 revisit time, one can deploy a SAR virtual or real satellite constellations and/or design special  
27 modes that enhance the revisit time. In this latter case one can refer to some SAR operating  
28 mode that are nowadays already used or exploit new SAR antennas technologies that are  
29 under study and in few cases are matter of first experimentations, e.g. (Villano et al., 2012).

1 In this paper we make reference to the present state-of-art of satellite SARs and therefore on  
2 the actual SAR data that a user can exploit for his/her marine applications.

3 Therefore, it is appropriate to exploit the actual and virtual X-band SAR constellations, i.e.  
4 COstellation of small Satellites for the Mediterranean basin Observation (Cosmo-SkyMed,  
5 CSK) and TerraSAR-X (TSX).

6 CSK is an earth observation satellite system funded by Italian Ministry of Research and  
7 Ministry of Defense and conducted by the Italian Space Agency (ASI), intended for both  
8 military and civilian use. CSK constellation is composed by four full operational satellites  
9 equipped with a SAR operating at X-band. The four satellites are planned for a sun-  
10 synchronous polar orbit, phased at  $90^\circ$  and at an altitude of 619 Km with an orbit of 97  
11 minutes.

12 TSX is a German earth observation satellite funded by a joint partnership between the  
13 German Aerospace Center (DLR) and EADS Astrium. In addition with TanDEM-X  
14 (TerrSAR-X add-on for Digital Elevation Measurements), TSX gives rise to an X-band  
15 working frequency constellation. The two satellites fly in a sun-synchronous polar orbit with  
16 typical distances between 250 and 500 m at an altitude of 514 Km.

17 The most relevant scientific and operational marine applications, that can benefit of denser X  
18 – band virtual or real constellations, are summarized in this paper. They are: oil slick  
19 detection, man-made metallic targets detection, wind vector estimation, coastal wave  
20 monitoring and sea current analysis. In this review we pay special attention to recent X – band  
21 studies and to the impact of SAR image quality. In this paper, an up-to-date review of marine  
22 applications and SAR image quality is made.

23 Unfortunately, X – band is not immune to atmospheric hydrometeors. In particular, high  
24 intensity precipitating phenomena may significantly impair X – band SAR images or part of it  
25 (Jackson and Apel, 2004). Therefore, techniques able to analyze hydrometeors effects in X –  
26 band SAR images have been developed (Jackson and Apel, 2004) (Danklmayer et al., 2009)  
27 (Marzano and Weinman, 2012) (Weinman et al., 2009) (Baldini et al., 2011) (Schulz-  
28 Stellenfleth et al., 2004) (Schiavulli et al., 2011). For its scientific and operational relevance  
29 at X – band, this severe weather condition is also reviewed in this paper.

30 In this paper we review all these key facts in order to facilitate marine users in exploiting X –  
31 band SAR data.

1

## 2 **2 Marine Applications**

3 In this section, we briefly review the main satellite SAR marine applications with some  
4 emphasis on X – band SARs that are at core of this paper. A general review on this matter can  
5 be found for example in (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005) and (Jackson and Apel, 2004). The most  
6 relevant scientific and operational SAR marine applications are meant to be (Kerbaol and  
7 Collard, 2005): oil slicks monitoring, detection of man-made metallic targets, high resolution  
8 wind fields, coastal wave fields and sea surface currents.

9 Marine oil slicks arise from natural and anthropogenic phenomena. In both cases, the SARs  
10 sensor is the key sensor to monitor them. With respect to marine oil pollution the main  
11 concern regards either accident or illegal oil discharge, which are typically connected to  
12 discharge of heavy oil. The first attempt to accomplish such a task was made by single  
13 polarization, typically VV, SAR images. In very simple terms, the physical model predicts  
14 that the standard sea surface two scale model, that at small scales is governed by the Bragg  
15 scattering, does not apply over sea oil slicks. Hence, sea oil slicks appear as low scattering  
16 areas, i.e. dark patches, in SAR images. However, the problem is in real life much more  
17 complicated due to the presence of other phenomena that generate similar dark area over SAR  
18 images. Discrimination between dark areas due to oil slicks or these other phenomena is not at  
19 all straightforward. In (Gambardella et al., 2010), it has been shown theoretically and  
20 experimentally that this problem cannot be solved by SAR information only. With this  
21 respect, a brand new scientific and operational contribute has come from the availability of  
22 polarimetric SAR measurements and appropriate models (Solberg, 2012). A relevant and  
23 recent X – band polarimetric SAR papers on this topic is (Velotto et al., 2011).

24 SAR observation of man-made metallic targets at sea is of paramount importance for several  
25 marine working activities, such as shipping, fishing, oil fields, etc. In single polarimetric SAR  
26 images man-made metallic targets at sea, such as ships and oil rigs, can be detected since  
27 these targets generate a strong and coherent backscattering, i.e. bright spots over SAR images  
28 (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005). A modern approach exploits the geophysical nature of the  
29 speckle to detect such bright spots in full resolution SAR images (Migliaccio et al., 2007)  
30 (Gambardella et al., 2008). New advancement in this marine application has been also  
31 achieved exploiting polarimetric SAR data and models (Nunziata et al., 2012). Relevant and

1 recent X – band SAR papers on this topic have been published (Migliaccio et al., 2012a)  
2 (Nunziata and Migliaccio, 2013) (Velotto et al., 2013a).

3 Wind vector over the sea is fundamental to predict and understand meteorological and  
4 climatic processes. Classical remote sensing technique to retrieve wind field is based on  
5 scatterometer measurements (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005) (Migliaccio and Reppucci, 2006).  
6 Although the SAR was not designed to accomplish such a task, due to the high quality of new  
7 SAR sensors, especially in terms of radiometric accuracy, several successful attempts have  
8 been developed. Two main SAR wind speed retrieval procedures over VV or HH single  
9 polarimetric data have been conceived. The first procedure is the so called scatterometer one,  
10 which is based on the retrieval of a non - linear Geophysical Model Function (GMF). In this  
11 case, an *a priori* information on wind direction and high quality calibration Normalized  
12 Radar Cross Section (NRCS) are requested. A different approach has been proposed in  
13 (Kerbaol et al., 1998) and does not call for *a priori* knowledge of wind direction and high  
14 quality calibration NRCS. Wind direction can be retrieved by detecting some features in SAR  
15 images (Jackson and Apel, 2004) (Horstmann et al., 2002). Recent and relevant X – band  
16 SAR papers on this topics are (Ren et al., 2012) (Migliaccio et al., 2012b) (Montuori et al.,  
17 2012).

18 Coastal wave fields monitoring can only be made by SAR (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005)  
19 (Jackson and Apel, 2004). The spectral non – linear inversion model is critically dependent on  
20 first guess wave spectra. However, interesting scientific and operational results have been  
21 reported in (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005). A recent study on the use of X – band SAR data is  
22 described in (Li et al., 2010).

23 SAR retrieval of ocean currents is one of the most complex marine application. In fact, the  
24 relevant features appear in SAR images through the hydrodynamic modulation of the sea  
25 surface roughness, which results into intensity variation of SAR images. But other  
26 environmental parameters affect sea surface roughness (Kerbaol and Collard, 2005). Although  
27 scientific techniques have been proposed, the retrieval of current field from SAR intensity  
28 images is meant not mature enough to be suitable to generate operational products (Kerbaol  
29 and Collard, 2005). Different and interesting techniques based on the along – track  
30 interferometry (ATI) and Doppler Centroid (DC) measurements have been proposed (Kerbaol  
31 and Collard, 2005). Recent studies relevant to X – band satellite SAR are reported in  
32 (Romeiser et al., 2007).

1

## 2 **3 SAR data quality**

3 SAR data quality is one of the key elements to retrieve reliable marine added value products.  
4 In this section, we briefly review the SAR data quality indicators with special regards to  
5 marine applications (Jackson and Apel, 2004) (Vespe et al., 2012).

6 SAR data quality is a multi facet property that is given by several indicators. Of course,  
7 according to the specific marine added value procedure a sub – set of such SAR data quality  
8 indicators is more relevant than others.

9 The SAR data quality indicators can be classified into global and local. The global indicators  
10 are (Vespe et al., 2012): radiometric sensitivity, radiometric resolution, radiometric error  
11 accuracy and stability, spatial resolution and geolocation accuracy. The local indicators are  
12 (Vespe et al., 2012): peak to side lobe ratio (PSLR) and integrated side lobe ratio (ISLR),  
13 interference artifacts, saturated data, missing data.

14 The radiometric sensitivity is the value of backscattering coefficient that would give a signal  
15 level equal to receiver noise level (Vespe et al., 2012). This parameter is relevant in low  
16 backscattered areas and therefore of special relevance in low wind and oil slicks areas. In  
17 polarimetric SAR data, this parameter must be carefully analyzed when polarimetric oil slicks  
18 approach are considered especially for the HV channel.

19 The radiometric resolution is a measure of the ability of a SAR system to distinguish areas  
20 characterized by different NRCS. It depends on the Equivalent Number of Looks (ENL).  
21 Although more and more SAR marine applications rely on Single Look Complex (SLC) data,  
22 there are still some procedures that only work with multi–look SAR data. When high  
23 radiometric resolution is advisable one should not hamper the intrinsic radiometric resolution  
24 by an inappropriate multi – look (Vespe et al., 2012). With this respect, the use of ScanSAR  
25 images and SpotLight can be critical. Whenever use of ScanSAR data is of interest, one can  
26 apply some algorithms to improve the radiometric resolution (Moreira, 1991). Recently, for  
27 German X – band SAR satellite mission, i.e. TSX, a technique based on the use of a higher  
28 transmitted bandwidth has been implemented to enhance the radiometric resolution  
29 (Mittermayer et al., 2012). In CSK, the improvement of radiometric resolution is performed  
30 by means of the reduction of the intrinsic multiplicative – like speckle noise (Italian Space  
31 Agency, 2007). This characteristic is of particular relevance for wind vector estimation.

1 The radiometric error is a measure of the relative radiometric calibration performance (Vespe  
2 et al, 2012). The radiometric accuracy (absolute calibration) and stability (over time) are  
3 relevant (Vespe et al, 2012). For ScanSAR images, other two important quality aspects are  
4 residual scalloping and radiometric mismatch. In particular, scalloping is an intrinsic  
5 processing artifact that affects ScanSAR images and appears as thin bars particularly visible  
6 over the sea. Although several techniques have been developed to mitigate scalloping effect in  
7 SAR processor (Shimada, 2009) (Bamler, 1995) (Hawkins et al., 2002) (Bamler and Eineder,  
8 1996) (Monti-Guarnieri and Guccione, 2001) (Wollstadt et al., 2012), one can still get SAR  
9 images affected by this problem. Therefore, post processing techniques able to mitigate  
10 scalloping have been developed. In particular, for X – band SAR images two procedures have  
11 been developed (Romeiser et al., 2013) (Schiavulli et al., 2013). Of course, these post  
12 processing procedures are intrinsically suboptimal and appropriately tailored for specific  
13 applications. For example (Romeiser et al., 2013) has been tested for wind wave application  
14 and (Schiavulli et al., 2013) for wind vector estimation.

15 The spatial resolution accounts for both azimuth and range resolution. Azimuth resolution is  
16 constant over a whole SAR image except for ScanSAR acquisition mode, where different  
17 subswaths in the same image may have different resolution (Vespe et al. 2012). However, in  
18 order to estimate the poorest performance for quality analysis the near range resolution  
19 measurement is considered. Recently, techniques to improve X – band SAR images spatial  
20 resolution have been presented (Mittermayer et al., 2012) (Italian Space Agency, 2007). This  
21 indicator is of particular relevance in SAR observation of small and weak man-made target at  
22 sea.

23 The geolocation accuracy is a quality parameter that can be neglected on open sea application.  
24 However, near the coast, geolocation errors may occur particularly in near – real – time  
25 applications. Recent works successfully dealt with this problem in X – band SAR images  
26 (Breit et al., 2012) (Italian Space Agency, 2007).

27 Let us now move to consider the local quality indicators.

28 The PSLR is the ratio between the returned signal of the main lobe and that of the first side  
29 lobe of the point target. The ISLR is the ratio between the returned energy of the main lobe  
30 and that integrated over several lobes on both sides of the main one over the noise level  
31 (Vespe et al., 2012). High values of PSLR or ISLR mean that the energy from a point target is  
32 spread out along and/or cross track, which can severely affect geophysical inversion model

1 (Vespe et al., 2012). These two indicators are of interest especially when strong man-made  
2 targets are present over the marine scene. As matter of fact, SAR observation of such targets  
3 generates a strong cross-like signal which may hide other targets and generate ambiguities.  
4 Ambiguities are ghost images of strong targets and they occur both in range and in azimuth  
5 direction (Vespe et al., 2012). Ambiguities can become prominent when the contrast between  
6 the scatterer and the background is high, e.g. ship and sea surface. It has been widely shown  
7 that ambiguities primarily occur for HH SAR images over the sea in low wind conditions or  
8 for near coast zones (Vespe et al., 2012). Recently, techniques to avoid presence of  
9 ambiguities in X – band SAR images have been developed and successfully tested over TSX  
10 data (Mittermayer et al., 2012) (Velotto et al., 2013b).

11 Ground Radio Frequency (RF) emissions in SAR bandwidth may cause false alarm or mask  
12 targets. Although the International Telecommunication Unit (ITU) regulates the frequency  
13 allocation in order to avoid/mitigate such interferences, this is a well known problem  
14 especially even for SAR working at L and P band.

15 Saturated SAR data are caused by the limited dynamic range of the analog-to-digital  
16 converter (Vespe et al., 2012). Techniques able to provide solution to this problem have been  
17 developed in the last years (Shimada, 1999).

18 A limited amount of missing raw SAR data may result in a reduced geometric resolution  
19 while a larger amount may result in missing data in the processed product. Usually, missing  
20 data are expressed as a block of pixels with zero value and automatic tools to detect it has  
21 been developed (Vespe et al., 2012). Of course, these latter three indicators affect all marine  
22 applications independently of the specific algorithm.

23

#### 24 **4 Rain Cells**

25 One of the most important problem affecting X – band satellite SARs is the attenuation  
26 through the atmosphere. The atmosphere can be divided into two major layers, i.e. ionosphere  
27 and troposphere. Through this two atmospheric layers the microwaves can be affected by  
28 delays, attenuation, noise, scintillation and depolarization which are caused by the  
29 atmospheric phenomena, such as gases, precipitation, clouds, fogs or free electrons in the  
30 ionosphere (Danklmayer et al., 2009).

1 The ionosphere is a part of the upper atmosphere, from about 85 Km to 600 Km altitude,  
2 comprising portions of the mesosphere, thermosphere and exosphere, distinguished because it  
3 is ionized by solar radiation. It has practical importance because, among other functions, it  
4 influences radio propagation to distant places on the Earth (Danklmayer et al., 2009).  
5 Ionosphere can affect microwaves with scintillation, Faraday Rotation (FR), refraction,  
6 diffraction, absorption, noise emission, etc... and these effects result intensified for lower  
7 microwave frequencies (Danklmayer et al., 2009). A serious ionospheric effect is the FR  
8 which is proportional to the inverse of the squared working frequency, hence at X – band  
9 results to be below of  $0.5^\circ$  and can be neglected for most applications (Danklmayer et al.,  
10 2009).

11 The troposphere is the lowest part of the atmosphere, ranging from the Earth surface to  
12 approximately 12 Km. It causes attenuation of crossing signal due to hydrometeors,  
13 atmospheric gases, fog and clouds. Attenuation due to fog and non precipitating clouds is  
14 usually negligible for carrier frequencies up to 10 GHz (Danklmayer et al., 2009) while  
15 hydrometeors severely affects signal propagation at X – band.

16 Radar signature of rain, in SAR images acquired over the sea, are caused by radar  
17 backscattering from the sea surface and by scattering and attenuation of the microwaves by  
18 rain drops in the atmosphere (volume scattering and attenuation) (Jackson and Apel, 2004).  
19 The rain effects can produce two effects in SAR images: dark patches due to attenuation and  
20 bright areas due to partial backscattering (Jackson and Apel, 2004). The strength of these  
21 effects is driven by rain rate, raindrop size, shape, density, orientation and temperature.  
22 Furthermore, wave polarization can strongly influence this interaction. Several studies have  
23 been conducted in order to analyze the effect of rain cells in SAR imagery. Jackson and Apel  
24 (2004) showed that the modification of the sea surface roughness by impinging rain drops on  
25 the sea surface depends strongly on the wavelength of the water waves. The net effect of  
26 impinging rain drops on the sea surface is a decrease in amplitude of water waves with  
27 wavelength above 10 cm and an increase in amplitude of water waves with wavelengths  
28 below 5 cm. The critical wavelength at which impinging rain drops cause an increase of the  
29 wave amplitude rather than a decrease is not well defined. The effect of rain drops is  
30 particularly visible at X – band where the Bragg waves are generally enhanced. Within this  
31 context, SAR images provided by the constellations operating at X – band, i.e. CSK and TSX,  
32 have been widely investigated in order to monitor and analyze precipitations, since they

1 provide data with lower revisit time. In (Danklmayer et al., 2009) TSX data are used to show  
2 the effect of strong attenuating precipitation volumes and a comparison between weather-  
3 radar and SAR data acquired nearly simultaneously. Furthermore, statistical aspects of  
4 precipitation and attenuation are highlighted accounting for several parameters, such as signal  
5 frequency, polarization, climate zone, latitude and elevation angle, have been accounted for. It  
6 is possible to calculate attenuation for a given annual probability or the rain rate for a given  
7 climatic zone and annual probability (Danklmayer et al., 2009).

8 In (Weinman et al., 2009) the precipitating events have been observed by using a dual  
9 frequency technique over an inhomogeneous sea surface. Precipitation distribution is  
10 retrieved by X – band normalized cross section (NRCS) that is strongly modified in the  
11 proximity of rain fall.

12 Following this rationale, some studies investigated the potentialities of polarimetric sensor  
13 such as CSK and TSX to infer informations about rain. In fact, due to the anisotropy of many  
14 hydrometeors, and the look angles typically used by SAR, propagation and backscattering are  
15 expected to depend on polarization and therefore different polarimetric signatures can be  
16 detected by polarimetric SAR sensors. In (Baldini et al., 2011), precipitations effects, such as  
17 attenuation, signal extinction degradation of spatial resolution, over HH and VV CSK  
18 polarimetric images are explored. In (Marzano and Weinman, 2012) the studies are focused  
19 on the extraction of the 3-D distribution of liquid and ice hydrometeors by using a high  
20 resolution mesoscale atmospheric model, based on the characterization of polarimetric SAR  
21 signatures due to precipitation and dependence on frequency band, on the correlation analysis  
22 between hydrometeor columnar content and SAR polarimetric response, on the quantification  
23 of the relative contribution of volumetric and surface backscattering and on the analysis of the  
24 effects of incidence angle and ground inhomogeneity.

25 Hence, SAR-based observations may be considered as an added value product for  
26 meteorological models. However, several limitations are present in retrieval of meaningful  
27 precipitation intensity since hydrometeors are in relative motion and can produce image  
28 distortion (Danklmayer et al., 2009).

29 Of course this precipitating atmosphere phenomena impair the use of X – band SAR  
30 images for marine applications. Therefore if one is interested to marine applications, it is  
31 important to identify and remove these areas before any further marine added value  
32 processing.

1 Hence, to deal with the presence of rainfall in SAR images, some applications detect and flag  
2 area affected by the presence of these phenomena in order to discriminate area where features  
3 produced by atmosphere sea surface interaction, useful to extract environmental information,  
4 are present. These techniques start from the assumption that the presence of atmospheric  
5 fronts calls for anomalies in the sea SAR image spectra. Therefore, a further investigation on  
6 SAR images, to adequately verify if the ocean spectral characteristics are no longer  
7 homogeneous, is needed. A useful method to verify such property is accomplished by  
8 implementing the test of image homogeneity (Schulz-Stellenfleth et al., 2004) (Schiavulli et  
9 al., 2011).

10 This method is able to detect all the inhomogeneities on SAR images such as rain cells, ships,  
11 coastlines by means of a technique based on data spectral analysis. The mentioned technique  
12 is strictly linked to a threshold that depends on the Equivalent Number of Looks (ENL) of the  
13 data. In (Schulz-Stellenfleth et al., 2004) the tests are accomplished over C-band single look  
14 complex data, while in (Schiavulli et al., 2011) for the first time X – band multi – look  
15 Ground Detected (MGD) CSK data are successfully processed. This procedure is able to  
16 univocally discriminate between sea, i.e. homogeneous, and non-homogeneous parts of SAR  
17 images.

18

## 19 **5 CONCLUSIONS**

20 In this paper an up-to-dated review of X – band SAR marine applications has been provided.  
21 Critical analysis of the different CSK and TSX operating modes, e.g. polarimetric, ScanSAR,  
22 etc..., in terms of marine added value products and image quality has been presented. Further,  
23 the criticality of rain cells at X – band that are quite frequent at medium-low latitudes in rainy  
24 seasons, hampering the nominal revisit time, has been also reviewed.

25

## 26 **References**

- 27 Baldini, L., Roberto, N., Gorgucci, E., Fritz, J., and Chandrasekar, V. : Analysis of  
28 precipitation in repeated acquisition collected by the Cosmo-SkyMed constellation in  
29 polarimetric mode, Int Geosci Remote Se, 2349-2352, IGARSS 2011
- 30 Bamler, R. : Optimum look weighting for burst-mode and ScanSAR processing, IEEE T  
31 Geosci Remote, 33,3, 722-725, 1995

1 Bamler, R., and Eineder, M. : ScanSAR processing using high precision SAR algorithms,  
2 IEEE T Geosci Remote, 34, 1, 1996

3 Breit, H., Fritz, T., Balss, U., Lachaise, M., Niedermeier, A., Vonavka, M. : TerraSAR – X  
4 SAR processing and products, IEEE T Geosci Remote., 48, 2, 727-740, 2010

5 Danklmayer, A., Doring, B.J., Schwerdt, M., and Chandra, M. : Assessment of atmospheric  
6 propagation effects in SAR images, IEEE T Geosci Remote, 3507-3518,2009

7 Gambardella, A., Nunziata, F., and Migliaccio, M. : A physical full resolution SAR ship  
8 detection filter, IEEE Geosci Remote S, 5, 4, 760-763, 2008

9 Gambardella, A., Giacinto, G., Migliaccio, and G., Montali, A. : One- class classification for  
10 oil spill detection, Pattern Anal. Appl., 13, 3, 349–366, 2010 [Online]. Available:  
11 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10044-009-0164-z>.

12 Hawkins, R. K., and Vachon, P. W. : Modeling SAR scalloping in burst mode products from  
13 Radarsat-1 and Envisat, in Proc. CEOS Workshop SAR, London U.K., Sep. 24-26, 2002

14 Horstmann, J., Koch, W. and Lehner, S. : Wind fields retrieved from SAR in comparison to  
15 numerical models, in Proceedings of Sixth International Winds Workshop, Madison,  
16 Wisconsin, USA, 7-10 May, 2002, EUM P 35, ISBN 92-9110-048-1, ISSN 1023-0416, 2002

17 Italian Space Agency (ASI) – COSMO-SkyMed Mission : COSMO-SkyMed System  
18 Description and User Guide, Online, available at: [http://www.cosmo-skymed.it/docs/ASI-](http://www.cosmo-skymed.it/docs/ASI-CSM-ENG-RS-093-A-CSKSysDescriptionAndUserGuide.pdf)  
19 [CSM-ENG-RS-093-A-CSKSysDescriptionAndUserGuide.pdf](http://www.cosmo-skymed.it/docs/ASI-CSM-ENG-RS-093-A-CSKSysDescriptionAndUserGuide.pdf), 2007

20 Jackson, C. R. and Apel, J. R. : Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) marine user’s manual,  
21 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOOA), Washington, DC, USA, 2004.

22 Kerbaol, V., and Collard, F. : SAR – Derived coastal and marine applications: from research to  
23 operational products, IEEE J Oceanic Eng, 30,3, 472-486, 2005

24 Kerbaol, V., Chapron, B., and Vachon, P.W. : Analysis of ERS-1/2 synthetic aperture radar  
25 wave mode imageries, J Geophys. Res, 103, 7833-7846, 1998.

26 Li, X., Lehner, S., and Rosenthal, W. : Investigation of ocean surface wave refraction using  
27 TerraSAR-X data, IEEE T Geosci Remote, 48, 2, 830-840, 2010

- 1 Marzano, F.S., and Weinman, J.A. : Modeling polarimetric response of spaceborne Synthetic  
2 Aperture Radar due to precipitatin clouds from X- to Ka-band, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 50, 3,  
3 687-703, 2012
- 4 Migliaccio, M. and Reppucci, A. : A review of sea wind vector retrievals by means of  
5 microwave remote sensing, in: *Proceedings of the European Microwave Association 2006*, 2,  
6 136–140, 2006
- 7 Migliaccio, M., Ferrara, G., Gambardella, A., Nunziata, F., and Sorrentino, A. : A physically  
8 consistent speckle model for marine SLC SAR images, *IEEE J Oceanic Eng*, 32, 4, 839-847,  
9 2007
- 10 Migliaccio, M., Nunziata, F., Montuori, A., and Paes, R.L. : Single look complex COSMO-  
11 SkyMed SAR data to observe metallic targets at sea, *IEEE J Sel Top Apps (JSTARS)*, 5, 3,  
12 .893-901, 2012a
- 13 Migliaccio, M., Montuori, A., and Nunziata, F. : X-band azimuth cut-off for wind speed  
14 retrieval by means of COSMO-SkyMed SAR data, in: *Proceedings of IEEE/OES Baltic*  
15 *International Symposium*, Klaipeda, Lithuania, 1-4, 8-10, 2012b
- 16 Mittermayer, J., Younis, M., Metzsig, R., Wollstadt, S., Martinez, J.M., and Meta, A. :  
17 TerraSAR – X system performance characterization and verification, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*,  
18 48, 2, 660-676, 2010
- 19 Monti-Guarnieri, A., and Guccione, P. : Optimal focusing for low resolution ScanSAR, *IEEE*  
20 *T Geosci Remote*, 39, 3, 2001
- 21 Montuori, A., Migliaccio, M., and Nunziata, F. : Wind speed estimation in the tyrrhenian sea  
22 by Means of X-band COSMO-SkyMed SAR Data, in: *Proceedings of Tyrrhenian Workshop*  
23 *on Advances in Radar and Remote Sensing 2012*, Naples, Italy, 12-14 September 2012.
- 24 Moreira, A. : Improved multi-look techniques applied to SAR and ScanAR imagery, *IEEE*  
25 *T Geosci Remote*, 29, 4, 529-534, 1991
- 26 Nunziata, F., Migliaccio, M., and Browin, C. : Reflection symmetry for polarimetric  
27 observation of man-made metallic target at sea, *IEEE J Oceanic Eng*, 37, 3, 384-394, 2012
- 28 Nunziata, F., and Migliaccio, M. : On the COSMO-SkyMed PingPong mode to observe  
29 metallic targets at sea, *IEEE J Oceanic Eng*, 38, 1, 71-79, 2013

- 1 Ren, Y., Lehner, S., Bruschi, S., Li, X., He, M. : An algorithm for the retrieval of sea surface  
2 wind fields using X – band TerraSAR – X data, *Int J Remote Sens.*, 33, 23, 7310-7336, 2012
- 3 Romeiser, R., Runge, H. : Theoretical evaluation of several possible along – track InSAR  
4 modes of TerraSAR – X for ocean current measurements, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 45, 1, 21-  
5 35, 2007
- 6 Romeiser, R., Horstmann, J., Caruso, M., Graber, H. : A descalloping postprocessor for  
7 ScanSAR images of ocean scenes, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 2013
- 8 Schiavulli, D., Sorrentino, A., Migliaccio, M. : An automatic procedure for scalloping  
9 suppression and homogeneity analysis of sea X-band CSK SAR images, *Atti della*  
10 *fondazione Giorgio Ronchi*, 1, 27-37, 2012
- 11 Schiavulli, D., Sorrentino, A., Migliaccio, M. : An Innovative Technique for Post-Processing  
12 De-Scalloping, *IEEE Geosci. Remote S*, 10, 3, 424-427, 2013
- 13 Schulz-Stellenfleth, J., Lehner, S. : Measurements of 2-D Sea Surface Elevation Fields Using  
14 complex synthetic aperture radar data, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 1149-1160, 2004
- 15 Shimada, M. : Radiometric correction of saturated SAR data, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 37, 1,  
16 467-478, 1999
- 17 Shimada, M. : A new method for correcting ScanSAR scalloping using forests and  
18 InterSCAN banding employing dynamic filter, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 47, 12, 3933-3942,  
19 2009
- 20 Solberg, A. : Remote Sensing of Ocean Oil – Spill Pollution, *IEEE Proceedings*, 100, 10,  
21 2931-2945, 2012
- 22 Villano, M., Krieger, G., Moreira, A. : Staggered-SAR: a new concept for high-resolution  
23 wide-swath imaging, *IEEE GOLD Conference*, in print, 2012
- 24 Velotto, D., Migliaccio, M., Nunziata F., Lehner, S. : Dual-polarized TerraSAR-X data for oil-  
25 spill observation, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 49, 12, 4751 - 4762, 2011
- 26 Velotto, D., Nunziata, F., Migliaccio, M., Lehner, S. : Dual-polarimetric TerraSAR-X SAR  
27 data for target at sea observation, *IEEE Geosci Remote S*, In print, 2013a
- 28 Velotto, D., Soccorsi, M., and Lehner, S. : Azimuth ambiguities removal for ship detection  
29 using full polarimetric X-band SAR data, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, In print, 2013b

- 1 Vespe, M., Greidanus, H. : SAR image quality assessment and indicators for vessel and oil  
2 spill detection, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 50, 11, 2012
- 3 Weinman, J.A., Marzano, F.S., Plant, W.J., Mugnai, A., Pierdicca, N. : Rainfall observation  
4 from X-band, space-borne, synthetic aperture radar, *Nat. Hazard Earth Sys. Sci.*, 9, 77-84, 2009
- 5 Wollstadt, S., Prats, P., Bachmann, M., Mittermayer, J., Scheiber, R. : Scalloping correction  
6 in TOPS imaging SAR data, *IEEE T Geosci Remote*, 9, 4, 2012