

## **Response to the review of os-2015-68**

Journal: OS

Title: Seasonal renewal time variability in the Curonian Lagoon caused by atmospheric and hydrographical forcing

Author(s): G. Umgiesser et al.

MS No.: os-2015-68

MS Type: Research article

Special Issue: Oceanographic processes on the continental shelf: observations and modeling

Dear Editor,

Please find here our responses to the questions of the referees. I think we have responded to all the major questions.

The following major points have been implemented in our new version of the paper:

- We have added an extra simulation that excludes the baroclinic acceleration to test the role of density driven flows at the Klaipeda Strait on the transport time scales.
- We compared the WRT to a gross estimate of flushing time.
- We have added figures showing the circulation and salinity pattern in the lagoon as computed by the reference simulation and have added a description of the results.
- We have added more detailed description on how the ice concentration was treated in our model.
- We have added new figures showing the Nemunas discharge and the flow through the Klaipeda Strait.
- We have also fixed a problem in the post processing routines. However, the overall results were not influenced by this problem.

With these modifications I think we have fulfilled every request made by the editor and the reviewers.

Sincerely, Georg Umgiesser and all co-authors.

## Response to the editor:

The ice cover treatment may need improvement. Depending on ice characteristics in the lagoon, thickness, concentration and extent, wind stress may or may not be able to transfer momentum. The lagoon is shallow enough for stationary land-locked ice to form.

Answer: We do appreciate this comment and we modified the manuscript to clarify the ice treatment in our study. Several ice characteristics (ice thickness, ice concentration and distance from shore) are daily observed in four stations in the lagoon. In our study we use the ice concentration, which is a dimensionless term that describes the relative amount of area covered by ice, to weight the wind momentum transfer in the hydrodynamic model. Ice concentration ranges between 0 and 1; a value of 0 means the lagoon is ice free, while a value of 1 means the lagoon is completely covered with ice and momentum transfer to the sea is completely switched off. Fractional values transmit parts of the wind stress. This approach is widely used in coupled ice-ocean models. No ice-ocean stress is considered in this study. Ice concentration is also used to properly calculate the albedo to be used in the heat flux model. We included a more detailed description of the ice treatment in the methods section 2.2.

Please note that due to the shallowness of the lagoon the freezing (and melting) happens in a short period (days) and once the lagoon is frozen the ice is land locked, not transmitting any wind stress to the underlying water. This important aspect of ice dynamics in the Curonian Lagoon is now presented and discussed in the manuscript with the help of a new figure describing the ice concentration variation over time in the four observing stations.

The lagoon has a narrow strait as its outlet and this may entertain a classic two-layer density driven flow, with fresher water going out and saltier water pouring in. Question is how does that affect water residence time? Also, normalized values using a gross estimate based on lagoon volume and riverine (or strait) inflow rate might be useful.

Answer: The lagoon shows in fact sometimes a two layer flow at the inlet. The occurrence of this flow is depending on the strength of the riverine forcing and the barotropic water level forcing at the Baltic Sea. We have described this two layer flow in detail in Zemlys et al, 2013. We can show the influence of the two layer flow on the water renewal time by switching off the baroclinic acceleration. We have therefore carried out a new simulation to highlight this feature and have inserted the results into the text.

We will also compare the renewal time with the flushing time, which is basically a gross estimate of the time scale of water overturning. This number would be equal to the water renewal time only with perfect mixing of the waters inside the lagoon. For a mixing efficiency of less than 1 we will have flushing times that will be much

lower than the water renewal time. We have included this into the discussion.

### **Response to Referee 1 (osd-12-C1252-2015):**

This is a good research article where the authors present the results of the validated hydrodynamic model (the 3-D SHYFEM) applied to the Curonian Lagoon to simulate the circulation patterns for ten years (2004-2013), forced by river runoff, wind and Baltic Sea level fluctuations. The main results are well explained briefly in the abstract – and later explained in further detail in Section 4 (Discussion and conclusions). Two main mechanisms under wind forcing were identified (exchange with Baltic Sea, and internal mixing within the lagoon). The effect of the Nemunas River is discussed: a low effect on the internal water circulation but a strong influence of the river forcing on the water renewal time (WRT). The WRT at different seasons is compared, and the authors showed a low WRT in spring close to the Nemunas outflow and a high WRT in summer in the southern basin. Inter-annual low WRT are in winter and spring and highest in summer.

In lines 3-5 of page 2057, the authors refer to high WRT in the southern basin (and a minor increase in the northern part) – can they perhaps explain why?

Answer: In the northern part there is always a strong forcing of the Nemunas River that drives the water out from the lagoon. Therefore, even with lower winds the renewal times of the northern part are less affected. In the south, however, there is only wind forcing, and the Nemunas River does hardly influence the situation. Therefore, stronger effects can be found here, and higher renewal times are the consequence. We have reformulated this section as follows:

On the other hand, the only physical forcing in the southern basin is the meteorological forcing which may vary considerably between different years. As can be seen from the figure, the summers of 2016, 2008, 2009 and 2012 show large WRTs in the southern basin, whereas the northern part only showed a minor increase due to the higher importance of the Nemunas discharge.

The ice cover during long strong winters increases the WRT in the south and decreases in the north. Here the authors provide a possible explanation which explains such result. Finally, the strongest impact on the WRT distribution is shown to be the Nemunas inflow (7 months WRT for northern lagoon and 5 years for the southern part) – with the authors justifying such result.

Section 1 (introduction) provides a good introduction to the Curonian Lagoon and a review of past numerical studies on the lagoon.

In line 28 page 2045 maybe the authors meant “... Lagoon is also characterised by

...” or “Also the Curonian Lagoon is characterized by ...”?

Answer: it is the first choice. We adjusted the sentence.

In Section 2 the authors described the study area (the lagoon), the different sources of data for boundary conditions to the model and numerical modeling framework (the title in section 2.3 should read “modelling” [is using UK English]).

Answer: we were always trying to use US English. We would prefer to continue to do so, unless the editor has some specific requests.

Furthermore, the WRT computation is clearly explained in section 2.4, with reference to other articles for further details on the algorithm. In line 24 of page 2050, are there references or reasons for fixing the parameter of the Smagorinsky type closure to 0.2?

Answer: In another paper (Zemlys et al., 2013) we have used this value and had good agreement with data. We will insert a reference to this paper in the text.

Interesting to note the energy budget being symmetric with wind speeds of opposite direction, followed by an explanation. Table 1 explains well to the reader a summary of simulations carried out. The WRT for different seasons and different regions (northern and southern parts of the lagoon) are compared over the 10 years period.

Reviewer's Conclusion: The article is well structured: approaches are explained and results are identified and discussed. General comments are positive

Answer: We are pleased with the positive comments of the referee and thank him/her for his review.

### **Response to Referee 2 (osd-12-C1307-2015):**

The authors have applied their well-known 3-D finite element model SHYFEM developed

at ISMAR, CNR, to the Curonian Lagoon to explore the factors that influence the

water renewal times (WRTs) in the lagoon. The study is interesting and a straightforward

application of a numerical model, although the conclusions are quite obvious a priori. The lagoon is very shallow (3.8 m average depth) and is connected to the Baltic Sea via a very narrow strait that restricts the water mass exchanges between

the lagoon and the sea. In addition, the tides in eastern Baltic are negligible and so tidal variations in the sea level in the Baltic are unimportant as far as the lagoon is concerned. However, wind-induced sea level changes in the Baltic Sea might be significant

and that is where the Baltic Sea could influence the lagoon, albeit with the Strait restricting the exchange. The authors should have investigated this scenario as

thoroughly as they have done the obviously influential river discharge. The Namunas

river discharges a significant volume of fresh water into the very small lagoon, making

it, as the authors point out, nearly a fresh water lagoon and hence plays a dominant

role in WRT.

**Answer:** The wind-induced sea level changes have been considered in the manuscript by switching off the water level variations in the Baltic Sea. In fact, as can be seen, these water level changes do influence the renewal time, but only to a minor extent. The other forcing that influences the exchange is the baroclinic driven two layer flow through the Klaipeda Strait. Since also the editor has asked about this feature we added another simulation where we treated the equations without the baroclinic acceleration and quantified its influence on the renewal time. These results have been added to the discussion.

As an exercise in numerical model application, the study is fine. But it does not shed

any light on physical processes underpinning the WRT. For example, I find it hard to

understand why not even a single plot of the circulation in the lagoon is presented.

Obviously, the prevailing circulation must affect WRT in various parts of the basin.

And the restricted exchange through the Strait must affect the entire basin. Without

a clear picture of what the circulation in various sections looks like, it is very hard

to  
understand why the model is producing the results it is producing. The discussion  
of  
various energies is interesting but not illuminative. The emphasis should have  
been  
on circulation. So I urge the authors to include currents in their analysis of the  
model  
results. It would also be nice to see a plot of the flow volume through the Strait  
over  
the 10 years of model simulation. It should be included in Figure 8.

Answer: We have added a new figure that shows the average circulation and a  
figure that shows the flow through the Klaipeda Strait.

The model does a decent job on sensitivity studies but is woefully brief on the 10  
year  
reference simulation (e.g. Figure 8). I would like to see more discussion of the 10-  
year simulations as presented in Figure 8 but supplemented with maps of currents  
and  
water mass properties.

Answer: We agree with the referee that a circulation plot is useful and we have  
produced a map of average seasonal water circulation. We also added some more  
discussion to the 10 year simulation. We also added a plot of the salinity  
distribution in the Klaipeda Strait, the only area where salinity gradients can be  
detected.

Overall, Major revisions addressing my concerns are in order before the  
manuscript  
can be accepted for publication in OS.

Answer: We are thankful for the constructive comments of the referee. We revised  
the manuscript according to his/her guidelines.

Detailed Comments:

Page 2: Line 11-12: are mostly depended should be depend mostly Line 15: are

only

marginally determining should be only marginally determine Line 21: remove due

The manuscript needs a thorough going through to improve the language and gram-

mar. Especially bothersome is use of continuing tense such as that pointed out in Line

15 above, throughout the manuscript. There are too many language fixes needed and

so it is impossible to point them all out. So I will not and instead will concentrate on the

technical content. The authors should ask an English-knowledge person to go through

the manuscript and correct the language deficiencies, before it can be accepted for

publication in OS. However, that can be done during their response to reviewers and

resulting revision of the paper.

**Answer: Thank you for pointing this out. We have reviewed the English language of the manuscript in our final version.**

The figures are very poor. The different colors and lines are very difficult to see. Please

re-plot ALL the figures with thicker lines, better color distinctions and bigger fonts. In

their current form, they are not fit for publication.

**Answer: all figures will be redone to better convey the information to the reader**

Page 4, Line 1: Replace importance by magnitude.

**Answer: done**

Figure 1: I could not see the gray lines without expanding the figure a lot. Re-plot with

a suitable color and thickness. Change the color of the thick line also. It merges with

the FEM cell boundaries.

Answer: Figure 1 has been redone.

Page 5: List the average discharges of all rivers, even if Nemunas river dominates.

A plot of the change in Nemunas discharge with time is necessary to understand its

seasonal influence.

Answer: we inserted a figure of the Nemunas discharge and the Minija River in the lagoon. These two rivers make up for 95% of the total discharge into the Curonian Lagoon.

Page 6: Explain how data from different sources for different years affects the results.

How reliable are the data from the “forecast” models?

Answer: Data from a unique data source were not available, so we had to put together the open boundary data set from various sources. Water levels are reliable from all models. What concerns salinity and temperature, the model is not very sensitive to these parameters at the open boundary, because the flow direction is mainly from the lagoon to the sea.

Page 6: Ice cover is characterized by two quantities: fractional area covered and the

ice thickness. Looks like only the fractional area covered is available. You also say you

ignore ice cover. Explain why.

Answer: As also recommended by the editor, we have clarified how we treated ice in the model. Several ice characteristics (ice thickness, ice concentration, distance from shore) are daily observed in four stations in the lagoon. In our study we use the ice concentration, which is a unitless term that describes the relative amount of area covered by ice, to weight the wind momentum transfer in the hydrodynamic model. Ice concentration ranges between 0 and 1; a value of 0 means the lagoon is ice free, while a value of 1 means the lagoon is completely covered with ice and momentum transfer to the sea is completely switched off. Fractional values transmit parts of the wind stress. This approach is widely used in coupled ice-ocean models. No ice-ocean stress is considered in this study. Ice concentration is also used to properly calculate the albedo to be used in the heat flux model. We



included a more detailed description of the ice treatment in the methods section.

Please note that due to the shallowness of the lagoon the freezing (and melting) happens in a short period (days) and once the lagoon is frozen the ice is land locked, not transmitting any wind stress to the underlying water. This important aspect of ice dynamics in the Curonian Lagoon is now presented and discussed in the manuscript with the help of a new figure describing the ice concentration variation over time in the four observing stations.

We ignored ice cover only in the open Baltic Sea, because the Baltic Sea is less likely to freeze at these latitudes and the wind stress over the Baltic Sea is not strongly affecting the dynamics of the Curonian lagoon.

Page 10, Line 7: Replace Energy by Lagoon energy

Answer: done

Page 10, Line 26: Are these fluxes across the gray lines in Figure 1? If so, state it and

refer to the figure.

Answer: yes. We will make it clear in the text that the sections shown refer to the lines in figure 1

Figure 3: Change the vertical scale of the bottom panel to 2500 m<sup>3</sup>/s also, so that the

strait discharge can be compared visually to the river discharge.

Answer: done

Page 11, Line 19: How much of the results are affected by model discretization of the

Strait? Comment.

Answer: The goodness of fit is only slightly lower when compared to a high resolution grid of the Curonian lagoon (Zemlys et al, 2013). The exchange mainly depends on barotropic forcing (river, water level in the Baltic Sea) and baroclinic two-layer flow. Both of these are quite insensitive to horizontal discretization. The latter one clearly depends on the vertical resolution, which was comparable to the aforementioned paper.

Page 11, Line 26: Reason for “reinitialization?”

Answer: After three months we start a new computation cycle for the renewal times. Therefore the concentrations have to be again initialized to 1. We have changed the text to:

Every three months the renewal times are computed, and then the concentrations are re-initialized in order to start a new computation period.

Page 12: A plot of the temporal variability of various (especially Namunas) river discharges

is essential to understand the results in Figures 4 and 5.

Answer: we have included a plot of the various river discharges over the 10 years.

Page 15: Discuss the dynamical reasons why the ice cover influence is small and why

the influence of how the ice cover was taken into account in the model might or might

not have affected the results. If the lagoon is completely covered by ice, as happened

during 2009 and 2010, and if the ice is land locked, the wind stress is NOT transmitted

to the water underneath. Has that been taken into account? Does that affect WRT or

doesn't it? Explain.

Answer: Yes, this has been taken into account. When there is ice cover, there is no transmission of momentum to the water column. Therefore, our “ice model” completely inhibits the momentum exchange. It is therefore the most severe case that can happen. We have inserted some explanation of this point into the text.

Figure 7: Once again, the plots are too poor and hard to understand. Thicker lines, better color selections to highlight different situations in a particular lagoon etc. are needed.

Answer: the figure has been redone.

Page 15: The paper draws obvious conclusions re WRT. The presence of the narrow

Strait, which forms its outlet to the Baltic Sea and hence restricts exchange of water

masses between the lagoon and the sea, must play an important role. And of course

river discharge into such a small volume must also play an important role in WRT.

**Answer: We agree.**

Page 16: I do not understand why the lack of influence of ice cover is “astonishing.” All

it does is mediate between the wind stress and the water column. So it affects vertical

mixing in the water column mostly. WRT cannot be not sensitive to vertical mixing in

such a shallow basin (3.8 m average depth), since even small winds mix up the water

column, if the estuarine exchange through the very narrow Strait is highly restricted and

so more saline water intruding along the bottom cannot be a major factor, at least in

the southern section. A plot of the salinity in the basin would be very helpful. Actually, I

would start the paper with a plot of the salinity and temperature (seasonal or average)

in the lagoon immediately after Figure 1 showing the topography and the model cells.

**Answer: Ice cover not only restricts vertical mixing, but also horizontal acceleration of the water masses and therefore horizontal mixing. Before this study we thought that wind stress was a major factor in shaping the horizontal exchange. As it turns out, during the years of ice cover this inhibition is less important for the renewal time pattern. We have slightly rephrased this point in the text.**

**We have produced temperature and salinity maps. The seasonal temperature map shows a homogeneous situation all over the lagoon. This is not surprising because of the shallow nature of the lagoon. Since this map does not add any information we have not included it. What concerns salinity, we have produced this map and inserted it when discussing the reference simulation. Since the only places where salinity can be found in the basin are close to the Klaipeda Strait, we have only**

plotted the area of the strait.

# Seasonal renewal time variability in the Curonian Lagoon caused by atmospheric and hydrographical forcing

*G. Umgiesser<sup>1,2\*</sup>, P. Zemlys<sup>2</sup>, A. Erturk<sup>3</sup>, A. Razinkova-Baziukas<sup>2</sup>, J. Mėžinė<sup>2</sup>, C. Ferrarin<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> CNR - National Research Council of Italy, ISMAR - Marine Sciences Institute in Venice, Castello 2737/f, 30122, Venice, Italy

<sup>2</sup> ~~Marine-Open Access Center for Marine Research~~~~Research and Technology Center~~, Klaipėda University, H. Manto str. 84, 92294 Klaipėda, Lithuania

<sup>3</sup> Istanbul University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Freshwater Biology, Ordu Cad. No: 200 Laleli/İstanbul (34130)

\*Corresponding author: G. Umgiesser (georg.umgiesser@ismar.cnr.it)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to investigate the variability of the water exchanges in the Curonian Lagoon based on the hydraulic regime and the atmospheric forcings. A finite element hydrodynamic model has been applied to the Curonian Lagoon to simulate the circulation patterns for ten years. With the help of a transport-diffusion model the salinity distribution and the renewal times of the Curonian Lagoon have been investigated when forced by river runoff, wind and Baltic Sea level fluctuations. The hydrodynamic model has been validated using in situ salinity measurements.

Model results show that the variability depends mainly on seasonal changes in hydrographic forcing and on the dominant wind regimes that prevail over the Curonian Lagoon. Exchanges between the southern and the northern part of the lagoon ~~are~~ mostly ~~depend~~ed on the wind forcing and are much less influenced by the river discharge.

However, when looking at the water renewal time, the most important factor is the river discharge into the lagoon. Other physical forcings ~~are~~ only marginally determining the renewal time, and not even ice cover is able to influence it. Even if ice cover ~~is~~ strongly inhibiting the exchanges between the southern and northern lagoon, it is basically not able to change the absolute value of the renewal times.

*Keywords: Curonian lagoon, finite elements, numerical modeling, exchange mechanisms, renewal time, ice cover*

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Lagoons are the most productive coastal habitats on earth. They are, however, also considered to be extremely vulnerable ~~due~~ to human impact and future climate change. They have crucial relevance what concerns ecological, social and economical importance, both in a historical and present day (Gonenc and Wolflin, 2005). Lagoons show extremely varying settings what concerns hydrological and biological characteristics.

Lagoons ~~depend~~ ~~are~~ crucially ~~depending~~ on the exchange of their waters with the open sea. Especially in lagoons with no ~~where direct~~ fresh-water inputs from the land is not available ~~because no rivers discharge into the lagoon~~, the only water renewal mechanisms are the exchanges with the open sea. These exchanges are governed by water level variations (mainly tides and storm surges) and wind forcing (especially in lagoons with more than one inlet).

Morphological constrains, like channels, salt marshes or solid boundaries could physically divide tidal wetlands in different sub-basins (e.g., Taranto Seas, Cardellicchio et al., 2015). On the other end, multi-inlet lagoon systems (like the Venice lagoon in the North Adriatic)

~~could~~ can be roughly subdivided on the base of the total number of main inlets (Ferrarin et al., 2010).

In lagoons with only one inlet and without internal morphological constraints its hydrodynamics could be used to characterize several sub-domains. This is the case of the Curonian Lagoon, a trans-national coastal system shared by Lithuania in the north and Russia (Kaliningrad province) in the south. This lagoon ~~which could~~ can be formally divided in 2 sub-basins (Ferrarin et al., 2008): a northern area influenced by both the fresh water flow and the lagoon-sea exchange and; a southern basin where hydrodynamics is mostly influenced by the wind.

Understanding the degree and variability of water exchange between the different sub-basins is crucial for describing the temporal and spatial ecological status lagoons (Lucas et al., 2009; McLusky and Elliott, 2004; Andutta et al., 2014). Such a hydraulic partitioning is often used to delineate administrative water basins with different physical, chemical and biological characteristics, as requested by the Water Framework Directive (WFD), (CEC, 2000)

The Curonian Lagoon in this aspect is quite an atypical lagoon. It is characterized by the fact that a major river directly discharges into the basin, with a climatological average of 21.8 km<sup>3</sup> per year (700 m<sup>3</sup>/s) (Jakimavičius, 2012). This river input makes it basically a fresh water lagoon. Other lagoons in Europe with a strong fresh water discharge similar to the Curonian lagoon are the Oder Haff in the southern Baltic Sea (Radziejewska and Schernewski, 2008) and the Razelm-Sinoe lagoon system in the Danube delta (Vadineau et al., 1997). The Curonian Lagoon ~~also is~~ also characterized by the absence of tidal action, because tides in the eastern Baltic Sea are of negligible ~~importance~~ magnitude.

~~In former times~~ The Curonian lagoons ~~s~~ has been studied by ~~previous~~ some modeling applications starting from 2008. Ferrarin et al. (2008) have studied the general circulation of the Curonian Lagoon with a 2D finite element model. They also studied the residence time distribution for one year and finally used these results to carry out a zonation of the lagoon. Hydrodynamic models ~~focus~~ inged mainly on investigation of water currents were developed for the Curonian Lagoon by Davulienė et al. (2002), Raudsepp and Kouts (2002) and Ferrarin et al. (2008).

In recent years the salinity distribution has been studied (Zemlys et al., 2013). In this

application the model SHYFEM (Umgiesser et al., 2004) has been applied in a 3D setup. Even if the lagoon is rather shallow, a discretization of the vertical dimension is needed to adequately describe the two-directional flow in the Klaipeda Strait and the intermittent salt water intrusion events into the lagoon.

In this study we use validated numerical models to investigate the seasonal and inter-annual variability of the water exchange and water renewal time due to hydrological, atmospheric and open sea forcings. The water renewal time (WRT) has been studied with a 3D application of SHYFEM. It is therefore a new development starting from the work of Ferrarin et al. (2008) computing the WRTs in a 3D mode. The work focuses especially on forcing mechanisms that determine the exchange and the variability of fluxes and WRTs over 10 years between 2004 and 2013.

## **2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 The Study Site**

The Curonian Lagoon is a coastal lagoon created by the long shore sediment transport in the eastern Baltic Sea (Fig. 1). It is a trans-national lagoon shared by Lithuania in the north and Russia in the south. Its only inlet is situated in the northern (Lithuanian) part. The total area of the lagoon is approximately 1584 km<sup>2</sup>, the volume is 6.3 km<sup>3</sup>, its length is 93 km, maximum width is 46 km in its southern part, and mean depth is 3.8 m (Žaromskis, 1996).

The dynamics is dominated by the river discharge with a climatological average of 21.8 km<sup>3</sup>/year (700 m<sup>3</sup>/s) (Jakimavičius, 2012). More than 90 % of this amount is contributed by the Nemunas River that discharges into the central and northern part of the lagoon.

Every year the rivers carries the amount of fresh water about four times the lagoon volume. Therefore, the southern and central parts of the lagoon are freshwater (average annual water salinity is 0.08 ‰), while the northern part has an average annual water salinity of 2.45 ‰, with irregular salinity fluctuations of up to 7 ‰ due to Baltic water intrusion (Dailidienė and Davulienė, 2008).

Therefore the Curonian Lagoon is a transitory freshwater basin; and due to the inflowing rivers the average water level in the lagoon is normally higher compared to the sea level of



the Baltic Sea.

## 2.2 Data

For the calibration and validation results of salinity a data set of daily measurements performed by the Marine Research Department of Lithuanian Environmental Protection Agency, linked to the Lithuanian Ministry of Environment, for the period 2004-2010 for the northern part of the Curonian lagoon (Juodkrantė and Klaipėda Strait) ~~were~~was used. Daily river discharges were provided by Lithuanian hydro-meteorological service. The fresh water input into the Curonian Lagoon was considered as the sum of the discharge of its major rivers (Nemunas near Smalininkai, Minija, Šešupė, Jūra, Šešuvis, Deima) (Jakimavičius, 2012). In Fig. 2 (top panel) the Nemunas discharge together with the Minija River is shown. Together both rivers make up more than 95 % of the total discharge into the Curonian Lagoon (Zemlys et al., 2013).

Formatted: Not Highlight

Open sea boundary water temperature, salinity and water levels were obtained by three different sources. For the year 2004-2006 the boundary data was taken from the operational hydrodynamic model MIKE21 provided by the Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI). For the year 2007-2009 the data was obtained by spatial interpolation of 1 nautical mile spatial resolution forecasts by operational hydrodynamic model HIROMB (Funkquist, 2003) provided by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute. For the year 2010-2013 the data was taken from the model MOM (Modular Ocean Model) provided by the Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research in Warnemünde, Germany. Meteorological forcing fields were obtained by forecasts of the operational meteorological model HIRLAM (<http://www.hirlam.org>) provided by the Lithuania hydro-meteorological service for the year 2009-2010. For other years data from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF, <http://www.ecmwf.int>) were used.

Finally ice data has been available only for the years 2007-2010. This data was provided by the Marine Research Department of the Environment Protection Agency of Lithuania. Ice thickness ~~and ice cover~~concentration has been daily measured in 4 points inside the lagoon (Juodkrantė, Nida, Ventė and Uostadvaris, see Fig. 1 for the location of the stations). ~~These data has been used to derive an adimensional value called ice concentration and has been spatially interpolate onto the finite element grid.~~ The ice ~~cover~~

concentration is a value between 0 (ice free) and 1 (fully ice covered) and can be a fractional number. ~~In this case it can be interpreted as the fraction of the area covered by ice where momentum exchange on the water surface is inhibited. The Baltic Sea has been considered ice free for the whole simulations.~~ The ice concentration for the years 2007-2010 is shown in Fig. 2 (bottom panel). Please note that due to the shallowness of the lagoon the freezing (and melting) happens in a short period (days) and once the lagoon is frozen the ice is land locked, not transmitting any wind stress to the underlying water. The Baltic Sea has been considered ice free for all the simulations.

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, (Asian) MS Mincho, Font color: Auto, English (U.K.)

### 2.3 The numerical modeling framework

The framework of numerical models (SHYFEM, <http://www.ismar.cnr.it/shyfem>) was applied to the domain that represents the Curonian Lagoon and coastal area of the Baltic Sea (Fig. 1). These models consist of a finite element 3-D hydrodynamic model, a transport and diffusion model and a radiation transfer model of heat at the water surface. SHYFEM was successfully applied to many coastal environments (Ferrarin and Umgiesser, 2005; Ferrarin et al., 2010; Bellafiore et al. 2011; De Pascalis et al., 2011; Ferrarin et al., 2013, Zemlys et al., 2013, Umgiesser et al., 2014).

**Field Code Changed**

The model resolves the 3-D primitive equations, vertically integrated over each layer, in their formulations with water levels and transports. The horizontal spatial discretization of the unknowns is carried out with the finite element method, which is especially well suited to describe the complex morphology of the investigated coastal system. In the vertical the water depth is divided into terrain following sigma levels. For the computation of the vertical viscosities and diffusivities a turbulence closure scheme is used. This scheme is an adaptation of the k- $\epsilon$  module of GOTM (General Ocean Turbulence Model) described in Burchard and Petersen (1999).

~~The presence of ice cover~~ has been simulated by weighting sealing the wind drag coefficient by the fractional ice ~~cover~~ concentration. This corresponds to scaling the momentum input through the surface by the area ~~of actual ice cover~~ free of ice. Where ice concentration equals 1 the momentum transfer to the sea is inhibited. -No ice-ocean stress is considered in this study. Ice concentration is also used to properly calculate the albedo to be used in the heat flux model.

The model also solves the 3-D advection and diffusion equation to compute water temperature and salinity. The transport and diffusion equation is solved with a first-order explicit scheme corrected by a total variation diminishing (TVD) method. A more detailed description of the model equations and of the discretization method is given in Umgiesser et al. (2004) and its 3D implementation to the Curonian Lagoon in Zemlys et al. (2013).

The SHYFEM model has been applied previously to the Curonian Lagoon and has been validated in previous works reproducing water level, water temperature and salinity fluctuations and the structure of the flow in the Klaipeda Strait (Ferrarin et al., 2008; Zemlys et al., 2013). The reader can refer to Zemlys et al. (2013) for further details on model application and validation in the Curonian Lagoon.

## **2.4 *Renewal time computation***

The computation of the water renewal time (WRT) has been done according to the methodology indicated in Takeoka (1984) and subsequent modifications in Cucco and Umgiesser (2006) and Cucco et al. (2009). A conservative tracer is released in the whole Curonian lagoon, with the exception of the Baltic Sea. The model solves the 3-D advection and diffusion equation to compute the tracer dispersal using a first-order explicit scheme based on the total variation diminishing (TVD) method. The fate of this tracer is followed and the WRT is computed either through integration of the remnant function (concentration divided by initial concentration, a value between 0 and 1) or by fitting the logarithm of the tracer value in every point to a straight line (Umgiesser and Cucco, 2011). The second method gives the possibility of shortening the simulations and stopping them before the concentration arrives at very small values. This procedure allows also restarting the computation at given time periods. In our case we have repeated the computation every 3 months trying to resolve the seasonal variability of the Curonian lagoon.

## **2.5 *Simulation setup***

In this application the numerical grid consists of 2027 elements and 1309 nodes. The resolution is much finer in the vicinity of the Klaipeda strait. A part of the Baltic Sea shelf has also been included in the numerical grid in order to not disturb the computations of the

exchanges through the Klaipeda strait. In the vertical a total of 12 sigma layers have been inserted.

Several numerical simulations have been carried out in this study:

- Idealized simulations for investigate the role of different forcing on the water exchange and energy content distribution.
- 10 years realistic simulations using hydro meteorological forcing for the time period between 1 January 2004 and 31 December of the year 2013.

The characteristics of the performed simulations are summarized in Tab. 1. The simulation called “Reference” is used as a base line against which all the other simulations have been compared. A part from the ice cover, it is the simulation which contains the complete forcings.

The simulations have been carried out using a maximum time step of 100 s, and the model adopts automatic sub-stepping over time to enforce numerical stability with respect to advection and diffusion terms. The vertical viscosity and diffusivity are computed by the k-epsilon model GOTM, and the horizontal ones by a Smagorinsky type closure with a parameter of 0.2 according to the value used also in Zemlys et al. (2013). The bottom drag coefficient has been set to a constant standard value of  $2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . Finally, the wind drag is being computed by the sea-air interaction model COARE (Fairall et al., 2003). Time-series of observed ice concentration have been spatially interpolated onto the finite element grid.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Calibration and validation through salinity

Even if the calibration and validation has already been carried out in a former work (Ferrarin et al., 2008; Zemlys et al., 2013) we show one more time the validation for the salinity, because the numerical grid has ~~been~~ changed between the two applications. In Zemlys et al. (2013) the resolution of the grid was much finer. In the present application a coarser resolution has been used because of the need to run the model for longer time periods.

To drive the model realistic forcing of boundary conditions (water levels, salinity,

temperature) and meteorological input has been used for the year 2004-2013. For the calibration and validation of modeled salinity a data set of daily measurements performed by the Marine Research Department of Lithuania Environment Ministry for the period 2004-2010 for the northern part of the Curonian lagoon (Juodkrantė and Klaipėda Strait) were available.

Statistical analysis results for salinity are reported in Tab. 2 in terms of root-mean-square error (RMSE, in ‰) and correlation coefficient between model results and observations (R). The results showed that the model with ice gives much better results compared to the reference simulation. The correlation coefficient between model results and observations for the period 2007-2010 (when the realistic ice data were used) is 0.641 (RMSE=2.3 ‰) in Klaipėda Strait and 0.427 (RMSE=2.1 ‰) in Juodkrantė. Still the comparison of results with previous studies showed that the high resolution model does a better job in describing salinity variations.

### **3.2 Exchange mechanisms between the northern and the southern lagoon**

In a first set of sensitivity simulations the exchange mechanisms between the northern and southern part of the lagoon have been explored. It has been studied how the physical forcing influences and determines the circulation and exchange in the lagoon.

A first simulation explores the dependence on wind direction. The wind speed has been fixed to 5 m/s which corresponds approximately to the long term average of winds from all directions. No Nemunas river water discharge has been imposed. Energy content (kinetic and potential) and fluxes between different sub-basins have been computed. The section over which these fluxes have been taken is plotted with a black continuous line in Fig. 1. The results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 23.

Lagoon energy has been computed by excluding the grid area of the Baltic Sea, so only the water circulation and setup of the water level inside the lagoon have been taken into account. Energy is not equally distributed between its kinetic and potential part. The potential energy is normally much higher than its kinetic counterpart. This means that due to the shallow nature of the lagoon the currents contribute much less to the energy budget with respect to the change in water level which accumulates potential energy inside the

257 lagoon.

258 | The energy budget is symmetric with wind speeds of opposite directions (Fig. 23). The  
259 | minimum of potential energy correspond to around 90 and 270 degrees, which are easterly  
260 | and westerly winds. This direction corresponds to a minimum fetch over the lagoon where  
261 | the wind can act to pile up the water on one side or the other. On the other side, wind  
262 | directions of 0 and 180 degrees (northerly and southerly winds respectively) show highest  
263 | accumulation of potential energy. In this case the potential energy is 50 times higher than  
264 | in case of minimum potential energy (please note the logarithmic scale in the figure).

265 | Kinetic energy is differently distributed with wind speed. Its maximum is at around 50 and  
266 | 230 degrees, which corresponds to NE and SW winds. Kinetic energy is much less variable  
267 | when compared with the potential energy, and it is higher than potential energy only close  
268 | to where the minimum of potential energy occurs.

269 | In the bottom panel of Fig. 2-3 fluxes over selected sections (see Fig. 1 for the location of  
270 | the sections) are presented. Shown are absolute fluxes, so positive and negative fluxes add  
271 | up to each other. Fluxes through the Klaipeda strait are maximum at around 100 and 270  
272 | degrees, which corresponds roughly to the direction of the inlet that connects to the Baltic  
273 | Sea. Minimum fluxes occur with northerly and southerly winds. The more southerly  
274 | situated section of Vente shows a similar form with higher fluxes, ~~due to being~~ because  
275 | much wider. Fluxes through the Nemunas section and the South lagoon section show much  
276 | higher values, with maxima at around 30 and 200 degrees. This is the wind direction which  
277 | favors highest exchange between the northern and southern sub-basin in the Curonian  
278 | lagoon.

279 | In another simulation only the Nemunas discharge has been taken into account. The  
280 | simulation has a duration of 250 days, while the Nemunas discharge is continuously  
281 | increased until reaching the value of 2500 m<sup>3</sup>/s. No wind forcing has been applied. Results  
282 | are shown in Fig. 34. With a low Nemunas discharge the kinetic energy is higher than the  
283 | potential energy. This means that with low discharges there is little piling up of the water  
284 | in front of the Nemunas delta and the water flows freely in direction of the strait. At higher  
285 | discharges the ratio is reversed, and potential energy becomes more important, and at very  
286 | high discharges total energy consists basically only in potential energy. This means that  
287 | due to the big water masses inflowing the water cannot freely flow to the strait but

accumulates close to the Nemunas Delta, contributing to a rise in potential energy.

Fluxes for the same simulation are shown in Fig. 34. Not surprisingly, the discharges through Klaipeda strait, Vente and Nemunas sections show exactly the same value than the Nemunas discharge. Is however surprising that this Nemunas discharge only influences marginally the fluxes through the South section. Fluxes still grow linearly with discharge, but are much lower than through the other sections. The ratio between Nemunas and South section is about 1/8.

### 3.3 ~~Renewal time variability~~General hydrodynamic features of the Curonian Lagoon

Here we describe some general features of the Curonian Lagoon using the results of the reference simulation. The focus is on the seasonal evolution of circulation and salinity. In Fig. 5 the seasonal circulation is shown (averaged over 10 years). As can be seen the average circulation (which corresponds to the residual currents) is very stable throughout the seasons. In the north the current direction is from the Nemunas delta to the north, eventually out of the lagoon. Only in the Klaipeda Strait velocities of 15 cm/s are exceeded. Velocities in the north are around 5 cm/s, and very low velocities can be found in the southern part, around 2 cm/s. A cyclonic circulation cell is found in all seasons in the southern lagoon. Normally currents along the coast (west and east) are northward, and in the central part they are flowing south. In summer time the northward flow is less strong, and the cyclonic circulation cell is well developed in the south.

Fig. 6 shows the seasonal average salinity distribution close to the Klaipeda Strait. The southernmost isoline always indicates the 1 ‰ limit. The strongest gradients can be found close to the end of the strait, just before flowing into the Baltic Sea. The salinity gradient is strongest in winter and spring time, but is quite stable throughout the year. Please remember that this salinity distribution is the average over many intrusion events, where during these events, higher values can be found inside the lagoon.

Finally, temperature distribution in the lagoon throughout the year (not shown) is horizontally homogeneous and follows the yearly cycle, with values between 0 and 25 degrees.

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic, English (U.K.)

### 3.4 *Renewal time variability*

#### 3.3

As described above the model has been applied to the Curonian Lagoon computing the water renewal time (WRT). ~~Every three months the renewal times are computed, and then the concentrations are re-initialized in order to start a new computation period. The renewal time has been computed for 3 months and after this period concentrations have been re-initialized for a new computation.~~ Renewal times have been computed separately for the northern and southern sub-basin, and for the total basin. They have also been seasonally averaged over the 10 years of simulation. The result of this run can be seen in Fig. 4-7 and 58.

Fig. 4-7 shows the seasonal pattern of the WRT averaged over the 10 available years. In the figure a logarithmic scale has been used in order to be able to show the variability between the short WRTs in the northern and the longer ones in the southern sub-basin. The distribution is very similar between the different seasons. During spring lower WRT can be found close to the Nemunas outflow, due to the higher discharge of the river. In summer the highest values can be found in the south-western basin, and also the eastern shore of the southern lagoon has higher WRTs. However, the average overall pattern does change very little between seasons. In Fig. 5-8 the high variability of the WRT, both inter-annual and intra-annual, can be seen. For both sub-basins the WRT is always lowest during winter time, and highest in the summer. The northern basin also shows low WRT during the spring period, when Nemunas discharge is highest. The southern basin is not influenced by the Nemunas discharge, as already pointed out already when discussing the sensitivity simulations.

The northern basin shows WRT of about 77 days (Tab. 3), while the average for the southern basin is ~~well over~~nearly 200 days. This behavior can also be observed looking at the frequency curves of the WRT (Fig. 69). These frequency curves show the percentage of water volume ~~that has of~~ a certain WRT value. The single WRTs have been averaged over the proper season. Clearly visible in all seasons is the first peak at around 50 days that is due to the water masses in the northern basin. A second peak, indicating the water masses of the southern sub-basin, is also visible during winter (180 days) and autumn (around 280

Formatted: Normal



days), it is less pronounced in summer (broad peak at around 300 days) and not visible for spring time.

### ***3.43.5 Ice cover influence***

As already mentioned the influence of ice cover on the exchange capabilities and the WRT has been studied. Results can be found in Tab. 3. If only the four years where ice cover was available are studied (third column in the table), it can be seen that the total WRT is barely changing (~~149.5~~148.8 days with respect to the reference value of ~~148.0~~147.4 days). In the southern basin changes are a bit higher (~~1920.8~~6 days with respect to ~~186.6~~88.8 days).

The seasonal variability during the 4 years can be seen in Fig. ~~58~~. In the figure, the actual ice cover has been inserted as a ~~black line~~gray band. The winters in 2007 and 2008 are less severe and hardly any difference in WRT can be found between the results without and with ice cover. The winters of 2009 and 2010 led to a longer period of ice cover, and especially in 2010 some differences in WRT can be seen. As expected WRT, when considering ice cover, goes up in the southern basin, but at the same time lower WRTs can be found in the northern basin.

Finally, analyzing the frequency curves created only for the years 2007-2010, where ice cover was available (Fig. ~~69, bottom panel~~black lines), it becomes clear that the first peak identifying the northern basin is not changing, but the second peak at around 150 days is lower and the distribution is shifting to longer WRTs. This can be seen especially in the very long tail of the winter with ice distribution that is very similar to the distribution in summer times.

### ***3.53.6 Dependence on other physical forcings***

Since ice cover (and therefore wind forcing) did not show a strong impact on WRT it was reasonable to check what other factors would determine the renewal capabilities of the Curonian lagoon. We decided to test ~~two-three~~ other physical forcing mechanisms that are influencing the WRT, namely water level variations in the Baltic Sea, ~~and~~ the inflow of the Nemunas river and the role of baroclinic two-layer flow in Klaipeda Strait.

The results of simulation, when the water level variations in the Baltic Sea are set to zero, can be seen in Tab. 3 and in Fig. 7-10. ~~Strongest~~ Similar changes in WRT can be found in the northern lagoon (57%) and ~~less so~~ in the southern one (28%). Fluxes through the southern section are a little more sensitive to the changes and amount to around 124%. However, as can be seen also in Fig. 8-11, the changes are slight.

However, if the Nemunas river discharge is switched off, the picture changes radically. Both from Tab. 3 and Fig. 7-10 it can be seen that WRTs shift to much higher levels. The WRT in the northern basin becomes similar to the value in the southern one of the reference situation (212 days), and the southern basin shows WRTs of more than 5 years on average, with strong inter-annual changes. However, the exchange between the northern and the southern basin only changes by ~~as little less than as~~ 2%.

Finally, a simulation has been carried out that switches off the baroclinic contribution to the equations. The results of this simulation can indicate how important the two-layer density driven flow in the inlet is for the calculation of the WRT. Results can be again seen in Tab. 3. Changes with respect to the reference circulation are hardly noticeable, pointing at the conclusion that density driven flow is not important for the Curonian Lagoon WRT.

## 4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The simulations that were carried out to study the dynamics of the Curonian Lagoon under changing wind conditions indicated that there are two mechanisms that have to be taken into account. Minimum exchange between northern and southern sub-basin takes place with winds from N or S. These winds correspond to a minimum exchange through the Klaipeda Strait. This indicates that winds act in two ways: Either they enhance the water exchange with the Baltic Sea, or they contribute to the internal mixing and redistribution of the water masses inside the lagoon.

The influence of the Nemunas on the internal water circulation is quite low, and it only marginally influences the exchanges between the southern and northern part. The Nemunas River contributes mostly to kinetic energy under lower discharges, but with high discharges a water level gradient is building up and potential energy is becoming the major

energy contribution. The discharge where potential and kinetic energy become similar is at around 900 m<sup>3</sup>/s which is close to the climatological average of the river discharge of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s (Jakimavičius, 2012). Therefore average kinetic and potential energy due to the Nemunas discharge are nearly equi-distributed.

The pattern of the WRT distribution between different seasons is very similar between each other. The absolute numbers depend on the season, with spring showing low WRT close to the Nemunas outflow and highest WRT in the southern basin during summer time. This confirms the strong influence of the Nemunas forcing on the WRT, especially in the northern basin.

The inter-annual changes of the WRT show a coherent picture. Lowest WRTs are always during winter and spring, and highest during summer (Fig. 58). The variability is much lower in the northern basin, where the inflow of the Nemunas is stabilizing the water exchange. On the other hand, the only physical forcing in the southern basin is ~~really depending on~~ the meteorological forcing which may vary considerably between different years. As can be seen from the figure, the summers of 2016, 2008, 2009 and 2012 ~~leads to show~~ large WRTs in the southern basin, whereas the northern part only showed a minor increase due to the higher importance of the Nemunas discharge.

It might also be interesting to compare the values of the total WRT (152 days) to a gross estimate of freshwater inflow into the lagoon. The relevant indicator is the flushing time, computed by dividing the volume of the lagoon by the fresh water fluxes. This flushing time gives the lowest possible time of exchange, when considering complete mixing with the lagoon waters. Inserting the numbers for the average riverine discharges and the average rain fall, the flushing time is about 110 days for the lagoon. This flushing number still does not take into account the fluxes with the Baltic Sea. This indicates a mixing efficiency of 0.7. Taking into account also the fluxes through the Klaipeda Strait, this number will be even lower, and well in the range of other lagoons (Umgiesser et al., 2014).

Ice cover, even if important for the exchange in the inside of the lagoon, does not influence too much the WRT. As explained above, most of the time when ice is present, it is land locked, therefore inhibiting completely the momentum transfer between the atmosphere and the water. Only during strong winters, when ice cover is lasting for a considerable amount of time, the ice cover will be able to change the WRT. Two different effects can be

seen. While the WRT increases in the South, in the North it decreases. This can be explained by the fact that water exchange between the north and the south is decreasing. Less fresh water from the Nemunas goes to the south, increasing the WRT there. However, the fresh water that stays in the north contributes to lower WRTs there. Summarizing, the WRT in the whole basin is changing very little, showing that ice cover may inhibit the exchanges inside the lagoon, but does not too much influence the exchanges with the Baltic Sea.

~~The other two~~ Other physical factors that may influence the WRT distribution are water level variations in the Baltic Sea and the Nemunas discharge. Switching off the water level variation did result in slightly smaller WRTs in the basin (~~27-58~~%), and exchanges between the southern and northern basin were decreasing by around 10%. Again this result is astonishing. Most lagoons throughout the world are dominated by tidal exchange. Even in Mediterranean lagoons, where tides can be classified as micro-tidal, it is still the tide which mostly controls the exchange with the open sea (Umgiesser et al., 2014). However, in the eastern Baltic Sea tides are negligible, and intermittent water level changes are not strong enough (or not frequent enough) to influence the water exchange with the Baltic Sea.

The strongest impact on the WRT distribution is exerted by the Nemunas inflow. The northern part of the lagoon shows WRTs of around 7 months, whereas the in the southern part the WRT increases to around 5 years on average between the years. As already mentioned this is only due to the missing fresh water input of the Nemunas, and not to any other mechanisms. If we compare the exchanges between the northern and southern basin we can see that with respect to the reference simulation the exchange only decreases by about 2%. Without the Nemunas discharge there is therefore still enough exchange between the basins. However, since there is no fresh water in the northern part, there is also nothing to be exchanged that might lower the WRTs in the South.

In the last simulation we tested the contribution of the two layer density flow through the Klaipeda strait to the WRT distribution. The results indicate a negligible effect of the baroclinic flow. This is mainly due to three causes. The first is the fact that two layer flow exists only in case of low barotropic forcing. Therefore, when strong Nemunas discharge is active, or when water level fluctuations in the Baltic Sea are important, the two layer flow

is destroyed. This was one of the main findings in Zemlys et al., (2013). The second cause is the existence of a sill just inside the Curonian lagoon, where the depth of the strait drops from 15 meters in the strait to 5 meters in the lagoon. The salt water normally does not get over this sill but is trapped outside in the strait. Finally, the third reason that the baroclinic acceleration is less important is the fact that salinity gradients in the Baltic Sea are much weaker than in normal cases. Whereas in other oceans the salinity gradient in the estuary is up to 35 ‰, here in the Eastern Baltic Sea it is only 7 ‰.

Summarizing, the study has shown that the most important physical forcing that influences the WRT in the Curonian Lagoon is the Nemunas discharge. Other mechanisms do influence only the strength of exchange inside the lagoon, but not really contribute to the exchange with the Baltic Sea. ~~This is especially true for the ice cover which It is especially astonishing that ice cover~~ is influencing the overall WRT only marginally. Therefore, if climate change effects have to be taken into account, WRT changes will be more likely influenced by changing Nemunas discharges than by change in ice cover.

## 5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by European Social Fund under the Global Grant measure (CISOCUR project VP1-3.1-ŠMM-07-K-02-086). It has also been carried out under the framework of the RITMARE Flagship Project, funded by MIUR under the NRP 2011–2013. The authors thank the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute the access to HIROMB data that were used in this study as boundary conditions, the Lithuanian Hydrometeorological service for data required as meteorological and hydrological forcing, and the Marine Research department of Environmental Protection Agency of Lithuania for permanent monitoring data.

## REFERENCES

- Andutta, P.F., Ridd, P.V., Deleersnijder, E., Prandle, D., 2014. Contaminant exchange rates in estuaries – New formulae accounting for advection and dispersion. *Progress in Oceanography*, 120: 139–153.
- Bellafiore, D., Guarnieri, A., Grilli, F., Penna, P., Bortoluzzi, G., Giglio, F., Pinardi, N., 2011. Study of the hydrodynamical processes in the Boka Kotorska Bay with a finite element model. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*, 52 (1–2): 298–321.
- Burchard, H., Petersen, O., 1999. Models of turbulence in the marine environment – a comparative study of two equation turbulence models. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 21: 29–53.
- Cardellicchio, N., Annicchiarico, C., Di Leo, A., Giandomenico, S., Spada, L., 2015. The Mar Piccolo of Taranto: an interesting marine ecosystem for the environmental problems studies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-4924-6
- CEC, 2000. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy. *Journal of the European Communities L327*: 1-73.
- Cucco, A., Umgiesser, G., 2006. Modelling the Venice Lagoon residence time. *Ecological Modelling*, 193: 34-51
- Cucco, A., Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Perilli, A., Canu, D.M., Solidoro, C., 2009. Eulerian and lagrangian transport time scales of a tidal active coastal basin. *Ecological Modelling*, 220: 913 – 922.
- Dailidienė, I., Davulienė, L., 2008. Salinity trend and variation in the Baltic Sea near the Lithuanian coast and in the Curonian Lagoon in 1984-2005. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 74: 20-29.
- Davulienė, L., Dailidienė, I., Dick, S., Trinkūnas, G., Valkūnas, L., 2002. Validation of the circulation model for Lithuanian coastal waters. *Journal of Environmental and Chemical Physics*, 24: 226–231.

519 De Pascalis, F., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Gilabert, J., Marcos, C., Umgiesser, G., 2011. Climate  
520 change response of the Mar Menor coastal lagoon (Spain) using a hydrodynamic finite  
521 element model. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.12.002.

522 Fairall, C. W, J. E. Hare, A. A. Grachev, and J. B. Edson, 2003: Bulk parameterization of  
523 air-sea fluxes: Updates and verification for the COARE algorithm. *J. Climate*, 16, 571–  
524 591.

525 Ferrarin, C., Umgiesser, G., 2005. Hydrodynamic modeling of a coastal lagoon: The  
526 Cabras lagoon in Sardinia, Italy. *Ecological Modelling*, 188: 340–357.

527 Ferrarin, C., Razinkovas, A., Gulbinskas, S., Umgiesser, G., Bliudziute, L., 2008.  
528 Hydraulic regime-based zonation scheme of the Curonian Lagoon. *Hydrobiologia*, 611:  
529 133–146.

530 Ferrarin, C., Umgiesser, G., Bajo, M., Bellafiore, D., De Pascalis, F., Ghezzi, M.,  
531 Mattassi, G., Scroccaro, I., 2010. Hydraulic zonation of the lagoons of Marano and Grado,  
532 Italy. *A modelling approach*. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 87 (4): 561–572.

533 Ferrarin, C., Ghezzi, M., Umgiesser, G., Tagliapietra, D., Camatti, E., Zaggia, L., Sarretta,  
534 A., 2013. Assessing hydrological effects of human interventions on coastal systems;  
535 numerical application to the Venice Lagoon. *Hydrology and Earth Systems Science*, 17:  
536 1733-1748.

537 Funkquist, L., 2003. A unified model system for the Baltic Sea, Elsevier Oceanography  
538 Series, 69: 516–518, doi:10.1016/S0422-9894(03)80082-X.

539 Gonenc, I.E. Wolflin, J.P., 2005. Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem Processes and Modeling for  
540 Sustainable Use and Development. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

541 Jakimavičius, D., 2012. Changes of water balance elements of the Curonian Lagoon and  
542 their forecast due to anthropogenic and natural factors. Doctor thesis. Kaunas.

543 Lucas, L.V., Thompson, J.K., Brown, L.R., 2009. Why are diverse relationships observed  
544 between phytoplankton biomass and transport time? *Limnology and Oceanography*, 54:  
545 381–390.

546 McLusky, D.S., Elliott, M. 2004. The Estuarine Ecosystem: Ecology, Threats and  
547 Management. OUP, Oxford, 214.

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

548 Radziejewska, T., Schernewski, G., 2008. The Szczecin (Oder-) Lagoon. In: Ecology of  
549 Baltic Coastal Waters. U. Schiewer (ed.), 115-130.

550 Raudsepp, U. Kouts, T., 2002. Wind driven circulation the Curonian Lagoon,  
551 Environmental and Chemical Physics, 24: 151–155.

552 Takeoka, H., 1984. Fundamental concepts of exchange and transport time scales in a  
553 coastal sea. Continental Shelf Research, 3: 311–326.

554 Umgiesser, G., Melaku Canu, D., Cucco, A., Solidoro, C., 2004. A finite element model  
555 for the Venice Lagoon. Development, set up, calibration and validation. Journal of Marine  
556 Systems, 51: 123–145.

557 Umgiesser, G., Cucco, A., 2011. Computation of Transport Time Scales through  
558 Numerical Modeling. In: Geomatic Solutions for Coastal Environments. M. Maanan and  
559 M. Robin (eds). Nova Science Publishers, New York. 243-262. ISBN 978-1-6166-8140-1

560 Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzi, M., Bajo,  
561 M., 2014. Comparative hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of  
562 numerical modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(4): 2212–2226,  
563 doi:10.1002/2013JC009512.

564 | Vadineanu, A., Cristofor, S., Ignat, GH., Romanca, G., Ciubuc, C., Florescu, C., 1997.  
565 Changes and opportunities for integrated management of the Razim-Sinoe Lagoon System.  
566 International Journal of Salt Lake Research, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 135–144.

567 Zemlys, P., Ferrarin, C., Umgiesser, G., Gulbinskas, S., Bellafiore, D., 2013. Investigation  
568 of saline water intrusions into the Curonian Lagoon (Lithuania) and two-layer flow in the  
569 Klaipėda Strait using finite element hydrodynamic model. Ocean Science, 9: 573-584,  
570 doi:10.5194/os-9-573-2013.

571 Žaromskis, R., 1996. Oceans, Seas, Estuaries. Vilnius: Debesija [In Lithuanian with  
572 English summary].

573



## TABLES

Sensitivity simulations with idealized forcings		
name	period	description
Wind	36 days	Only wind forcing, the wind rotates over 360 degrees
Discharge	250 days	Only fresh water discharge, the discharge varies from 0 to 2500 m <sup>3</sup> /s
10 year simulations with real forcings		
name	period	description
Reference	10 years	Reference simulation (used also for validation)
Ice	10 years	As reference, but with ice cover in the years 2007-2010
No Level	10 years	As reference, but without water level variation in the Baltic Sea
No River	10 years	As reference, but without river discharges
No Baroclinic	10 years	As reference, but without baroclinic forcing

Table 1: Summary of simulations carried out. The first two simulations are idealized simulations to investigate the exchange capabilities depending on wind and river discharge. The other simulations are simulations with real forcings.

	Reference (without ice) 2004-2010	Ice 2004- 2010	Ice 2007- 2010	Ice 2009	2009 from Zemlys et al., 2013
Klaipeda Strait	R=0.584 RMSE=2.433	R=0.596 RMSE=2.386	R=0.641 RMSE=2.312	R=0.675 RMSE=2.131	R=0.74 RMSE=2.3
Juodkrante	R=0.398 RMSE=2.068	R=0.410 RMSE=2.024	R=0.427 RMSE=2.063	R=0.499 RMSE=1.759	R=0.67 RMSE=1.6

579

580 Table 2: Model validation results for salinity. Given are correlation coefficients and root  
581 mean square error in ‰. The results refer to the reference simulation, the simulation with  
582 ice cover (10 years), only the 4 years where ice cover was available, only 2009 with ice  
583 cover and results from the article Zemlys et al. (2013).

584

585

	reference	Ice 2004-2014	Ice 2007-2010	Ice winter 2007-2010	No level	No river	No baroclinic
WRT north [days]	76.5 ±21.3	76.3 ±21.4	79.7 ±26.3 (80.4)	51.9 ±2.4 (52.7)	81.7 ±24.8	211.8 ±110.2	76.7 ±21.4
WRT south [days]	193.5 ±55.5	195.1 ±55.0	190.8 ±48.2 (186.6)	175.6 ±33.1 (158.6)	208.8 ±63.8	1703.5 ±1541.0	192.2 ±55.3
WRT total [days]	151.7 ±445.5	152.3 ±45.2	148.8 ±41.4 (147.4)	125.1 ±20.3 (119.1)	156.9 ±36.9	664.5 ±371.7	151.5 ±46.2
Flux through southern section [m <sup>3</sup> /s]	1804.1 ±467.5	1751.4 ±474.6	1770.9 ±557.1 (1902.6)	1615.8 ±831.3 (2088.2)	1585.4 ±461.5	1834.3 ±465.4	1808.4 ±464.0

Table 3: Summary of the results of the 10 year simulations. Shown are the renewal times for the northern, southern and the whole basin and the absolute fluxes through the southern section together with their standard deviations. Results are for the complete reference simulation, for the 10 year simulation using ice cover in the 4 years where ice cover was available, only for the 4 years with ice cover and only the winter months with ice cover. The other ~~two-three~~ columns refer to the simulation with no water level variation in the Baltic Sea ~~and~~, with no river discharge and with no baroclinic forcing. Numbers in brackets are the values for the reference run only for the specified period.

## FIGURES





Fig. 1: The setting of the Curonian lagoon showing its bathymetry. Superimposed is the used numerical grid. The thick black line indicates the division into northern and southern sub-basin when computing the WRT. ~~the~~ This section, named Southern Lagoon Section, ~~considered-is also used~~ for computing the fluxes between the north and the south basins. ~~It also indicates the division into northern and southern sub-basin when computing the WRT.~~ The three gray-thinner lines are the other flux sections and are named (from north to south) Klaipeda Strait, Vente, and Nemunas. An asterisk marks the stations where ice data has been measured. (Juodkrante, Nida, Vente, Uostadvaris).

Formatted: English (U.S.)





**Fig. 2: River discharge from 2004 to 2014 into the Curonian lagoon (top) and measured ice concentration in the years 2007-2010 (bottom). In the discharge panel only Nemunas and Minija are shown. The contribution of all other rivers is less than 5%. The ice data shows that the freezing and melting happens very fast (order of days).**




Fig. 23: Energy content in basin (top) and fluxes through selected sections (bottom) depending on the wind direction. [For the location of the sections see Fig. 1.](#)




Fig. 34: Energy content in basin (top) and fluxes through selected sections (bottom) depending on the Nemunas discharge. [For the location of the sections see Fig. 1.](#)







Fig. 5: Seasonal maps of residual currents averaged over the years 2004-2014. Maps show winter (a), spring (b), summer (c) and autumn (d).





Fig. 6: Seasonal maps of salinity distribution averaged over the years 2004-2014. Maps show winter (a), spring (b), summer (c) and autumn (d). Only the area of the Klaipeda Strait is shown. The southernmost isoline in the figures always indicates 1 ‰.





Fig. 47: Seasonal residence-renewal time maps averaged over the years 2004-2014. The residence-renewal time is only computed inside the Curonian Lagoon and not in the Baltic Sea. Maps show winter (a), spring (b), summer (c) and autumn (d). Please note the logarithmic color scale.




Fig. 58: Water renewal times for the northern, southern basin. The WRT is computed every 3 months to obtain a seasonal estimate. WRT is computed with and without ice cover for the years 2007-2010. The black curve shows the actual ice cover in the Curonian Lagoon.




Fig. 69: Seasonal frequency curves of WRT. ~~Top: Results for 10 years (2004-2014), no ice cover considered. Bottom: The results refer to the whole 10 years period except the black curves which indicate the situation As above but~~ with and without ice cover in winter only for years 2007-2010.




Fig. 107: Water renewal times for the northern, southern basin. The WRT is computed every 3 months to obtain a seasonal estimate. WRT is computed for the reference run (continuous line), with no water level variation in the Baltic Sea (dashed line) and with no river discharge (dotted line). The results for the no baroclinic run are not shown because basically identical to the reference simulation. The northern lagoon is in red, the southern lagoon in blue.




Fig. 811: Absolute fluxes through the south section (a) and through the Klaipeda Strait (b). Results are shown for the reference run compared to the simulation with no water level forcing in the Baltic Sea. In the case of Klaipeda strait also the fluxes computed with the non baroclinic case have been added.