Ocean Sci. Discuss., 7, C353–C354, 2010 www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/7/C353/2010/
© Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



OSD

7, C353-C354, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Estimates of radiance reflected towards the zenith at the surface of the sea" by E. Aas

Dr. Aas

eyvind.aas@geo.uio.no

Received and published: 28 July 2010

I want to thank Referee #3 for his valuable comments. Here are my replies.

COMMENT #1: "The reference list can be extended. This study is essentially an overview paper on the water-leaving radiance estimate from an above-water radiometry instrument. There are quite several published studies in this topic. For instance, the AERONET-OC component protocol proposed by Zibordi et al. (2004) deals with the optimal case. In introduction, such contents can be added."

REPLY: The year 2004 in the suggested reference creates a problem. I am aware of two papers by Zibordi et al. in 2004; in J. Atmos. Oceanic Techn. and in IEEE Trans. Geosc. Remote Sens. None of these deals with AERONET-OC protocols, because

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the AERONET-OC was not established until 2006. However, the paper by Zibordi et al. from 2009 in J. Atmos. Oceanic Techn. is entitled "AERONET-OC: A network for the validation of ocean color primary products". Probably this is the one that is meant. I have now included this paper in the text and in the reference list. All together, compared to the original manuscript the following new references have been included: Deschamps et al. 2004, Appl. Opt.; Fougnie et al. 1999, Appl. Opt.; Hooker et al. 2002, J. Atmos. Oceanic Techn.; Morel 1980, Bound.-Layer Meteor.; Ruddick et al. 2006, Limnol. Oceanogr.; Zibordi et al. 2002, J. Atmos. Oceanic Techn.; Zibordi et al. 2004, IEEE Trans. Geosc. Remote Sens.; Zibordi et al. 2009, J. Atmos. Oceanic Techn.

COMMENT #2: "In the summary (section 4), one paragraph may be added to provide some concise statements to highlight the most important factors impacting accuracies in estimating the water-leaving radiance from the nadir-viewing above-water radiometry in terms of this study. It is valuable for potential readers to understand how to improve estimate of the water-leaving radiance."

REPLY: In the present case I think there are two types of errors, both of equal importance. The first type depends on the accuracy of calibration and readings, and possible errors due to the set-up during the field experiment. The other type depends on the ability of the applied model to describe the real conditions. I have now added a paragraph where I summarize what I think are important points during the field work, and I have emphasized the need for testing and improving applied algorithms by validation experiments.

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 7, 1059, 2010.

OSD

7, C353-C354, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

