Ocean Sci. Discuss., 12, 2315–2359, 2015 www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/12/2315/2015/ doi:10.5194/osd-12-2315-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Ocean Science (OS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in OS if available.

Volume transport and mixing of the Faroe Bank Channel overflow from one year of moored measurements

J. E. Ullgren 1, E. Darelius 2, and I. Fer^2

¹Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Bergen, Norway ²Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

Received: 26 August 2015 - Accepted: 16 September 2015 - Published: 7 October 2015

Correspondence to: I. Fer (ilker.fer@uib.no)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

One-year long time series of current velocity and temperature from ten moorings deployed in the Faroe Bank Channel (FBC) are analysed to describe the structure and variability of the dense overflow plume on daily to seasonal time scales. Mooring ar-

- ⁵ rays are deployed in two sections: located 25 km downstream of the main sill, in the channel that geographically confines the overflow plume at both edges (section C), and 60 km further downstream, over the slope (section S). At section C, the average volume transport of overflow waters (< 3 °C) from the Nordic Seas towards the Iceland Basin is 1.3 ± 0.3 Sv; at Section S, transport of modified overflow water (< 6 °C) is 1.8 ± 0.7 Sv.
- ¹⁰ The volume transport through the slope section is dominated by mesoscale variability at 3–5 day time scale. A simplified view of along-path entrainment of a gravity current is not accurate for the FBC overflow. As the plume proceeds into the stratified ambient water, there is substantial detrainment from the deeper layer (bounded by the 3°C isotherm), of comparable magnitude to the entrainment into the interfacial layer
- ¹⁵ (between the 3 and 6 °C isotherms). Time series of gradient Richardson number suggests a quiescent plume core capped by turbulent near bottom and interfacial layers in the channel. At section S, in contrast, the entire overflow plume is turbulent. Based on a two-layer heat budget constructed for the overflow, mean diffusivities across the top of the bottom layer, and across the interfacial layer are $(30 \pm 15) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $(119 \pm 43) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.
- 20

1 Introduction

Cold waters from intermediate levels in the Nordic Seas flow through the deepest gaps in the shallow Greenland–Scotland ridge, the Denmark Strait and the Faroese Channels, and enter the North Atlantic as dense overflow plumes (Hansen and Østerhus, 2000; Saunders 2001). The water mass transformation that occurs as the overflows

25 2000; Saunders, 2001). The water mass transformation that occurs as the overflows mix with the overlying Atlantic waters plays an important role in determining the thermo-



haline composition of the North Atlantic (Dickson and Brown, 1994; van Aken, 2007). Here, we report on measurements of the overflow from the Faroe Bank Channel (FBC).

The FBC is a narrow channel with steep side walls (Fig. 1). The primary sill, where the channel is at its narrowest and shallowest, is about 15 km wide and 840 m deep.

- ⁵ Approximately 95 km northwest of the sill, where the channel is wider and the sides less steep, there is a second sill of about 850 m depth (Beaird et al., 2012). The overflow from the Nordic Seas enters the FBC from the Faroe–Shetland Channel to the east and flows through the channel towards the northwest; we use "upstream" and "downstream" hereafter in the sense of the plume flow. The overflow takes the form of a well-mixed
- bottom layer, overlaid by a stratified interfacial layer (Fer et al., 2010). After passing through the channel, the flow widens from about 10 km at the primary sill to about 30–40 km on the slope after the secondary sill (Duncan et al., 2003; Beaird et al., 2013). Beyond this point, the flow splits into two branches: a deep one that reaches depths exceeding 1000 m, containing the densest part of the plume and about 2/3 of the total
 volume transport, and a shallower branch along the slope of the Iceland–Faroe Ridge
- at intermediate depths (Beaird et al., 2013).

The waters feeding the overflow are composed of cold, low-salinity water masses, Norwegian Sea Deep Water and Norwegian Sea Arctic Intermediate Water, both with temperatures close to or below 0° C (e.g. Hansen and Østerhus, 2000; Fogelqvist et al.,

- ²⁰ 2003). Near the sill, the overflow is typically defined as water colder than 3 $^{\circ}$ C (e.g. Borenäs and Lundberg, 1988; Hansen and Østerhus, 2007). This temperature threshold gives similar results as selecting the quasi well-mixed bottom layer from temperature or salinity profiles (Duncan et al., 2003). Hansen and Østerhus (2007) estimated the volume transport of water colder than 3 $^{\circ}$ C at the sill to 1.7 Sv (1 Sv = 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹).
- ²⁵ Along its path through the FBC, the plume entrains the overlying warmer and more saline Atlantic Water, and attains higher temperatures downstream (Saunders, 1990; Mauritzen et al., 2005). Upon exiting the Channel, the plume also encounters lowsalinity, low-oxygen Intermediate Water from the Iceland Basin which mixes into the



interfacial layer above the plume and contributes to the water mass mixture from the FBC that eventually forms a part of the North Atlantic Deep Water (Ullgren et al., 2014).

The overflow through the channel is energetic, with a long-term average velocity at the sill of up to $120 \,\mathrm{cm\,s^{-1}}$ (Hansen and Østerhus, 2007). The plume accelerates as

it descends along the deepening topography, and maximum overflow velocity is found downstream of the sill (close to 9° W; Fer et al., 2010). The swift flow is associated with high levels of turbulence, and a region of intense mixing (e.g. Fer et al., 2010). The secondary sill, where the plume thins significantly, is observed to be the most significant location in terms of mixing and water mass transformation (Beaird et al., 2012, 2013).

Currents and temperatures in the region downstream of the sill vary strongly on time scales of a few days (Geyer et al., 2006), reflected in high variability of the sea surface height field (Høyer and Quadfasel, 2001). The dense overflow takes the form of a train of 100–200 m thick boluses of cold water moving along the slope at periods of 2.5–

- ¹⁵ 6 days (Darelius et al., 2011). The cold water domes are associated with energetic mesoscale oscillations in the velocity field, that extend throughout the water column, and are linked to a wave pattern in sea surface height (Darelius et al., 2013). Guo et al. (2014) propose that these mesoscale eddies are caused by baroclinic instabilities of the overflow plume.
- Because of its important role in large-scale circulation, carrying one third of the total volume of dense overflows from the Nordic Seas into the North Atlantic, the FBC overflow has garnered scientific interest for many decades. Hansen and Østerhus (2000) compiled a number of volume transport estimates for the FBC overflow from literature, and their summary was more recently reviewed and augmented by Kanzow and Zenk
- (2014). Several other studies have dealt with aspects of the overflow such as friction and mixing (e.g. Duncan et al., 2003; Borenäs and Lundberg, 2004; Mauritzen et al., 2005; Fer et al., 2010), presenting additional transport estimates. Most of the work on the FBC overflow has been based on hydrographic sections, sometimes combined with current measurements; some have used e.g. short-term moorings (Dooley and



Meincke, 1981) or gliders (Beaird et al., 2013). The overflow transport is monitored by moored instruments at the sill section, where at least one upward-looking ADCP mooring in the centre of the channel has been maintained since 1995 (see Hansen and Østerhus, 2007). Mooring measurements of the FBC overflow of more than a few
⁵ months' duration are rare (e.g. Saunders, 1990; Darelius et al., 2011), in particular downstream of the sill, and none cover the whole vertical and lateral extent of the plume.

This study is based on the first mooring measurements to cover the full width and height of the plume for a whole year, simultaneously by two arrays, one in the channel and a second one over the Iceland–Faroe slope. These mooring data were first presented by Darelius et al. (2015), who investigated variations in the strength and periodicity of mesoscale oscillations. In this paper we take a broader view and address mainly the mean hydrographic and velocity characteristics of the overflow plume, its stability, mixing, and transport.

15 2 Data and methods

10

20

Data were collected in the Faroe Bank Channel overflow region using nine bottomanchored moorings, in the period between 28 May 2012 and 5 June 2013 (Fig. 1). The "Channel" (C) mooring array was located 25 km downstream of the sill in the FBC, and the "Slope" (S) array a further 60 km west where the plume exits the narrow confines of the channel. Between the two sections, a single mooring, M1, was located 16 km upstream of section S along the main path of the plume. The horizontal separation between moorings was 7–8 km on section C, and 10–12 km on section S.

The moorings were equipped with temperature recorders (Sea-Bird Electronics, SBE39 and SBE56), conductivity-temperature-pressure recorders (SBE37, Microcats),

Anderaa current meters (RCM7/8), Nortek current meter (Aquadopp), and acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs, RD-Instruments 75/150/300 kHz Workhorse, Anderaa 600 kHz RDCP and Nortek Continental). Instrumentation and location of the



moorings are listed in Appendix A1, Table A1, and a schematic of the mooring array design is shown in Fig. 2. The sampling rate was 15 s for SBE56s, 5 min for SBE37s and SBE39s, 5–60 min for ADCPs, 1 h for RCMs, and 20 min for the Aquadopp. Three RDI 300 kHz ADCPs located at the core of the plume (at moorings C2, M1, and S3)

and vertically positioned in the interfacial layer were set to sample for a shorter duration (3–4 months) at high resolution in time and in the vertical to study mixing processes, to be presented elsewhere. Here we report the hourly averaged data.

The coordinate system for each mooring is aligned with the orientation of the mooring section, so that the *x* axis is perpendicular to the section and the *y* axis parallel to the section, pointing upslope (see right inset in Fig. 1). The angle of rotation (β) is 34° and 31° (clockwise, around the *z* axis) for the C and S arrays, respectively.

The position of an individual mooring element relative to the anchor varies with the current and drag on the mooring. Time series of the vertical position and tilt of the instruments were calculated using the measured currents (hourly mean values) and

the software *Mooring Design and Dynamics* (MDD; Dewey, 1999), which calculates the behaviour of a mooring in a given, three dimensional sheared current by balancing the forces acting on the mooring. The results compared well with the available pressure measurements. Statistics and further details regarding mooring pulldown and instrument tilt are given in Appendix A2. Hourly velocity and temperature records at corrected depths were then linearly interpolated to 1 m vertical resolution.

During the mooring deployment cruise on board R.V. *Håkon Mosby* between 26 May and 14 June 2012, a total of 146 CTD profiles were collected, see Ullgren et al. (2014). Cruise measurements were used to verify mooring temperature, salinity, and velocity data. The moored T - S data showed a tight relationship between temperature (T) and potential density anomaly (σ_{θ}). Data from all moored T - S sensors were fitted to a third degree polynomial, $\sigma_{\theta} = -(2.0 \times 10^{-4})T^3 - (2.0 \times 10^{-3})T^2 - (4.22 \times 10^{-2})T + 28.0505$ (root mean square error 0.013 kg m⁻³). This allows density to be inferred from temperature measurements alone, which is desirable given the better coverage by the temperature sensors. The relation was not significantly different using monthly subsets of the data.



Temperature records are used to identify different layers in the water column. Following e.g., Hansen and Østerhus (2007); Mauritzen et al. (2005), we use the level of the 3°C isotherm as a delimiter of overflow water at array C. (Bottom water with temperature < 0.3°C – the stricter definition of overflow used by Hansen et al., 2001

- ⁵ − will be referred to as very cold water.) The overflow water found further downstream is diluted by entrained warmer water; it will be referred to as modified overflow water, and delineated by the 6 °C isotherm (cf. Darelius et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2014). The Atlantic Water of the upper layer is defined by a temperature of ≥ 8 °C, while waters with temperature 6 °C < *T* < 8 °C will be referred to as intermediate water.
- Volume transports through the channel and slope sections were determined from velocity and temperature data as described in Appendix B and in Sect. 4.

3 Average conditions

3.1 Thermal structure of the plume

The dense overflow plume was thicker and colder at the channel mooring section than
at the downstream section (Figs. 3 and 4). The bottom layer at the southernmost mooring in array C (C3) was the coldest, with a mean temperature at 30 m above bed (mab) of -0.3 °C over the one year deployment. However, although the very cold water occasionally reached up to 150 mab (the top of the instrumentation) at mooring C3, it was mostly present only as a thin layer. Between 30 and 100 mab temperatures increased
sharply where the bottom layer was capped by strong stratification. The overflow water

sharply where the bottom layer was capped by strong stratification. The overflow wate was generally contained within the bottom 120 m.

In the centre of section C, at mooring C2, the plume was thicker. The presence of very cold water was not as frequent as at C3 – less than 60% of the time even at the deepest level – but overflow water on average occupied the lower 200 m of the water column (Fig. 3). Above about 50 mab, the mean temperature at mooring C2 was thus

column (Fig. 3). Above about 50 mab, the mean temperature at mooring C2 was thus colder than at C3.



The northernmost mooring (C1) in array C was located outside the main path of the plume; very cold water occurred here only < 5% of the time. When present, the overflow covered up to 100 m from sea bed, however, 25% of the time no overflow was recorded.

- At mooring array S, the plume was thinner and warmer than at C, even in the bottom layer. Very cold water occurred only occasionally in the deepest layer at the two central moorings S2 and S3. At S2, the plume water (*T* < 3 °C) reached up to about 75 mab, overlain by a 60 m thick interfacial layer; at S3, both the bottom and the interfacial layer were even thinner. Above the interfacial layer there was a 100–200 m layer of intermediate water, and Atlantic Water was found above about 200–300 mab. The water column structure at mooring S4, 14 km further south-west, was markedly different as this southernmost mooring in the S array was located outside the plume. Overflow</p>
 - water was measured only 2–3% of the time at the deepest level, while intermediate water took up most of the water column at S4, sometimes reaching the bottom.

15 3.2 Velocity characteristics

The mean current in the near-bottom layer was directed towards the north-northwest at section C and turned more towards the west-northwest at section S (Fig. 1). The average current was in this alongstream direction throughout the part of the water column occupied by the cold, dense plume and the interfacial layer.

- ²⁰ The maximum plume velocity was found in the bottom 50 m at mooring array S, where the time-average velocity perpendicular to the mooring section (u, see Sect. 2) reached 94 cm s⁻¹ (mooring S3; see Fig. 3). Similar but somewhat weaker velocities occurred at mooring C3. The thickness of the maximum velocity layer, in contrast, was significantly larger at the upstream array C. Defined as the height where the alongstream velocity u is reduced to half of the maximum velocity of that mooring velocity profile, the
- ²⁵ velocity *u* is reduced to half of the maximum velocity of that mooring velocity profile, the "kinematic interface height" (Hansen and Østerhus, 2007), H_{IL} , was 220 m at section C compared to 160 m at S (see Figs. 5 and 6). The thinning of the plume with distance from the sill was reflected in both velocity and temperature profiles (Fig. 3). The height



above bed of the 3 °C isotherm was similar to H_{IL} (see Fig. 3), correlated over time scales longer than one day, as previously observed by Hansen and Østerhus (2007).

The high-velocity core of the plume was also faster but thinner at the southern moorings of each array. Long-term mean velocities were thus higher at mooring C3 than C2,

⁵ and higher at S3 than S2, while the opposite was true for the thickness of the highvelocity layer. This is consistent with observations by Hansen and Østerhus (2007) showing a northward decrease in velocity and increase in plume thickness across a section at the sill in the FBC (~ 25 km upstream of our measurements).

Although high instantaneous current velocities occurred at intermediate and upper levels, the flow above the plume was characterized by oscillations and frequent reversals, resulting in weak mean flows (Figs. 1 and 3). The upper level mean current was generally directed along the plume, i.e. north-westerly, except for very weak flows in the opposite direction at the southernmost moorings in the S array. The mean counter-flow above 150 mab at mooring S4 was less than 2.5 cm s⁻¹.

¹⁵ In the centre of the C array (mooring C2) currents were always directed along-plume in the bottom 200 m. Above that, occasional reversals occurred, although rarely within 300 m above the seabed (Fig. 5). C1 was located near the northern edge of the plume in the channel, characterized by weaker currents and frequent reversals.

4 Volume transport

- ²⁰ The volume transport of water in different temperature classes was estimated for mooring sections C and S from the gridded fields as described in Appendix B. Considering only the period for which all mooring records were complete at both arrays, 29 May 2012–17 January 2013, the mean transport of overflow water at section C was 1.3 ± 0.3 Sv, where \pm is the standard deviation of daily values. The standard error of the overflow transport was 0.1 Sv, assuming independent records approximately every
- 4 days (the typical integral time scale and the time scale of mesoscale variability).



The overflow plume is subject to mixing and entrainment along its path leading to changes in the water mass properties of the core (e.g. Saunders, 1990; Mauritzen et al., 2005; Fer et al., 2010). As a result, the transport of the coldest plume water (< 0 °C) was reduced from a mean of 0.3 ± 0.2 Sv at section C to nil at S. The mean transport of modified overflow water at mooring section S was 1.8 ± 0.7 Sv. A wider temperature range (up to 6 °C; see Sect. 2) was considered here in order to account for the entrained water. From section C to section S, the $T \le 3$ °C part of the transport decreased by 0.5 Sv and the 3 °C < $T \le 6$ °C class increased by 0.4 Sv, suggesting detrainment and entrainment, respectively, in the two layers, consistent with the budget or results obtained in Sect. 6.2.

5 Temporal variability

5.1 Variability in different frequency bands

Current velocities and hydrographic properties varied strongly on different time scales. Velocity power spectra (not shown) displayed a distinct peak at the semi-diurnal tidal frequency at all moorings. In addition, the C array of moorings showed a diurnal tidal peak. There was also elevated power spectral energy in the frequency band corresponding to mesoscale oscillations. The mesoscale spectral peak was found at frequencies corresponding to 4–8 day at C, and 3–6 day at S.

Time series of monthly mean temperature at 80 mab from moorings along the main plume path are shown in Fig. 8. Although this frequency band contains only a small part of the variability (about 5 % for the series low-pass filtered with a 2 month cut-off compared to about 40 % in the mesoscale frequency band) there is a consistent pattern between the different moorings.

The temperature in the bottom layer (Fig. 8) was lower than average during August through October 2012 at both section C and further downstream; at moorings M1 and S2, October was the coldest month, with a monthly mean temperature of more than



0.5 °C below the long-term mean. Warmer than average temperatures persisted from November 2012 to February 2013 at mooring C2 and through April at M1 and S2. The maximum temperature in the bottom layer occurred in December at C2, and in February at M1 and S2. The variation in monthly bottom layer temperatures might be interpreted as a seasonal cycle, with generally bigher temperatures in the winter months and low

- as a seasonal cycle, with generally higher temperatures in the winter months and low temperatures the rest of the year. The temperature in July, however, deviated from this pattern by being clearly above the long-term mean at both section C and S, in sharp contrast to the low mean temperature of August.
- Volume transport varied strongly on daily to monthly time scales (Fig. 7), but did
 not show a distinctive seasonal cycle (Fig. 9). The daily mean transport of water colder than 3 °C ranged between 0.7 and 2.3 Sv at section C. The frequency spectra of volume transport through the arrays are shown in Fig. 10. Transport of cold water through section S varied most strongly at the time scale of mesoscale oscillations, while at section C low-frequency variation (and diurnal and semidiurnal tidal frequencies, not
 shown) dominated the transport spectrum. The month-to-month variability in volume transport of cold water (< 3 °C) was largely in phase between sections C and S, with maxima in plume transport, as well as in total transport, at both sections in August and

5.2 Mesoscale variability

minima in November 2012 (Fig. 9).

- Figure 11 shows a subset of the data from the mooring array S, showing lateral temperature changes with time along the section. This mesoscale variability is typical of the entire record. The plume takes the form of domes of cold water moving past the mooring array, as previously noted by Geyer et al. (2006) and Darelius et al. (2011). While the presence of cold water at mooring S2–S4 was associated with downslope valuations in was associated with upplane maximum at magning S1. Valuation valuations.
- velocities, it was associated with upslope movement at mooring S1. Velocity vectors rotated mainly clockwise at S1 and S2, and anti-clockwise at S3 and S4.

There were energetic fluctuations in volume transport in the mesoscale frequency band, particularly strong at section S (Fig. 10). At section C, it was mainly the transport



of overflow water (*T* < 3 °C) that showed higher energy at mesoscale frequencies; at section S, there was high mesoscale variability also in the layer containing modified overflow water. The frequencies in the mesoscale band that are associated with elevated spectral energy levels correspond to periods of about three-four days and about six days, respectively. Darelius et al. (2015) have recently shown that the oscillations in the channel alternate over time between these two periods, and that time periods dominated by the higher oscillation frequency (3–4 days) coincide with larger volume transport.

The mean eddy heat flux in the mesoscale band was calculated following Voet and

- ¹⁰ Quadfasel (2010). The mooring records were rotated to align with the mean current 100 mab and band-pass filtered (2–10 days) to obtain fluctuations v' and T' in the dominant mesoscale frequency band. Finally the mean temperature flux $\overline{v'T'}$ were calculated at levels where both velocity and temperature data were available (Fig. 12a). The temperature fluxes were multiplied with the density (ρ_0) and heat capacity (c_p) to
- obtain heat fluxes and then integrated up to the deployment mean position of the 6 °C isotherm (numbers adjacent to moorings in Fig. 12b). The position of the isotherm was above the mooring at C3 and roughly at the level of the instrument at S1 (80 mab); no value was therefore calculated for C3 while the value in parenthesis at S1 is estimated assuming a constant value of the eddy heat flux from the bottom up to 80 mab. The tem-
- $_{\rm 20}$ perature fluxes were mostly directed upslope, i.e. to the right of the mean current, and their magnitude was on the order of 0.1 Km s⁻¹ reaching a maximum of 0.21 Km s⁻¹ at S3, 70 mab.

Downstream of the Denmark Strait overflow, eddy heat fluxes were shown to be convergent in the central part of the plume (Voet and Quadfasel, 2010) indicating that the

²⁵ horizontal stirring induced by the eddies there contributed significantly to the observed along-path warming of the plume. This was not in general true for the FBC region: Fig. 12b shows divergence between S4 and S2, i.e. in the central and lower part of the plume, while the observations suggest convergence between C2 and C1 and between S2 and S1, i.e. for the shallow part of the plume.



6 Diapycnal mixing

6.1 Stability

The FBC overflow is a strongly sheared bottom-enhanced current characterized by energetic turbulence (Fer et al., 2010; Beaird et al., 2012). Our unique data set is used here to present the kinematic stability in different layers of the water column throughout one year. The gradient Richardson number, *Ri*, representing the stability of stratified shear flow (Thorpe, 2007), is calculated over 10 m vertical scale using the hourly and 1 m vertical gridded velocity and temperature profiles. Density is inferred from the temperature as described in Sect. 2. Calculations are made using data from two moorings, each sampling the core of the dense overflow plume: C2 at the channel, and S2 over the slope. For each hourly profile, the depth of the 1 to 6°C isotherms is identified. Vertical 10 m segments centered at the corresponding depths are extracted. Vertical gradients of density and of horizontal components of the velocity are obtained as the slope of the linear fit against depth. Hourly *Ri* is then calculated as N^2/S^2 from the vertical velocity shear squared, $S^2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)^2$, and the buoyancy frequency

 $N = \left[\left(\frac{-g}{\rho_0} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta}}{\partial z} \right) \right]^{1/2}$. Monthly averages and standard deviations are then obtained after excluding outliers (the lowest and top 1 % quantiles) in each month. Standard error is assigned using the standard deviation and degrees of freedom assuming that every 13th sample is independent (to account for the semidiurnal variability).

The FBC overflow is characterized by small values of *Ri*. Very frequently, 60–80 % of the time, hourly *Ri* on the slope was below 1 and 10–30 % of the time *Ri* was below 1/4. In the channel, the core of the plume was associated with a 150 m thick high velocity layer with weak shear, typically with temperatures less than 3 °C. Despite the weak shear, stratification was low and monthly-averaged *Ri* varied between 2 and 4 (Fig. 13d). In the sheared interfacial layer monthly *Ri* was approximately 2 or less, and in the sheared bottom boundary layer monthly *Ri* was approximately 1 or less



(the bottom boundary layer is not captured by the 1 $^\circ\text{C}$ class, hence is not shown in Fig. 13d).

Microstructure measurements reported in Fer et al. (2010) show that (i) the interior core of the plume in the channel is characterized by low dissipation rates, due to weak shear and lack of turbulent kinetic energy production, and (ii) turbulence is enhanced in a 100 m thick high-shear bottom layer and 100 m thick interfacial layer. *Ri* values

- derived from C2 are consistent with these measurements and imply that, in our analysis, values of *Ri* approximately 2 and less can be associated with energetic, turbulent regions of the water column.
- ¹⁰ In the channel, the 3 °C isotherm capped the low-shear core of the plume and *Ri* was typically above 2 throughout the year. The interface above, however, was turbulent at all times, and particularly in May with average Ri < 1. In contrast to the channel profiles, the low-shear core did not exist over the slope (Fig. 14). *Ri* was typically less than 2 below the 4 °C isotherm which separated the relatively quiescent stratified layer above (the 6 °C isotherm was characterized by average Ri > 2 throughout the year).
- above (the 6°C isotherm was characterized by average Ri>2 throughout the year). The vertical distribution of turbulence in the overflow thus differs between the channel section and the slope section.

6.2 Heat budget estimation of entrainment/detrainment

From each mooring array (C and S), daily averages of volume transport, mean temperature, layer thickness, and cross-section area binned in temperature classes were used to construct a heat budget for the time period spanned by both arrays (29 May 2012–17 January 2013). Two layers were considered: one delineated by the seabed and the 3 °C isotherm and the other one above it, bounded by the 3 and 6 °C isotherms. Mooring array C defines the inflow section while array S is the outflow section of the control volume (see Fig. 15).



The volume budget can be written as

$$Q_{\rm Bi} = Q_3 + Q_{\rm Bo} + Q_{\rm EK}$$
$$Q_{\rm Ui} + Q_3 = Q_6 + Q_{\rm Uo},$$

where indices B and U refer to the bottom and upper layers, respectively; i refers to inflow (through array C) and o refers to outflow (array S). Q_{EK} is the bottom Ekman transport, and Q_3 and Q_6 are the vertical transports (positive upward) across the 3 and 6 °C isotherms, respectively. The heat budget can then be expressed as

 $Q_{Bi}T_{Bi} = F_{H3} + 3Q_3 + Q_{Bo}T_{Bo}$ (3) $Q_{Ui}T_{Ui} + F_{H3} + 3Q_3 = F_{H6} + 6Q_6 + Q_{Uo}T_{Uo}.$ (4)

- ¹⁰ Turbulent vertical heat flux across an isotherm is $F_{\rm H} = -K_z (dT/dz) L W$ where K_z is the vertical eddy diffusivity, *L* is the horizontal along-path separation between the arrays, *W* is the average plume width defined by the given isotherm, and dT/dz is the average vertical temperature gradient. *L* was chosen as 60 km. In the calculations we used transport weighted temperature for the layers (see e.g. Duncan et al., 2003).
- ¹⁵ The budget was calculated using daily averaged data in 30 day windows to sufficiently average over the 3–5 day mesoscale variability. This formulation of the heat budget thus does not include eddy fluxes discussed in Sect. 5.2. For each layer, dT/dzis calculated as the difference between (transport weighted) average temperature divided by the difference in average thickness in each layer. We assumed that the upper
- ²⁰ layer underlies a 50 m thick top layer at a temperature of 7.5 °C. The Ekman transport $Q_{\text{EK}} = (C_{\text{D}}V^2/f) L$, where the drag coefficient $C_{\text{D}} = 3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ is from direct turbulence measurements of the FBC overflow (Fer et al., 2010), and *V* is the spatial mean flow of the plume at the given time. For *V* we use an average over both the bottom and upper layers and over both arrays (average transport divided by average cross section
- ²⁵ area). The results are not sensitive to using only the bottom layer average. The longterm mean value of Q_{EK} estimated in this way was 0.3 Sv. Q_{EK} does not enter the heat budget because the near-bottom temperature is 0 °C.



(1)

(2)

The heat budget was used to estimate rates of entrainment and detrainment (Fig. 16a). Throughout the whole period there was always detrainment from the bottom layer and entrainment into the upper layer: Q_3 was positive throughout with a long-term mean of 0.2 Sv and Q_6 negative with a mean of -0.2 Sv. Entrainment in the upper layer peaked in June and August, and was at minimum levels in October and December. December was also a time of minimum detrainment in the bottom layer.

The mean eddy diffusivities inferred from the heat budget were $(119 \pm 43) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the bottom layer and $(30 \pm 15) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the upper layer. The sensitivity of these results to the choices of various parameters in the heat budget was tested. Increasing the value of *L* chosen to represent the distance between the mooring

- ¹⁰ tested. Increasing the value of *L* chosen to represent the distance between the mooring arrays by 10% reduced K_z in each layer by 8–9%. An increase in assumed thickness of the top layer overlying layer U from 50 to 100 m led to an increase in mean K_{zU} by 14%, while keeping the thickness at 50 m and instead increasing the temperature by 1°C reduced the mean K_{zU} by 20%. Determining dT/dz from temperature and thickness values at only one mooring array, instead of averaging over both, led to a 13%
- ¹⁵ ness values at only one mooring array, instead of averaging over both, led to a 13% increase (using values from C) or decrease (values from S) in K_{zU} . The sensitivities are thus less than the error bounds (one standard deviation) given for K_z .

7 Discussion

The topography, with the narrow channel opening onto the Iceland–Faroe slope, affects
 the anatomy and mixing of the FBC overflow. The overflow plume became markedly thinner between mooring section C and S. Not only the average temperature, but also the shape of both the velocity and temperature profiles changed so that the thickness of the well-mixed bottom layer decreased (see Fig. 3). The reduction in height reflects the spreading and thinning of the plume on the open slope after escaping the topographic
 constraint of the channel. The thinning of the plume with distance downstream was accompanied by increased velocities (see Figs. 5 and 6), as previously observed by e.g. Mauritzen et al. (2005) and Duncan et al. (2003).



Inside the thick core of the plume in the channel, there was a 150 m-thick weak shear layer. As shown by Fer et al. (2010), the flow in this layer was relatively stable (higher *Ri*) compared to the more turbulent layers above and below it (in the interface and towards the bottom, respectively). The thinner and faster plume at section S, however, had no such quiescent layer. The low values of *Ri* (average < 1 throughout the year) below

about 150 mab at S and for a layer centred at about 300 mab at C demonstrate the high potential for turbulence generation due to the strongly sheared flow, despite the strong stratification. Similar results from earlier process studies (Borenäs and Lundberg, 1988; Saunders, 1990; Fogelqvist et al., 2003; Fer et al., 2010) are thus corroborated by our
 longer-term data set.

At both mooring sections, the plume was faster, thinner, and colder toward the southern (Faroe Bank) side of the section. This is in agreement with the observation by Hansen and Østerhus (2007) that the core velocity decreases and the thickness of the plume increases toward the right (looking downstream), and is a typical structure for a gravity current confined to channel in a rotating system (cf. Guo et al., 2014). The stronger thermal stratification found on the southern side of the mooring arrays is an

example of the "isotherm pinching" observed on the southern side of the Channel (e.g. Borenäs et al., 2001).

15

In classical descriptions of dense overflows, the volume transport of an overflow is assumed to increase gradually – or abruptly at mixing hot spots – due to entrainment of the overlying waters (Price and O'Neil Baringer, 1994; Legg, 2012). For the FBC overflow, intensive mixing has been observed in locations just west of the channel exit (Duncan et al., 2003; Mauritzen et al., 2005; Fer et al., 2010; Beaird et al., 2012). The mixing results in large changes in water mass properties; south of Iceland the bound-

ary current contains only about half overflow water, and half admixed upper layer water (Fogelqvist et al., 2003). If there was no detrainment (as suggested by Mauritzen et al., 2005), a doubling of the overflow volume transport might thus be expected (Hansen and Østerhus, 2007). On the basin scale, the total transport of Iceland Scotland Overflow Water increases with distance along its path through the North Atlantic by on average



1.8 Sv over 1000 km (Kanzow and Zenk, 2014, this would correspond to 0.1 Sv between sections C and S). Mauritzen et al. (2005) observed an increase in dense water transport (although the densest classes vanished) between CTD sections progressing downstream in the FBC outflow region. A recent model study of the FBC overflow by Guo et al. (2014) showed a downstream increase in overflow volume transport (for

Guo et al. (2014) showed a downstream increase in overflow volume transport (for temperatures ≤ 6°C) of about 0.6 Sv over 90 km.

Our unique data set shows, however, that the simplified view of along-path volume increase is not accurate for the FBC overflow. As the plume proceeds into the stratified ambient water, there is substantial detrainment from the deeper layer, of comparable

- ¹⁰ magnitude to the entrainment into the interfacial layer. Our estimated mean volume transport of water colder than 3 °C north-westward through the FBC was 1.3 ± 0.3 Sv at mooring array C. This value for the mean transport at C is within the range of earlier estimates for the FBC overflow varying between 1.1 and 2.1 Sv (van Aken and Becker, 1996; Hansen and Østerhus, 2000; Kanzow and Zenk, 2014). Although the maximum
- ¹⁵ plume velocity was measured at the downstream array, the volume transport of plume water with $T \leq 3^{\circ}$ C decreased to 0.8 ± 0.4 Sv at section S. As expected, the volume transport of the coldest waters disappeared ($T \leq 0^{\circ}$ C) or was reduced (0° C < $T \leq 1^{\circ}$ C) between section C and section S. Reduction in the low temperature classes of FBC overflow transport within the first few hundred kilometres downstream of the sill was
- shown by Duncan et al. (2003), while Beaird et al. (2013) found a downstream decrease in transport regardless of water class definitions used. Similarly, Fer et al. (2010) found no increase in boundary layer transport despite dilution typically indicating entrainment. They suggested that the dilution might instead be caused by warmer interface layer water being supplied to the bottom layer by the transverse circulation, replacing bottom
- water lost through bottom Ekman drainage. Throughout our year-long measurements, there was persistent detrainment from the bottom layer and entrainment into the interfacial layer; the decrease in cold water transport between sections C and S was compensated by a roughly equal increase of transport in the intermediate temperature range $3^{\circ}C < T \le 6^{\circ}C$. The total mean transport at temperatures below $6^{\circ}C$ thus did not



change between the channel and the slope sections. This finding may have important implications considering the role of the FBC overflow in the production of North Atlantic Deep Water and for assessing changes in the global thermohaline circulation (Hansen et al., 2001; Dickson et al., 2002).

- No clear seasonal cycle was detected in the volume transport of the FBC overflow, but there was variability at monthly time scales. Transport peaked at both sections in June and August 2012, coinciding with maximum entrainment into the upper layer. Minimum entrainment in the upper layer occurred in October 2012, a month that was marked by minimum bottom layer temperatures both at section S and the mid-mooring
- ¹⁰ M1. Saunders (1990) found no seasonality of plume thickness, temperature or velocity, but Hansen and Østerhus (2007) showed a seasonal variation of kinematic overflow at the sill of about 10% of the mean.

Mean diffusivities inferred from the two-layer heat budget constructed for the overflow, $(30 \pm 15) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the upper layer and $(119 \pm 43) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the bottom layer, were in the range of values observed during research cruises in 2010 and 2012 (Fer et al., 2010, 2014). Earlier estimates have ranged between 50 and 500 $\times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (cf. Saunders, 1990; Duncan et al., 2003); however, they suffer from not resolving the mesoscale variability. The eddy diffusivity in both layers show a factor of 5 increase in late August and late December. These changes coincide with periods of high eddy kinetic energy reported in Darelius et al. (2015), suggesting that the

energetic mixing is associated with mesoscale variability.

Guo et al. (2014) propose that the mesoscale variability in the FBC is caused by baroclinic instability of the plume. In their model experiment, the baroclinic instability and resulting variability are energized downstream of the sill, and high baroclinic con-

version rates occur 40–60 km downstream of the sill. This is consistent with our Fig. 10, which shows more energetic mesoscale transport fluctuations at section S than at C.

Eddy heat fluxes were divergent in the central and deep part of the plume and convergent between the northernmost (upper) moorings on each section, indicating that the effect of eddy heat transports is a net cooling of the deep part of the plume and



a net warming of the shallower part. The observed temperature fluxes on the order of $0.1 \,\mathrm{Km \, s^{-1}}$ were about ten times larger than the values reported by Voet and Quadfasel (2010) from the region downstream of the Denmark Strait overflow and in agreement with values reported by Darelius et al. (2011) and the modelled values by Guo et al.

(2014). Since density is largely determined by temperature in the FBC, the upslope temperature flux is equivalent to an upslope buoyancy flux i.e. a release of potential energy. This is consistent with eddy generation due to baroclinic instability, shown by Guo et al. (2014) to be the mechanism at play in the FBC. The observed heat or buoyancy fluxes are the sum of a (dynamically unimportant) rotational component and residual, divergent component which is the one responsible for the energy conversion (Marshall and Shutts, 1981). While our data set does not allow us to separate the two

components, we note that, in this region, the total flux field and the divergent flux field are relatively similar in the model study by Guo et al. (2014).

8 Conclusions

- One-year long moored measurements of currents and hydrographic properties in the overflow region of the Faroe Bank Channel (FBC) have provided time series of plume characteristics, volume transport and vertical mixing from two cross-sections along the path of the dense overflow plume. One section was located in the narrow channel (C) constraining the overflow geographically, and the other section was on the slope (S)
- after the channel topography opens up. The anatomy of the plume, variability of velocity and hydrographic properties in space and time, as well as mixing characteristics are found to differ between the two sections. The volume transport through the slope section was dominated by mesoscale variability, while transport through the channel section was less strongly influenced by the 3–5 day oscillation. Inferred lateral heat (equivalent to buoyancy) fluxes, and the energization of the mesoscale variability with
- downstream distance from the sill are consistent with earlier findings supporting baroclinic instability of the overflow plume. The long-term mean overflow transport towards



the Iceland Basin of waters colder than 3 °C was 1.3 ± 0.3 Sv at section C. The transport at section S, consisting of modified overflow water with temperatures up to 6 °C, was 1.8 ± 0.7 Sv. In the channel, turbulence was likely to be generated near the bottom and at the interface capping the relatively quiescent thick plume core. At section S, in contrast, the whole plume layer – here thinner but faster – was marked by low *Ri* values throughout the year. Present study provides a more accurate observational-based es-

- timate of the volume transport, entrainment and eddy diffusivities associated with the overflow plume because the data set resolves the temporal variability and covers the entire lateral and vertical extent of the plume. A simplified view of along-path entrain-
- ¹⁰ ment of a gravity current is not valid for the FBC overflow. As the plume proceeds into the stratified ambient water, there is substantial detrainment from the deeper layer, of comparable magnitude to the entrainment into the interfacial layer. Based on a twolayer heat budget constructed for the overflow, mean diffusivities across the top of the bottom mixed layer, and across the interfacial layer are $(30 \pm 15) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and ¹⁵ $(119 \pm 43) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

Appendix A: Mooring data

20

A1 Instruments and data return

Details of mooring positions and instrumentation are given in Table A1. The table also gives record lengths if shorter than the whole one-year deployment; for example in the case of the three ADCPs sampling at higher resolution (see Sect. 2).

A2 Mooring movement and data interpolation

The strong currents caused mooring knockdown, statistics of which are presented in Table A2. Mooring knockdown events led to tilting of the instruments, occasionally more than the threshold values for acceptable tilt specified by the manufacturers: 15° for RDI-



ADCPs and 12° for RCM. A gimbal on the RCM frame compensates for tilt of up to 27°, so the practical threshold limit is 39°. Data from periods with too large tilt are excluded from the analysis, causing a slight bias towards lower velocities (since the drag and thus the tilt is larger for larger velocities). The percentage of data discarded for each instrument is listed in Table A2.

Appendix B: Transport calculation

The volume transport of water in different temperature classes was calculated for mooring sections C and S as follows. Temperature and rotated velocity records were lowpass filtered with a 3rd order Butterworth filter with a 27 h cut-off period, and daily averages were computed. Depth levels with less than 30 % good data were excluded. At moorings shorter than 300 m, velocity and temperature profiles were extended to 300 mab using constant shear or a constant temperature gradient, respectively, above the top instrument (allowed to continue only to 0 cm s⁻¹ or 8 °C). At S1, where there was only one instrument at 80 mab, velocity was set to decrease and temperature to increase linearly upwards to 0 cm s⁻¹ and 8 °C, respectively, at 300 mab. Velocities were linearly extrapolated downward to 0 at the bottom from the deepest instrument on each mooring. If this was located higher than 50 mab, velocity was kept constant from the deepest instrument down to 50 mab before decaying linearly from there to 0 at the bottom. Temperature was kept constant from the deepest instrument to the bottom. The resulting vertical profiles from all moorings on one array were then gridded on to a 5 m

- vertical by 1 km horizontal grid for each day using linear interpolation, with velocity set to 0 at the bottom grid point. To extend the section horizontally, data from the outer moorings in each array were kept constant to the sides over half the distance between moorings. The resulting gridded cross sections represent daily snapshots of the plume
- velocity and temperature structure. Volume transport in different temperature classes was estimated for each day by summing the transport contributions (the along-stream *u* velocity component multiplied by the corresponding cross-section area defined by the



isotherms). Only $u \leq 0$ was included; any reverse flow was not included in the plume transport calculation.

Sensitivity to different methods of inter- and extrapolating data to grids of varying resolutions were examined. Because the overflow is swift and the cross-sectional area is large, the results are not sensitive to the details of the choices for upper and bottom boundaries and plume edges. The transport obtained from the method described above (Q_{grid}) was compared with those computed by two other methods (see Fig. A1). One method is to compute transport per unit width for each mooring, multiplying this by a representative width for each mooring, and then summing up the contribution of the individual moorings, as described in Darelius et al. (2011). This will be referred to as Q. The other alternative method is to compute "kinematic everflow" (Q_{grid})

- to as Q_{moor} . The other alternative method is to compute "kinematic overflow" (Q_{kine}), defined in Hansen and Østerhus (2007) as the volume flux of water from the bottom up to the level at which the overflow velocity is reduced to half of the profile maximum. Changes in terms of vertical extrapolation and horizontal grid resolution had relatively
- ¹⁵ small effects on the long-term mean values of transport from the gridded fields. The transport time series Q_{moor} at C had the same long-term mean and standard deviation for the temperature range $\leq 6 \degree \text{C}$ (1.8 \pm 0.4 Sv) as Q_{grid} . The mean of Q_{kine} was somewhat higher, 2.0 \pm 0.5 Sv. Similarly, Darelius et al. (2015) found that Q_{moor} at array C was somewhat lower than (but correlated to) Q_{kine} at the sill. Volume transports stated
- in the main body of this paper are Q_{grid} .

Acknowledgements. We thank the technicians involved in mooring preparation and deployment, H. Bryhni and S. Myking, and the crew of the R.V. *Håkon Mosby*. This work was funded by the Research Council of Norway through the FRINAT programme, under project n.o 204867/V30 "Faroe Bank Channel Overflow: Dynamics and Mixing".



References

15

- Beaird, N. L., Fer, I., Rhines, P., and Eriksen, C.: Dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy inferred from Seagliders: an application to the Eastern Nordic Seas overflows, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 42, 2268–2282, doi:10.1175/jpo-d-12-094.1, 2012. 2317, 2318, 2327, 2331
- ⁵ Beaird, N. L., Rhines, P. B., and Eriksen, C. C.: Overflow waters at the Iceland-Faroe Ridge observed in multiyear Seaglider surveys, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 43, 2334–2351, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-13-029.1, 2013. 2317, 2318, 2319, 2332
 - Borenäs, K. and Lundberg, P.: The Faroe-Bank Channel deep-water overflow, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 51, 335–350, 2004. 2318
- ¹⁰ Borenäs, K. M. and Lundberg, P. A.: On the deep-water flow through the Faroe Bank Channel, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 1281–1292, 1988. 2317, 2331
 - Borenäs, K. M., Lake, I. M., and Lundberg, P. A.: On the intermediate water masses of the Faroe-Bank Channel overflow, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 31, 1904–1914, 2001. 2331

Darelius, E., Fer, I., and Quadfasel, D.: Faroe Bank Channel overflow: mesoscale variability, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41, 2137–2154, 2011. 2318, 2319, 2325, 2334, 2337

Darelius, E., Ullgren, J. E., and Fer, I.: Observations of barotropic oscillations and their influence on mixing in the Faroe Bank Channel overflow region, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 43, 1525–1532, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-13-059.1, 2013. 2318

Darelius, E., Fer, I., Rasmussen, T., Guo, C., and Larsen, K. M. H.: On the modulation of the

20 periodicity of the Faroe Bank Channel overflow instabilities, Ocean Sci. Discuss., 12, 823– 861, doi:10.5194/osd-12-823-2015, 2015. 2319, 2321, 2326, 2333, 2337

Dewey, R. K.: Mooring Design and Dynamics – a Matlab package for designing and analyzing oceanographic moorings, Marine Models, 1, 103–157, 1999. 2320, 2342

Dickson, B., Yashayaev, I., Meincke, J., Turrell, W. R., Dye, S., and Holfort, J.: Rapid freshening

- of the deep North Atlantic Ocean over the past four decades, Nature, 416, 832–837, 2002. 2333
 - Dickson, R. R. and Brown, J.: The production of North Atlantic Deep Water: sources, rates and pathways, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 12319–12341, 1994. 2317

Dooley, H. D. and Meincke, J.: Circulation and water masses in the Faroese Channels during

³⁰ Overflow '73, Deutsche Hydrographische Zeitschrift, 34, 41–55, 1981. 2318



Duncan, L. M., Bryden, H. L., and Cunningham, S. A.: Friction and mixing in the Faroe Bank Channel outflow, Oceanol. Acta, 26, 473–486, 2003. 2317, 2318, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333

Fer, I., Voet, G., Seim, K. S., Rudels, B., and Latarius, K.: Intense mixing of the Faroe Bank

- ⁵ Channel overflow, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L02604, doi:10.1029/2009GL041924, 2010. 2317, 2318, 2324, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2358
 - Fer, I., Peterson, A. K., and Ullgren, J. E.: Microstructure measurements from an underwater glider in the turbulent Faroe Bank Channel overflow, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 31, 1128–1150, 2014. 2333, 2358
- Fogelqvist, E., Blindheim, J., Tanhua, T., Østerhus, S., Buch, E., and Rey, F.: Greenland-Scotland overflow studied by hydro-chemical multivariate analysis, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 50, 73–102, 2003. 2317, 2331
 - Geyer, F., Østerhus, S., Hansen, B., and Quadfasel, D.: Observations of highly regular oscillations in the overflow plume downstream of the Faroe Bank Channel, J. Geophys. Res., 111, C12020. doi:10.1029/2006JC003693. 2006. 2318. 2325
- C12020, doi:10.1029/2006JC003693, 2006. 2318, 2325
 Guo, C., Ilicak, M., Fer, I., Darelius, E., and Bentsen, M.: Baroclinic instability of the Faroe Bank Channel overflow, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 44, 2698–2717, 2014. 2318, 2321, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334

Hansen, B. and Østerhus, S.: North Atlantic-Nordic Seas exchanges, Prog. Oceanogr., 45, 109–208, 2000. 2316, 2317, 2318, 2332

20

25

- Hansen, B. and Østerhus, S.: Faroe Bank Channel overflow 1995-2005, Prog. Oceanogr., 75, 817–856, 2007. 2317, 2318, 2319, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2331, 2333, 2337
- Hansen, B., Turrell, W. R., and Østerhus, S.: Decreasing overflow from the Nordic seas into the Atlantic Ocean through the Faroe Bank channel since 1950, Nature, 411, 927–930, 2001. 2321, 2333
- Høyer, J. L. and Quadfasel, D.: Detection of deep overflows with satellite altimetry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1611–1614, 2001. 2318
- Kanzow, T. and Zenk, W.: Structure and transport of the Iceland Scotland Overflow plume along the Reykjanes Ridge in the Iceland Basin, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 86, 82–93, 2014. 2318, 2332
- ³⁰ Legg, S.: Overflows and convectively driven flows, in: Buoyancy-Driven Flows, edited by: Chassignet, E., Cenedese, C., and Verron, J., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 203–239, 2012. 2331



- Marshall, J. and Shutts, G.: A note on rotational and divergent eddy fluxes, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 11, 1677–1680, 1981. 2334
- Mauritzen, C., Price, J., Sanford, T., and Torres, D.: Circulation and mixing in the Faroese Channels, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 52, 883–913, 2005. 2317, 2318, 2321, 2324, 2330, 2331, 2332
- ⁵ Price, J. F. and O'Neil Baringer, M.: Outflow and deep water production by marginal seas, Prog. Oceanogr., 33, 161–200, 1994. 2331
 - Saunders, P. M.: Cold outflow from the Faroe Bank Channel, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 20, 29–43, 1990. 2317, 2319, 2324, 2331, 2333
 - Saunders, P. M.: The dense northern overflows, in: Ocean Circulation and Climate, Chap. 5.6,
- edited by: Siedler, G., Church, J., and Gould, J., Academic Press, London, UK, 401–417, 2001. 2316
 - Thorpe, S. A.: An Introduction to Ocean Turbulence, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007. 2327
 - Ullgren, J. E., Fer, I., Darelius, E., and Beaird, N.: Interaction of the Faroe Bank Channel
- ¹⁵ overflow with Iceland Basin intermediate waters, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 119, 228–240, doi:10.1002/2013JC009437, 2014. 2318, 2320
 - van Aken, H. M.: The Oceanic Thermohaline Circulation. An Introduction, vol. 39 of Atmospheric and Oceanographic Sciences Library, Springer, New York, USA, 2007. 2317 van Aken, H. and Becker, G.: Hydrography and through-flow in the north-eastern North Atlantic
- Ocean: the NANSEN project, Prog. Oceanogr., 38, 297–346, 1996. 2332
 Voet, G. and Quadfasel, D.: Entrainment in the Denmark Strait overflow plume by meso-scale eddies, Ocean Sci., 6, 301–310, doi:10.5194/os-6-301-2010, 2010. 2326, 2334



Table A1. Mooring positions, instrumentation, and data return. The nominal depth level of each instrument is given as height above bottom, HAB (m). Temperature is measured at every level, and any additional parameters measured are indicated as follows: conductivity as underlined, pressure as bold, and velocity in square brackets. The full deployment length was 372 days; any shorter records are marked by superscripts and the record length given in the column on the right. For current profilers, the target range is shown in a separate column. Instrument types are abbreviated as follows: SBE37 (s37), SBE39 (s39), SBE56 (s56), Continental (con), downward-looking RDI (rdD), upward-looking RDI (rdU), Aquadopp (aqd), RCM-7 (rm7). Three of the short records (superscript 3, 6, and 9) are from the downward-looking RDI ADCPs at moorings C2, M1, and S3 respectively, that were set at a higher sampling resolution.

Mooring Depth (m)	Lon. (W) Lat. (N)	Target HAB (m) Instrument type	Velocity range (m)	Data days (if < 372)
C1	8°27.9′	10, 30, 40, 50 , 60, 80, 100, 125, 150 , 175 ¹ , 200 ² , [202]	20–195	¹ 204, ² 152
650	61°40.4′	s56, s56, s56, s37, s56, s56, s37, s56, s39, s56, s37, con		
C2	8°32.5′	25 , [27] , 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 , 175, 200, [200] ³ , 250 , 300 ⁴ , 350, [401]	92-192	³ 120, ⁴ 301
807	61°37.4′	s37, aqd, s56, s56, s37, s56, s39. s56, s56, rdD, s37, s56, s56, rdD	15–367	
C3	8°37.7′	[25], <u>27</u> , <u>100</u> , 140 , [150] ⁵	14–142	⁵ 234
859	61°33.6′	rm7, s37, s37, s39, rdD		
M1	9°20.0′	[25], <u>27</u> , 50, 75, <u>100</u> , 125 , 150, 170 , [175] ⁶ , 200, 250, 300	67–167	⁶ 94
808	61°45.0′	rm7, s37, s56, s56, s37, s39, s56, s37, rdD, s56, s56, s39		
S1	9°29.0′	[80] ⁷		⁷ 371
610	61°54.9′	rm7		
S2	9°36.5′	[25] ⁸ , 27, 50, 75, 100, 150, 175, 200, 250, [275]	34–258	⁸ 371
805	61°49.2′	rm7, s37, s56, s56, s37, s39, s56, s37, s39, rdD		
S3	9°43.2′	[25], <u>27</u> , 50, <u>100</u> , 125, 150 , [175] ⁹ , 200, <u>250</u> , 300, 350, 400 ¹⁰ ,	67–167	⁹ 109, ¹⁰ 326
		450, 500 ¹¹ , [502] ¹² ,[503]	20–486	¹¹ 328, ¹² 281
950	61°43.6′	rm7, <u>s37</u> , s56, s37, s56, s39, rdD, s56, s37, s56, s37, s56, s56, s56,	520-816	
		s56, s37, rdD, rdU		
S4	9°49.1′	[25] ¹³ , <u>27</u> , 50, [75] ¹⁴ , <u>100</u> , 125 ¹⁴ , [150], 175, 200 ¹⁴ , <u>250</u> , 299, [<u>300]</u> ¹⁴		¹³ 1
1082	61°38.5′	rm7, s37, s56, rm7, s37, s39, sea, s39, s39, s37, s56, rm7		¹⁴ 371



Discussion Pa	0 12, 2315–	OSD 12, 2315–2359, 2015				
per	Faroe Bar ove	Faroe Bank Channel overflow				
Discus	J. E. Ull(J. E. Ullgren et al.				
sion F	Title	Title Page				
Daper	Abstract	Introduction				
	Conclusions	References				
Disc	Tables	Figures				
ussi	14	►I				
on P	•	•				
aper	Back	Close				
	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc				
Disc	Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version				
ussi	Interactive	Interactive Discussion				
on Paper	C	B Y				

Table A2. Table showing maximum pulldown (from pressure sensors); percentage of time that pulldown is larger than 25, 50, or 100 m, respectively; and RMS error, e, pulldown from the recorded pressure and modelled with MDD (Dewey, 1999).

	HAB (m)	ΔH _{max} (m)	Δ <i>H</i> > 25 (%)	Δ <i>H</i> > 50 (%)	Δ <i>H</i> > 100 (%)	<i>e</i> ₂₅ (m)	<i>e</i> ₅₀ (m)	e ₁₀₀ (m)
C1	150	16	0	0	0	_	_	_
C2	250	100	7.96	1.54	0	10	16	36
C3	140	54	4.06	0.01	0	2	3	_
S2	250	118	3.97	1.23	0.09	12	15	20
S3	500	141	4.49	1.22	0.12	24	40	76
S4	250	48	0.18	0	0	15	-	-



Figure 1. Map of the study region with the mooring positions (black dots) and mean current vectors from selected levels (75, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 m above the seabed) at each site, colour-coded by mean temperature from the same depth level (colour scale in °C on the right). Depth contours in grey are shown every 100 m with the 1000 m isobath bold, black. Insets show (left) the location of the study area and (right) the orientation of the coordinate system with respect to the mooring arrays.





Figure 2. Mooring arrays: (a) section S, (b) mooring M1, and (c) section C. Each section is viewed looking downstream (southernmost mooring on the left). Sensors for temperature, pressure, conductivity, point velocity, as well as the range covered by the upward and downward oriented acoustic velocity profilers are indicated.





Figure 3. Mean vertical profiles of alongstream velocity u (left; **a**, **c**) and temperature (right; **b**, **d**) from the moorings in the C array (top; **a**, **b**) and the S array (bottom; **c**, **d**). Time-average profiles over the whole deployment are shown as bold lines, and one standard deviation from the mean as thin lines, shown for one mooring in each array (C2, S3). Thin black dash-dotted lines mark 0 cm s^{-1} and $8 \degree \text{C}$, respectively.





Figure 4. Cross-sectional distribution of alongstream velocity $u \text{ (m s}^{-1})$, in colour, with average isotherms (°C) overlaid as black contours. Time-average **(a, b)** and standard deviation **(c, d)** of daily data over the common period 29 May 2012–17 January 2013 when complete records exist at both sections: **(a, c)** section S, and **(b, d)** section C.





Figure 5. Time-height plots of daily (a) temperature (°C) and (b) u velocity (ms⁻¹) from mooring C2. The bold black line in (a) marks the 3°C isotherm, and the thin black line in (b) is the kinematic interface height H_{IL} . (c) Time series of daily (thin) and 3 week low-passed (bold) H_{IL} (black) and the plume velocity (red) averaged within H_{IL} .





Figure 6. As Fig. 6 but for mooring S2. The grey boxes mark the time period shown in Fig. 11.





Figure 7. Time series of daily volume transport through mooring sections C (blue) and S (red). The transport at C includes water with temperature up to 3° C and at S up to 6° C. Shading shows the standard error for each time series.





Figure 8. Time series of monthly mean temperature anomalies (°C) at 80 mab from three moorings along the plume path (C2, M1, and S2; see labels on the left). Each series is shown as a fluctuation about the whole deployment mean, indicated by numbers below each axis (on the left). Scale bar is given in the top right corner.





Figure 9. Monthly mean volume transport at **(a)** mooring array C, and **(b)** array S, per temperature class. The volume transport time series are shorter than a year because records from some current profilers (one at mooring C3 and one at mooring S3) did not cover the whole deployment, see Table A1.













Figure 11. Hovmöller diagram of temperature (°C) and velocity anomalies at 80 mab across mooring section S. Temperature scale bar on right.



Figure 12. (a) Observed mean eddy temperature fluxes $\overline{v'T'}$ at mooring aray C and S (black bar) and the deployment mean position of the 3 °C (red line) and 6 °C (blue line) isotherm. The bottom is shown in grey and the scale for the temperature fluxes is given in the lower right corner. (b) Net eddy heat flux (10^5 Wm^{-1}) , integrated up to the deployment mean position of the 6 °C isotherm (blue line in a). The colors indicate convergence (red) and divergence (blue) and the arrows show the direction of the flux while the numbers above the moorings give the magnitude.





Figure 13. Monthly Richardson number, *Ri*, calculations at mooring C2 in the channel. **(a)** Monthly averages of hourly 10 m *Ri* calculated at depths centered at the 3 °C (blue, diamonds) and 6 °C (red, circles) isotherms. Envelopes are the standard error assuming every 13th hourly sample is independent. Dashed line is Ri = 1. Profiles of **(b)** downstream velocity component and **(c)** temperature averaged in each month. The profile from May is highlighted by the bold line together with the depth of the 3 and 6 °C isotherms by the corresponding markers. **(d)** Monthly 10 m *Ri* for for unit increment of *T*. The May profile is highlighted.





Figure 14. Same as Fig. 13, but for mooring S2, on the slope.





Figure 15. A schematic view of the overflow plume between sections C and S, demonstrating the components of the heat budget. The 3° C isotherm marks the upper limit of the bottom (B) layer, and the 6° C isotherm the upper limit of the upper (U) layer.





Figure 16. Time series of **(a)** detrainment and **(b)** vertical eddy diffusivity inferred from monthly heat budgets in daily moving windows. In **(a)** positive values indicate detrainment. Budgets are obtained between arrays C and S and between the bottom and the 3 °C isotherm (blue) and between the 3 and 6 °C isotherms (red), cf. Fig. 15. Vertical bars in **(b)** are the ranges of eddy diffusivity in the corresponding layers observed in June 2010 and 2012 using microstructure profilers (Fer et al., 2010, 2014).





Figure A1. Time series of volume transport at mooring section C computed by different methods: kinematic (Q_{kine}), per mooring (Q_{moor}) and from gridded fields (Q_{grid}). The latter two methods allow calculation of transport by temperature range, and results are shown here for the ≤ 6 °C temperature class. The grey line represents a version with a 2 km horizontal grid and no upward extrapolation (which led to data from the longest, central mooring dominating the upper levels of the grid), and the blue line is the version used to produce the values of Q_{grid} reported in Sect. 4.

