

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Mesoscale eddies and submesoscale structures of Persian Gulf Water off the Omani coast in Spring 2011” by P. L’Hégaret et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 8 December 2015

When I read the title and abstract of the manuscript, I have high expectations that this manuscript will be an important observational study to fill in some gaps in the mesoscale research in the Sea of Oman and Arabian Sea. However, I have to stop my reading due to the confusions and mixed-up in the descriptions in the text, inconsistency in the figures, captions, and text and poorly prepared figures. For example, in the text, the authors keep talking about A# and C# mesoscale eddies. However those numbers are not marked in the figures or clearly described in the captions, which give me a difficult time to understand and follow the descriptions in the paper. Another example, figure 4 and its caption are not consistent. Many of the descriptions in the text cannot find in Fig. 4. Therefore, I think this is a poorly written paper and it is unac-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



ceptable in its current format. I did not read the second part of the paper, so have no comments on the second part.

Following are some comments I wrote when I read the first part.

Page 2746, lines 8-13. My understanding is there are still some debates about the PGW pathway through the Persian Gulf and in the Sea of Oman in the literature. I suggest to read and cite papers including but not limited to Seniyu et al. (1998), Lee et al. (2000), Bower et al. (2000) and Wang et al. (2013) etc. They all discussed the measurements of the PGW patches/ mesoscale eddies in the Sea of Oman and Arabian Sea.

Page 2746, lines 14-18, using ARGO data to investigate the mesoscale eddies in the Sea of Oman is also discussed in Wang et al. (2013).

Page 2751, lines 14-15, “The wind stress from summer 2010...”, I can only find 2011 line in Fig. 4 according to the legend. In Fig. 4, the caption indicates the red lines are 15 day means, while in the legend, the red lines are for 2011. Figures, captions and description in the text are inconsistency and very confusing. Lines 20, “see Fig. 4, right”, something must be wrong here. There are only top and bottom panels. Where is the right panel? I have to skip this paragraph due to the confusion in the text and Fig. 4.

Page 2752, line 6, “this current..”, which current?

Page 2752 and Fig. 6, cyclones and anticyclones need to (should) be marked in Fig. 6. Because they are not indicated clearly in Fig. 6, it gives me a very hard time to understand and follow the descriptions in this section. I only went through half of this section. Need rewriting.

Fig 2. I suggest plot the locations of ARGO profiles superimposed on the contour map of salinity and temperature and remove the contour of number of floats. The contour of number of floats is very confusing and misleading.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Fig 3. What do those blue dots represent? There are many of them along the track. Are those big green dots “green circles” on the map? I could not find “green circles”.

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 12, 2743, 2015.

OSD

12, C1284–C1286, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



C1286