## **Tables**

Table 1: Net freezing integrated over the subdomains indicated in Figure 6a for the model run with tides, the control run without tides and the differences between them (km<sup>3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>). Also shown in brackets is the ice thickness increase brought about by this amount of net freezing when averaged over each subdomain (m year<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 2: Net melting integrated over the subdomains indicated in Figure 6a for the model run with tides, the control run without tides and the differences between them (km<sup>3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>). Also shown in brackets is the ice thickness decrease brought about by this amount of net melting when averaged over each subdomain (m year<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 1

Net Freezing	With tides <b>km<sup>3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup></b> (m year <sup>-1</sup> )	Without tides km³ year-¹ (m year-¹)	Difference <b>km³ year⁻¹</b> (m year⁻¹)				
				Pechora Sea	206	198	8
					(0.80)	(0.77)	(0.03)
Kara Sea	1154	1159	-5				
	(1.16)	(1.17)	(0.01)				
White Sea	39	39	0				
	(0.55)	(0.55)	(0.00)				
Svalbard	149	156	-7				
	(0.55)	(0.57)	(-0.02)				
Barents Sea	384	381	3				
	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.00)				
Deep	869	909	-40				
_	(0.68)	(0.72)	(-0.04)				

Table 2

Net melting	With tides <b>km³ year⁻¹</b> (m year⁻¹)	Without tides km³ year <sup>-1</sup> (m year <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference <b>km³ year⁻¹</b> (m year⁻¹)
Pechora Sea	155	153	2
	(0.60)	(0.59)	(0.01)
Kara Sea	1113	1117	-4
	(1.12)	(1.13)	(-0.01)
White Sea	38	40	-2
	(0.53)	(0.56)	(-0.03)
Svalbard	362	336	26
	(1.33)	(1.23)	(0.10)
Barents Sea	1063	1024	39
	(0.56)	(0.54)	(0.02)
Deep	6596	6423	173
	(5.19)	(5.05)	(0.14)