

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Silicon pool dynamics and biogenic silica export in the Southern Ocean, inferred from Si-isotopes” by F. Fripiat et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 4 June 2011

This is an interesting, well written paper that should be accepting pending relatively minor revision. In this manuscript, in addition to providing two new Southern Ocean data sets for Si isotopes, the authors have calculated net biogenic silica production in the Antarctic Zone of the Atlantic Sector and the Polar Frontal Zone, discussed trends in the  $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$  of deep waters from the North Atlantic through to the North Pacific, and brought up points that people will have to consider should they ever actually start measuring  $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$  in sediment cores in earnest. Unfortunately, they fly through this all so quickly and with a minimum of explanation that you could blink and either miss or misunderstand most of it. Which is to say, scientifically, this is a very interesting manuscript but the presentation could use some work (the English is at times awkward and the explanations and arguments are almost always too terse). As a result, it takes a lot of work for one to follow their reasoning, to notice that they are bringing up a

C258

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



significant point, or to see that they are making a rather significant calculation.

### Specific Comments

1) Although as a whole, the paper is well written, sometimes the English is awkward or incorrect. It would help to have a native speaker go through the manuscript and get rid of minor mistakes and/or awful sounding clunkers like: - the occasional lack of a comma after an introductory phrase (e.g., the first line of the intro: In the Southern Ocean deep nutrient-rich waters... needs a commas after In the Southern Ocean) - (line 13, p3) (i) species effect difference in the silicification of diatom cells - (line 18, p3) The largest marine zonal silicic acid gradient across the Southern Ocean is thus controlled by surface water Si uptake by diatoms to form opaline cell walls (referred to as biogenic silica, bSiO<sub>2</sub>), vertical mixing and subsequent intermediate water mass formation - ( end of p3) at different timescales (past, present, and future) (past, present, and future are different times not different timescales) - (line 1, p4) The natural isotopic composition of silicon (d30Si) is a promising proxy to overcome both spatial and temporal variabilities in the marine silicon cycle since ( also, this phrase doesn't actually make sense... the authors are not trying to overcome variability in the silica cycle, they are trying to overcome the problems that variability on certain temporal and spatial scales has on estimates of silica production, etc)

I don't want to correct the whole manuscript, so I'll stop there. But from this, the authors (and editor) should get the gist of what sorts of things need to be fixed.

2) Fig 2. Why are there a couple of blank patches in the interpolations of silicic acid and d30Si in panels a and b?

3) I think more needs to be said about the mixing lines in Fig. 4 and how they are used to estimate biogenic silica production. The authors currently speed through this with a minimum of explanation, which means it must be read through multiple times before it begins to make sense. It is not enough that this sort of exercise has been undertaking previously in another manuscript.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



4) I also have a few specific questions about this mixing line exercise. - What is the  $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$  and silicic acid concentration of PF AASW? I couldn't understand which value on Table 2 this was supposed to correspond to. - The thing that troubles me most about this mixing line exercise is that mixing lines imply that the only process going on is mixing. And yet, we have an endmember (AZ-ML) whose value should be evolving constantly, shifting towards higher  $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$  values and lower silicic acid concentrations as spring moves into summer (and diatoms are growing), and then itself being shifted back towards WW values as stratification breaks down and net phytoplankton growth ceases in the autumn and winter. I am not saying that the authors are taking an invalid approach, but I am saying they need to discuss these points here.

5) p 14l line 5- The comment about the N Pac is not relevant to the discussion in this section and should be removed. It is neither surprising that the N Pac is slightly different to the Southern Ocean in terms of silicic acid and  $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$ , nor actually logically supportive of the idea that the Southern Ocean is a complicated place and the patterns observed in the present may not be the ones that existed in the past.

---

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 8, 639, 2011.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)