

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Reconstructing bottom water temperatures from measurements of temperature and thermal diffusivity in marine sediments” by F. Miesner et al.

F. Miesner et al.

fmiesner@math.uni-bremen.de

Received and published: 20 January 2015

Thank you Dr. Morales Maqueda for your helpful comments. We will adapt your input in the review. Short answers to the comments are given below.

Comment 1: 2392 7. I expect that the steady state heat flow would be the time-independent component of the total vertical heat flux. Therefore, it should be in principle possible to determine this flow by averaging over long enough periods of time. I fail to see why the bottom water temperature itself needs to be constant in order to calculate the geothermal heat flow.

Answer: You are right with the averaging, however, averaging is not possible with only

C1277

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



one measurement. Also heat flow determination is possible when the measurement decive penetrates to deep enough regions where the seasonal influence is negligible.

Comment 2: 2392 12. Reconstruct temperatures where?

Answer: This would be the German North Sea. We will add the region in the review.

Comments 3-4: 2392 18-19. This statement is irrelevant here. 24-ff. These statements are entirely uninformative. Either provide quantitative information or say nothing. Your hopes for future work have no place in an abstract.

Answer: Yes, that will be part of the review of the abstract.

Comment 5: 2394 6. I thought you were interested in the bottom temperatures.

Answer: Yes, this is a mistake. The surface of the sediment is of course the bottom of the sea.

Comment 6: 2396 23. You must define T_{total} and $u(x,t)$ before line 4, so that your reference to zero-flow- condition at the lower boundary makes sense.

Answer: Yes, that will be changed.

Comment 7: 2396 26. $h=150 \text{ W/m}^2$? The units of h according to (3) are simply $1/\text{m}$.

Answer: That is actually mistake in both (3), where the thermal conductivity is missing, and the units. The units of h are $\text{W/m}^2 \text{ K}$. This will be changed.

Comment 8: 2398 2. Please provide a reference for this figure.

Answer: This figures comes from Ribergaard (2008), we will make this clear in the review.

Comment 9: 2398 5. What is the heatflow value for this example?

Answer: We used a literature value, as the measurement is not deep enough to determine the heat flow. We will add this with a reference to the global heat flow data

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

base.

Comment 10: 2399 11. "can then be calculated".

Answer: We will be more specific.

Comment 11: 2402 25-ff. For real data, it is not clear what an "exact", noise-free solution would be. What criterion do you use for stopping when using real data?

Answer: The discrepancy principle is explained in the same paragraph. We will make clearer what this means for real data.

Comment 12: 2403 3. Explain what a "regularization scheme" is.

Answer: Okay, we will do that in the review.

Comment 13: 2403 7. Replace "æchapter" by "section".

Answer: Yes.

Comment 14: 2404 13. You need to be more specific here. What changed from one execution of the algorithm to the next? The noise in the data?

Answer: Yes, we will specify that.

Comment 15: 2421-2422 In these figures, the model thermal diffusivity is obtained by linearly inter- polating between measured data points, correct? There is no actual model for the diffusivities.

Answer: Yes, we used the term "modelled diffusivities" for "interpolated values, used for the modelling process". We will think about renaming that for clarity.

Interactive comment on Ocean Sci. Discuss., 11, 2391, 2014.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

