Ocean Sci. Discuss., 7, 1669–1701, 2010 www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/7/1669/2010/ doi:10.5194/osd-7-1669-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Ocean Science (OS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in OS if available.

# The effect of tides on dense water formation in Arctic shelf seas

## C. F. Postlethwaite<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Morales Maqueda<sup>1</sup>, V. Le Fouest<sup>2,\*</sup>, G. R. Tattersall<sup>1,\*\*</sup>, J. Holt<sup>1</sup>, and A. J. Willmott<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>National Oceanography Centre, Joseph Proudman Building, 6 Brownlow Street, Liverpool, L3 5DA, UK
 <sup>2</sup>The Scottish Association for Marine Science, Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK
 <sup>\*</sup>now at: Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, BP 8 CNRS and l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI), 06238 Villefranche-sur-Mer Cedex, France
 <sup>\*\*</sup>now at: Swathe Services, 1 Winstone Beacon, Saltash, Cornwall, PL12 4RU, UK

Received: 5 August 2010 - Accepted: 23 August 2010 - Published: 9 September 2010

Correspondence to: C. F. Postlethwaite (cfpo@pol.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



#### Abstract

Ocean tides are not explicitly included in many ocean general circulation models, which will therefore omit any interactions between tides and the cryosphere. We present model simulations of the wind and buoyancy driven circulation and tides of the Barents

- <sup>5</sup> and Kara Seas, using a 25 km × 25 km 3-D ocean circulation model coupled to a dynamic and thermodynamic sea ice model. The modeled tidal amplitudes are compared with tide gauge data and sea ice extent is compared with satellite data. Including tides in the model is found to have little impact on overall sea ice extent but is found to delay freeze up and hasten the onset of melting in tidally active coastal regions. The impact
- that including tides in the model has on the salt budget is investigated and found to be regionally dependent. The vertically integrated salt budget is dominated by lateral advection. This increases significantly when tides are included in the model in the Pechora Sea and around Svalbard where tides are strong. Tides increase the salt flux from sea ice by 50% in the Pechora and White Seas but have little impact elsewhere.
- <sup>15</sup> This study suggests that the interaction between ocean tides and sea ice should not be neglected when modeling the Arctic.

#### 1 Introduction

Arguably the Arctic is exhibiting the greatest change of any region on the planet due to global warming. For example, summer sea ice extent has decreased dramatically
during the latter part of the 20th century and first decade of the 21st century (Parkinson et al., 1999; Deser and Teng, 2008). Sea ice is likely to play a crucial role in the formation of dense and intermediate water masses which participate in the global overturning circulation. The realistic representation of sea ice in global climate models is currently the subject of a great deal of research. In general, global climate models do not explicitly represent tides and high frequency oscillations. In this paper we consider the potential impact of tides on sea ice cover in an Arctic shelf sea region.



Traditionally, ocean general circulation models (OGCMs) have neglected tidal processes. In the past, the reason for this was simply that the rigid lid representation of the ocean surface used in most of these models did not allow tides to be included. At present, however, most OGCMs include a free surface and so can, in principle, accom-<sup>5</sup> modate tidal processes. Nevertheless, the space and time resolutions of ocean climate models, such as those that contributed to the IPCC AR4 (Randall et al., 2007) are still too coarse for tides to be appropriately captured in them. For example, a 200 m deep shelf sea at 75° N has a barotropic Rossby radius and M2 tidal wavelength of approximately 315 km. Although model and computer advancement means that horizontal and vertical resolution are increasing in OGCMs, regional models are for the moment the only ones that can regularly operate on finer resolutions and shorter time steps, allowing the explicit inclusion of the barotropic tide.

Tidal mixing is believed to play a significant role in maintaining abyssal stratification (Egbert and Ray, 2000; Munk and Wunsch, 1998) and in controlling the entire water column structure in continental shelf seas (e.g., Sharples et al., 2001; Rippeth, 2005). Thus, omitting tides from OGCMs presents a problem for many regions, including, as we shall see in the following, the Arctic Ocean and its shelf seas. Holloway and Proshutinsky (2007) have highlighted the problem of neglecting the effects of tidal mixing in regions of Atlantic inflow to the Arctic and the potential for underestimating ventilation of deep waters in these regions. Tidal mixing within the water column and

- ventilation of deep waters in these regions. Tidal mixing within the water column and at the base of the sea ice cover can increase the heat flow from deeper water masses towards the surface causing decreased freezing and increased melting of sea ice and possibly the formation of sensible heat polynyas (Morales-Maqueda et al., 2004; Willmott et al., 2007). The tidal currents can additionally increase the stress and strain
- on the sea ice and cause leads to open periodically within the sea ice cover (Kowalik and Proshutinsky, 1994). The areas of open water exposed by such deformation of the sea ice are prone to intense winter heat loss (10–100 times larger than over sea ice, Maykut, 1982) and may in turn start to freeze, releasing salt to the underlying water as brine is rejected from the ice matrix. Although leads are not large (at most a



few hundreds of meters in width), their periodic tidal reoccurrence could mean that the dense water formed from rejected brine in leads is significant.

Potential interactions between tides and sea ice are shown schematically in Fig. 1. Process 1 is the enhanced mixing by tides of surface waters with deeper, warmer water

- <sup>5</sup> masses, which bring more heat into contact with the underside of the ice and increase melting or decrease the potential for freezing. Process 2 is the tidally induced mechanical opening of leads within the sea ice cover, which, in winter, leads to increased new ice production and hence increased brine rejection, whereas, in summer, it enhances the absorption of shortwave radiation by the oceanic mixed layer (Maykut and Persuitable 1007. Figure and Katternian, 0000). Proceed 2 is the mechanical redictribution of
- ovich, 1987, Eisen and Kottmeier, 2000). Process 3 is the mechanical redistribution of ice itself caused by the alternation of convergence and divergence periods during the tidal cycle. A fourth process, not shown in the schematic, is tidal generation of residual currents and the associated ice drift.

Holloway and Proshutinsky (2007) give a comprehensive review of previous high latitude tidal modeling studies so this is not repeated here. They also discuss two approaches to modeling the influence of tides in the Arctic Ocean, namely explicitly resolving the tides in a high resolution, three-dimensional coupled ocean/ice model or parameterising their influence on sea ice and oceanic mixing in a coarser resolution model. In their paper, they follow the latter approach and include a parameterization of

- the influence of tides on sea ice and ocean in a coupled ice/ocean model with a rigid lid formulation of the ocean surface (the Arctic Ice/ocean Model – AIM). Two tidally related processes are included in the Holloway and Proshutinsky (2007) study. Firstly, enhanced vertical mixing within the water column, which affects the freezing and melting rates of sea ice as relatively warm water is mixed towards the surface. Secondly,
- the area of open water in tidally active regions is increased to represent increased fracturing and ridging of sea ice by tides. Again, this has a feedback on the modeled sea ice cover as new ice can form in the freshly exposed areas of open water when atmospheric temperatures are cold enough. The forcing for these parameterizations are the time averaged total energy dissipation and time averaged water-column divergence



provided by the barotropic tidal model of Kowalik and Proshutinsky (1994). Using this method, they complete a 1948–2005 integration of the sea ice-ocean system over the whole Arctic Ocean.

Rather than parameterising tidal effects on ocean mixing and sea ice motion, we 5 explore in this paper the alternative method of explicitly modeling the leading tidal constituents in a three-dimensional coupled ocean/ice model, resolving the propagation of coastal trapped waves associated with tidal incursion on-shelf but not resolving the tidal excursion (<7 km, hence leads are represented by sub-grid scale advection). To do this we restrict ourselves to a 5 year regional model simulation of the Barents and Kara Seas (Fig. 2). Together with the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, the Barents-Kara 10

Sea is the most tidally active region of the Arctic Ocean and so it is appropriate to focus our study in this area (Padman and Erofeeva, 2004).

#### 2 Model description

For this study, we have coupled a state-of-the-art sea ice model to a three dimensional barotropic-baroclinic ocean model that includes the main tidal constituents in the Bar-15 ents and Kara Seas. The ocean model is the Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory Coastal Ocean Modeling System (POLCOMS). POLCOMS is a sigma-coordinate, Bgrid shelf sea model which has been tested extensively, particularly on the northwest European continental shelf (e.g. Siddorn et al., 2007; Andreu-Burillo et al., 2007). The

- details of the model are well documented in Holt and James (2001). It suffices here to 20 mention that the model uses a one equation variant of the Mellor and Yamada (1974) turbulence closure scheme to calculate vertical mixing, handles hydrostatic instabilities with an iterative convective adjustment method, and does not include explicit tracer horizontal diffusion (small amounts of numerical diffusion in the piecewise parabolic
- advection scheme used by the model guarantee tracer stability). Horizontal viscosity 25 is held constant at  $1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . POLCOMS has been coupled to the Los Alamos sea ice model (CICE v3.14, Hunke and Lipscomb, 2004). CICE is a multicategory



dynamic-thermodynamic sea ice model that uses an elastic-viscous-plastic rheology and a sophisticated ice ridging scheme. The simulations presented here use a single ice category. All other sea ice parameters are those described as standard in Hunke and Lipscomb (2004).

- <sup>5</sup> The model domain has open boundaries to all sides, hence external forcing fields are required for both the ocean and ice models. These are discussed in Sect. 2.3 along with the surface forcing used. Both ocean and sea ice models are constructed on a polar stereographic grid which allows each grid box to cover the same area with a resolution of 25 km in both directions. The domain spans  $0^{\circ} E 110^{\circ} E$  and from 64° N
- $_{10}$  84° N. The timesteps used are 10 s for the barotropic and 600 s for both the baroclinic and the ice model.

We use a Cartesian coordinate system (x, y) with the origin at the North Pole and the x-axis in the direction of 90° E. The ocean model has 30 vertical depths defined using sigma levels, whereby the vertical spacing of the sigma levels are allowed to vary in the horizontal using the S-coordinate transform of Song and Haidvogel (1994), which

allows higher resolution to be maintained near the surface in deep water.

### 2.1 Surface and boundary forcing

15

Surface meteorological forcing is provided by the ERA-40 reanalysis from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (Uppala et al., 2005). Six hourly fields of atmospheric temperature, pressure, humidity, wind velocity and cloud cover for the period 01-09-2000 to 31-08-2001 are used to calculate bulk surface fluxes (Holt and James (2001) for the ocean surface, and Hunke and Lipscomb (2004) for the airice interface). An annual cycle of precipitation for this area is created from the data of Serreze and Hurst (2000). Initial and lateral boundary conditions for the ocean compo-

<sup>25</sup> nent are derived from the US Navy Research Laboratory Naval Coastal Ocean Model (1/8° global NCOM with 40 vertical levels Barron et al., 2006, 2007; Martin et al., 2004). Six hourly three-dimensional fields of temperature, salinity and ocean velocities for the same time period as the atmospheric forcing are linearly interpolated onto the model



grid over a relaxation zone of width 100 km. Initial and six hourly boundary conditions for ice concentration and thickness are provided by the Polar Ice Prediction System (Preller and Posey, 1996; Woert et al., 2004) and interpolated onto the same relaxation zone. Sea surface elevations and velocities for tidal forcing at the open boundaries are

sourced from the TPXO6.2 medium resolution global inverse tide model from Oregon State University (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002) and are applied every barotropic time step. Eight tidal constituents are used: Q1, O1, P1, K1, N2, M2, S2 and T2. Freshwater input from the two largest rivers in the domain (the Ob and the Yenisei) are also included in the model. Daily values for the streamflow are created by averaging daily
 mean values from 1954–1999 for the river Ob and from 1955–1999 for the river Yenisei (GRDC, 2003).

#### 2.2 Model runs

20

Results are presented here from two model runs, namely,

- Run 1 POLCOMS/CICE without tides (control run)
- Run 2 POLCOMS/CICE with tides.

In both cases, the model is integrated for 5 years repeating the atmospheric and oceanic forcing for the September 2000 – August 2001 period. Results from the last year of integration, when the sea ice cover is approaching a cyclostationary state, are analyzed here. By comparing the results from these two experiments we evaluate the impact of including tidal dynamics on the modeled ice distribution, ice formation and brine rejection.



#### 3 Results

### 3.1 Description of the modeled tides

M2 is the main tidal constituent in this region. Figure 3a shows the amplitude and phase of M2 elevation and Fig. 3b shows the M2 tidal ellipses. The maximum tidal range is found around the entrance to the White Sea, while the lowest amplitudes are found in the Kara Sea, which is largely in agreement with the high resolution simulations of the Arctic barotropic tide of Padman and Erofeeva (2004). Figure 4 shows a comparison of the modeled M2 tidal amplitude with historical tide gauge data (Gjevik and Straume, 1989; International Hydrographic Bureau, 1966; Kowalik and Proshutinsky, 1994, 1995). The agreement between the simulated and gauged tides is guite reason-

- 1994, 1995). The agreement between the simulated and gauged tides is quite reasonable (RMS deviation = 12.1 cm, mean deviation = 0.6 cm). The tide gauge observations are predominantly from coastal locations (Fig. 2) and no extrapolation or interpolation of the modeled data has been done in the comparison; rather the model amplitude value at the grid point nearest to each tide gauge has been used. The simplicity of the approach along with the difficulty in modeling the propagation of coastal trapped waves
- around the complex coastline is most likely responsible for the differences between the modeled and observed tides.

### 3.2 Impact of tides on sea ice distribution

Assessing the impact of tides on sea ice distribution is complicated by the sometimes
 opposing interactions between tides and ice, as tides can increase melting at the base of the sea ice through enhanced diapycnal mixing of warm deep water with surface cold water, but can also promote new ice production by opening new leads where freezing occurs. Prior to pursuing this assessment, we initially examine how well the model reproduces the location of the sea ice edge, which is defined as the line of 15%
 ice concentration. The location of the sea ice edge at the time of maximum extent of the sea ice cover (March, 2001) is comparable with remote sensing observations



(Fig. 5). However, the sea ice edge location at the time of minimum ice extent (September) spreads somewhat southward of the observed one in the vicinity of Franz Josef Land (Fig. 5). Including tides in the model does not significantly improve the modeled ice extent in this region and the September ice edge remains to the south of the observed edge. The modeled sea surface temperature remains several degrees Centigrade cooler than coincident observations in the northern part of the Barents Sea during the summer (Ingvaldsen et al., 2002). It is unclear whether these discrepancies are a result of anomalous atmospheric forcing, to which sea ice is extremely sensitive (Hunke and Holland, 2007), or rather they reflect deficiencies in the model or bulk formulae. In any case, these model errors do not unduly impact the present study into

<sup>10</sup> mulae. In any case, these model errors do not unduly impact the present study into the interaction between tides and ice in our coupled ocean/sea ice model since the summer cold bias is present in both the control and tides simulations.

The net impact of including tides on the modeled sea ice distribution varies regionally. To investigate the spatial patterns of these changes the continental shelf region of

- the model domain has been divided into five subdomains defined by geographic and bathymetric boundaries. These subdomains are the White Sea, the Pechora Sea, the Kara Sea, the oceanic area around Svalbard and the rest of the Barents Sea (Fig. 6a). Time series of daily mean ice area and ice volume integrated over these five regions are plotted in Fig. 6b and d, respectively. The seasonal cycle of sea ice distribution in
- each subdomain differs widely and is in good agreement with satellite observations for all subdomains (Kern et al., 2010). The entire Kara Sea freezes rapidly during October and November and the ice continues to thicken until May. The Pechora and White Seas are ice free during the summer and freeze up occurs more gradually than in the Kara Sea. The area around Svalbard and the Barents Sea both retain ice in the summer
   months and have a gradual increase in sea ice during the winter.

The impact of including tides in the model on the sea ice area and volume varies between subdomains (Fig. 6b and d), and so also do the causes for these variations. Warmer sea surface temperatures delays freeze up in the Pechora Sea when tides are included in the model, such that approximately 30% less of the sea surface is



ice covered during December and January. The same process speeds up melting in the White Sea, where there is 30% less ice cover during the melting season when tides are included in the model. The actual changes in oceanic heat flux into the ice, however, are not very big, amounting to between 1 and  $3W \text{ m}^{-2}$  and causing a

- <sup>5</sup> decrease in ice thickness of only a few centimeters. Although small, these oceanic heat flux anomalies are on the order of 10–20% of the net oceanic heat flux into the ice in the area and commensurate with ocean-sea ice heat fluxes in the central Arctic (Fichefet and Maqueda, 1997). The area of open water within the sea ice (leads) increases by 50% in the Pechora Sea during March and April when tides are included
- <sup>10</sup> in the model. Extra ice production ensues, resulting in a small increase in ice volume at this time ( $\sim 2.5 \text{ km}^3$ ), with ice becoming an average of 5 centimeters thicker. Advection of ice in from the northern and western boundaries of the domain increases when tides are included in the model and cause ice to pile up around Svalbard, leading to a 5–10% increase in ice volume (Fig. 6e).
- <sup>15</sup> Changes to ice area affect the heat fluxes to and from the ocean but will only change the salt flux if they also cause differences in thermodynamic ice growth. Output from the sea ice model includes freezing and melting rates for each grid cell. These are integrated to give annual freezing and melting rates for each subdomain and for each model run (Tables 1 and 2). From the net freezing and melting balances, we can infer
- that the Pechora and Kara Seas are both net exporters of ice as less ice melts there than is formed in situ. Conversely, the area around Svalbard and the rest of the Barents Sea are net importers of ice, as more ice melts in these subdomains than forms in situ. The deep region of the model domain that is not part of the continental shelf is dominated by melting but this area is heavily influenced by the boundary conditions and is not discussed further.

Including tides in the model increases net melting most significantly in the Svalbard region, where the tidal currents are strong. The impact on net freezing over the shelf varies from location to location with a small increase in freezing in the Pechora Sea and a small decrease around Svalbard. Although these differences correspond to relatively



small volume changes in sea ice, the shallow depths of some coastal regions means that, as we shall see below, the influence on local salinity and density can be large.

#### 3.3 Impact of tides on salinity distribution

Residual currents, tidal mixing and changes to brine rejection and sea ice melting all act to change the salinity distribution of the water column when tides are added to a coupled ocean/sea ice model. As a first attempt at establishing how tidal processes change the salinity distribution in the model, we compare the sea surface and sea bottom salinity (the salinity in the uppermost/lowermost model grid cells, respectively) between the two model runs for both winter and summer. Summer and winter mean

- sea surface and sea bottom salinity for the control model run are contoured in Fig. 7. The salty Atlantic Norwegian Current enters the domain at the southern open boundary. One branch enters the Barents Sea following the Norwegian coastline. A second branch continues northward, tracking the continental slope. The salinity distribution of the Kara Sea is dominated by the large freshwater input from the Ob and Yenisei
- rivers (Fig. 2a). These rivers are frozen during the winter and reach their maximum outflow during the summer. Surface waters with a high volume of runoff from these two rivers flow northward through the Kara Sea, entering the eastern Barents Sea between Novaya Zemlya and Severnaya Zemlya (Fig. 7). Figure 8 shows the difference in sea surface and bottom salinity between the two model runs. Positive values indicate the
- 20 grid point is more saline when tides are included in the model than in the control run. The key features of these plots are stated below and discussed in further detail in the next section. The dominant signal is the large positive salinity anomaly (>1 psu) along the Russian Coast from the White Sea in the southwest to the entrance to the Kara Sea in the northeast, which persists throughout the year and is found in both the surface
- <sup>25</sup> model boxes and in the model grid cells closest to the seafloor (Fig. 8). In the Pechora Sea this anomaly is found only shoreward of the 50 m bathymetry contour. A series of positive and negative anomaly bands can be seen throughout the year in the surface waters of the Kara Sea and northeastern Barents Sea, in the vicinity of the river plumes



from the Ob and Yenisei rivers (Fig. 8a and b). The coastal sector of the Kara Sea is saltier (by approximately 0.5 psu) when tides are included in the model. The waters surrounding Svalbard are consistently saltier throughout the water column when tides are included in the model.

#### 5 4 Discussion

We are interested in how tides affect dense water formation via brine rejection in the model. CICE does not include a formulation of salt release or entrapment upon ridging nor does the mixing scheme in POLCOMS account for additional stirring caused by sea ice fracture and pile up. As a result, mechanical redistribution of sea ice will not affect the salinity structure of the water column in the model unless it is accompanied by new ice forming or old ice melting. Any changes to thermodynamic ice growth could affect the salinity of the underlying water column, either by increasing salinity as brine is rejected during freezing or decreasing salinity as freshwater is released during melting. Moreover, changes to the amount of open water will affect the surface freshwater fluxes by altering both the amount of evaporation that can occur and how much precipitation enters the ocean (in the model, snow falling on ice does not enter the ocean until the

ice melts, whereas precipitation falling directly into the ocean has an immediate effect on salinity). However, other processes affected by the tides may also act to change the salinity structure, for example tidally enhanced vertical or horizontal mixing of different
 water masses or salt transport by residual tidal currents. Here we discuss which of the changes to the water column salt budget are directly attributable to tide/ice interactions rather than other tidally related processes.

#### 4.1 Salt budget

Figure 9 shows the vertically integrated mass of salt per unit area for each point of the model domain. The distribution of this parameter is dominated by the water depth and



there is therefore very little difference between the winter and summer plots. The lower panels show the difference in mean salt content between the run with and without tides. Red colors indicate a greater salt content when tides are included in the model. Large positive and negative salt anomalies at 70° N in the Norwegian Sea dominate these plots. These anomalies occur off of the continental shelf and are likely influenced by 5 the open boundary. Including tides in the model also acts to increase the vertically integrated salt content along the continental slope between 70 and 74°N following the path of the Norwegian Atlantic Current. The western sector of the Barents Sea, including the area around Svalbard, contains more salt after five years when tides are included. In contrast, the eastern Barents Sea has less salt when tides are included 10 in the model. The persistent positive salinity anomaly along the Russian coast seen in Fig. 8 is confirmed as a net increase in salt content (Fig. 9). A complicated series of positive and negative salt anomalies are seen in the Kara Sea. However, tides do not cause significant changes to any of the net salt fluxes into or out of the Kara Sea. so these salt anomalies indicate small shifts in the circulation patterns within the Kara

so these salt anomalies indicate small shifts in the circulation patterns within the Ka Sea.

Clearly, the salt distribution of the model run with tidal forcing differs from the one without tides. The following analysis looks at how the vertically integrated salt content of the water column evolves throughout the duration of the model run. Comparing model output before it reaches steady state allows us to monitor how the two model

- <sup>20</sup> model output before it reaches steady state allows us to monitor how the two model runs diverge and diagnose the causes. A salt budget for each region described in Sect. 3.2 is shown in Fig. 10. Each subplot contains thin lines representing data from the control run and thick lines representing data from the model run including tides. In some cases the results for the two experiments are very similar so only the thick lines
- <sup>25</sup> are visible. The day to day change in mean salt content of the regions has been attributed to three sources; lateral transport, surface salt fluxes from ice covered regions (brine rejection, sea ice/snow melting and rain falling on ice) and surface salt fluxes from ice free regions (evaporation and precipitation over water). For clarity, we discuss each of these processes in turn.



#### 4.1.1 Lateral advection of salt

Advection is the prime source or sink of salt in all shelf regions apart from the White Sea. Transport to the White Sea is restricted by a shallow channel which is only five grid cells wide, in contrast to the other subdomains which have long open boundaries

- across which salt can be advected. All subdomains, apart from the Kara Sea, gain salt by lateral transport during the five years of the experiment. Salt transport between subdomains reaches steady state within 3 years apart from in the Svalbard subdomain which is still gaining salt at the end of the five year run. Including tides in the model increases the mass of salt advected into the Pechora Sea and the Svalbard subdomains
- <sup>10</sup> but the remaining regions show little change, reflecting the weakness of the tides there. Interestingly, in the Pechora Sea the mass of salt in the water column due to advection appears to be converging for the two model runs. Although the length of the model run does not allow us to confirm whether the salt transports from the two models do converge in this location, we suggest that including tides in the model decreases the 15 time required to reach steady state for this parameter.

#### 4.1.2 Surface salt fluxes from ice free areas

20

An imbalance between the amount of precipitation and evaporation over ice free areas is also an important contributor to the evolution of the salt budget of the model. These parameters are really freshwater fluxes but the POLCOMS model converts them to a salt flux and does not alter the water volume. The blue line in Fig. 10 shows the

- change to the mass of salt associated with evaporation and precipitation over open water or leads within the ice cover. A seasonal cycle can be seen in all five shelf regions but the signal is dominated by ongoing trends. Four of the subdomains have a negative trend meaning that locally, the seasonal cycle of precipitation is outstripping
- that of evaporation causing the water column to freshen. Including tides in the model makes little difference to the surface salt fluxes from ice free areas in any part of the model domain. As we saw in Sect. 3.2, including tides in the model leads to a decrease



in ice concentration during the freeze up and melting season in the Pechora and White Seas. A commensurate increase in precipitation over ice free areas is seen in these locations.

#### 4.1.3 Surface salt fluxes from ice covered areas

- <sup>5</sup> Surface fluxes from ice covered areas are the smallest component of the salt budget in all areas apart from the Pechora Sea, the White Sea and Storfjorden. Similarly to the way POLCOMS deals with evaporation and precipitation, POLCOMS/CICE converts the freshwater and salt fluxes associated with the growth and melting of sea ice into a salt flux with no associated change to water volume. The green line in Fig. 10 shows
- the mass of salt in the water column attributable to salt fluxes from ice covered regions. Salt increases in the water column during the winter as brine is rejected from newly forming ice and decreases in the summer as the ice and snow melt. In the White and Pechora Seas the mass of salt sourced from ice covered areas is step shaped, with an asymmetry between the increase in salt during freezing and the decrease in salt
- associated with melting. Including tides in the model increases this distillation process in both these regions significantly. An increase in ice formation results when tidal divergence causes areas of open water to emerge within the ice pack. If atmospheric temperatures are sufficiently cold, rapid freezing can ensue accompanied by salt rejection and an increase in the density of the water column. The salt flux from new ice
- in the model depends on how much ice forms. In addition to these two areas where freezing exceeds melting, there is a third site of fairly enhanced sea ice growth in Storfjorden, southern Svalbard, a well documented area of dense water formation (Ivanov et al., 2004). Although the tides are relatively strong around Svalbard, they have little effect on thermodynamic ice production when integrated over the whole Svalbard sub-
- <sup>25</sup> domain. Indeed, the tidally induced change to salt content in this region is primarily due to an increase in the lateral advection of salt (Fig. 10d). However, when the domain is limited to the Storfjorden region, brine rejection clearly forms a major component of the salt budget (Fig. 10f). Brine rejection does not change significantly with the addition of tides in this location.



As mentioned above, the model predicts that the Pechora Sea is a net exporter of ice, which is transported into the Barents Sea by the western Novaya Zemlya Current along the western coast of Novaya Zemlya (Panteleev et al., 2007) and the Kara Sea through the Kara Strait. This excess sea ice formation and export in the Pechora Sea <sup>5</sup> provides a positive contribution to the annual salt budget of the region in both the tides and no-tides experiments but is stronger in the former run. Tides cause a residual current to flow from the entrance to the White Sea around the Kanin Peninsula (Fig. 8).

During the summer this current extends all the way along the coast of the Pechora Sea to the entrance to the Kara Sea but is reduced in the winter. There is no change to the Novaya Zemlya Current with the inclusion of tides.

The part of the model where tides cause the most significant increase in salt due to excess brine rejection is the Pechora Sea. The details of the salt budget analysis presented here are sensitive to the location of the subdomain boundaries. It was noted in Sect. 3.3 that the salinity increase in the Pechora Sea was most prominent shoreward <sup>15</sup> of the 50 m bathymetric contour. The salt budget for this shallow sector of the Pechora Sea reveals that the large tidally induced increase in salinity here is driven primarily by increased brine rejection (Fig. 10g). This region acts as a brine factory exporting ice and brine to the adjacent Pechora Sea and beyond.

#### 5 Summary and conclusions

- Although including tides in this regional coupled sea ice/ocean model does not significantly change the sea ice budget over the whole model domain, it does affect the sea ice distribution and processes on smaller scales. The impact of tides on sea ice is not straightforward, with some areas exhibiting an increase in thermodynamic ice production (Pechora Sea) and some a decrease (around Svalbard). Most regions in this model study exhibited an increase in annual melting rates when tidal forcing was
- included in the model. However, only the more tidally active sites, the Pechora Sea and the White Sea, saw a significant delay in freezing and a speed up of ice retreat



during the melting season. Koentopp et al. (2005) also reached a similar conclusion in their modeling study of tide/ice interaction in the Weddell Sea. Although including tides in the model leads to an increase in ice volume around Svalbard for most of the year, this is not formed in situ but is advected in from outside. Hence there is no associated brine rejection signal associated with it.

5

Although we have not made any attempt to quantify whether including tides in the model better represents oceanographic observations, it is clear that omitting any representation of them from models will neglect many important physical processes. Parameterising tide-ice interactions such as in Holloway and Proshutinsky (2007) is a computationally efficient way to proceed if fully resolving the tides is not possible. How-

- <sup>10</sup> computationally efficient way to proceed if fully resolving the tides is not possible. However, the parameterization described by Holloway and Proshutinsky (2007) does not capture the effects of increased horizontal mixing or residual currents that we found to be significant in this study. Moreover, tides can create strong vertical current shear that increases vertical mixing, thus homogenizing the water column and bringing warm,
- saline Atlantic water towards the surface. This important process is represented in our model but is not discussed in the salt budget analysis as it has no net effect on the vertically integrated salt content.

In this study we have investigated whether the interaction between tides and sea ice has an impact on brine rejection. Two locations, the White and Pechora Seas, are key sites where brine rejection increases by 50% when tides are included in the model. What distinguishes these two sites from other shallow, tidally active locations is not clear. It is likely that there are other similar sites where increased brine rejection occurs but is not resolved with the resolution of this study.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Coun cil Strategic Research Programme Oceans 2025 and Thematic Programme RAPID, via Grant NER/T/S/2002/00979. We would like to thank Pam Posey and Lucy Smedstad from the Naval Research Laboratory for assistance in supplying NCOM and PIPS data for the boundary conditions for this study. ERA-40 data was kindly supplied by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts.



#### References

10

25

- Andreu-Burillo, I., Holt, J., Proctor, R., Annan, J. D., James, I. D., and Prandle, D.: Assimilation of sea surface temperature in the POL Coastal Ocean Modelling System, J. Marine Syst., 65, 27–40, 2007.
- <sup>5</sup> Barron, C. N., Kara, A. B., Martin, P. J., Rhodes, R. C., and Smedstad, L. F.: Formulation, implementation and examination of vertical coordinate choices in the Global Navy Coastal Ocean Model (NCOM), Ocean Model., 11, 347–375, 2006.
  - Barron, C. N., Kara, A. B., Rhodes, R. C., Rowley, C., and Smedstad, L. F.: Validation Test Report for the 1/8 Global Navy Coastal Ocean Model Nowcast/Forecast System. NRL Report NRL/MR/7320–07-9019, Stennis Space Center, MS., 144 pp., 2007.
- Cavalieri, D., Parkinson, C., Gloersen, P., and Zwally, H. J.: Sea ice concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I passive microwave data, [September 2000, March 2001]. Boulder, Colorado USA: National Snow and Ice Data Center. Digital media., 1996, updated 2008.
- <sup>15</sup> Deser, C. and Teng, H.: Evolution of Arctic sea ice concentration trends and the role of atmospheric circulation forcing, 1979–2007, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L02504, doi:10.1029/2007gl032023, 2008.
  - Egbert, G. D. and Ray, R. D.: Significant dissipation of tidal energy in the deep ocean inferred from satellite altimeter data, Nature, 405, 775–778, 2000.
- Egbert, G. D. and Erofeeva, S. Y.: Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 19, 183–204, 2002.
  - Eisen, O. and Kottmeier, C.: On the importance of leads in sea ice to the energy balance and ice formation in the Weddell Sea, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 105, 14045–14060, 2000.

Fichefet, T. and Maqueda, M. A. M.: Sensitivity of a global sea ice model to the treatment of ice thermodynamics and dynamics, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 102, 12609–12646, 1997.

- Gjevik, B. and Straume, T.: Model simulations of the M2 and the K1 tide in the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Ocean, Tellus, 41A, 73–96, 1989.
  - Holloway, G. and Proshutinsky, A.: The role of tides in Arctic ocean/ice climate, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04506, doi:10.1029/2006JC003643, 2007.
- Holt, J. and James, I. D.: An s-coordinate density evolving model of the northwest European continental shelf 1, Model description and density structure, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 14014– 14034, 2001.



- Hunke, E. C. and Lipscomb, W. H.: CICE: the Los Alamos sea ice model, documentation and software user's manual, LA-CC-98-16 v.3, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, 52 pp., 2004.
- Hunke, E. C. and Holland, M. M.: Global atmospheric forcing data for Arctic ice-ocean modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04S14, doi:10.1029/2006JC003640, 2007.
- J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04S14, doi:10.1029/2006JC003640, 2007.
   International Hydrographic Bureau, Tides, Harmonic Constants, Special Publication No. 26, IHB, Monaco, 103 pp., 1966.
  - Ingvaldsen, R., Loeng, H., and Asplin, L.: Variability in the Atlantic inflow to the Barents Sea based on a one-year time series from moored current meters, Cont. Shelf Res., 22, 505–519, 2002.
  - Ivanov, V. V., Shapiro, G. I., Huthnance, J. M., Aleynik, D. L., and Golovin, P. N.: Cascades of dense water around the world ocean, Prog. Oceanogr., 60, 47–98, 2004.
  - Kern, S., Kaleschke, L., and Spreen, G.: Climatology of the Nordic (Irminger, Greenland, Barents, Kara and White/Pechora) Seas ice cover based on 85 GHz satellite microwave radiometry: 1992–2008. Tellus A. 62A, 411–434, 2010.
- etry: 1992–2008, Tellus A, 62A, 411–434, 2010. Koentopp, M., Eisen, O., Kottmeier, C., Padman, L., and Lemke, P.: Influence of tides on sea ice in the Weddell Sea: Investigations with a high-resolution dynamic-thermodynamic sea ice model, J. Geophys. Res., 110, C02014, doi:10.1029/2004JC002405, 2005.

10

Kowalik, Z. and Proshutinsky, A. Y.: The Arctic Ocean tides, in: The polar oceans and their role

- <sup>20</sup> in shaping the global environment: the Nansen centennial volume, edited by: Johannessen, O. M., Muench, R. D., and Overland, J. E., 525, 1994.
  - Kowalik, Z. and Proshutinsky, A. Y.: Topographic enhancement of tidal motion in the western Barents Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 2613–2637, 1995.

Martin, S., Polyakov, I., Markus, T., and Drucker, R.: Okhotsk Sea Kashevarov Bank polynya:

- Its dependence on diurnal and fortnightly tides and its initial formation, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 109, C09S04, doi:10.1029/2003JC002215, 2004.
  - Maykut, G. A.: Large-Scale Heat-Exchange and Ice Production in the Central Arctic, J. Geophys. Res.-Oc. Atm., 87, 7971–7984, 1982.
- Maykut, G. A. and Perovich, D. K.: The Role of Shortwave Radiation in the Summer Decay of a Sea Ice Cover, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 92, 7032–7044, 1987.
  - Mellor, G. L. and Yamada, T.: Hierarchy of Turbulence Closure Models for Planetary Boundary-Layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 31, 1791–1806, 1974.

Morales-Maqueda, M. A., Willmott, A. J., and Biggs, N. R. T.: Polynya Dynamics: A review



of observations and modeling, Rev. Geophys., 42, RG1004, doi:10.1029/2002RG000116, 2004.

- Munk, W. and Wunsch, C.: Abyssal Recipes II: energetics of tidal and wind mixing, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 45, 1977-2010, 1998.
- 5 Padman, L., and Erofeeva, S.: A barotropic inverse tidal model for the Arctic Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, 2004.
  - Panteleev, G., Proshutinsky, A., Kulakov, M., Nechaev, D. A., and Maslowski, W.: Investigation of the summer Kara Sea circulation employing a variational data assimilation technique, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 112, C04S15, doi:10.1029/2006JC003728, 2007.
- Parkinson, C. L., Cavalieri, D. J., Gloersen, P., Zwally, H. J., and Comiso, J. C.: Arctic sea 10 ice extents, areas, and trends, 1978–1996, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 104, 20837–20856, 1999.
  - Preller, R. H. and Posey, P. G.: Validation Test Report for a Navy Sea Ice Forecast System: The Polar Ice Prediction System 2.0. NRL Report NRL/FR/7322-95-9634. Stennis Space

Center, MS. 31 pp., 1996. 15

- Randall, D. A., Wood, R. A., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichefet, T., Fyfe, J., Pitman, A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R. J., Sumi, A., and Taylor, K. E.: Climate Models and their Evaluation, in: Climate Change 2007 - The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.,
- edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, 20 M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
  - Rippeth, T.: Mixing in seasonally stratified shelf seas: a shifting paradigm, Philos T. Roy Soc. A, 363, 2837-2854, 2005.
- Serreze, M. C. and Hurst, C. M.: Representation of mean Arctic precipitation fom NCEP-NCAR and ERA reanalyses, J. Clim., 13, 182-201, 2000.
  - Sharples, J., C. M. Moore, and Abraham, E. R.: Internal tide dissipation, mixing, and vertical nitrate flux at the shelf edge of NE New Zealand, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 14069-14081, 2001.
- Siddorn, J. R., Allen, J. I., Blackford, J. C., Gilbert, F. J., Holt, J. T., Holt, M. W., Osborne, J. 30 P., Proctor, R., and Mills, D. K.: Modelling the hydrodynamics and ecosystem of the North-West European continental shelf for operational oceanography, J. Marine Syst., 65, 417–429, 2007.



- Song, Y. H. and Haidvogel, D.: A Semi-implicit Ocean Circulation Model Using a Generalized Topography-Following Coordinate System, J. Comput. Phys., 115, 228–244, 1994.
- Uppala, S. M., Kållberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., da Costa Bechtold, V., Fiorino, M., Gibson, J. K., Haseler, J., Hernandez, A., Kelly, G. A., Li, X., Onogi, K., Saarinen, S.,
- Sokka, N., Allan, R. P., Andersson, E., Arpe, K., Balmaseda, M. A., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Caires, S., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Dragosavac, M., Fisher, M., Fuentes, M., Hagemann, S., Hólm, E., Hoskins, B. J., Isaksen, L., Janssen, P. A. E. M., Jenne, R., McNally, A. P., Mahfouf, J.-F., Morcrette, J.-J., Rayner, N. A., Saunders, R. W., Simon, P., Sterl, A., Trenberth, K. E., Untch, A., Vasiljevic, D., Viterbo, P., and Woollen, J.: The ERA-40 re-analysis, Q. J. Roy Meteor Soc., 131, 2961–3012, 2005.
- Willmott, A. J., Holland, D. M., and Morales Maqueda, M. A.: Polynya modeling, in: Polynyas: Windows to the World, 74, edited by: Smith Jr., W. O., and Barber, D. G., Elsevier, Oxford, 87–125, 2007.

Woert, M. L. W., Zou, C. Z., Meier, W. N., Hovey, P. D., Preller, R. H., and Posey, P. G.: Forecast Verification of the Polar Ice Prediction System (PIPS) Sea Ice Concentration Fields. J. Atmos.

Verification of the Polar Ice Prediction System (PIPS) Sea Ice Conce Ocean. Tech., 21, 944–957, 2004.

	OSD			
	030			
J	7, 1669–1701, 2010			
	The effect of tides on dense water formation C. F. Postlethwaite et al.			
J	Title	Dese		
	Title Page			
	Abstract	Introduction		
,	Conclusions	References		
	Tables	Figures		
	I	۶I		
·		•		
-	Back	Close		
2	Full Screen / Esc			
	Printer-friendly Version			
J	Interactive Discussion			

Jiscussion Pape

Jiscussion Pape

Discussion Paper

Jiscussion Paper

Discussion Pa	7, 1669–1701, 201				
per   Discussio	The effect of tides on dense water formation C. F. Postlethwaite et al.				
n Pape	Title Page				
ir	Abstract	Introduction			
	Conclusions	References			
iscussi	Tables	Figures			
on P	I	►1			
aper		•			
—	Back	Close			
Discus	Full Screen / Esc				
sion	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
Pap	Interactive Discussion				
er	œ				

**Table 1.** Net freezing integrated over the subdomains indicated in Fig. 6a for the model run with tides, the control run without tides and the differences between them  $(km^3 year^{-1})$ .

Net Freezing	With tides (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )	Without tides (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )
Pechora Sea	206	198	8
Kara Sea	1154	1159	-5
White Sea	39	39	0
Svalbard	149	156	-7
Barents Sea	384	381	3
Deep	869	909	-40

Discussion Pa	OSD 7, 1669–1701, 2010 The effect of tides on dense water formation C. F. Postlethwaite et al.		
iper   Discussion			
n Pape	Title Page		
er	Abstract	Introduction	
	Conclusions	References	
iscussi	Tables	Figures	
on P	14	►I	
aper		•	
_	Back	Close	
Discus	Full Screen / Esc		
sion	Printer-friendly Version		
Pap	Interactive Discussion		
er			

 $\sim$ 

BY

**Table 2.** Net melting integrated over the subdomains indicated in Fig. 6a for the model run with tides, the control run without tides and the differences between them  $(km^3 year^{-1})$ .

Net melting	With tides (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )	Without tides (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference (km <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )
Pechora Sea	155	153	2
Kara Sea	1113	1117	-4
White Sea	38	40	-2
Svalbard	362	336	26
Barents Sea	1063	1024	39
Deep	6596	6423	173



Fig. 1. Schematic highlighting the interaction between sea ice and tides.





**Fig. 2. (a)** Polar stereographic projection of the Arctic showing the study region. Black dots indicate the location of tide gauges. **(b)** Bathymetry of the Barents and Kara Seas domain. The 400 m contour is taken to be the boundary of the continental shelf region. The grey line shows the edge of the model domain.





**Fig. 3.** (a) Contour plot of the M2 tidal elevation amplitude (meters) and phase (degrees). (b) M2 tidal ellipses.





Fig. 4. Comparison of M2 model amplitude with tide gauge observations (Gjevik and Straume, 1989; International Hydrographic Bureau, 1966; Kowalik and Proshutinsky, 1994, 1995).





**Fig. 5.** Location of the ice edge when sea ice extent is at its' maximum and minimum for **(a)** the control run, **(b)** the run including tides and **(c)** from remote sensing of brightness temperatures data (Cavalieri et al., 1996, updated 2008). The thin lines show the monthly mean ice edge for September 2000 and the heavy lines show the monthly mean ice edge for March 2001. The ice edge is defined as the line of 15% concentration.





**Fig. 6. (a)** Map showing the subdomains used in this study (the Kara Sea is shaded yellow, the White Sea is red, the Pechora Sea is blue, the region around Svalbard is green and the rest of the Barents Sea is black). **(b)** Daily ice area integrated over the subdomains shown in Fig. 6a for the control model run. **(c)** Difference in ice area between the model run with and without tidal forcing integrated over each subdomain. Positive values indicate that the total ice area is greater with tidal forcing than without. **(d)** and **(e)** as above but for ice volume.





**Fig. 7.** Mean sea surface salinity and velocity for **(a)** winter and **(b)** summer. Mean sea bottom salinity and velocity for **(c)** winter and **(d)** summer. Salinity (color contours) and velocity (arrows) means are from the fifth year of integration of the control model run. 50 m, 400 m and 1000 m bathymetric contours are shown. Winter is defined as December, January, February and summer is defined as June, July, and August. For clarity, the velocity vectors are capped at  $5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ .





**Fig. 8.** Mean difference in sea surface salinity and velocity between the model run with tides and the control run for **(a)** winter and **(b)** summer. Mean difference in sea bottom salinity and velocity for **(c)** winter and **(d)** summer. Mean salinity differences (color contours) and mean velocity differences (arrows) are from the fifth year of model integration. Winter and summer as defined in Fig. 7. Positive values indicate the salinity is greater when tides are included in the model. The velocity vectors are capped at  $2.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ .





**Fig. 9.** Vertically integrated salt content  $(\text{kg m}^{-2})$  and barotropic velocities  $(\text{cm s}^{-1})$  for **(a)** summer and **(b)** winter. The velocity vectors are capped at  $5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ . The difference in vertically integrated salt content (kg m<sup>-2</sup>) and barotropic velocities (cm s<sup>-1</sup>) between the model run with tides and the control run for **(c)** summer and **(d)** winter. The velocity vectors are capped at  $2.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ .





**Fig. 10.** Time series of the change in vertically integrated salt content (kg salt m<sup>-2</sup>) relative to the initial salt content, S<sub>0</sub> (red). Daily means have been spatially averaged over the five subdomains shown in Fig. 6a and two additional subdomains; Storfjorden and the shallow Pechora Sea. The contribution to vertically integrated salt content from lateral advection (black), surface fluxes from ice free regions (blue) and surface fluxes from ice covered regions (green) are also shown. Thick lines show results from the model run including tides and thin lines are from the control run. A thirty day running mean has been applied to remove high frequency variation and highlight the trends. Initial values are S<sub>0</sub>= 2714 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Kara Sea), 1811 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (White Sea), 1379 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Pechora Sea), 3883 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Svalbard), 7862 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Barents Sea), 771 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Storfjorden) and 708 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (shallow Pechora Sea).

