Ocean Sci. Discuss., 8, 1505–1533, 2011 www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/8/1505/2011/ doi:10.5194/osd-8-1505-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Ocean Science (OS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in OS if available.

# Using dissolved oxygen concentrations to determine mixed layer depths in the Bellingshausen Sea

# K. Castro-Morales<sup>1,2</sup> and J. Kaiser<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK <sup>2</sup>Alfred-Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

Received: 6 June 2011 - Accepted: 10 June 2011 - Published: 23 June 2011

Correspondence to: K. Castro-Morales (karel.castro-morales@awi.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



#### Abstract

Concentrations of oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and other dissolved gases in the oceanic mixed layer are often used to calculate air-sea gas exchange fluxes; for example, in the context of net and gross biological production estimates. The mixed layer depth (*z*<sub>mix</sub>) may
<sup>5</sup> be defined using criteria based on temperature or density differences to a reference depth near the ocean surface. However, temperature criteria fail in regions with strong haloclines such as the Southern Ocean where heat, freshwater and momentum fluxes interact to establish mixed layers. Moreover, the time scales of air-sea exchange differ for gases and heat, so that *z*<sub>mix</sub> defined using O<sub>2</sub> may be different to *z*<sub>mix</sub> defined using temperature or density. Here, we propose to define an O<sub>2</sub>-based mixed layer depth, *z*<sub>mix</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>), as the depth where the relative difference between the O<sub>2</sub> concentration and a reference value at a depth equivalent to 10 dbar equals 0.5 %. This definition was established by numerical analysis of O<sub>2</sub> profiles in coastal areas of the Southern Ocean and corroborated by visual inspection. Comparisons of *z*<sub>mix</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>) with *z*<sub>mix</sub> based

<sup>15</sup> on potential temperature differences, i.e.  $z_{mix}(\Delta \theta = 0.2 \degree C)$  and  $z_{mix}(\Delta \theta = 0.5 \degree C)$ , and potential density differences, i.e.  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  and  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$ , showed that  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  closely follows  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$ . Further comparisons with published  $z_{mix}$  climatologies and  $z_{mix}$  derived from World Ocean Atlas 2005 data were also performed. To establish  $z_{mix}$  for use with biological production estimates in the <sup>20</sup> absence of O<sub>2</sub> profiles, we suggest using  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$ , which is also the basis for the climatology by de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004).

#### 1 Introduction

The oceanic mixed layer is the top part of the water column where temperature and solute concentrations are vertically homogeneous due to wind-driven turbulent mixing (Lukas and Lindstrom, 1991; Brainerd and Gregg, 1995). This is an important re-

<sup>25</sup> (Lukas and Lindstrom, 1991; Brainerd and Gregg, 1995). This is an important region that directly interacts with the atmosphere through exchange of momentum, heat,



moisture, gases and aerosols (Dong et al., 2008). The mixed layer depth ( $z_{mix}$ ) defines the bottom boundary of the mixed layer and separates it from the pycnocline. Mixing between mixed layer and waters below determines the ventilation of the ocean interior and influences the large-scale circulation (Cisewski et al., 2008; Le Quéré et al., 2003).

- <sup>5</sup> The biological response to physical forcing is not always immediate. Likewise, changes in light or micronutrient levels may stimulate rapid biological changes, but are not necessarily reflected in changes of physical properties of the surface ocean. Therefore, physical and biogeochemical tracers cannot be expected a priori to show the same scales of variability. For example, heat exchange is generally faster than gas
- exchange (Fairall et al., 2000). Mixed layer depths could also be different, depending on whether they refer to temperature or to gases. Moreover, different gases respond at different rates to wind forcing, depending on their solubility, with less soluble gases (e.g. N<sub>2</sub>, Ar or O<sub>2</sub>) responding more quickly. Therefore, for air-sea gas exchange studies and related topics such as biological production estimates from O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratios ratio
   and oxygen triple isotopes (Reuer et al., 2007; Kaiser et al., 2005), it is important to
- have a proper representation of  $z_{mix}$  in terms of gas fluxes.

In the Southern Ocean, a strong coupling exists between atmosphere and surface waters due to the lack of physical barriers for the eastward flowing Antarctic Circumpolar Current, leading to pronounced meridional gradients and defined frontal regions. In

this marine ecosystem, physical processes are an important driver for biogeochemical processes, such as biological production in the surface ocean .(Rintoul and Trull, 2001; Smith et al., 2008). The Southern Ocean accounts for a significant fraction of oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Sarmiento et al., 1998; Sarmiento and Le Quéré, 1996). In particular, Antarctic shelf waters act as a strong sink of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> due to high biological productivity, intense winds and high deep-water ventilation rates (Arrigo et al., 2008).

Previous definitions of  $z_{mix}$  in the Southern Ocean have used criteria based on physical properties of the water column, such as potential temperature or density (Cisewski et al., 2008; Dong et al., 2008; Gordon and Huber, 1990; Rintoul and Trull, 2001; Verdy et al., 2007). However, temperature and salinity do not always show the



same stratification, leading to water column structures such as temperature inversions (i.e. abrupt changes in the temperature profile due to intrusions of water masses), barrier layers (as a result of temperature inversions and at locations where the halocline is shallower than the thermocline) and density-compensated profiles (de Boyer Montégut

et al., 2004; Rintoul and Trull, 2001). These structures can develop frequently in high latitude coastal areas, such as the western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP), due to the combined effect of shelf bathymetry and sea-ice dynamics (Ducklow et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2008). In the WAP region, little temperature stratification occurs and the density distribution is dominated by the influence of ice melt on salinity (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2008).

Most definitions of  $z_{mix}$  can be classified into two groups: (a) gradient-based criteria, where  $z_{mix}$  is the depth where the vertical gradient of an oceanic property reaches a threshold value (Lukas and Lindstrom, 1991) and (b) difference-based criteria, where  $z_{mix}$  is the depth where an oceanic property has changed by a certain amount from a reference value (Levitus, 1992).

Previous comparisons suggested that in order to use gradient-based criteria successfully, well-resolved vertical profiles are needed (Brainerd and Gregg, 1995; Cisewski et al., 2008). For example, Dong et al. (2008) concluded that the presence of anomalous spikes and perturbations in profiles from ARGO floats could lead to erroneously low  $z_{mix}$ . The authors found that gradient-based  $z_{mix}$  deviates as much as 100 m from difference-based  $z_{mix}$ . This was mainly due to limited resolution and noise of the temperature and conductivity float's sensors. Difference-based criteria perform better in such circumstances as well as in regions with temperature inversions or weak upper water column stratification. However, simulations using an ocean general circumstances are needed as a strategies of the temperature shown that a latitude-dependent difference criterion may actually

give the best results (Noh and Lee, 2008).

15

Other  $z_{mix}$  definitions include curvature of temperature or density profiles (Lorbacher et al., 2006), combinations of physical criteria (Holte and Talley, 2009), optical measurements (Zawada et al., 2005) and split and merge methods (Thomson and Fine,



2003). These criteria require more complex numerical methods or additional measurements to determine  $z_{mix}$ .

O<sub>2</sub> is used as a tracer for water masses, biological activity and air-sea exchange (Jenkins and Jacobs, 2008; Reuer et al., 2007; Körtzinger et al., 2008). Dissolved O<sub>2</sub>
 responds to the same physical processes (e.g. vertical mixing, horizontal advection, air-sea exchange) as heat. However, since the response of O<sub>2</sub> solubility to changes in temperature and salinity is not linear and immediate, z<sub>mix</sub> defined solely by differences in potential density does not fully describe the properties of the water column in terms of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> and temperature-associated solubility changes. O<sub>2</sub> also depends on biology and therefore gives a more complete picture of all relevant processes.

It makes sense to define  $z_{mix}$  using  $O_2$  concentrations in the context of net and gross biological production estimates, where  $z_{mix}$  is used to calculated weighted-average gas exchange coefficients (Reuer et al., 2007). In the Bellingshausen Sea, the main factors controlling the initiation and maintenance of the high algal biomass are physical dynamics, iron and light availability, which are driven by the mixed layer depth variability. According to Boyd et al. (1995), high chlorophyll concentrations in the upper water

column of the Bellingshausen Sea remained unchanged for about 25 days during austral summer.

15

Holte and Talley (2009) compared winter mixed layer depth defined by the 95 % O<sub>2</sub>
 saturation horizon (Talley, 1999) with results from a recently published z<sub>mix</sub> algorithm based on combinations of physical criteria. The authors found good agreement between both definitions in data from the World Ocean Atlas 2005 and Argo profiles. In the Southern Ocean, a region with consistent deep mixed layers was identified north of the Subantarctic Front by both methods. However, the 95 % O<sub>2</sub> saturation crite rion is not suitable for the detection of shallow mixed layers, particularly in the coastal

Southern Ocean where surface cold waters are normally in equilibrium or slightly undersaturated in  $O_2$  for most of the year (Garcia and Keeling, 2001).

Here, we propose to define  $z_{mix}$  using a difference criterion based on the relative difference between O<sub>2</sub> concentrations and a reference value at a depth equivalent to



10 dbar. O<sub>2</sub> is commonly measured during hydrocasts and modern electrochemical or optical O<sub>2</sub> sensors give sufficiently stable results for establishing  $z_{mix}$ . The corresponding  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  was first obtained through visual inspection of vertical O<sub>2</sub>profiles in the Bellingshausen Sea. We summarise the different criteria used to define  $z_{mix}$  in global climatologies and in climatologies specific to the Southern Ocean (Table 1) and compare them with  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . Climatologies may be useful where no CTD O<sub>2</sub> data

are available to determine  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  for the calculation of wind-speed weighted gas exchange coefficients.

# 2 Methods

5

15

20

# 10 2.1 CTD data acquisition

Vertical profiles of temperature ( $\theta$ ), salinity (*S*) and dissolved O<sub>2</sub> concentration (c(O<sub>2</sub>)) were obtained at 253 hydrographic stations in the Bellingshausen Sea (RRS *James Clark Ross* cruise JR165). The cruise took part within the framework of the ACES-FOCAS project (Antarctic Climate and the Earth System-Forcing from the Oceans, Clouds, Atmosphere and Sea-ice) of the British Antarctic Survey.

The Bellingshausen Sea is situated to the west of the Antarctic Peninsula between 75° W and 90° W and is a transition region between continental shelf, shelf breaks and the open ocean. The sampling period consisted of 38 days between 3 March and 9 April 2007, which represent the seasonal shift from late summer to early autumn (Fig. 1). The hydrographic profiles were taken with a *Sea-Bird* 911+ CTD package mounted on a rosette with 12 ten-litre Niskin bottles for the collection of water samples.

The CTD conductivity sensor was calibrated on board against discrete samples analysed with a *Guildline Autosal* 8400B. A constant offset of  $+0.034 \pm 0.040$  was found and corrected for. A high-precision reversing thermometer sensor (*Sea-Bird* SBE35)

<sup>25</sup> and an O<sub>2</sub> sensor (CTD-O<sub>2</sub>; *Sea-Bird* SBE43) were also mounted on the rosette. The CTD data were binned into 2 dbar-depth intervals starting from 1 dbar (= $10^4$  Pa,



or about 1 m) to the maximum depth for each station. The CTD-O<sub>2</sub> data were calibrated against discrete samples analysed on board using whole-bottle Winkler titration (Dickson, 1996) with photometric end-point detection. A total of 276 titrations were performed with a repeatability of 0.29  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (0.1%) based on 76 duplicate samples. The average difference between the non-calibrated CTD-O<sub>2</sub> and Winkler data was (3.9±3.1)  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup>.

For determining  $z_{mix}$  using difference criteria, the sensor precision is more important than the absolute accuracy. The sensor precision was estimated from the relative standard deviation of the CTD-O<sub>2</sub> readings within 2 dbar-bins in the mixed layer, which was found to be  $(0.4 \pm 0.3)$ %, on average.

# 2.2 Definition of the $z_{mix}(O_2)$ criterion

5

10

To define a  $z_{mix}$  criterion based on O<sub>2</sub>, all 253 CTD profiles were initially inspected visually. Two profiles could not be used due to sensor problems. Some examples of typical profiles encountered during the survey are depicted in Fig. 2. Subjective, visu-<sup>15</sup> ally determined  $z_{mix}$  was then compared to objective, numerically determined  $z_{mix}$  to identify a suitable O<sub>2</sub> criterion for  $z_{mix}$ . We propose to define  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  as the depth at which  $c(O_2)$  has changed by 0.5% with respect to a reference value at 10 dbar. Brainerd and Gregg (1995) suggested 10 dbar as reference depth to avoid sensor noise in the surface water due to the effect of ship motion. Sensor noise affected our measure-<sup>20</sup> ments only in the top 5 dbar of most CTD stations. Using this reference depth means that the minimum  $z_{mix}$  is 10 dbar. The reference depth of 10 dbar was also chosen for consistency with other studies and to allow comparing our results to climatological data that use the same reference depth.

#### 2.3 Comparison with density- and temperature-based z<sub>mix</sub> and climatologies

<sup>25</sup> Comparisons between  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  and  $z_{mix}$  based on temperature and potential density differences were performed. To compare with  $z_{mix}$  data from climatologies, difference



criteria used in selected three-widely used climatologies were chosen. A further comparison was made between of  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  and the actual  $z_{mix}$  values from the climatologies, interpolated according to location and time of year (Table 1).

- Climatologies represent binned and averaged monthly fields. The *z*<sub>mix</sub> climatolo-<sup>5</sup> gies by Monterey and Levitus (1997), Kara et al. (2003) and de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004) (referred to as ML97, K03 and BM04 hereinafter and respectively) are widely used in oceanographic studies. The corresponding data were obtained from http: //www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.nodc.woa94.html, http://www7320.nrlssc. navy.mil/nmld/nmld.html and http://www.locean-ipsl.upmc.fr/~cdblod/mld.html. ML97
- <sup>10</sup> and K03 are based on data from the World Ocean Atlas 1994 (WOA94). BM04 is based on individual CTD profiles obtained from the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) and the National Oceanographic Center (NODC) and the latest update includes profiles from Argo floats. The BM04 climatology is obtained by an ordinary kriging of the data distributed in 2<sup>o</sup> boxes, with a prediction limited to 1000 km ra-
- dius. No value is assigned if there are less than 5 data points in a grid box. BM04 includes profiles from mechanical bathythermograph (MBT), expendable bathythermograph (XBT), CTD hydrocasts and profiling floats, providing a range of vertical resolutions from 2.3 m (CTD) to 19.5 m (XBT). The ML97 and K03 climatologies consider a smaller radius of influence (771 km). However, interpolation of data and smoothing
   were performed within that radius leading to larger uncertainties in the data.

Based on ML97 and BM04, the following criteria were chosen to define  $z_{mix}$  based on potential temperature ( $\theta$ ) and potential density ( $\sigma_{\theta}$ ) differences:  $\Delta\theta = 0.2 \degree C$  and  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta} =$ 0.125 kg m<sup>-3</sup> with respect to the surface value;  $\Delta\theta = 0.5 \degree C$  and  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> with respect to the 10 dbar value. These criteria were applied to the same 251 CTD profiles used to determine  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . In addition,  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  was compared with the curvaturebased  $z_{mix}$  algorithm of Lorbacher et al. (2006) as well as  $z_{mix}$  based on the 95 % O<sub>2</sub> saturation criterion (Talley, 1999).

The  $z_{mix}$  values from ML97, K03 and BM04 were also directly compared with  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  after linear interpolation to the same month, latitude and longitude. Since these



climatologies suffer from poor data coverage in the Southern Ocean, particularly south of 30° S in the Antarctic coastal zone, we planned to use a dedicated Southern Ocean  $z_{mix}$  climatology based on  $\sigma_{\theta}$  differences derived from Argo float profiles used for comparison (Dong et al., 2008). However, it turned out that this climatology did not contain  $z_{mix}$  any data in the region of study and could therefore not be used.

To further test the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion, we applied the  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  criterion to density profiles calculated from 1° by 1°-temperature and salinity climatology in World Ocean Atlas 2005 (WOA05; http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOA05/woa05data.html; (Antonov et al., 2006; Locarnini et al., 2006). Then, the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion was applied to the O<sub>2</sub> data in WOA05 (Garcia et al., 2006). WOA05 uses the same standard depths and interpolation method for temperature, salinity and oxygen. The O<sub>2</sub> data only comprise results obtained by Winkler titration.

### 3 Results

10

25

# 3.1 Comparison between $z_{mix}(O_2)$ from subjective and objective analysis

<sup>15</sup>  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  obtained by subjective visual inspection and by using objective numerical analysis agreed to within 1 dbar for 235 out of 251 stations. For the remaining 16 stations, the objective  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  value was on average  $(1 \pm 5)$  dbar shallower than its subjective counterpart (Fig. 3). This small discrepancy was caused by the presence of low oxygenated subsurface waters (i.e. Winter Water) that created a weak upper oxycline. The visual inspection disregarded this top oxycline and  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  was defined according to the deeper and more pronounced seasonal oxycline. In the following, the subjective result is used for  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ .

The  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion appears to better reflect the  $O_2$  distribution in the mixed layer, compared to the  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  criterion. This is illustrated by six typical profiles (Fig. 2). Vertical profiles for stations 25, 76, 89, 188, 199 and 250, show  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  lying in the oxycline region, deeper than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . As a consequence,

Jiscussion Paper

Discussion Pape

Discussion Paper

Discussion Pape

the O<sub>2</sub> concentration is lower at  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  than at  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . This difference can lead to an underestimation of the average mixed layer O<sub>2</sub> concentration.

# 3.2 Comparison between $z_{mix}(O_2)$ and $z_{mix}$ based on $\theta$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$ differences

No significant correlation was observed between  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  and  $z_{mix}$  based on  $\Delta \theta = 0.5 \degree C$  (with respect to the surface value) or based on  $\Delta \theta = 0.2 \degree C$  (with respect to the 10 dbar value). The corresponding correlation coefficients were  $r^2 = 0.001$  and 0.111, respectively.  $z_{mix}(\Delta \theta = 0.5 \degree C)$  was on average  $(58 \pm 51)$  dbar deeper than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ , while  $z_{mix}(\Delta \theta = 0.2 \degree C)$  was  $(26 \pm 34)$  dbar deeper. These observations confirm the overestimation of  $z_{mix}$  based on temperature in the Southern Ocean providing the importance of using density to define  $z_{mix}$  in this area (Figs. 4 and 5a).

Comparison between  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  and  $z_{mix}$  based on  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  with respect to the surface value,  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta}$  due to a temperature change of 0.8 °C,  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta}(0.8 \degree \text{C}) = \sigma_{\theta} (\theta + 0.8 \degree \text{C}) - \sigma_{\theta} (\theta)$ , both with respect to the 10 dbar value, showed a better agreement than for the solely  $\theta$ -based criteria. The corresponding correlation <sup>15</sup> coefficients were  $r^2 = 0.711$ , 0.813 and 0.016, respectively.  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  was on average (14±13) dbar deeper than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ , while  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  was (3±7) dbar deeper and  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta}(0.8 \degree \text{C}))$  was (94±60) dbar deeper (Figs. 4 and 5b). We also confirmed the results obtained based on the  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta}$  criteria visually. Objective and subjective results agreed to within (2±6) dbar for all criteria.

<sup>20</sup> Lorbacher et al. (2006) have defined  $z_{mix}$  based on the first extreme curvature in the temperature or potential density profile. Compared to difference criteria, this approach has the advantage of being independent of the actual value of the variable in question. The same is true for  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ , which uses a relative difference of 0.5%, independent of the O<sub>2</sub> concentration. The mixed layer depth based on temperature <sup>25</sup> curvature,  $z_{mix}(\theta'')$ , gave (12±30) dbar deeper values than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ ;  $z_{mix}$  based on density curvature,  $z_{mix}(\sigma''_{\theta})$ , gave (8±14) dbar deeper values (Fig. 4).



Talley (1999) used the 95 % O<sub>2</sub>-saturation horizon to identify winter mixed layer depth. We tested this criterion to identify summer/autumn mixed layer depth during our cruise. Away from the shore (stations 90 to 163 and 197 to 253), the 95 % saturation criterion does not give meaningful results because of the presence O<sub>2</sub>-undersaturated waters near the surface. Closer to ice shelves (stations 1 to 90 and 166 to 198), biological production is able to overcome this O<sub>2</sub> deficit. The surface O<sub>2</sub> saturation was  $(100 \pm 4)$  % and  $z_{mix}(95 \% O_2)$  was on average  $(12 \pm 24)$  dbar deeper than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  (Fig. 6).

#### 3.3 Comparison of z<sub>mix</sub> with climatologies

<sup>10</sup> For the following comparisons, the  $z_{mix}$  data are presented in meters (rather than dbar) to keep consistent with the climatologies. Comparison between  $z_{mix}$  from the selected criteria with the  $z_{mix}$  obtained from the same criteria according to the corresponding climatology was done. This means that the  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$ ,  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  and  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta}(0.8 \text{ °C}))$  derived from our profiles was compared <sup>15</sup> with the corresponding values extracted from the ML97, BM04 and K03 climatologies, respectively, for the same month and location were done. Due to the limited spatial coverage of the climatologies in the Southern Ocean, not all profiles had a corresponding climatological  $z_{mix}$  value (170 profiles for ML97, 208 profiles for BM04 and 179 profiles for K03 all out of 251). Therefore, the comparisons below were done for the common <sup>20</sup> minimum number of profiles found in the climatologies.

The ML97 and K03 climatologies have a coarse vertical resolution (0, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000 m), which puts limits on the comparison to in situ  $z_{mix}$  data based on profiles with 2 dbar resolution. For some CTD stations, climatological  $z_{mix}$  was overestimated by up to 500 m with respect to  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . These values were disregarded for the comparison between climatological  $z_{mix}$  and  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . In case of BM04, the vertical resolution varies according to the source of data: PFL data have a vertical resolution of 8.2 m, CTD data 2.3 m, XBT data 19.5 m and UBT data 9.4 m.



Comparisons with  $z_{\text{mix}}$  from ML97 and B04 showed a good agreement, with  $r^2 = 0.628$  for ML97 and  $r^2 = 0.604$  for BM04 (data not shown). The ML97 climatology gave (11.9 ± 11.6) m shallower  $z_{\text{mix}}$  than the in situ data; the BM04 climatology gave (0.7 ± 13.5) m shallower  $z_{\text{mix}}$ . However,  $z_{\text{mix}}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta}(0.8 \text{ °C}))$  showed poor agreement with the corresponding data from K03 ( $r^2 = 0.002$ ), with  $z_{\text{mix}}$  based on CTD profiles being (94.4 ± 64.1) m deeper.

5

15

We then compared  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  with the density-based  $z_{mix}$  in the BM04, ML97 and K03 climatologies. To ensure comparable results, we only used the profiles where data from all climatologies were available, so that the total number of profiles compared was 160 (Table 2).  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  from BM04 showed a positive correlation with  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  ( $r^2 = 0.542$ ) and was on average (6 ± 11) m deeper than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ .  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  from ML97 also showed a positive correlation with  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  ( $r^2 = 0.542$ ), and was on average (1 ± 11) m shallower than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . No correlation was found between  $z_{mix}$  from K03 and  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ , with  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  on average (15 ± 14) m deeper (Table 2).

Our observations show that  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  is most similar to  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . The BM04 climatology showed the best coverage in the Southern Ocean, and has the advantage of having a higher vertical resolution than to the other climatologies.

# 3.4 z<sub>mix</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>) compared with z<sub>mix</sub> based on WOA05 density and oxygen profiles

<sup>20</sup> The criterion  $z_{\text{mix}}$  ( $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) was applied to density profiles taken from objectively analysed fields of temperature and salinity data from WOA05. We then compared the results obtained from these observations to the  $z_{\text{mix}}$  using the same density criterion and the oxygen criterion both in our CTD profiles. For the WOA05 profiles we could only find matching data for 120 out of 251 CTD profiles, due to the limited spatial coverage of WOA05. A poor correlation was found for both comparisons ( $r^2 = 0.048$  and 0.043, respectively), with  $z_{\text{mix}}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  based on CTD data being (17±17) m



deeper than based on WOA05. These differences are likely due to the scarce WOA05 data in the Southern Ocean and their limited vertical resolution (10 m) (Table 2).

To test the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion with other  $O_2$  profiles, we used historical  $O_2$  profiles from WOA05 located at the same geographical location than our CTD stations. Our results show a positive correlation ( $r^2 = 0.412$ ) between  $z_{mix}(CTD-O_2)$  and  $z_{mix}(WOA05-O_2)$ . On average,  $z_{mix}(WOA05-O_2)$  was (8±12) dbar shallower than  $z_{mix}(CTD-O_2)$ .

#### 4 Discussion

Defining  $z_{\text{mix}}$  based on potential temperature can lead to deeper values than  $z_{\text{mix}}(O_2)$ , which is defined based on the vertical  $O_2$  distribution. The  $\Delta \theta = 0.5$  °C and  $\Delta \theta = 0.2$  °C criteria lead to  $z_{\text{mix}}$  located within the oxycline. These criteria tend to underestimate the average mixed layer  $O_2$  concentration. Potential density-based  $z_{\text{mix}}$  values are in better agreement with  $z_{\text{mix}}(O_2)$ , particularly for the  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  criterion.

To explain the discrepancy between temperature and density-based  $z_{mix}$ , we checked for the presence of barrier layers and temperature inversions in the area of study. Barrier layers are thought to be formed by melting sea ice, although the mechanisms for the formation and destruction of barrier layers in the Southern Ocean are not well understood (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2007). Our results showed that barrier layers were present in 43% of the CTD profiles. The barrier layer thickness ranged from 2 to 93 m. Barrier layers were also encountered below the seasonal mixed layer, but do not influence  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . The seasonal variability of the barrier layers, influenced mainly by the water column stratification, is expected to correspond to that of  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . The deepening of  $z_{mix}$  during autumn and winter will lead to low-O<sub>2</sub> waters entering the

Comparison between in situ  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  with  $z_{mix}$  climatologies showed a good agree-<sup>25</sup> ment for the ML97 and BM04 climatologies. BM04 has several advantages over ML97 and K03: (1) It includes Argo data up to 2008, giving better data coverage, especially in high latitudes (ML97 and K03 covered 71% and 68% of the occupied stations respectively, while BM04 83%), (2) BM04 uses non-interpolated,

ML from the barrier layer below and subsequent destruction of the barrier layer.



non-averaged profiles, which avoids the creation of artificially smooth profiles as in ML97 and K03; (3) ML97 and K03 have limited vertical resolution and use discrete intervals; (4) non-averaged profiles allow identification of upper water structures such as barrier layers and temperature inversions; (5) a difference-criterion based on temperature are despite with a wide threshold (such as in ML97 and K02), and k02

<sup>5</sup> perature or density with a wide threshold (such as in ML97 and K03), can lead to an overestimation of  $z_{mix}$ . De Boyer Montégut et al. (2004) reasoned that a wider threshold might be better for averaged-profiles with a coarser and smoother resolution.

Both the ML97 and K03 temperature criteria have been applied for areas where sharp temperature stratification in the upper water column is present (i.e. tropical and sub-tropical oceanic areas). The larger temperature difference of the K03 criterion produces  $z_{mix}$  values higher than those of ML97.

10

25

Argo float data such as used in the latest update of the BM04 climatology provide new insights for seasonal  $z_{mix}$  investigations in a region where the lack of data during austral winter from direct observations collected on board research ships is considerable. Argo floats with O<sub>2</sub> sensors have been launched since 2007, a few of them in the

able. Argo floats with O<sub>2</sub> sensors have been launched since 2007, a few of them in the Southern Ocean. However, these floats are only located in deep waters and the coarse vertical resolution that the Argo profiles provide (≈10.5 m) are important limitations for detection of shallow summer z<sub>mix</sub> in the coastal region of the Southern Ocean. Due to this, the climatology by Dong et al. (2008) is limited to 30° S to 65° S excluding the
 location of the Bellingshausen Sea (i.e. 66° S to 74° S).

 $z_{mix}$  based on curvature as proposed by Lorbacher et al. (2006) showed a similar overestimation of  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  as the density and temperature criteria. Although the L06 criterion does not require a common vertical resolution between analysed profiles, this criterion was created from monthly means data and may therefore not work for single profiles as in our case. The L06 criterion however has the advantage of being independent of the actual value of the variable in question. This is also true for the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  which uses a relative difference of 0.5 % independent of the oxygen concentrations.

The 95%  $O_2$  saturation criterion proposed by Talley (1999) gives mostly lower  $z_{mix}$  values than  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  as defined here. It is not suitable as a general criterion



because the physical and biogeochemical processes would not appear to be comparable enough from place to place and time to time to allow using such an "absolute" horizon.

The nighttime convection and overturning have a daily effect on the  $z_{mix}$  with higher values as the wind speed increases during the night. This effect might also yield a differential response of gas fluxes due to diurnal thermocline variations. From the total CTD profiles evaluated here in the continental shelf of the Bellingshausen Sea, 17% (43 stations) were sampled during the period of darkness (about 5 h; from 23:00 to 04:00 LT). From the observations in Fig. 7, there is no clear difference between  $z_{mix}$  during the night and day either using O<sub>2</sub> or the potential density criterion (i.e.  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ). This may be expected because nighttime convection is likely to be limited during summer (and early autumn). In order to evaluate in detail the effect of nighttime convection on  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ , in situ measurements of the vertical profile of O<sub>2</sub> in a daily time series at the same geographical location are needed. High variability due to diurnal effects has been investigated before for oxygen isotopes in dissolved water from Sagami Bay, Japan (Sarma et al., 2006). The authors found that the distribution

of oxygen isotopes is strongly influenced by diurnal variability. This effect would also influence of production calculations, but can be neglected for our study.

The accuracy of  $z_{mix}$  depends on the resolution of the instrumental parameter used in the criterion to define it. By using CTD observations, the resolution is sufficiently high to identify the vertical distribution of  $O_2$  in the upper water column, and therefore define an adequate  $z_{mix}$  in a less coarse vertical resolution than the integrated observations by  $z_{mix}$  climatologies and WOA data. The low abundance of  $O_2$  profiles in the WOA record for the Southern Ocean make  $z_{mix}$  obtained from these data sets unreliable when compared to the in situ  $z_{mix}$  values based on CTD- $O_2$  profiles. Moreover, the difference between  $z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta})$  and the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  for CTD profiles and WOA05-profiles are mainly due to the interpolation method used to construct the temperature, salinity and  $O_2$  fields in WOA05.



Finally, despite in this work the O<sub>2</sub> concentrations from the CTD profiles were previously calibrated against results from Winkler method, the  $z_{mix}$  obtained after the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion can be also applied directly to non-calibrated vertical profiles, provided bad data such as spikes are removed from the O<sub>2</sub> profiles.

#### 5 5 Conclusions

25

The  $O_2$  concentration in the coastal Southern Ocean is a better parameter to define  $z_{mix}$  for gas exchange studies. For profiles in the Bellingshausen Sea collected during late summer and autumn in 2007, the  $z_{mix}$  was well defined by the depth where the absolute difference in the concentration of  $O_2$  was higher than 0.5% of the concentration at 10 dbar depth. The criterion was validated by further visual inspection with 94% of the total working profiles agreeing well with the proposed criterion.

In coastal waters of the Southern Ocean, the vertical stratification of salinity is a delimiting factor for the upper water dynamics due to the strong ice-melting water signal. After validation of the  $z_{mix}$  obtained from O<sub>2</sub> against the  $z_{mix}$  obtained from tra-<sup>15</sup> ditional potential density and temperature criteria, it was found a best agreement with the  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  criterion, applied to the CTD profiles and with the corresponding  $z_{mix}$  extracted from the monthly climatology (BM04) (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004).

Thus, in the absence of O<sub>2</sub> profiles, the  $z_{mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  criterion might be used. Furthermore, in the absence of CTD stations at all, the public monthly climatology BM04 (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004), based on the same  $\Delta\sigma_{\theta}$ -criterion, is encouraged to be used for determination of  $z_{mix}$  in the coastal areas of the Southern Ocean.

For gas exchange studies,  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  has the advantage of being directly related to a species of interested. Moreover, the relative nature of  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion means that it should be applicable in many other parts of the worlds' oceans, including during other times of the year. Therefore, for gas exchange studies, dissolved gas budget



or determination of marine production using dissolved gases as proxy in the coastal Southern Ocean, the use of the  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion is suggested. The proposed criterion is more sensitive to reflect better upper mixed layer air-sea dynamics and influence of biological and physical processes, rather than the traditional criteria based on potential temperature or density, particularly in regions where weak vertical gradients of temperature and density in the upper waters are suspected.

Acknowledgements. The British Antarctic Survey (BAS) and the Natural Environment Research Council supported this project through grant CGS8/29. K. C. M. thanks the National Council for Science and Technology (CONACyT), Mexico, for her PhD scholarship at the University of East Anglia. J. K. was also supported by a Royal Society Research Merit Award (WM052632). Our thanks extend to the principal scientist, Deborah Shoosmith, and the other participants and crew of cruise JR165, as well as the leader of the ACES-FOCAS project, Adrian Jenkins.

#### References

5

Antonov, J. I., Locarnini, R. A., Boyer, T. P., Mishonov, A. V., and García, H. E.: Salinity, in: World Ocean Atlas, 2005, edited by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas NESDIS 62, U.S Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 182, 2006.

Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., and Bushinsky, S.: Primary production in the Southern Ocean, 1997–2006, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–27, doi:10.1029/2007JC004551, 2008.

- Brainerd, K. E. and Gregg, M. C.: Surface mixed and mixing layer depths, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 42, 1177–1200, doi:10.1016/0967-0637(95)00068-H, 1995.
  - Cisewski, B., Strass, V. H., Losch, M., and Prandke, H.: Mixed layer analysis of a mesoscale eddy in the Antarctic Polar Front Zone, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–19, doi:10.1029/2007JC004372, 2008.
- de Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fisher, A. S., Lazar, A., and Iudicone, D.: Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: an examination of profile data and profile-based climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 109, 1–20, doi:10.1029/2004JC002378, 2004.

de Boyer Montégut, C., Mignot, J., Lazar, A., and Cravatte, S.: Control of salinity on the



mixed layer depth in the world ocean: 1. General description, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 1–12, doi:10.1029/2006JC003953, 2007.

- Dong, S., Sprintall, J., Gille, S. T., and Talley, L.: Southern Ocean mixed-layer depth from Argo float profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–12, doi:10.1029/2006JC004051, 2008.
- <sup>5</sup> Ducklow, H. W., Fraser, W., Karl, D. M., Quetin, L. B., Ross, R. M., Smith, R. C., Stammerjohn, S., Vernet, M., and Daniels, R. M.: Water-column processes in the West Antarctic Peninsula and the Ross Sea: Interannual variations and foodweb structure, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 53, 834–852, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.02.009, 2006.

Fairall, C. W., Hare, J. E., Edson, J. B., and McGillis, W.: Parameterization and microm-

- eteorological measurement of air-sea gas transfer, Bound-Lay. Meteorol., 96, 63–105, doi:10.1023/A:1002662826020, 2000.
  - Garcia, H. E. and Keeling, R. F.: On the global oxygen anomaly and air-sea flux, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 31155–31166, doi:10.1029/1999JC000200, 2001.

Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Boyer, T. P., and Antonov, J. I.: Dissolved Oxygen, Apparent

Oxygen Utilization and Oxygen Saturation, in: World Ocean Atlas, 2005, edited by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas NESDIS 63, U.S Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 342, 2006.
 Gordon, A. L. and Huber, B. A.: Southern Ocean winter mixed layer, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 11655–11672, doi:10.1029/JC095iC07p11655, 1990.

Holte, J. and Talley, L.: A new algorithm for finding mixed layer depths with applications to Argo

- data and Subantarctic Mode Water Formation, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 29, 1920–1939, doi:10.1175/2009JTECHO543.1, 2009.
  - Jenkins, A. and Jacobs, S. S.: Circulation and melting beneath George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–18, doi:10.1029/2007JC004449, 2008.

Kaiser, J., Reuer, M. K., Barnett, B., and Bender, M. L.: Marine productivity estimates from con-

- tinuous oxygen/argon ratio measurements by shipboard membrane inlet mass spectrometry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L19605, doi:19610.11029/12005GL023459, 2005.
  - Körtzinger, A., Send, U., Wallace, D. W. R., Karstensen, J., and DeGrandpre, M.: Seasonal cycle of O<sub>2</sub> and *p*CO<sub>2</sub> in the central Labrador Sea: Atmospheric, biological, and physical implications, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, 1–16, doi:10.1029/2007GB003029, 2008.
- <sup>30</sup> Le Quéré, C., Aumont, O., Monfray, P., and Orr, J. C.: Propagation of climatic events on ocean stratification, marine biology, and CO<sub>2</sub>: case studies over the 1979–1999 period, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 1–7, doi:10.1029/2001JC000920, 2003.

Levitus, S.: Climatological atlas of the world ocean, Washington, D.C., 173, 1992.



Discussion 8, 1505–1533, 2011 Paper Mixed layer depths using oxygen Discussion K. Castro-Morales and J. Kaiser Paper **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Discussion Paper Tables Figures

**Discussion** Paper

Back

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

Close

OSD

- Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., and García, H. E.: Temperature, in: World Ocean Atlas, 2005, edited by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas NESDIS 61, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 182, 2006.
- Lorbacher, K., Dommenget, D., Niiler, P., and Köhl, A.: Ocean mixed layer depth:
- A subsurface proxy of ocean-atmosphere variability, J. Geophys. Res., 111, 1-22, 5 doi:10.1029/2003JC002157, 2006.

Lukas, R. and Lindstrom, E.: The mixed layer of the western equatorial Pacific Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 3343-3357, 1991.

Noh, Y., and Lee, W.: Mixed and mixing layer depths simulated by and OGCM, J. Oceanogr., 64, 217-225, doi:10.1007/s10872-008-0017-1, 2008.

10

15

Reuer, M. K., Barnett, B. A., Bender, M. L., Falkowski, P. G., and Hendricks, M. B.: New estimates of Southern Ocean biological production rates from O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratios and the triple isotope composition of O<sub>2</sub>, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 54, 951–974, 2007.

Rintoul, S. R. and Trull, T. W.: Seasonal evolution of the mixed layer in the Subantarctic Zone south of Australia, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 31447–31462, doi:10.1029/2000JC000329, 2001.

Sarma, V. V. S. S., Abe, O., Hinuma, A., and Saino, T.: Short-term variation of triple oxygen isotopes and gross oxygen production in the Sagami Bay, central Japan, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 1432-1442, doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.3.1432, 2006.

Smith, R. C., Martinson, D. G., Stammerjohn, S. E., Iannuzzi, R. A., and Ireson, K.: Belling-

- shausen and western Antarctic Peninsula region: Pigment biomass and sea-ice spa-20 tial/temporal distributions and interannual variability, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 55, 1949-1963, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.04.027, 2008.
  - Talley, L.: Some aspects of ocean heat transport by the shallow, intermediate and deep overturning circulations, in: Mechanisms of Global Climate Change at Millennial Time Scales,
- edited by: Clark, P. U., Webb, R. S., and Keigwin, L. D., Geophysicall Monograph, American 25 Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., 1-22, 1999.
  - Thomson, R. E. and Fine, I. V.: Estimating mixed layer depth from oceanic 319-329, profile data. J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.. 20. doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2003)020<0319:EMLDFO>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
- <sup>30</sup> Verdy, A., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M. J., Marshall, J., and Czaja, A.: Carbon dioxide and oxygen fluxes in the Southern Ocean: Mechanisms of interannual variability, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, 1-10, doi:10.1029/2006GB002916, 2007.

Williams, G. D., Nicol, S., Raymond, B., and Meiners, K.: Summertime mixed layer development in the marginal sea ice zone off the Mawson coast, East Antarctica, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 55, 365-376, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.11.007, 2008.

 Zawada, D. G., Roland, J., Zaneveld, V., and Boss, E.: A comparison of hydrographically and optically derived mixed layer depths, J. Geophys. Res., 110, 1–13, doi:10.1029/2004JC002417, 2005.

OSD 8, 1505-1533, 2011 **Mixed layer depths** using oxygen K. Castro-Morales and J. Kaiser **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables Figures < Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

# **Table 1.** Climatologies used in this work to compare with the mixed layer depths extracted from $O_2$ profiles.

Abbreviation	Authors	Description	Data source <sup>2</sup>	Profiles	Resolution	Criteria	Reference depth
ML97	Monterey and Levitus (1997)	z <sub>mix</sub> climatology	WOA94 (1900–1992)	averaged, interpolated	1°×1° monthly	$\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.5 \mathrm{g  m^{-3}}$ and $\Delta \theta = 0.5 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	0 m
K03	Kara et al. (2003)	z <sub>mix</sub> climatology	WOA94 (1900–1992)	averaged, interpolated	1°×1° monthly	$\Delta \sigma_{\theta}$ corresponding to $\Delta \theta = 0.8$ °C	0 m
BM04	de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004) and LOCEAN-IPSL <sup>1</sup> (2008)	z <sub>mix</sub> climatology	NODC/WOCE/Argo (1941–2008)	individual	$2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ monthly	$\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03  \text{kg m}^{-3}$ and $\Delta \theta = 0.2 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	10 m
WOA05- $\sigma_{\theta}$	This work	T and S climatology	WOA (1965–2005)	averaged, interpolated	1° × 1° monthly	$\Delta\sigma_{ heta}$ = 0.03 kg m <sup>-3</sup>	10 m
WOA05-O <sub>2</sub>	This work	$c(O_2)$ climatology	WOA (1965–2005)	averaged, interpolated	1°×1° monthly	$\Delta_s~(\mathrm{O_2})=0.5~\%$	10 m

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Océanographie et de Climatologie par l'Expérimentation et l'Analyse Numérique – Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (updated version from the previously published by de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004).

<sup>2</sup> WOA: World Ocean Atlas; NODC: National Oceanographic Data Center; WOCE: World Ocean Circulation Experiment



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Discussion Pa	<b>OSD</b> 8, 1505–1533, 2011					
per	Mixed layer depths using oxygen					
Discussion	K. Castro-Morales and J. Kaiser					
1 Pap	Title Page					
ēr	Abstract	Introduction				
_	Conclusions	References				
Discuss	Tables	Figures				
ion F	I	►1				
aper						
_	Back	Close				
Discu	Full Screen / Esc					
SSIO	Printer-friendly Version					
n Pap	Interactive	Interactive Discussion				
ber	CC D					

<b>Table 2.</b> Mean $(\pm 1\sigma)$ difference of the second	ference from $z_{mix}(O_2)$	in CTD and Worl	d Ocean Atla	s 2005 (WOA05)
profiles to data from clim	atologies and WOA05	based on $\Delta \sigma_{\theta}$ .		

Differences in $z_{mix}$ to climatological and data from WOA05	Mean $\pm 1\sigma$ (m)	Number of profiles compared
$z_{\rm mix}(O_2) - z_{\rm mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03  {\rm kg  m^{-3}},  {\rm BM04})$	$-6 \pm 11$	160
$z_{\rm mix}(O_2) - z_{\rm mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.125 \rm kg m^{-3}, \rm ML97)$	1±11	160
$z_{\rm mix}({\rm O_2}) - z_{\rm mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} \ (0.8 \ {\rm ^{\circ}C}), \ {\rm K03})$	$15\pm14$	160
$z_{\rm mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 {\rm kg  m^{-3}}, {\rm CTD}) - z_{\rm mix}(\Delta\sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 {\rm kg  m^{-3}}, {\rm WOA05})$	17±17	120
$z_{mix}(O_2, CTD) - z_{mix}(O_2, WOA05)$	8±12	120



**Fig. 1.** CTD stations occupied by RRS *James Clark Ross* cruise JR165 in the Bellingshausen Sea during late summer and early autumn 2007 (3 March to 9 April 2007). Labels indicate station numbers. Black corresponds to the Antarctic mainland, dark grey to island of the coast. Shading corresponds to the depth intervals from 0–200 m, 200–600 m, 600–1000 m, 1000–2000 m, 2000–3000 m and deeper than 3000 m.





**Fig. 2.** Vertical profiles of O<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $c(O_2)$ , and potential density (dashed line,  $\sigma_{\theta}$ ). Horizontal lines indicate the location of the  $z_{mix}$  defined by  $c(O_2)$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}$  after the criterion  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ .











**Fig. 4.** Mean difference between  $z_{mix}$  based on potential temperature and salinity differences or oxygen saturation state  $(z_{mix}(X))$  to  $z_{mix}(O_2)$ . Lorbacher et al. (2006) is the algorithm used by Lorbacher et al. (2006) to define  $z_{mix}$  based on potential temperature (L06- $\theta$ ) and potential density (L06- $\sigma_{\theta}$ ). The  $\Delta \sigma_{\theta}(0.8^{\circ}\text{C})$  criterion is based on the difference in density given by a temperature difference of 0.8 °C.











**Fig. 6.** Comparison between  $z_{mix}(O_2)$  criterion against  $z_{mix}(95 \% O_2)$  using the criterion proposed by Talley (1999) (i.e. 95 %  $O_2$  saturation-horizon, corresponding to  $c(O_2) = 0.95 c_{sat}(O_2)$ , where  $c_{sat}(O_2)$  is the  $O_2$  concentration at saturation).





**Fig. 7.** Comparison between  $z_{mix}(O_2) - z_{mix}(\Delta \sigma_{\theta} = 0.03 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$  during day (empty symbols) and night (filled symbols).

