



Role of sea ice, stratification, and near-inertial oscillations in shaping the upper Siberian Arctic Ocean currents

Igor V. Polyakov¹, Andrey V. Pnyushkov², Eddy C. Carmack³, Matthew Charette⁴, Kyoung-Ho Cho⁵, Steven Dykstra⁶, Jari Haapala⁷, Jinyoung Jung⁵, Lauren Kipp⁸, Eun Jin Yang⁵, and Sergey Molodtsov²

¹International Arctic Research Center and College of Natural Science and Mathematics, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, 99775, USA

²International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, 99775, USA

³Institute of Ocean Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 9860 West Saanich Road, Sidney, BC, V8L 4B2, Canada

⁴Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 266 Woods Hole Road, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, 02543, USA

⁵Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon, Korea

⁶College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, USA

⁷Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

⁸Rowan University, 201 Mullica Hill Road, Glassboro, New Jersey, 08028, USA

Correspondence: Igor V. Polyakov (ivpolyakov@alaska.edu)

Received: 16 May 2025 – Discussion started: 28 May 2025

Revised: 22 October 2025 – Accepted: 23 October 2025 – Published: 25 November 2025

Abstract. The Siberian Arctic Ocean (SAO) is the largest integrator and redistributor of Siberian freshwater resources and acts to significantly influence the Arctic climate system. Moreover, the SAO is experiencing some of the most notable climate changes in the Arctic, and advection of anomalous Atlantic- (atlantification) and Pacific-origin (pacification) inflow waters and biota continue to play a major role in reshaping the SAO in recent decades. In this study, we use a large collection of mooring data to create a coherent picture of the spatiotemporal patterns and variability of currents and shear in the upper SAO during the past decade. Although there was no noticeable trend in the upper SAO's current speed and shear from 2013 to 2023, their seasonal cycle has significantly strengthened. The cycle reveals a strong relationship between upper ocean currents and their shear with sea ice conditions – particularly during transitional seasons – evidenced by a strong negative correlation (-0.94) between seasonal sea ice concentration and current shear. In the shallow ($< 20\text{--}30\text{ m}$) summer surface mixed layer, currents have increased because strong stratification prevents wind energy from propagating into the deeper layers. In this case, strong near-inertial currents account for more than half of the summertime current speed and shear. In the winter, a thicker surface layer is created by deep upper SAO ventilation due to

atlantification, which distributes wind energy to far deeper ($> 100\text{ m}$) layers. These findings are critical to understanding the ramifications for mixing and halocline weakening, as well as the rate of atlantification in the region.

1 Introduction

The Siberian Arctic Ocean (SAO), encompassing the Laptev and East Siberian seas and adjacent deep basins, plays a significant role in the Arctic climate system (Fig. 1). It is the Arctic Ocean's largest continental shelf system, and integrates Siberian freshwater inputs that account for over 10 % of global river discharge. Moreover, the SAO is undergoing some of the most dramatic climatic changes in the Arctic (e.g., Polyakov et al., 2025a). Remote climate drivers, such as advection of anomalous Atlantic- (atlantification) and Pacific-origin (pacification) inflow waters and biota into the polar basins, have played a major role in reshaping the SAO. For instance, atlantification decreases stratification, enhances oceanic heat fluxes in the region, and has contributed to a decay of sea ice in the SAO over recent decades (Polyakov et al., 2023, 2025a). These physical changes have significant ecological consequences, including the arrival of new Pacific

and Atlantic species in the East Siberian Sea (Ershova and Kosobokova, 2019).

Despite evidence of fundamental changes, such as regional reduction of sea ice, disappearance of permanent halocline, and altered freshwater transport across the SAO (e.g., Kipp et al., 2023; Polyakov et al., 2025a), quantification of many processes remains limited. At the same time, changes in upper ocean circulation due to climate change are not well documented – for example, to our knowledge, there have been no systematic current observations in the Makarov Basin. Moreover, the interaction between short-term variability (from mesoscale to seasonal events) and long-term trends remains poorly understood. Particularly, the role of high-frequency processes acting at time scales of hours to days in rapidly changing SAO is not well understood whereas they are often the dominant components of Arctic ice and ocean velocity variability (e.g., Plueddemann, 1992; Kwok et al., 2003; Rainville and Woodgate, 2009; Gimbert et al., 2012; Brenner et al., 2023a). These processes include semidiurnal tides and wind-forced near-inertial currents (NIC). Brenner et al. (2023a) provide a good summary of near-inertial oscillations for further information. Details on the fundamentals of the mechanisms and drivers of the Arctic Ocean circulation can be found in Timmermans and Marshall (2020). These knowledge gaps underscore the urgent need for in-depth analysis of the variability in the SAO currents at various time scales to better understand the dynamics and transformations of the SAO as it transitions to a new climatic state.

In this study, we build a cohesive picture of spatiotemporal patterns and variability of currents and shear in the upper SAO over the recent years using an extensive collection of mooring data. Shear plays a critical role in vertical mixing, stratification, and the distribution of heat and salt – processes that are especially important in the stratified and rapidly changing Arctic Ocean (e.g., Rainville and Woodgate, 2009; Lenn et al., 2011; McPhee, 2008, 2013; Kwok and Morison, 2017; Polyakov et al., 2017). Particularly, we map the time-dependent distribution of currents and their shear in the upper SAO; assess the role of sea ice, stratification, and NIC in setting the seasonal cycle of currents and shear in the region; and quantify the effect of winds on seasonal cycles of currents and shear in the surface mixed layer and halocline.

2 Data

Mooring observations: Our analysis utilizes the collection of instrumental observations of ocean currents from nine moorings distributed in the Siberian Arctic Ocean; see Fig. 1 for locations. Moorings MB1 and MB3–MB9, maintained by the Nansen and Amundsen Basins Observational System (NABOS) program, were deployed from September 2021 through September 2023. Mooring KAMS1, maintained by the Korean Polar Research Institute (KOPRI), was deployed from August 2017 through September 2023. At the MB1 mooring

site observations began in August 2002, thus providing invaluable long-term measurements which were used to place shorter 2021–2023 records in a longer context. All moorings used in this analysis provided current observations from upward-looking 300 kHz Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCP) for the upper 40–50 m. ADCP records were complemented in this analysis by profiles of currents from a McLane Moored Profiler (MMP) for the depths > 50 m at MB1 mooring. ADCPs provided current velocities, averaged over 2 m vertical cells, with at least 1 h time resolution. The manufacturer's estimates for 300 kHz ADCP accuracies are 0.5 % of measured speed and 2° for current direction. The MMP sampled a vertical profile of water temperature, salinity, and current along the mooring line once per two days at a speed of $\sim 25 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ with a sampling period of 0.5 s; therefore, the data had a vertical spacing of $\sim 12 \text{ cm}$. According to the manufacturer's manual, an instrumental error of the acoustic current meter (ACM) installed at MMPs is $\pm 0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. The instrumental accuracy of the MMP magnetic compass is 2°. However, due to the weak horizontal component of the geomagnetic field in the Arctic Ocean, the individual compass error may exceed the instrumental accuracy, reaching 30° (Thurnherr et al., 2017); this issue similarly affects ADCP measurements.

2021–2023 CTD (Conductivity-Temperature-Depth) 48–1000 m profiles from MMP and SBE-37 (34 m) and RBR (21 m) CTD time series from fixed depth observations at MB1 mooring were used in the study. The MMP temperature and conductivity calibrated measurement accuracies are $\pm 0.002^\circ\text{C}$ and $\pm 0.002 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$, respectively. SBE-37 and RBR provided 15 min interval records with measurement accuracies of $\pm 0.002^\circ\text{C}$ and $\pm 0.003 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ for SBE-37 temperature and conductivity.

All mooring data used in this study are available at <https://arcticdata.io/catalog/#view/arctic-data> (last access: 18 March 2025).

Winds: Monthly 10 m winds during 1979–2023 used in this study are from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts reanalysis ERA-5, downloaded from <https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/dataset/ecmwf-reanalysis-v5> (last access: 18 March 2025; Hersbach et al., 2023). The horizontal resolution of the data is 0.25° .

Sea ice concentrations: The Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) satellite archive used in this study includes global daily sea ice concentration from 1981–2023 with $0.25 \times 0.25^\circ$ spatial resolution (<https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/optimum-interpolation-sst>, last access: 18 March 2025).

3 Methods

Defining buoyancy frequency (N): N is a measure of stability of a fluid. The mooring-based MMP measurements are used to quantify stratification using Brunt-Väisälä buoy-




Figure 1. Annual, summer (J–S), and winter (N–A) 2021–2023 mean current vectors and roses of instantaneous (hourly) currents for a depth range of 10–30 m at nine mooring sites in the Siberian Arctic. The mooring positions are represented by the beginning of the red vectors. Roses are aligned to true geographic directions.

ancy frequency N , $N^2 = -(g/\rho_o)\partial\rho/\partial z$, where ρ is the potential density of seawater, ρ_o is the reference density (1030 kg m^{-3}), and g is the acceleration due to gravity. Increased fluid stratification (stability) is indicated by increased N .

Mean current speeds: The mean current speed, $|U|$, for a specified time interval is obtained as the time average of instantaneous speeds, $|U| = (u(t)^2 + v(t)^2)^{1/2}$, where u and v are the zonal and meridional components of either the total, measured current or the semidiurnal (near-inertial) band-passed current (see next paragraph).

Vertical shear of horizontal currents: The vertical shear utilizing ADCP and MMP current observations was calculated using the central finite difference method (when the shear at a vertical grid point is proportional to the difference between the velocities of two nearby, shallower and deeper, grid points), which produced a 4 m vertical scale for the shear estimates.

Estimating semidiurnal-band (near-inertial) and residual currents: Near-inertial currents were estimated using hourly current zonal and meridional components that were band-pass filtered between 10 and 14 h periods. The result of this procedure is referred to as NIC in the text. Residual currents are the result of subtracting NIC from the original current records.

Selecting time intervals for comparative analysis of temporal changes: Our analysis builds on the previous study by Polyakov et al. (2020a), which used the MB1 mooring records from 2004 to 2018. That study identified a shift in the upper ocean current regime beginning with the 2013 record (noting a data gap from 2010 to 2012) and provided comparative estimates of upper ocean currents for the periods 2004–2009 and 2013–2018. We extended the record by adding five more recent years, while maintaining the same interval structure to ensure comparability of results. In the text, we included sensitivity tests by reducing the latter period to 2018–2023 to match the number of observation years in the earlier interval, which demonstrated the robustness of our estimates.

Simulating upper-ocean currents using a 1-D model: The General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM; Burchard and Petersen, 1999; Umlauf and Burchard, 2005; Li et al., 2021) is a one-dimensional water-column model that incorporates a library of turbulence closure schemes. It has been widely applied in open-ocean studies (e.g., Burchard and Bolding, 2001; Le Clainche et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2022). In this study, we use GOTM to simulate upper-ocean processes in the eastern Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean at 78.5° N , 126° E . The model is configured with the Fairall et al. (1996) parameterization for air–sea heat fluxes, the Winton (2000) sea ice parameterization, and the k – ε turbulence closure scheme implemented with quasi-equilibrium second-moment closure.

GOTM is applied to resolve vertical velocity profiles and stratification dynamics of the upper Arctic Ocean, using both in situ profile observations and outputs from the E3SM-Arctic Earth System Model (Huo et al., 2025). Initial condi-

tions are based on observed temperature and salinity profiles from January 2022 and September 2021, along with mean velocity profiles for January and June from the E3SM-Arctic “1950-control simulation” at the same location. Forcing parameters for winter/summer simulations include wind speeds of $2.5/2.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, surface air temperatures of $-10^\circ \text{ C}/-2^\circ \text{ C}$, ice thicknesses of 1/0 m, and shortwave radiation values of $0/300 \text{ W m}^{-2}$.

4 Results

4.1 Documenting currents and shear in the upper Siberian Arctic Ocean in 2021–2023

The average currents for the upper 30 m of the Siberian Arctic during a two-year period (September 2021–September 2023) are shown in Fig. 1. Strong topographic steering causes currents in the outer western SAO (Eurasian Basin, MB1 and MB3 mooring records) to be along-slope even in the very top layer, supporting a previous finding by Pnyushkov et al. (2021). This SAO region has the strongest (up to 3 cm s^{-1}) mean currents, which do not vary greatly from season to season (Fig. 1). In the central SAO (moorings MB4–MB7), the currents become weaker (1 – 2 cm s^{-1}) and gentler slopes reduce topographic steering, and currents acquire a greater offshore northward component, forming the origin of the Transpolar Drift (Kipp et al., 2023). The mean currents (vectors in Fig. 1) on the shelf (moorings MB8 and MB9) are weak because they lack a dominant direction, even if the instantaneous currents are typically greater, particularly in summer (as indicated by the red color of current roses). From 2021 to 2023, the East Siberian Sea shelf also shows a divergent pattern of seasonal currents, with winter currents predominantly flowing eastward (to the left according to the map orientation, MB8 and MB9 moorings) and summer currents flowing westward (MB9 mooring, with close to zero mean summertime flow at MB8 mooring location).

The hourly mooring records of total current speed $|U|$ and shear (U_z) in the upper 30 m layer are shown in Fig. 2. The largest currents and shear correspond to the ice-free season in all mooring records, consistent with previous observations showing that compact sea ice cover dampens the upper ocean currents (Lenn et al., 2011; Lincoln et al., 2016; Rainville and Woodgate, 2009; Polyakov et al., 2020a). The spatiotemporal patterns of total and near-inertial currents and their shear during these two years are very similar, suggesting that the near-inertial currents play a key role in determining the dynamics of the upper ocean in the SAO (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Annually and seasonally averaged total and near-inertial currents and their shear in the central SAO are shown in (Fig. 3). This figure provides further evidence that near-inertial currents contribute significantly to both total current speed and shear (on average 54 % and 40 %, respectively). This contribution is particularly significant in the summer, when, on av-

erage, the near-inertial component explained 64 % (50 %) of the total current speed (shear) in eight mooring records. This ratio was remarkably stable, geographically varying from only 69 % (53 %) for the annual records at the MB1 mooring site to 61 % (56 %) at MB9.

The annual and seasonal total and near-inertial currents were generally weaker in the central SAO than those in more eastern and western regions (Fig. 3a–c). For example, the total annual $|U|$ minimum of $\sim 4 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ is found at the MB5 mooring location. Although the spatial pattern of shear is noisier, the MB5 mooring record still tends to show the weakest shear. At all SAO mooring locations, the shear in the upper 30 m is significantly increased throughout the summer.

4.2 Variability of currents and shear in the upper SAO

4.2.1 Seasonal variability in the upper SAO

The seasonal signal is the dominant component of Arctic atmospheric, sea ice, and oceanic variability. The winter winds, which are typically stronger than the summer winds (Fig. 4), should be the primary cause of this variability in the upper ocean currents. Indeed, surface-intensified upper ocean currents provide evidence that the atmospheric forcing dominates, particularly in summer (Fig. 2). At the same time, the ocean's response to this seasonal forcing is not straightforward due to a host of mechanisms and processes involved. For example, summer currents, not winter ones, are stronger in the upper 30 m SAO due to (at least partially) the isolating effect of compact winter sea ice (Figs. 2, 3 and 6).

The connection between the sea ice state (expressed by its concentration) and the SAO's $|U|$ and U_z is evident in Fig. 5, which shows an increase in currents and shear in the upper ocean (10 m depth) during summer associated with a decrease in sea ice concentration. In that, the start and end of summer intensification of $|U|$ and, in particular, U_z at all SAO mooring sites closely follows the early summer sea ice decay and start of freezing in fall. This relationship is evident from the statistically significant correlations between the time series of sea ice concentration from one side and current speed and shear from the other side (Fig. 5). Statistical significance is estimated using a t -statistic, which follows a Student's t -distribution. The correlation analysis shows that sea ice concentration is generally more closely related to shear than to current speed (with the MB6 mooring as an exception), and that it is more strongly correlated with near-inertial currents than with residual currents (i.e., total minus near-inertial). Although the correlations between current speed and sea ice concentration are statistically significant – owing to the long time series – they remain modest, reflecting the influence of other factors such as stratification and wind forcing on upper ocean dynamics. There is no obvious regional bias toward higher or lower correlation in the SAO. Seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration, $|U|$, and U_z averaged over longer (2013–2023) records from the

MB1 mooring location further and more strongly corroborate this precise tuning of upper ocean dynamics and sea ice state (Fig. 6a, b).

4.2.2 Longer-term variability in the upper western SAO

Before discussing decadal-scale changes in stratification and currents in the SAO, we note that regional wind speeds have not increased, nor has the seasonal wind cycle undergone any statistically significant shift since the 1990s. Averaging over different time periods yielded practically the same results (Fig. 4). Therefore, other factors like sea ice and ocean stratification controlled the observed changes. In this analysis, decadal (2004–2023) records of total and near-inertial currents and shear from the MB1 mooring are used to validate results based on shorter 2021–2023 records and to provide further insight into interannual variability in the SAO.

The seasonal pattern of currents and shear in the upper 30 m seen in MB1 2013–2023 mooring record (Fig. 7) is comparable to our results utilizing 2021–2023 mooring records from eight mooring locations in the SAO (Figs. 2, 3). The strength of currents and shear in the eastern Eurasian Basin (MB1 mooring) during 2021–2023 were similar to those observed from 2013–2020, both annually and seasonally, for total and near-inertial signals. For example, annual mean current speeds were 7.3 and 6.8 cm s^{-1} for 2013–2020 and 2021–2023, respectively; see Fig. 8. Throughout this decade, there was no apparent rising or decreasing trend in the current speed and shear of the upper ocean. Overall, the currents and shear were stronger than those seen from 2004 to 2009, notwithstanding some discernible interannual changes, especially during the summer (Fig. 8).

The late 2015–early 2018 period stands out due to its decreased currents and shear in every season. During this period, anomalously fresh water was advected from the Kara Sea through the Vilkitsky Strait (owing to amplified discharge from the Enisey River), causing a major freshening of the upper eastern Eurasian Basin (More and Polyakov, 2025). Strong stratification in the area had a profound effect on upper ocean dynamics and restricted upward heat fluxes from the ocean interior (see Fig. 4 from Polyakov et al., 2020b). This may explain why throughout the summer months of these years, sea ice has been present in the area, but during the summer months of the previous and following years, there was none at all (Fig. S2).

A close examination of the eastern Eurasian Basin's decadal records of sea ice concentration, shear, and current speed reveals that the seasonal cycle has changed throughout time. In particular, summers associated with reduced sea ice concentration appear to have been longer in recent years across this decadal record, and the seasonal pattern of near-inertial and total currents has changed accordingly (Figs. 7, S2). To place these changes in an even longer timeframe, we compare MB1 mooring records starting in 2004 to investi-




Figure 2. Biennial (September 2021–September 2023) records, showing the magnitude of the total currents (left) and associated shear (right) at eight NABOS mooring locations (see Fig. 1) as a function of time and depth. White segments show missing data. Black-grey-white bar over each panel shows daily sea ice concentration between 0 % (black indicating ice-free summer) to 100 % (white indicating winter), with near grey scale for interseasonal transitions. Vertical dotted lines show transitions from year to year. Note that there are minor variations in the vertical data coverage of the mooring records.

gate the evolution of the seasonal cycle of sea ice concentration, $|U|$ and U_z (Fig. 6c–f). The original current records from 2004 to 2010 used in our analysis are shown in Fig. 4 from Polyakov et al. 2020a.

When comparing seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration, $|U|$ and U_z averaged over 2004–2010 and 2013–2023, a distinct and obvious tendency is the extension of the summer season for both sea ice concentration and currents. For example, the 80 % sea ice concentration-defined winter-summer

transition now occurs 20 d later in the fall and six days earlier in the spring (Fig. 6c–f). This is consistent with the findings by Stroeve and Notz (2018) which showed that in recent decades surface melt onset happens earlier and the freeze-up later. The currents and shear closely follow these seasonal changes in sea ice concentration. In the eastern Eurasian Basin, Polyakov et al. (2020a) found an increasing coupling between the vertical shear of oceanic currents, wind, and ice from 2004 to 2018, particularly during the summer. Extend-




Figure 3. Temporal changes in total (blue) and semidiurnal-band (near-inertial, red) currents and their shear from September 2021 to September 2023 in the upper 30 m for eight locations in the Siberian Arctic. Estimates of (a, d) annual (January–December), (b, e) winter (November–July), and (c, f) summer (August–October) for mean current speed $|U|$ and vertical shear of horizontal currents $|U_z|$ respectively, at eight mooring locations. Statistical significance of means (error bars) is shown at the 95 % confidence level.

ing this record by additional five years, we corroborate these earlier results. Specifically, we show that the seasonal cycle of $|U|$ and U_z has strengthened, with larger differences between summer and winter – for example, the seasonal range of near-inertial currents increased from 4.8 cm s^{-1} (2004–2010) to 8.0 cm s^{-1} (2013–2023). We also find a stronger negative correlation between seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration and current strength and shear in recent years. For example, the correlation between seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration and shear was $R = -0.73$ from 2004 to 2010, and a higher $R = -0.94$ from 2013 to 2023. This tightening of relationship indicates that currents and shear follow now closer the seasonal sea ice transitions than in the past. Reducing the latter period to 2018–2023 to match the number of observation years in the earlier interval yields a similarly high correlation of $R = -0.89$, indicating the robustness of our estimates.

4.3 Role of stratification

The depth of the surface mixed layer in the Arctic varies seasonally, thickening in winter (~ 25 to > 50 m) and shallowing in summer (~ 5 – 30 m), and regionally, with a deeper layer in the Eurasian Basin, (~ 20 m in summer, ~ 70 to 100 + m in winter) than in Amerasian Basin (~ 8 m in summer, 30 m in winter) (Peralta-Ferriz and Woodgate, 2015). Since the SAO moorings lack temperature and salinity observations in the uppermost 20 m, we used mooring-based

profiles of $|U|$ and U_z to illustrate the seasonal evolution of upper ocean currents and their shear in relation to the stratification of the surface mixed layer (Fig. 9). This approach is supported by the relationship between upper ocean currents and stratification identified by Brenner et al. (2023b). During the winter months, residual currents and their shear show moderate surface intensification, while the near-inertial component remains weak and nearly vertically homogeneous. In contrast, summertime currents are stronger – reaching 8 – 10 cm s^{-1} – dominated by the near-inertial component, and constrained by strong density gradients, with maximum shear located near the base of this stratified layer (e.g., Brenner et al., 2023b). This distinctive summer pattern of currents and shear can be understood as an indicator of stratification (see also Fig. 7).

The strong stratification-based bounding of upper ocean summer currents can be explained by the shallow water theory, which holds that the intensity of depth-averaged currents is proportional to wind stress and inversely proportional to layer thickness. Thus, thinner summertime surface layer has a higher concentration of wind energy and stronger currents. Stronger wintertime winds distribute energy across a thick, ventilated upper-ocean layer, leading to weaker currents (relative to summer currents) in the upper 30 m – an effect influenced not only by sea ice shielding but also by changes in ocean stratification (e.g., Brenner et al., 2023a).

This interpretation is supported by observations in Fig. 10, which show total, near-inertial, and residual (total minus




Figure 4. (Top) 1958–2023 time series of wind speed from monthly ERA-5 reanalysis for the MB1 mooring location. (Bottom) Seasonal cycle of wind speed at the MB1 mooring location averaged over 1991–2006 (blue) and 2007–2022 (red). Shades represent 1 S.E. uncertainty. Note negligible trend and statistically insignificant temporal changes in seasonal cycles.

near-inertial) seasonal mean currents from 2018–2021 MB1 mooring record, averaged across 10–110 m depth at 20 m intervals. This limited period was selected due to the lack of high temporal resolution data from subsurface layers over a longer timeframe. Nevertheless, we argue that this two-year period is representative of longer-term conditions (e.g., Fig. 8) and sufficient to capture the major features discussed here. Expectedly, increased currents, dominated by the near-inertial component, are observed in the stratified upper 10–30 m layer in summer. At deeper depths (> 30 m), a different pattern emerges: total and residual current speeds increase during winter – most probably driven by enhanced wintertime wind forcing – while no comparable intensification is observed in summer, and the near-inertial wintertime component remains weak. Thus, in summer, near-inertial currents drive a peak in average 10–110 m currents, whereas the wintertime peak is driven by stronger winds transferring energy through a deeper (> 100 m) ventilated layer that extends into the halocline. Moreover, stratification is strongly connected to sea ice, and in the observed tight connection between the seasonal evolution of currents and sea ice concentration (dis-

cussed in Sect. 4.2.1) stratification is a physically significant intermediary link. Of course, this represents an average picture of the seasonal evolution of currents and shear in the upper SAO. In reality, each specific year and location introduces additional complexity, with numerous deviations in current behavior from the mean pattern (e.g., Fig. 5).

To verify that the effects observed in the observations are driven by seasonal variations in wind forcing, we conducted modeling experiments using the 1-D GOTM, forced with summer and winter wind conditions and realistic temperature and salinity profiles. The results clearly show the role of stratification in shaping upper-ocean currents, with maximum summer currents confined to the surface layer and deeper penetration of wind energy into the ocean interior in winter (Fig. S3). Additional experiments excluding sea ice confirm that stratification – rather than sea ice – plays the dominant role in the wintertime oceanic response to wind forcing.

Figure 11 which shows the 2021–2023 mooring records from the upper 200 m layer of the eastern Eurasian Basin, is used to further illustrate the points in the preceding para-




Figure 5. Time series of normalized (reduced to anomalies by subtracting means, Mn, and divided by standard deviations, SD) current speed $|U|$, vertical shear of horizontal current U_z (both from 10 m depth level), and sea ice concentration (SIC, the latter time series are multiplied by minus one) at eight mooring locations. Blue lines show parameters for total minus near-inertial currents, red lines show parameters for near-inertial currents, and gray lines show SIC. Mn and SD are provided for $|U|$ in cm s^{-1} , U_z in 10^3 s^{-1} and SIC in %. Due to the large number of observations used in the analyses, the correlations R between $|U|$ and SIC (blue digits) and U_z and SIC (red digits) are all statistically significant at the 0.05 % level. This was determined using a standard Student's t -test, which assesses whether the observed correlation coefficients differ significantly from zero, accounting for sample size and assuming normally distributed data. The effective number of degrees of freedom is computed following the Chelton's (1983) modified method which takes into account autocorrelations.

graph. The profiler-based part of these observations (48–1000 m) has a rather coarse (two-day) temporal resolution and cannot resolve near-inertial currents. However, they combine a relatively high vertical resolution of temperature, salinity, and currents. The temperature and salinity records clearly indicate deep (> 150 m) seasonal ventila-

tion, as shown by the cooling and freshening of the 150–200 m layer in late winter (February through May) in both 2022 and 2023 (Fig. 11a, b). The seasonal evolution of the upper ocean ventilation is reflected in deepening of strong shear at its base (upper 30 m) during the early winter period (Fig. 11e), followed by increasing buoyancy extending to




Figure 6. (a, b) Mean 2013–2023 seasonal cycle of current speed ($|U|$, cm s^{-1} , blue), vertical shear of horizontal current (U_z , 10^3 s^{-1} , red), and sea ice concentration (SIC, %, grey, multiplied by minus one) reduced to anomalies by subtracting means (Mn) and normalized by standard deviations (SD). (c–f) Mean 2004–2010 and 2013–2023 seasonal cycles of current speed ($|U|$, cm s^{-1} , blue), vertical shear of horizontal current (U_z , 10^3 s^{-1} , red), and SIC (%), grey). 10 m depth at MB1 mooring location (eastern Eurasian Basin). Monthly running mean smoothing is applied to all time series.

~ 80 m and deeper as winter progresses (Fig. 11f). By April–May, ventilation reaches well beyond 100 m, as indicated by the deepening of the buoyancy maximum to 120–140 m, which then persists throughout the summer months. This contrasts sharply with microstructure measurements from the ice-free Canada Basin during the 2012 “perfect storm”, which showed significantly enhanced turbulence in the upper 50 m of the water column. Despite this turbulence, Atlantic Water heat remained isolated beneath the strongly stratified halocline, across which thermohaline staircase structures persisted (Lincoln et al., 2016).

The connection between currents and stratification during the wintertime upper ocean ventilation is illustrated by their running correlation at 100 m depth, revealing a complex pat-

tern of interactions of currents within the Arctic halocline (Fig. 11c, d). Notably, the correlation exhibits a clear and intriguing seasonal cycle: it is negative during summer and becomes strongly positive during the peak of the ventilation season and in the following months when stratification at this depth increases (Fig. 11c). The intensification of $|U|$ and U_z across strong density gradients at MB1 mooring site was documented by Polyakov et al. (2019); however, no physical explanation for the underlying drivers of this phenomenon was provided, and the mechanisms remain unclear. This may require advanced modeling efforts, potentially involving non-hydrostatic models.

Further evidence of the critical role ocean stratification plays in redistributing wind energy with depth can be found




Figure 7. September 2013–September 2023 record of the total and semidiurnal-band (near-inertial) current speed (cm s^{-1}) and associated shear (10^3 s^{-1}) at MB1 mooring location (see Fig. 1 for mooring position) as a function of time and depth. White segments show missing data.

in Fig. 6c, e, which shows the presence of winter maximum of mean ocean current speed in the upper 30 m layer due to stronger stratification and shallower mixed layer in 2004–2010, when ventilation in the upper Eurasian Basin was not that deep and stratification was stronger (Polyakov et al., 2017, 2020b).

5 Discussion

Mooring observations provided solid observational evidence that, predominantly wind driven, the upper ocean circulation

in the SAO accumulates and converges flows of shelf fresh-water from east and west in the East Siberian Sea, thereby acting as an integrator of river discharge (e.g., Jones, 2001; Armitage et al., 2017). Cross-slope water and biogeochemical exchanges (e.g., Bauch et al., 2014), in contrast, are suppressed in the western SAO (Eurasian Basin) due to the constraining influence of strong topographic steering, such that flow, even in the very top layer, is aligned with the underlying topography regardless of wind direction (e.g., Pnyushkov et al., 2018). The mean currents in the western SAO region are the strongest, reaching up to 3 cm s^{-1} , and they vary little




Figure 8. Temporal changes in total (blue) and semidiurnal-band (near-inertial, red) currents and their shear from 2014 to 2023 in the upper 30 m. Estimates of (a, d) annual (January–December), (b, e) winter (November–July), and (c, f) summer (August–October) for mean current speed $|U|$ and vertical shear of horizontal currents $|U_z|$ respectively, at MB1 mooring location. Dashed blue and red horizontal lines indicate 2004–2009 means. Statistical significance of means (error bars) is shown at the 95 % confidence level.

from season to season. Cross-shelf exchange is elevated east of the Lomonosov Ridge, where slope angles are relaxed. In this area, the currents become weaker ($1\text{--}2\text{ cm s}^{-1}$) and acquire a greater off-shore northward component, forming the origin of the Transpolar Drift. This freshwater pathway is confirmed by geochemical tracers such as radium-228, and high fractions of meteoric water (Kipp et al., 2023; Charette et al., 2020). Averaged over 2021–2023, the mean currents on the shelf are small because they lack a preferred direction, even if the instantaneous currents are typically greater than in the deeper ocean, particularly in summer.

From 2013 to 2023, there was no apparent trend in current speed or shear in the upper western SAO. However, both $|U|$ and U_z were stronger during this recent decade compared to 2004–2009 (Fig. 8). This temporal pattern may be linked to alternating decadal-scale atmospheric variability (Polyakov et al., 2023). Over the past decade, the seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration, shear, and current speed in the upper SAO have also changed markedly. In particular, longer summers in recent years have led to earlier surface melt and later freeze-up. This has amplified the seasonal cycle of $|U|$ and U_z – evidenced by greater summer–winter contrasts – and produced a close coupling between upper-ocean dynamics and sea ice conditions, as reflected by a strong negative correlation ($R = -0.94$) between the seasonal cycles of sea ice concentration and current shear from 2013 to 2023.

We confirm previous findings that currents in the halocline and surface layer are significantly impacted by the seasonal evolution of stratification. In the shallow ($< 20\text{--}30\text{ m}$) summer surface mixed layer, currents are increased because

strong stratification constrains wind energy from propagating into the deeper layers. Strong near-inertial currents that are generated when sea ice is reduced account for more than half of the summertime current speed and shear. Published measurements of ocean turbulence indicate that high-frequency (like near-inertial and tidal) processes can dominate time-averaged mixing rates and diapycnal fluxes (e.g., Padman and Dillon, 1991; D’Asaro and Morison, 1992; Padman, 1995; Fer, 2014). In the winter, a thicker surface layer is created by deep upper SAO ventilation associated with atlantification, which distributes wind energy to far deeper ($> 100\text{ m}$) layers. We provide evidence of winter amplification in the average currents across the 100 m upper ocean layer in response to higher wintertime forcing. 1-D modeling experiments provide evidence that wind is the most likely candidate. Addressing this uncertainty may require more sophisticated experiments, potentially involving non-hydrostatic models. This winter amplification of currents and shear at depths is highly consistent with increasing seasonality and a general loss of double-diffusive staircases in the region over the 2004 to 2023 period (Lundberg and Polyakov, 2025). Consequently, stratification represents a physically significant link in the strong connection between the seasonal evolution of currents and sea ice concentration. This is consistent with findings of Brenner et al. (2023a), who used a slab model results verified by mooring observations in the Beaufort Sea to show that both internal ice stresses and upper ocean stratification contribute to the intensity of inertial surface currents. Thus, while there has been no appreciable change in regional wind speed since the 1990s, sea




Figure 9. Monthly mean 2004–2023 vertical profiles of (top) current speed and (bottom) vertical shear of horizontal currents. Total current speed and shear are shown in the left column, while semidiurnal (near-inertial) current speed and shear are shown in the right. All data are from the MB1 eastern Eurasian Basin mooring.

ice and ocean stratification have been responsible for the observed changes in the upper SAO dynamics over the last few decades.

This analysis provides important insights on the consequences of ongoing atlantification of the SAO. For example, our finding of the dominant role of near-inertial currents in summer upper ocean dynamics over broad SAO area is critical for developing reliable mixing schemes for climate models. This would improve the model performance making the simulated future eastward progression of atlantification more certain. The identification of deep propagation of wind forcing in winter into the SAO interior is critical to understanding the ramifications for mixing and halocline weakening, as

well as the rate of atlantification in the region. There are still numerous questions about the future of the atlantification and its impact on the short- and long-term physical, geochemical, and biological responses in the SAO and at pan-Arctic scales. As our mooring data demonstrate, extreme freshening of the upper ocean between 2015 and 2017 can in fact slow down the rate of atlantification. This is consistent with studies demonstrating that the recent freshening in the upper Canada Basin has enhanced the stratification regionally (e.g., Carmack et al., 2016; Proshutinsky et al., 2019; Timmermans and Toole, 2023; Haine et al., 2015) and may mitigate the consequences of atlantification. However, we do observe that atlantification is extending far beyond the Lomonosov




Figure 10. (a, b) Mean 2018–2021 current speed averaged within 20 m depth intervals (a) and over a 10–110 m depth range (b). (c, d, e, f) Same as in (a, b) but for semidiurnal (c, d) and residual (total minus semidiurnal – e, f) currents. All data are from MB1 eastern Eurasian Basin mooring.

Ridge, into the Makarov Basin of the Arctic Ocean with potentially profound implications for the regional ecological system (e.g., Polyakov et al., 2025a). Monitoring the fate of atlantification and understanding its role in climate change has great scientific and societal relevance, as this serves as a foundation for developing reliable forecasts and decision-making.

Data availability. All mooring data used in this study are available at <https://arcticdata.io/catalog/#view/arctic-data> (last access: 18 March 2025). The ERA5 reanalysis data is available from <https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/dataset/ecmwf-reanalysis-v5> (last access: 18 March 2025). Sea ice concentration is available from <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/optimum-interpolation-sst> (last access: 18 March 2025).

[//www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/optimum-interpolation-sst](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/optimum-interpolation-sst) (last access: 18 March 2025).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-21-3105-2025-supplement>.

Author contributions. All authors participated in preliminary analysis; AP and IP carried out processing and analysis of mooring data, LK, MC, SD, JH and EC contributed to interpretation of hydrographic data and formulating objectives of the study, KHC, JJ, and EJY provided processing and analysis of KOPRI data.

All authors contributed to interpreting the data and writing the paper.




Figure 11. (a) Potential temperature (°C), (b) salinity, (c) running correlation (R) between current speed ($|U|$) and squared buoyancy frequency (N^2) at 100 m depth using a 30 d running window (dashed lines: daily values; solid lines: smoothed using monthly window; statistically significant values at the 95 % level are when $|R| > 0.45$, horizontal red segments show seasonal means), (d) wavelet transform of the R time series (95 % significance and cones of influence indicated by grey lines), (e) vertical shear of horizontal currents (s^{-1}), and (f) squared buoyancy frequency ($10^{-5} s^{-2}$) in the eastern Eurasian Basin (MB1 mooring location) during 2021–2023. The black–gray–white bar above the top panel shows daily sea ice concentration, ranging from 0 % (black) to 100 % (white) on a linear color scale. White segments indicate missing data.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

ity lies with the authors. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Disclaimer. Publisher’s note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility

Acknowledgements. IVP acknowledges funding from Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-21-1-2577. IVP and AVP were supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) grant #1724523 and the U.S. Department of Energy grant 280253. LEK and MAC were supported by NSF grant numbers 2031853 and 2031854. KHC, JJ,

and EGY were supported by Korea Institute of Marine Science and Technology Promotion (KIMST) grant funded by the Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries (KIMST RS-2021-KS211500, Korea-Arctic Ocean Warming and Response of Ecosystem, KOPRI).

Financial support. This research has been supported by the Directorate for Geosciences (grant nos. 1724523, 2031853, and 2031854), the Biological and Environmental Research (grant no. 280253), and the Korea Institute of Marine Science and Technology promotion (grant no. RS-2021-KS211500).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Agnieszka Beszczynska-Möller and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Armitage, T. W. K., Bacon, S., Ridout, A. L., Petty, A. A., Wolbach, S., and Tsamados, M.: Arctic Ocean surface geostrophic circulation 2003–2014, *The Cryosphere*, 11, 1767–1780, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1767-2017>, 2017.
- Bauch, D., Torres-Valdes, S., Polyakov, I., Novikhin, A., Dmitrenko, I., McKay, J., and Mix, A.: Halocline water modification and along-slope advection at the Laptev Sea continental margin, *Ocean Sci.*, 10, 141–154, <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-10-141-2014>, 2014.
- Brenner, S., Thomson, J., Rainville, L., Crews, L., and Lee, C. M.: Wind-driven motions of the ocean mixed layer in the western Arctic, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 53, 1787–1804, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-22-0112.1>, 2023a.
- Brenner, S., Thomson, J., Rainville, L., Torres, D., Doble, M., Wilkinson, J., and Lee, C.: Acoustic sensing of ocean mixed layer depth and temperature from uplooking ADCPs, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 40, 53–64, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-22-0055.1>, 2023b.
- Burchard, H. and Bolding, K.: Comparative analysis of four second-moment turbulence closure models for the oceanic mixed layer, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 31, 1943–1968, 2001.
- Burchard, H. and Petersen, O.: Models of turbulence in the marine environment – A comparative study of two-equation turbulence models, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 21, 29–53, 1999.
- Carmack, E. C., Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Haine, T. W. N., Bacon, S., Bluhm, B. A., Lique, C., Melling, H., Polyakov, I. V., Straneo, F., and Timmermans, M.-L.: Fresh water and its role in the Arctic marine system: sources, delivery, disposition, storage, export, and physical and biogeochemical consequences in the Arctic and global oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosciences*, 121, 675–717, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003140>, 2016.
- Charette, M. A., Kipp, L. E., Jensen, L. T., Dabrowski, J. S., Whitmore, L. M., Fitzsimmons, J. N., Williford, T., Ulfssbo, A., Jones, E., Bundy, R. M., Vivancos, S. M., Pahnke, K., John, S. G., Xiang, Y., Hatta, M., Petrova, M. V., Heimbürger-Boavida, L.-E., Bauch, D., Newton, R., Pasqualini, A., Agather, A. M., Amon, R. M. W., Anderson, R. F., Andersson, P. S., Benner, R., Bowman, K. L., Edwards, R. L., Gdaniec, S., Gerringa, L. J. A., González, A. G., Granskog, M., Haley, B., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Hansell, D. A., Henderson, P. B., Kadko, D. C., Kaiser, K., Laan, P., Lam, P. J., Lamborg, C. H., Levier, M., Li, X., Margolin, A. R., Measures, C., Middag, R., Millero, F. J., Moore, W. S., Paffrath, R., Planquette, H., Rabe, B., Reader, H., Rember, R., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Roy-Barman, M., Rutgers van der Loeff, M., Saito, M., Schauer, U., Schlosser, P., Sherrell, R. M., Shiller, A. M., Slagter, H., Sonke, J. E., Stedmon, C., Woosley, R. J., Valk, O., van Ooijen, J., and Zhang, R.: The Transpolar Drift as a Source of Riverine and Shelf-Derived Trace Elements to the Central Arctic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean*, e2019JC015920, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015920>, 2020.
- Chelton, D. B.: Effects of sampling errors in statistical estimation, *Journal of the Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 2, 257–264, 1983.
- D’Asaro, E. A. and Morison, J. H.: Internal waves and mixing in the Arctic-Ocean, *Deep-Sea Research A*, 39, S459–S484, 1992.
- Ershova, E. A. and Kosobokova, K. N.: Cross-shelf structure and distribution of mesozooplankton communities in the East-Siberian Sea and the adjacent Arctic Ocean, *Polar Biol.*, 42, 1353–1367, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02523-2>, 2019.
- Fairall, C. W., Bradley, E. F., Rogers, D. P., Edson, J. B., and Young, G. S.: Bulk parameterization of air-sea fluxes for tropical ocean-global atmosphere coupled-ocean atmosphere response experiment, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 101, 3747–3764, 1996.
- Fer, I.: Near-inertial mixing in the central Arctic Ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 44, 2031–2049, 2014.
- Gimbert, F., Jourdain, N. C., Marsan, D., Weiss, J., and Barnier, B.: Recent mechanical weakening of the Arctic sea ice cover as revealed from larger inertial oscillations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, C00J12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007633>, 2012.
- Haine, T. W. N., Curry, B., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Karcher, M., Lee, C., Rudels, B., Spreen, G., de Steur, L., Stewart, K. D., and Woodgate, R.: Arctic Freshwater Export: Status, Mechanisms, and Prospects, *Global Planetary Change*, 125, 13–35, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.013>, 2015.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Dee, D., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47>, 2023.
- Huo, Y., Wang, H., Veneziani, M., Comeau, D., Osinski, R., Hillman, B. R., Roesler, E., Maslowski, W., Rasch, P. J., Weijer, W., Baxter, I., Fu, Q., Garuba, O. A., Ma, W., Seefeldt, M. W., Sweeney, A., Wu, M., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Asay-Davis, X., Craig, A. P., Lee, Y. J., Lin, W., Roberts, A., Wolfe, J. D., and Zhang, S.: E3SM-Arctic: Regionally refined coupled model for advanced understanding of Arctic systems interactions, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 17, e2024MS004726, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024MS004726>, 2025.
- Jones, P. E.: Circulation in the Arctic Ocean, *Polar Research*, 20, 139–146, 2001.
- Kipp, L., Charette, M., Robbins, A., Pnyushkov, A., Polyakov, I. and Whitmore, L.: Radium isotopes as tracers of shelf-basin exchange processes in the eastern Arctic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans*, 128, e2023JC020303, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JC020303>, 2023.

- Kwok, R. and Morison, J.: Recent changes in Arctic sea ice and ocean circulation, US CLIVAR Variations (Summer 2017), 15, 1–6, 2017.
- Kwok, R., Cunningham, G. F., and Hibler III, W. D.: Sub-daily sea ice motion and deformation from RADARSAT observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 2218, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018723>, 2003.
- Le Clainche, Y., Vézina, A., Levasseur, M., Cropp, R. A., Gunson, J. R., Vallina, S. M., Vogt, M., Lancelot, C., Allen, J. I., Archer, S. D., Bopp, L., Deal, C., Elliott, S., Jin, M., Malin, J., Schoemann, V., Simó, R., Six, K. D., and Stefels, J.: A first appraisal of prognostic ocean DMS models and prospects for their use in climate models, *Global biogeochemical cycles*, 24, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003721>, 2010.
- Lenn, Y.-D., Rippeth, T. P., Old, C. P., Bacon, S., Polyakov, I., Ivanov, V., and Holleemann, J. A.: Intermittent intense turbulent mixing under ice in the Arctic Halocline of the Laptev Self Sea, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 43, 531–547, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010jpo4425.1>, 2011.
- Li, Q., Bruggeman, J., Burchard, H., Klingbeil, K., Umlauf, L., and Bolding, K.: Integrating CVMix into GOTM (v6.0): a consistent framework for testing, comparing, and applying ocean mixing schemes, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 14, 4261–4282, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4261-2021>, 2021.
- Lincoln, B. J., Rippeth, T. P., Lenn, Y.-D., Timmermans, M. L., Williams, W. J., and Bacon, S.: Wind-driven mixing at intermediate depths in an ice-free Arctic Ocean, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 9749–9756, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070454>, 2016.
- Lundberg, M. and Polyakov, I. V.: Climate change drives evolution of thermohaline staircases in the Arctic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 130, e2024JC021538, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024JC021538>, 2025.
- McPhee, M. G.: Air-Ice-Ocean Interaction: Turbulent Ocean Boundary Layer Exchange Processes, Springer, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78335-2>, 2008.
- McPhee, M. G.: Intensification of geostrophic currents in the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean, *J. Climate*, 26, 3130–3138, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00289.1>, 2013.
- More, D. and Polyakov, I. V.: Documenting the 2015–2017 freshening of the eastern Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean and evaluating its drivers and consequences, *EGU sphere*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2025-5592>, 2025.
- Padman, L.: Small-scale physical processes in the Arctic Ocean, in: *Arctic Oceanography: Marginal Ice Zones and Continental Shelves*, edited by: Smith, W. O. and Grebmeier, J., Coastal and Estuarine Studies (49), AGU, Washington, D.C., <https://doi.org/10.2307/1552121>, 1995.
- Padman, L. and Dillon, T. M.: Turbulent mixing near the Yermak Plateau during the Coordinated Eastern Arctic Experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 4769–4782, 1991.
- Peralta-Ferriz, C. and Woodgate, R.: Seasonal and interannual variability of pan-Arctic surface mixed layer properties from 1979 to 2012 from hydrographic data, *Progress in Oceanography*, 134, 19–53, 2015.
- Plueddemann, A. J.: Internal wave observations from the Arctic environmental drifting buoy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 12619–12638, <https://doi.org/10.1029/92JC01098>, 1992.
- Pnyushkov, A. V., Polyakov, I. V., Rember, R., Ivanov, V. V., Alkire, M. B., Ashik, I. M., Baumann, T. M., Alekseev, G. V., and Sundfjord, A.: Heat, salt, and volume transports in the eastern Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean from 2 years of mooring observations, *Ocean Sci.*, 14, 1349–1371, <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-1349-2018>, 2018.
- Pnyushkov, A. V., Polyakov, I. V., Alekseev, G. V., Ashik, I. M., Baumann, T. M., Carmack, E. C., Ivanov, V. V., and Rember, R.: A Steady Regime of Volume and Heat Transports in the Eastern Arctic Ocean in the Early 21st Century, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 8, 705608, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.705608>, 2021.
- Polyakov, I. V., Pnyushkov, A. V., Alkire, M., Ashik, I. M., Baumann, T., Carmack, E., Goszczko, I., Ivanov, T., Kanzow, V., Krishfield, R., Kwok, R., Sundfjord, A., Morison, J., Rember, R., and Yulin, A.: Greater role for Atlantic inflows on sea-ice loss in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean, *Science*, 356, 285–291, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8204>, 2017.
- Polyakov, I. V., Padman, L., Lenn, Y.-D., Pnyushkov, A. V., Rember, R., and Ivanov, V. V.: Eastern Arctic Ocean diapycnal heat fluxes through large double-diffusive steps, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 49, 227–246, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-18-0080.1>, 2019.
- Polyakov, I. V., Rippeth, T. P., Fer, I., Baumann, T. M., Carmack, E. C., Ivanov, V. V., Janout, M., Padman, L., Pnyushkov, A. V., and Rember, R.: Intensification of Near-Surface Currents and Shear in the Eastern Arctic Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, e2020GL089469, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089469>, 2020a.
- Polyakov, I. V., Rippeth, T. P., Fer, I., Alkire, M. B., Baumann, T. M., Carmack, E. C., Ingvaldsen, R., Ivanov, V. V., Janout, M., Lind, S., Padman, L., Pnyushkov, A. V., and Rember, R.: Weakening of cold halocline layer exposes sea ice to oceanic heat in the eastern Arctic Ocean, *J. Climate*, 33, 8107–8123, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0976.1>, 2020b.
- Polyakov, I. V., Ingvaldsen, R. B., Pnyushkov, A. V., Bhatt, U. S., Francic, F. A., Janout, M., Kwok, R., and Skagseth, Ø.: Fluctuating Atlantic inflows modulate Arctic Atlantification, *Science*, 381, 972–979, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh5158>, 2023.
- Polyakov, I. V., Pnyushkov, A. V., Charette, M., Cho, K.-H., Jung, J., Kipp, L., Muilwijk, M., Whitmore, L., Yang, E. J., and Yoo, J.: Atlantification advances into the Amerasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean, *Sci. Advances*, 11, eadq7580, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adq7580>, 2025a.
- Proshutinsky, A., Krishfield, R., Toole, J. M., Timmermans, M.-L., Williams, W., Zimmermann, S., Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Armitage, T. W. K., Dukhovskoy, D., Golubeva, E., Manucharyan, G. E., Platov, G., Watanabe, E., Kikuchi, T., Nishino, S., Itoh, M., Kang, S.-H., Cho, K.-H., Tateyama, K., and Zhao, J.: Analysis of the Beaufort Gyre Freshwater Content in 2003–2018, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 124, 9658–9689, 2019.
- Rainville, L. and Woodgate, R. A.: Observations of internal wave generation in the seasonally ice-free Arctic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L23604, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041291>, 2009.
- Stroeve, J. and Notz, D.: Changing state of Arctic sea ice across all seasons, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 13, 103001, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aade56>, 2018.
- Thurnherr, A. M., Goszczko, I., and Bahr, F.: Improving LADCP Velocity with External Heading, Pitch,

- and Roll, J. *Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 3, 1713–1721, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-16-0258.1>, 2017.
- Timmermans, M.-L. and Marshall, J.: Understanding the Arctic Ocean circulation: A review of ocean dynamics in a changing climate, *J. Geophys. Res.: Ocean*, 125, 1–35, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014378>, 2020.
- Timmermans, M.-L. and Toole, J. M.: The Arctic Ocean's Beaufort Gyre, *Annual Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 15, 223–248, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-012034>, 2023.
- Umlauf, L. and Burchard, H.: Second-order turbulence closure models for geophysical boundary layers. A review of recent work, *Continental Shelf Research*, 25, 795–827, 2005.
- Winton, M.: A reformulated three-layer sea ice model, *Journal of atmospheric and oceanic technology*, 17, 525–531, 2000.
- Yu, C., Song, J., Li, S., and Li, S.: On an improved second-moment closure model for Langmuir turbulence conditions and its application, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 127, e2021JC018217, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC018217>, 2022.