

Interactive comment on “¹⁵N enrichment in the surface Particulate Organic Nitrogen of the north-eastern Arabian Sea from the middle to the waning phase of the winter monsoon: possible causes” by S. Kumar and R. Ramesh

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 10 April 2007

¹⁵N enrichment in the surface Particulate Organic Nitrogen of the north-eastern Arabian Sea from the middle to the waning phase of the winter monsoon: possible causes

General comments:

The authors present a dataset of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) concentration and the d¹⁵N of particles collected on GF/F filters during two cruises in the NE Arabian Sea. The primary conclusions of the paper are that there was an increase in d¹⁵N and nitrogen content of some stations between cruises, and that the increase can be attributed

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

to either a change in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate delivered to the euphotic zone or a change in the growth properties of the phytoplankton assemblage that ultimately influences the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON. Unfortunately, this paper does not meet the primary requirements of the Ocean Science journal, particularly that there are no substantiated conclusions, the data is too few and too incomplete, many recent publications have been ignored in the discussion, and there are several unsubstantiated assumptions that are not supported by the data presented here or elsewhere.

It's important to acknowledge that there exist many different mechanisms in a typical environment that can influence the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON. The authors identified some of these mechanisms, but there are others as well. Trophic level effects, changes in phytoplankton species composition, availability of ammonium or urea, N_2 fixation, and physical mixing processes are some examples of potential variables that influence $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON. While some variables can be easily eliminated from some ecosystems, the authors do not have this luxury in the NE Arabian Sea. Also, while time intervals of sufficient length can help to remove some short term variability in natural abundance stable isotopes, a period of one-two months is definitely not long enough, particularly when climate and oceanic conditions are changing with the season. In particular, N_2 fixation, denitrification, ammonium availability, and species composition of the collected PON can cause short term variability in samples. In addition, the spatial coverage of the study is relatively large, and variability in some of the above factors is expected from different environments. Given the potential for variability, the authors need a much better dataset for their proposed mechanisms to holdup to scientific scrutiny. It appears from Table 1 that there is only one sample per station. Either replicate samples or a depth profile of PON would have made for a much more confident interpretation of the data.

The difference between the two time periods for a few of the open ocean stations may indeed be real, however there are no statistical ways to prove this. If indeed the increase of about 5 permil occurred between the two time points, there are numerous

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

explanations. It is difficult to interpret the authors' logic for the arguments that were chosen. For example: 1) The presence of N₂ fixers increased between January and March - according to the authors - but later they argue that N₂ fixation had a bigger effect on d¹⁵N of PN in January. 2) The biological data referred to by the authors on page 251 (Parab et al 2006) is not useful because that paper refers to a cruise in February and March of 2004, not 2003. 3) If nitrate drawdown occurred slowly between the January and the March time point, the simplest explanation is that the PON and d¹⁵N of PON increased due to closed system Rayleigh fractionation kinetics. There is no surprise here, only that the PN increased from one time point to the next despite the increase in the abundance of N₂ fixers in the region.

Variables that must be measured in the approach to quantifying d¹⁵N dynamics of any oceanic ecosystem are: ammonium concentration, urea concentration, nitrate concentration, the d¹⁵N of nitrate, the d¹⁵N of size fractionated primary producers, and a thorough examination of the primary productivity rates and nitrogen fixation rates that span the measurement period - at least. Our understanding of the variability that exists in d¹⁵N measurements demands that these measurements are made. It is not acceptable to publish a few d¹⁵N measurements and speculate on the possible causes. It is confusing to students and it does not help advance the use of natural abundance stable isotope distributions as a tool in the eyes of non-specialized scientists.

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 4, 245, 2007.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)