Ocean Sci. Discuss., 9, C1245–C1248, 2012 www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/9/C1245/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "On the relationship among the Adriatic–Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS), the Eastern Mediterranean salinity variations and the Western Mediterranean thermohaline cell" by M. Gačić et al.

M. Gačić et al.

mgacic@ogs.trieste.it

Received and published: 5 November 2012

REFEREE #2: In my view, the principal result of this manuscript is iňĆawed by poor statistics. The authors claim there is a statistically signiiňĄcant correlation between Straits of Sicily salinity and Levantine salinity 17 years earlier. The correlation is based on time series of Straits of Sicily salinity and Levantine salinity that overlap only by 15 years: Straits of Sicily time series in Figure 2 and 3 extend from 1986 to 2010, while Levantine time series extends from 1946 to 2001. With standard correlation calculations for time series of only 16 years of overlap (1986-2001), a statistically signiiňĄcant

C1245

correlation at a time lag of 17 years is impossible. In fairness to the authors, they are relating the 1990 peak to the high Levantine salinity in 1974 and the 2009 peak to the high Levantine salinity in 1972. They should make that argument (and there may be statistical grounds for doing such one-sided correlations). But standard correlation calculations applied to the 16-year period of overlap between the records would likely show maximum correlation at -1 or -2 year lag. The authors do not calculate these correlations because there is a bias in their mind that there should be a positive lag. A major revision of the statistics presented in this manuscript is needed before it can be published.

AUTHORS: As a response to this most important referee's comment and in order to increase the reliability of our time lag and travel time estimates, we did calculations of the cross-correlation function between the gridded MEDAR salinity data in the Levantine and the SC, meaning that for the cross-correlation calculations we did not use in situ data from the SC as in the previous version of the manuscript. The newly obtained time lag, slightly different numerically from the previous estimate (partly due to the fact that we used the average salinity data within the LIW layer instead of the surface layer salinities), fits better with the travel time between the Rhodes Gyre and the SC obtained from the transient tracer studies. As far as our bias related to the negative phase-lag is concerned, it is based on the physical reasoning; the salinity signal associated with the LIW is generated in the Levantine and it is advected westward. The opposite direction of the signal propagation (from west to east) can be related only to the surface layer and the AW advection. Therefore a maximum correlation at the -1 or -2 phase lag in the LIW layer, although being statistically significant, is physically meaningless.

REFEREE #2: The title of the manuscript must be changed. The title promises that the relationship between the BiOS, eastern Mediterranean salinity and western Mediterranean thermohaline cell would be explored. In fact, the manuscript is principally about the Straits of Sicily salinity time series. It is an excellent, long time series, as shown in Figure 2. The manuscript would be worth publishing just for the presentation of this

time series. I see two dramatic maxima in 1989-1992 and then in 2007-2009. From the common time period, I conclude that the high salinity in Sicily Channel occurs at about the same tme as the high salinity in the Levantine. My hypothesis would be that the diversion of low salinity AW into the Ionian corresponds to the period of export of high salinity waters through Sicily Channel and with higher salinities in the Levantine. That is my conclusion from Figure 3 and I would carry out the correlation calculations to show that there is a maximum correlation with about a one-year time lag.

AUTHORS: Please see the answer to the previous query. We fully agree with the referee that the Straits of Sicily data is an excellent, long time series. As suggested, we changed the manuscript title in order to give more importance to this data set. However, the aim of this study is providing relationship between the salinity anomalies in the Levantine, the SC and the Western Mediterranean not being principally concentrated to the Sicily Channel.

REFEREE #2: Many of the Conclusions are only weakly supported in this manuscript. Those relating to BiOS mechanism must be based on analysis in other papers for there is no BiOS index, nor Levantine-Ionian correlation analysis, made in this manuscript.

AUTHORS: Levantine-Ionian relationship was presented in the paper Gacic et al. (2011) so we did not consider necessary to repeat this type of analysis.

REFEREE #2: The conclusion for a nine-year 'propagation' from Straits of Sicily to the formation of western Mediterranean deep water is based solely on the observation of new deep water formation in 2005, which is clearly dependent on the exact year when a severe winter occurs and leads to deep water formation. All of these major inćaws need to be addressed.

AUTHORS: Please consider that in this new version of the manuscript we have computed the cross-correlation between salinity data in the SC and the Algero-Provençal basin using this time MEDAR gridded data set for both areas. Accordingly, the conclusions are not any more based on qualitative considerations and the results are sta-

C1247

tistically more reliable and slightly changed with respect to qualitative discussions presented in the previous version of our manuscript.

Technical issues:

REFEREE #2: line 164, I would say the LIW changed and then the EMT happened. I do not see any evidence in the literature that the EMT changed the LIW.

AUTHORS: The text was corrected according to the reviewer comment.

REFEREE #2: lines 112-124, The motivation for development of the time series was to represent the amount of AW in the Ionian and Levantine basins. Later in the manuscript the (negative) amount of AW in the Levantine is called LIW. I did not understand how or where the switch in deïňĄnition and usage arose.

AUTHORS: In the new version of the manuscript we calculated the average salinity in the Levantine basin for the LIW layer i.e. within the 150-300 m depth interval, thus the salinity variations are directly associated with the LIW salty content.

REFEREE #2: line 269, The calculation of 'extra salt imported from the EM' is not deïňĄned. Please describe exactly how it is calculated.

AUTHORS: Please see the last paragraph before the Conclusions chapter where more details were added in the description of calculations of extra salt imported from the EM

REFEREE #2: In summary, I urge the authors to submit a thoroughly revised manuscript with a new title that uses the long Straits of Sicily salinity time series to best advantage.

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 9, 2561, 2012.