

## Characterization of physical properties of a coastal upwelling filament with evidence of enhanced submesoscale activity and transition from balanced to unbalanced motions in the Benguela upwelling region

## Ryan P. North<sup>,★</sup>, Julia Dräger-Dietel<sup>,★</sup>, and Alexa Griesel<sup>,★</sup>

Institute of Oceanography, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Correspondence:** Julia Dräger-Dietel (julia.draeger-dietel@uni-hamburg.de) and Alexa Griesel (alexa.griesel@uni-hamburg.de)

Received: 18 May 2023 – Discussion started: 1 June 2023 Revised: 31 October 2023 – Accepted: 14 November 2023 – Published: 23 January 2024

Abstract. We combine high-resolution in situ data (acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP), Scanfish, and surface drifters) and remote sensing to investigate the physical characteristics of a major filament observed in the Benguela upwelling region. The 30-50 km wide and about 400 km long filament persisted for at least 40 d. Mixed-layer depths were less than 40 m in the filament and over 60 m outside of it. Observations of the Rossby number Ro from the various platforms provide the spatial distribution of Ro for different resolutions. Remote sensing focuses on geostrophic motions of the region related to the mesoscale eddies that drive the filament formation and thereby reveals |Ro| < 0.1. Ship-based measurements in the surface mixed layer reveal 0.5 < |Ro| < 1, indicating the presence of unbalanced, ageostrophic motions. Time series of Ro from triplets of surface drifters trapped within the filament confirm these relatively large Ro values and show a high variability along the filament. A scale-dependent analysis of Ro, which relies on the second-order velocity structure function, was applied to the latter drifter group and to another drifter group released in the upwelling zone. The two releases explored the area nearly distinctly and simultaneously and reveal that at small scales (< 15 km) Ro values are twice as large in the filament in comparison to its environment with Ro > 1 for scales smaller than  $\sim 500$  m. This suggests that filaments are hotspots of ageostrophic dynamics, pointing to the presence of a forward energy cascade. The different dynamics indicated by our Ro analysis are confirmed by horizontal kinetic energy wavenumber spectra, which exhibit a power law  $k^{-\alpha}$ with  $\alpha \sim 5/3$  for wavelengths  $2\pi/k$  smaller than a transition scale of 15 km, supporting significant submesoscale energy at scales smaller than the first baroclinic Rossby radius  $(Ro_1 \sim 30 \text{ km})$ . The detected transition scale is smaller than those found in regions with less mesoscale eddy energy, consistent with previous studies. We found evidence for the processes which drive the energy transfer to turbulent scales. Positive Rossby numbers  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  associated with cyclonic motion inhibit the occurrence of positive Ertel potential vorticity (EPV) and stabilize the water column. However, where the baroclinic component of EPV dominates, submesoscale instability analysis suggests that mostly gravitational instabilities occur and that symmetric instabilities may be important at the filament edges.

### 1 Introduction

The Benguela upwelling region is located off the coast of southwest Africa and is one of four major eastern boundary upwelling systems. Of these systems, the Benguela system exhibits some of the strongest upwelling cells and at the same time, in climate models, some of the largest errors in sea surface temperature (SST) (see Fig. 1 of Wang et al., 2015). The Benguela system consists of a northwardflowing Benguela coastal current driving upwelling cells that vary in strength and persistence with latitude. One major upwelling cell occurs off Lüderitz (Namibia) and divides the Benguela upwelling system into a northern and southern section, where the latter is more affected by Agulhas rings that have become trapped in the Benguela current. The offshore edge of the system is defined by a coastal upwelling front, which is interrupted by filaments - finger-like structures that jut out from the boundary current and are associated with strong offshore jets that carry cold water offshore (Hösen et al., 2016). These mesoscale filaments play a key role in the exchanges of water masses between the frontal region of upwelling cells and the wider ocean basin. They are of great importance due to the intense biological productivity nearshore and their fertilizing role of the adjacent nutrientdeficient ocean (Álvarez-Salgado, 2007). Previous studies of filaments from the Benguela upwelling region focused on overall statistics characterization, namely seasonal variability, filament size, occurrence and lifetime statistics, or circulation and offshore transport (e.g., Hösen et al., 2016; Kostianoy and Zatsepin, 1996; Muller et al., 2013; Shillington et al., 1992). Insight into a single filament, namely the characterization of the structure and cross-shore transport, could be gained by the calculation of three-dimensional finite-size Lyapunov exponents by means of a realistic regional simulation of the western Iberian shelf (Bettencourt et al., 2017). While Barton et al. (2001) found that the mixing within the core seems to be restricted to the upper layers, most recently, Peng et al. (2020, 2021) showed that the fronts along the edge of filaments are regions susceptible to instabilities that lead to turbulence and energy dissipation. It would be desirable not only to improve our understanding about the characterization of the filaments' physical characteristics and anomalies but also to compare them with the embedding environment.

In this paper, we focus on a major filament that was observed during a cruise in November/December 2016 with a particular emphasis on the characterization of the meso- to submesoscale transition linked to the filament in comparison to its environment. Through a combination of in situ and remote sensing measurements, we provide a detailed description of the filament characteristics and its evolution and lifetime, including the spatial distribution of geostrophic and ageostrophic motions from different platforms. The latter is mainly determined through variations in the Rossby number (Ro) by identifying regions with relatively high values, suggesting the dominance of ageostrophic motions. The Rossby number describes the relative importance of inertial to Coriolis force and is defined as U/fL, where U is velocity, f is the coriolis frequency, and L is a length scale. It can be estimated from the vertical relative vorticity  $\zeta$  by  $Ro = \zeta f^{-1}$  and serves as a measure of the ratio of balanced and unbalanced motions. Ro is small ( $\mathcal{O}(< 0.1)$ ) for quasigeostrophic motions that feature an inverse energy cascade and hence point to kinetic energy depletion at small scales. Higher *Ro* values (e.g., Ro > 0.5) indicate that unbalanced, ageostrophic motions are present and may drive a forward energy cascade, whose strength at a certain scale seems to depend on the presence of ageostrophic dynamics (e.g., Molemaker et al., 2010; Brüggemann and Eden, 2015). We investigate here whether and where the filament is associated with significant submesoscale motions, defined here as motions occurring on a scale of  $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ km})$  – i.e., less than the first baroclinic Rossby radius of deformation - and whether they are associated with small or large Rossby numbers. Through transects, drifters, and remote sensing, we obtain distributions of Ro in the horizontal and vertical and at different spatial resolutions and temporal variations. Where high Ro values are found, we aim to identify likely processes (instabilities) linked with a forward energy cascade by using the Ertel potential vorticity (EPV) and Richardson number (Ri). The combination of Lagrangian-Eulerian methods and a variety of platforms provides both a regional and local perspective with different resolutions. Namely, remote sensing and full cruise data provide a regional perspective, while high-resolution data across (acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) and Scanfish) and along (drifter) the filaments provide the local perspective.

In addition to Ro, ADCP and remote sensing data provide horizontal kinetic energy (KE) wavenumber spectra, whose slope  $\alpha$  depends on the underlying dynamics. Quasigeostrophic (QG) turbulence predicts a power law  $k^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = 3$  for scales smaller than the forcing scale (generally associated with the wavelength of the baroclinically most unstable mode). In the presence of significant submesoscale energy, the spectra are expected to flatten for smaller scales. Lindborg (2005) explains the flatter spectral slope of  $k^{-5/3}$ by a forward cascade due to nonlinearly interacting gravity waves. Chereskin et al. (2019) find for the California upwelling region that KE is dominated by balanced motions for horizontal scales greater than 70 km and that at scales of 40-10 km unbalanced motions contribute as much as balanced ones. The transition in spectral slope from shallow to steeper slopes is missing for regions lacking an eddy field as energetic as in the western boundary currents. Instead, the scale at which the flow changes from balanced to unbalanced and from a reverse to forward energy cascade may be identified by a flattening of the KE spectral slope at submesoscales (e.g., Callies and Ferrari, 2013; Rocha et al., 2016; Chereskin et al., 2019; Sukhatme et al., 2020). This transition may also be identified by a change in the relative contribution of rotational and divergent velocity components (Chereskin et al., 2019), determined from across- and along-track velocities through the Helmholtz decomposition method of Bühler et al. (2014). The transition marks a shift to the dominance of ageostrophic over balanced geostrophic motions and occurs at smaller and smaller scales as the region's mesoscale energy increases (Chereskin et al., 2019). Here, we consider whether the filaments in the Benguela upwelling region, which are influenced by the passage of strong Agulhas eddies, exhibit spectral characteristics that differ from the filaments in the California upwelling region with its lower eddy kinetic energy. In addition, the intention is to investigate whether the filament exhibits hotspots of unbalanced flow and how these hotspots vary over space (and to some extent time) along or across the filament. We then consider whether filaments are pathways for transferring energy from mesoscale to submesoscale and turbulent scales, and if so, at which scales? What is the spatial distribution of/variability in this forward energy cascade in the filament? Can we identify/quantify the instabilities that are forming?

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 describes the combination of methods (Lagrangian-Eulerian) and platforms: remote sensing and full cruise data provide a largescale regional perspective, while high-resolution data across (Scanfish and ADCP) and along (drifters) the filament provide a more local perspective. Section 3 describes the results and starts with the discussion of scale-dependent kinetic energy distribution across the larger filament and upwelling area to identify possible spectral slopes and transition scales (Sect. 3.1). Rossby number distributions from sea surface height (SSH) and ADCP transects, as well as the along-filament distribution of Rossby numbers from drifters, will be discussed in Sect. 3.2 and 3.3. Section 3.4 and 3.5 then focus on the two Scanfish sections that crossed the filament and describe their vertical tracer and velocity structure to decipher the dynamical properties of the filament and to understand the contributions of the filament to the kinetic energy and *Ro* distributions analyzed before. The results section concludes with an analysis of submesoscale instabilities derived from the Scanfish sections to understand whether those can provide evidence for processes toward energy dissipation. Section 4 provides the discussion and conclusions. Further information is provided in the Appendix which describes the filament's formation, structure, and evolution offshore.

#### 2 Data and methods

The R/V Meteor cruise took place between 15 November and 11 December 2016, during the southern hemispheric spring/summer, when the formation of filaments in the region west of Lüderitz, Namibia (28 to 24.5° S), is at a maximum (Hösen et al., 2016). The in situ observations targeted the front along the western boundary of the upwelling zone and a filament that was identified at the start of the cruise at a latitude of approximately 26.5° S (Fig. 1). The 30-50 km wide and about 400 km long filament persisted for at least 40 d. During the study period, mesoscale eddies with Rossby numbers (Ro: 0.2) that exceeded the regional average were present in the study area along the western coast of South Africa and Namibia. A time series of sea level anomaly (SLA) images (not shown) showed the eddies advecting northwards from the southern tip of the African continent, suggesting they originated in the Agulhas current. Over the course of the study, winds were consistently northwards and ranged from less than  $5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  to more than  $15 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  but generally hovered around  $10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The winds drove offshore transport of coastal surface waters through Ekman transport, resulting in the upwelling of colder deep water, visible in SST images of the study region (Fig. 1). The upwelling produced a temperature difference of as much as 5 °C between coastal and offshore waters. The edge of the upwelling region was defined by an  $\mathcal{O}(10)$  km wide front with horizontal gradients of at least  $0.03 \text{ °C km}^{-1}$ . The upwelling region extended from 50 to 200 km offshore and remained for the full study period despite a gradual increase in SST in the ambient offshore water and the filament (see Appendix for further information on filament development over time).

This study focuses on measurements taken within and around the filament with a ship-mounted ADCP, a Scanfish (description below) towed by the ship, and autonomous drogued drifters. The ship crossed the filament nine times at four different locations. The first two crossings were completed while towing the Scanfish and are a special focus of this study. The four longest transects were used to derive the kinetic energy spectra. The first drifters were deployed after the third filament crossing along the southern boundary of the filament. The ship transects covered approximately 150 km of the filament length and occurred over a period of 15 d. They therefore capture both the spatial and temporal variability in the filament.

#### 2.1 Ship-based measurements

The R/V *Meteor* was equipped with a 75 kHz Ocean Surveyor ADCP, which, after processing, provided current velocity measurements at a vertical resolution of 8 m and a horizontal resolution of 1 km (300 s ensemble average bins). The Common Ocean Data Access System (CODAS) processing tools were used to clean, correct (e.g., for ship speed and heading and beam angle), and ensemble average the data. Before determining horizontal differentiation of zonal and meridional velocities (e.g., du/dx), the ship track was rotated to match the velocity components.

To obtain density information at a similar vertical and horizontal resolution to the ADCP, a Scanfish Mark II from EIVA a/s, Denmark, was deployed. The Scanfish is a towed, undulating platform that can be controlled from the ship. The Scanfish was equipped with conductivity, temperature, and pressure sensors, among others. The Scanfish was set to undulate to a maximum depth of 150 m such that the maximum horizontal distance between two measurement points at a given depth was about 2 km. The data were linearly interpolated to uniform vertical and horizontal spacing. Because of the large difference between the vertical and horizontal spacing, the interpolation was done in two steps: first along the instruments flight path and then horizontally to create uniform gridded data (North et al., 2016). A horizontal spacing of 1 km and a vertical spacing of 4 m were chosen to match the ADCP data while preserving the higher vertical resolu-



**Figure 1.** The study region off the coast of Namibia, showing sea surface temperature (SST) as color contours (at date 18 November 2016), the location of the nearshore and offshore Scanfish transects, and the velocities (20 m below the surface) measured along these transects (yellow vectors). The ship track from the full cruise is shown as a thin gray line. The four thick black lines show the transects used to determine the kinetic energy spectra on (1) 18 November, (2) 27 November, (3) 30 November, and (4) 8/9 December 2016. The blue lines represent the trajectories of the two separate drifter deployments from their time of deployment on 21 November 2016 (offshore release) and 2 December (onshore release) until 6 December 2016 (23 drifters), which are the focus of this paper, and two drifter groups (12 drifters) deployed 10 d and 1 week later, respectively, at the same locations.

tion of the Scanfish. The ADCP data were therefore linearly interpolated to a vertical spacing of 4 m when used in conjunction with the Scanfish data.

The two Scanfish transects that crossed the filament occurred in succession over a period of 44 h, with each crossing taking 10–13 h. The transects are approximately 100 km apart, with the first crossing occurring at a narrow section with the strongest horizontal SST gradients (offshore thick yellow line in Fig. 1) and the second crossing occurring further inshore where the filament was at its widest (onshore thick yellow line in Fig. 1). The first transect will be referred to as the offshore Scanfish transect and the second as the nearshore Scanfish transect.

All conductivity and temperature measurements were converted to absolute salinity ( $S_A$ ) and conservative temperature ( $\Theta$ ) (IOC et al., 2010), from which potential density ( $\rho_{\theta}$ ) was calculated. For simplicity, these three variables will simply be referred to as salinity, temperature, and density in the text. The analysis mainly focuses on the surface mixed layer (SML), which was defined as sitting above the isopycnal that exceeded the surface density by 0.1 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. The bottom of the SML generally aligns with a maximum in the depth-dependent buoyancy frequency (N(z)), where  $N(z) = \sqrt{(-g\rho^{-1})(\partial\rho_{\theta}\partial z^{-1})}$ , g is the acceleration due to gravity,  $\rho_{\theta}$  is a reference density (1000 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), and z is the depth below the surface.

The wavenumber spectral analysis will focus on kinetic energy (KE =  $0.5(u^2 + v^2)$ ) derived from zonal (u) and meridional (v) velocity components measured between 25 and 50 m below the surface (approximate depth of the SML) along the four longest transects (thick black lines in Fig. 1). These transects provide the widest coverage of wavenumbers - due to their lengths - and regions or features of interest due to their spatial coverage. The first transect (227 km long), which includes the first Scanfish section that crossed the filament (offshore thick yellow line in Fig. 1), runs through the southeastern edge of a mesoscale eddy (located north of the filament). The second transect (160 km long) followed the northern edge of the filament, from the upwelling region to 11° E. The third transect (160 km long) crossed the northwestern side of the mesoscale eddy mentioned above and thereby crossed the starting point of the first transect. The fourth transect (273 km long) was obtained as the ship left the study area, on route to Capetown, South Africa, and generally passes through the upwelling region or its western edge.

#### Method: kriging the ADCP data

The u and v velocity components measured nearest to the surface by the ADCP were spatially interpolated across the study area – i.e., the region covered by the ship's track. The resulting interpolated and gridded velocities could then be used to estimate the spatial variability in *Ro*. As veloc-

ity measurements were unevenly distributed across the study area, interpolation was performed using two-dimensional ordinary kriging, also referred to as Gaussian process regression. Kriging first determines the spatial autocorrelation between measurement points. A model is then fit to the autocorrelation data to determine a relationship between distance and direction between points. The resulting modeled fit can then be used when filling in gaps not covered by the ship's measurements. This means that the velocity at a point where the ship did not pass is determined from nearby velocity measurements. However, instead of being influenced by just the closest measurements (as in linear interpolation), depending on the model that is chosen, any number of velocity measurements can influence the estimated velocity. For example, when using the spherical model, there is a gradual decline in the influence (i.e., in autocorrelation) of neighboring velocity measurements with distance before a cutoff distance is reached and the influence drops to zero. Kriging was performed using the Python toolkit PyKrige (https://geostat-framework.readthedocs.io/projects/ pykrige/en/stable/, last access: 11 May 2021), and the optimal data setup, model, and fit were determined by tuning the parameters. In particular, the tuning determined that the best fit was obtained with a spherical variogram model and the ADCP dataset of the full cruise after removing measurements taken when the ship's speed was below  $2.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (i.e., when slowed or stopped to take additional measurements). For ease of processing, the ADCP data were reduced to 30 min intervals, which did not affect the accuracy of the result.

## 2.2 Drifters

The two drifter releases that are used in this paper were deployed during the early stage of the filament in two separate regions. In the following we will refer to the two releases as the southern and northern releases, where the southern release was deployed near 26°40' S, 12°3' E and the northern release near 26°3' S, 12°58' E (Fig. 1). The northern release was deployed within the upwelling region, and the southern release was deployed at the southern edges of the filament. Each release consisted of four clusters of three satellite-tracked surface drifters. Each cluster formed a triangle, whose sides were approximately 200 m long. The clusters were deployed 5 km apart, resulting in a time delay of 1 h between deployments. For details of the drifter deployment strategy see Dräger-Dietel et al. (2018), which focused on the temporal evolution of the relative dispersion of drifter pairs and performed a finite-size Lyapunov analysis in the region.

The drifters were of type SVP-I-XDGS from MetOcean and included a satellite transmitter (Iridium) mounted inside a 16 in (40.64 cm) surface buoy, a surface temperature sensor, and a drogue centered in the mixed layer at a depth of 15 m to estimate ocean currents at this depth level. According to the manufacturer's specifications, the GPS position errors are smaller than 2.2 m with a 50 % confidence level and smaller than 5.5 m with a 98 % confidence level (GPS receiver Jupiter 32, Navman, UK). For normally distributed errors, this implies a standard deviation of 1.86 m. Data transmission was set to 30 min intervals from the date of deployment (2 December 2016) until 6 April 2017. After this date, the operation of the devices was transferred to the Global Drifter Program, setting the temporal resolution to 6 h.

We used the trajectories of the drifter triplets to investigate the Lagrangian evolution of the Rossby number (from relative vorticity), where we applied two methods described in detail in Molinari and Kirwan (1975) to test the robustness of the results. The first method is based on a least squares fit of the observed drifter velocities to estimate horizontal velocity gradients ("the linear least squares method"). The second method traces back to a method of Saucier (1955) for computing atmospheric kinematic properties and was applied to ocean drifter data first in Molinari and Kirwan (1975). This method makes use of the fact that the horizontal divergence can be expressed as the fractional time rate of changes in the horizontal area A of a parcel, here a triad of drifters. According to Saucier (1955) vorticity can be evaluated by a 90° clockwise rotation of the velocity vectors of the three drifters ("the triangle method"). Similar to Essink et al. (2022) we found the triangle method to be more robust (i.e., less sensitive when the aspect ratio of the triangles becomes too large) than the linear least squares method, and we only show the results using this method here.

While the drifters of the southern release generally remain trapped in the filament, drifters from the northern release followed many different currents across a larger region. The unique situation, in which the two releases explored nearly distinct but nearby areas, enables us to explore the filament and its environment quasi-separately and simultaneously. Namely the two releases could be used to perform a scale-dependent analysis of the Rossby number, which reflects the amount of submesoscale activity within and outside the filament. The method relies on the second-order velocity structure function and thereby treats the drifter velocities as scattered (Eulerian) measurements (Balwada et al., 2016; Poje et al., 2017), as described in more detail in Sect. 3.3.

#### 2.3 Remote sensing

Daily MODIS-Aqua Level-3 mapped sea surface temperature (SST) data (ca. 4 km resolution) covering the full cruise period were obtained through the Ocean Biology Processing Group's website Ocean Color Web (OBPG, 2018). Concurrent SSH variables (0.25° resolution) derived from SSALTO/DUACS Delayed-Time Level-4 were obtained through the Copernicus Climate Data Store (https: //cds.climate.copernicus.eu/, last access: 25 January 2019). These variables include sea level anomaly (SLA) – the sea surface height above mean sea surface (referenced to 1993– 2012) – and absolute geostrophic velocity.

## 3 Results

## 3.1 Kinetic energy spectra

To understand the variability in kinetic energy across the different scales observed over the upwelling region and the filament area, we first look at the wavenumber spectra. Kinetic energy from the ADCP measurements in the SML were analyzed to try and identify the scale of a possible transition from balanced to unbalanced flow for the study region. To reduce uncertainty and to provide a more regional perspective, the KE spectral analysis uses the four longest transects obtained during the cruise (thick black lines in Fig. 1). The spectral analysis followed the methods of Rocha et al. (2016) and Chereskin et al. (2019) and used the Python package xrft (https://github.com/xgcm/xrft, last access: 11 May 2021). The spectral estimates were determined after decomposing the data into along- and across-track components and multiplying by a Hanning window. Spectra were determined at four depth levels (8 m bins) spanning 25 to 50 m and then averaged together. The selected depth range corresponds to the second-shallowest ADCP bin down to the approximate bottom of the SML. Once averaged together, 95 % confidence limits were determined before the spectra were smoothed by binning along the wavenumber axis. Finally, the depthaveraged spectra from the four transects were also averaged together to increase confidence in identifying and interpreting any transitions in the spectral slope.

The long transects that crossed one of the mesoscale eddies driving the filament's westward velocity had the highest energy and steepest slopes ( $\leq -3$ ) at large scales (> 30 km) and matching -2 slopes for scales between 30 and 5 km. The other two long transects both had nearly uniform slopes across all scales, although the transect that followed the filament had higher energy and a steeper slope (-2) than the transect that passed through the upwelling region (not shown). When the four spectra are averaged together (thick black line in Fig. 2a), they can be divided into three regimes: (1) a shallow slope from the largest wavelengths to just below 100 km reflecting the finite size of the transects (the longest transect was 270 km long; see Sect. 2.1), (2) the steepest slope (-2.7) down to a wavelength just below 15 km, and (3) a relatively shallow slope (-1.66) down to the smallest available wavelengths set by the resolution of the ADCP data (1 km).

The change in slope is emphasized when the average KE spectra is decomposed into its components (Fig. 2b). At large wavelengths the contribution from the across-track component is several orders of magnitude above the along-track contribution (dark and light blue lines in Fig. 2b). The contributions of the two components gradually converge with decreasing wavelength. At a wavelength of 15 km, the energy contained in the along-track component is comparable to that of the across-track one. The transition at 15 km is also visible in the change in the relative contribution of rotational

and divergent velocity components (gray and light red lines in Fig. 2b). At larger wavelengths, the rotational component is more than an order of magnitude larger, but at wavelengths below 15 km, the divergent component contains more energy. The reversal at 15 km indicates that the larger scales are dominated by balanced flow (geostrophic), and unbalanced flow (ageostrophic) dominates at scales below 15 km. The change in slope and change in contribution to the spectra could indicate that a reverse energy cascade dominates at scales above 15 km and a forward energy cascade at smaller scales below this threshold.

# 3.2 Regional near-surface variability in Rossby number

Rossby numbers *Ro* derived from the SLA data provide an overview of the spatial distribution of *Ro* across the study area (Fig. 3). *Ro* was generally small (|Ro| < 0.1) in the upwelling zone and along most of its front. The highest *Ro* values derived from SLA were linked with mesoscale eddies, such as the cyclonic (anticyclonic) eddy to the north (south) of the filament (marked by "A" ("B") in Fig. A1). Higher *Ro* values were also found south of the filament along the offshore edge of the upwelling zone. The filament itself generally showed low *Ro* (|Ro| < 0.1) with negative values on its southern side and positive values on its northern side. Aside from the movement of the eddies and the filament, the SLA data suggest that the large-scale spatial variability in *Ro* remained constant over the course of the study period (Fig. 3a– c).

Mapped values of *Ro* derived from ADCP current speeds (Fig. 3d) were generally greater in magnitude than the corresponding SLA values but had a similar spatial distribution to SLA-derived Ro despite the fact that the ADCP data provides a time-averaged map of Ro. This is not unexpected, given that the SLA-derived snapshots of Ro changed very little with time. However, Ro from the ADCP |Ro| is up to 0.4 in the eddies and along the front of the upwelling zone and exceeds 0.5 along the filament. Where the ship crossed the filament, the variability in Ro across the filament was better resolved by the ADCP data and, similar to the SLA data, shows positive values to the north and negative values to the south. This indicates a dominance of cyclonic motion on the northern side and anticyclonic on the southern side, which is consistent with velocity gradients seen in the transects (e.g., see offshore Scanfish transect in Fig. 1, yellow arrows). The variability in *Ro* along the filament was highly variable, which may be partly due to the lack of ADCP data between transects that crossed the filament. The higher Ro associated with the filament indicates that they may be dominated by ageostrophic motions and a forward energy cascade. With frontal scales on the order of 15 km or smaller, this corresponds with the results of the spectral analysis.

Drifter triplets provide another source of *Ro* values. The drifters offer the possibility of inferring velocity curl on



**Figure 2.** (a) Depth-averaged (25 to 50 m) kinetic energy (KE) spectra from the ship-mounted acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) as a mean for the four long transects (solid black lines in Fig. 1). (b) The mean KE spectra in (a) decomposed into its across- and along-track components and rotational and divergent components (Helmholtz decomposition). All plots include reference slopes of -5/3, -2, and -3 (dashed gray lines) and 95 % confidence intervals (shading). The dashed black lines and text show slopes fitted to the mean spectrum in (a) and the Psi component in (b).

smaller scales than the ship ADCP is able to resolve since the drifters come as close as a  $\sim 100$  m to each other. *Ro* values were determined using the triangle method inferred from drifter triplets deployed in both the southern and northern releases that appear in the area of interest over a period of 45 d. The resulting drifter-derived |Ro| values (Fig. 3e) are variable but show values that exceed 0.5. Based on the comparatively low *Ro* values of the few drifter triplets that do escape the filament or frontal regions, the drifter-derived values also indicate that the upwelling fronts and the filament are regions of elevated *Ro* values.

## 3.3 Scale-dependent Rossby number

By comparing the southern (within the filament) and northern drifter releases (within the upwelling region) using a scaledependent analysis of *Ro*, we can gain information on the regional sub-surface variability in *Ro*. This alternative view of the Rossby number relies on the second-order structure function,  $S_2 = \langle \Delta w_{\ell}^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta w_{\ell}^2 \rangle$ , where  $\Delta w_{\ell}$  and  $\Delta w_t$  are the longitudinal and transverse velocity differences between an arbitrary pair of drifters separated by the distance *r*, and  $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the average over a large number of drifter pairs conditioned on binned separation distances. More explicitly for each time step we calculated the longitudinal and transverse velocity increments:

$$\Delta w_{\ell(i,j)} = (\boldsymbol{w}_i - \boldsymbol{w}_j) \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{(i,j)}}{\|\boldsymbol{r}_{(i,j)}\|},$$
  
$$\Delta w_{t(i,j)} = (\boldsymbol{w}_i - \boldsymbol{w}_j) \times \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{(i,j)}}{\|\boldsymbol{r}_{(i,j)}\|},$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{w}_i = (U_i, V_i)$  denotes the velocity of the *i*th drifter and  $\mathbf{r}_{(i,j)} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$  the relative separation vector of drifter pair (i, j) computed from the drifter position vectors  $\mathbf{r}_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ . Note that this approach treats the discrete velocities of a group of N drifters as scattered-point Eulerian measurements, thereby ignoring potential biases with respect to convergent flow structures for small scales, as described in Pearson et al. (2019). Following Balwada et al. (2016), we here use the second-order structure function  $S_2$ to compute a scale-dependent version of the Rossby number:  $|Ro| = \sqrt{S_2}/(fr)$ .

The scale-dependent analysis was applied to the two drifter releases for over 130 d. Figure 4 shows that for small separation distances ( $\mathcal{O}(<500 \text{ m})$ ), |Ro| is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  for the southern release – twice that of the northern release – pointing to the presence of ageostrophic submesoscale dynamics. Because the contributions to this range of small separation distances are mainly from the initial period immediately after the drifter deployments in the filaments, this supports the idea that filaments might be hotspots of forward energy cascade. At larger separation distances, mainly corresponding to periods after the drifters left the filament, the differences between the *Ro* curves in Fig. 4 diminish and yield  $|Ro| \ll 1$ , consistent with the mesoscale dynamics of the open ocean.

## **3.4** Density and velocity structure of the filament from Scanfish sections

To understand where the larger Rossby numbers associated with the filament stem from and how filament properties are characterized for onshore and further offshore conditions, we now look in more detail at the velocity and density struc-



**Figure 3.** (**a**–**e**) Maps of Rossby number (*Ro*) derived from (**a**–**c**) sea level anomaly (SLA) and (**d**) the ship's ADCP at a depth of 17 m. The SLA results cover three different dates (see title), and the ADCP-based results are an average over the full expedition. The image dates were selected based on the quality of the SST and to cover as much of the study period as possible. (**e**) Rossby number as derived from 45 d of drifter trajectories with the triangle method with a 24 point Hanning filter using the triplets deployed in both the southern and northern deployments that traveled into the study region shown at different times. Overlaying all images are contours of sea surface temperature (16.5, 17, 18 °C), the offshore and nearshore Scanfish transects (yellow lines), and in (**a**–**c**) SLA-derived geostrophic velocity estimates (black arrows).

ture of the two Scanfish sections. The two Scanfish sections show the filament was on the order of 2 °C colder,  $0.3 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  fresher, and  $0.3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  denser than the ambient water (Fig. 5a and b). The SML is less than 40 m deep within the filament and over 60 m deep outside of the filament. At the bottom of the SML is a strongly stratified pycnocline, where  $N^2$  is  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \text{ s}^{-2}$  (Fig. 5e and f). The pycnocline is thinner on the southern side of the filament (< 10 m) than the northern side (> 20 m) and is thinnest and weakest within the filament. When crossing the filament, the depth of the pycnocline, is weakly stratified to depths below 100 m.

South of the filament, compensating lateral gradients in temperature and salinity (Fig. 5a, b and c, d, respectively) resulted in weak lateral buoyancy gradients. The southern front bounding the filament is on the order of 10 km wide, with sloping isopycnals – shallowest in the nearshore filament. The maximum lateral buoyancy gradients are found on the filament side of the front in the offshore transect and towards the outside of the front in the nearshore transect (shading in Fig. 5a and b). A stronger lateral buoyancy gradient (>  $10^{-7}$  s<sup>-2</sup>) was present on the north side of the filament in both transects, where isopycnals were nearly vertical. In the SML, salinity measurements show the fresher but denser filament water being pushed southward across the southern edge of the filament (Fig. 5c), although this is clearer for Transect 1 (Fig. 5c) than for Transect 2 (Fig. 5d).

The filament extends from approximately 26.6 to  $26.72^{\circ}$  S (Fig. 5a) at the offshore Scanfish transect, based on lateral buoyancy and temperature gradient maxima. The limits are



**Figure 4.** Scale-dependent Rossby number,  $|Ro| = \sqrt{S_2}/(fr)$ , as a function of the binned separation distance *r* between arbitrary drifter pairs for two releases. The southern drifter release (light blue curve with smoothed blue curve), which follows the filament (blue trajectories in Fig. A1), and the northern release (pink and red curve), where the drifters separate at an early stage and follow distinct currents of the upwelling front (red trajectories in Fig. A1). The data start at the deployment on 21 November 2016 (southern release) and 26 November 2016 (northern release) and extend until 6 April 2017 (136 and 131 d, respectively).

not as clear in the nearshore transect, which passes through several patches of filament, each bounded by strong lateral buoyancy gradients (Fig. 5b). The largest patch lies between 26.7 and 26.9° S and is characterized by the relatively cold temperature, while a smaller patch to the north (26.6 to 26.55° S) is warmer but saltier, resulting in similar densities for the two patches. The smaller patch is bounded on both sides by very strong and near-vertical lateral buoyancy gradients, similar to the northern boundary of the largest patch and of the filament in the offshore transect. Note that for the frontal analysis in Sect. 3.6, we focus on the larger branch of the filament's double-core structure.

In the offshore Scanfish transect (offshore yellow transect in Fig. 1), the along-filament velocity component can be approximated by the zonal velocity (u) and the across-filament component by the meridional velocity (v). For the nearshore transect (yellow transect in Fig. 1), the orientation of the filament and the angle of the transect meant that the across- and along-ship velocity components align well with the alongand across-filament directions, respectively. For both transects, the along-filament velocities were surface concentrated and confined to the SML. The strongest velocities  $(0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ were found on the southern boundary of the filament, on both sides of the maximum in lateral buoyancy gradient maximum (Fig. 6a and b), and in the middle of the filament in the offshore transect (Fig. 6a). In the northern front crossed by the nearshore transect velocities reversed, flowing eastward towards the coast.

In the SML, the maximum across-front velocities ( $< 0.25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) were much lower than the along-front component and almost entirely southward (negative). In the offshore transect, the highest velocities were found in the filament core and in the middle of the southern front, with little variability in the vertical. The nearshore transect had higher val-

ues at both fronts and in the filament core. However, the highest values were found close to the bottom of the SML in the southern front. Although the variability in across-front velocities suggest some convergence on the inside edge of the filament fronts, this is not particularly clear from the data. Convergence was however apparent in the tracks of the drifters that were deployed along the southern edge of the filament (blue lines Fig. A1). The drifters did not spread apart as they were transported offshore (westward), suggesting they were trapped along the southern boundary of the filament, consistent with a region of convergence.

Distributions of velocity magnitude from altimetry-based geostrophic velocity (over 30 d), drifters (30 d), and ship ADCP (23 d) indicate that the filaments are responsible for some of the strongest currents in the region. The drifter velocities, which remain trapped within the filament, show a peak in their distribution at approximately  $0.3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . Geostrophic velocity estimates do not capture these high velocities but remain closer to  $0.1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , with only the tails of the distribution exceeding  $0.3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . Although the shipbased ADCP measurements, which cross the filament eight times, peak at less than  $0.2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , a second smaller peak extending beyond  $0.3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  likely represents the contribution from the filaments.

#### 3.5 Cross-filament variability in Ro

We can now investigate the variability in Ro with depth and across the filament for the two Scanfish transects. The ADCP data only provide data along one path, and therefore the relative vorticity is estimated from du/dy, i.e., the acrossfilament gradient (y) of the along-filament velocity (u). This assumption is applicable for the transect data because the magnitude of the along-filament velocities is much greater than the magnitude of the across-filament velocities. Ro val-



**Figure 5.** Vertical sections for the two Scanfish and ADCP transects that crossed the filament. The left (right) column of plots corresponds to the offshore (nearshore) transect (see yellow lines in Fig. 1). (**a**, **b**) Conservative temperature ( $T_C$ ), (**c**, **d**) absolute salinity ( $S_A$ ), and (**e**, **f**) buoyancy frequency ( $\log(N^2)$ ). The thin gray contour lines show density ( $1024.2-1027.2 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  in 0.05 kg m<sup>-3</sup> increments), and the thick black contour lines mark the depth of the surface mixed layer (SML) defined by a density change of 0.1 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. The transects have been cropped (width and depth) to focus on the filament and the SML. The light gray shading in (**a**, **b**) indicates estimated horizontal buoyancy gradients that exceed  $10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-2}$ . The white contour lines indicate  $T_C$  of 16.5 and 17 °C.

ues were consistently higher in the SML than below the pycnocline, and within the SML horizontal variability in Ro was much more apparent than any vertical variability (Fig. 6e and f). The core of the filament was generally divided into negative Ro values to the south and positive to the north. For both transects, the core of negative Ro values decreased in magnitude as it crossed into the southern front, before reversing sign to become positive for 5 km of the outer edge of the frontal region. The sign of Ro eventually reversed again, becoming negative to the south of the filament, which is consistent with the Ro maps (Fig. 6). A similar but weaker pattern of variability in Ro was found across the northern front, resulting in negative Ro values within the northern front and positive values to the north of the filament – consistent once again with the maps of *Ro*. In general, there was very little variability in *Ro* in the vertical (Fig. 6e and f). However, closer inspection of the southern frontal region suggests that the changes in sign discussed above may follow the isopycnals; i.e., the positive or negative regions were not strictly uniform in the vertical but along sloping isopycnals. However, the data are too coarse to determine this with certainty.

Although the magnitude of Ro varied across the transect and with depth, Ro was most consistently approaching 1 or -1 within the core of the filament, where horizontal velocity shear was the greatest. The general pattern of variability shown by the maps of Ro is consistent with the transect data, except that the increase in resolution shows higher variability across the frontal region.



Figure 6. As in Fig. 5 for (a, b) along-filament velocity (u), (c, d) across-filament velocity (v), and (e, f) corresponding Rossby number, estimated from the across-filament gradient of the along-filament velocity. The along- and across-filament velocities correspond, respectively, to zonal and meridional velocity components for the offshore transect (a, c) and to across- and along-track velocity components for the nearshore transect (b, d).

#### 3.6 Submesoscale instabilities

The in situ measurements show that Ro values were sometimes of O(1) in the filament, which suggests that ageostrophic motions become important and there is likely a forward energy cascade. But what evidence is there of processes that are driving this energy transfer to turbulent scales? The Richardson number Ri – the ratio between the vertical buoyancy gradient and the square of the vertical shear of horizontal velocity – is another key parameter to distinguish between different kinds of turbulent regimes. Large Ri values  $\gg 1$  indicate that the flow is mainly in quasigeostrophic balance, while more ageostrophic effects feature smaller Ri values (see, e.g., Stone, 1966). By combining density observations from the Scanfish with velocity from the ship's ADCP, the gradient Richardson number  $Ri^{G} = N^{2}(\partial v \partial z^{-1})^{-2}$  was calculated across the filament (Fig. 7a–d). In order to establish across- and along-front axes (consistent with EPV and instability analysis), transects were split in order to focus on each front (north and south) separately. The axes were rotated so that the *y* axis was in the along-front direction and positive in the downstream direction.

Within and below the pycnocline  $Ri^{G}$  exceeded 1, indicating stable conditions (Fig. 8a–d). Within both filament cores and southern fronts and in the northern front of the nearshore transect,  $Ri^{G}$  was consistently < 0.5 and even < 0.25 within the SML. Although variable, these  $Ri^{G}$  values extended over the full SML and, in the southern fronts, may follow the sloping isopycnals.  $Ri^{G}$  appears to exceed 1 just north of the offshore northern front (Fig. 8b). Baroclinic instabilities can occur for all Ri, and a value of  $Ri^{G} < 1$  indicates that the flow



**Figure 7.** Vertical sections for the two Scanfish and ADCP transects that crossed the filament. From left to right, the columns correspond to the offshore south and north fronts and the nearshore south and north fronts (see Fig. 1). (**a**–**d**) Gradient Richardson number ( $Ri^{\rm B}$ ), (**e**–**h**) balanced Richardson number ( $Ri^{\rm B}$ ), (**i**–**l**) Ertel potential vorticity (EPV), (**m**–**p**) vertical component of EPV, (**q**–**t**) baroclinic component of EPV, and (**u**–**x**) submesoscale instability analysis. The thin black contour lines show density (1024.2–1027.2 kg m<sup>-3</sup> in 0.05 kg m<sup>-3</sup> increments), and the thick black contour lines mark the depth of the surface mixed layer (SML) defined by a density change of 0.1 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. The white contour lines in (**a**–**d**) are for 0.25 and in (**e**–**h**) for -1 and 1. The transects have been cropped (width and depth) to focus on the filament fronts and the SML and thus are of different length depending on available data. The light gray shading in (**a**–**d**) indicates estimated horizontal buoyancy gradients that exceed  $10^{-7}$  s<sup>-2</sup>.

may be susceptible to symmetric instabilities, while a value of  $Ri^{G} < 0.25$  is generally interpreted to mean that the velocity shear is able to overcome the stratification, leading to turbulence and thus mixing through Kelvin–Helmholtz instabilities.

To delve further into the susceptibility of the filament fronts to instabilities, the Ertel potential vorticity (EPV) was calculated to see if conditions favored submesoscale instabilities. Generally, instabilities may form where EPV is the opposite sign of f (Thomas et al., 2013), i.e., positive in the Southern Hemisphere where f is negative. Using shipbased measurements (ADCP and Scanfish) an observational approximation of EPV could be calculated, assuming gradients across the front are much greater than along the front (e.g., Thompson et al., 2016; Adams et al., 2017):

$$q = (f - \partial v \partial x^{-1})N^2 + \partial v \partial z^{-1}M^2, \qquad (2)$$

where  $q_{\text{vert}} = (f - \partial v \partial x^{-1})N^2$  is referred to as the vertical component of EPV,  $q_{\text{bcl}} = \partial v \partial z^{-1}M^2$  the baroclinic component, and  $M^2 = (-g\rho_{\theta}^{-1})(\partial\rho\partial x^{-1})$ . In Fig. 8i–l we plot the total EPV to identify possible regions where it is positive that could be prone to submesoscale instabilities. EPV was negative below the SML, identifying stable conditions that are not susceptible to the formation of instabilities (Fig. 8i–l). The lowest EPV values, at the base of the SML, correlate with high vertical stratification, indicating that it is this variable that mainly determines EPV values in this area. Patches of positive EPV were found throughout the SML of both fronts in both transects. The largest patches of positive EPV values tended to be found in the upper SML within the fronts and outside the filaments but within the lower SML in the filament core.

To connect to the Rossby number, we can express the vertical component of the EPV with Ro, and the instability criterion fq < 0 becomes

$$f^{2}(1+Ro)N^{2} + f\partial v\partial z^{-1}M^{2} < 0.$$
(3)

The large Rossby and small Richardson numbers in the filament suggest that there is a significant ageostrophic component to the velocities, while the diagnostics for submesoscale instability analysis rely on investigating the susceptibility of the geostrophically balanced part of the flow to various overturning instabilities (Thomas et al., 2008). With a flow in geostrophic balance,  $M^2 = \partial_y b = -f \partial_z v$ , and with  $N^2 > 0$ and  $f \neq 0$ , we can divide the instability criterion by  $f^2 N^2$ and arrive at a non-dimensional criterion (Buckingham et al., 2019), now expressed with the balanced Rossby and balanced Richardson number  $Ri^{\rm B} = N^2 f^2 M^{-4}$ , for which the velocities are replaced by the geostrophic velocities:

$$(1+Ro^{\rm B}) - \frac{1}{Ri^{\rm B}} < 0,$$
 (4)

where  $Ro^{B}$  is the balanced Rossby number, defined as the ratio of the geostrophic relative vorticity and the Coriolis force (Fig. 8e–h). From the instability criteria we can see that the second baroclinic term contributes to negative fq if  $Ri^{B}$  is positive and is hence mostly destabilizing and more so for small (balance) Richardson numbers, while the contribution from the first (vertical) term depends on the sign and magnitude of the Rossby number. For sufficiently negative  $Ro^{B} < -1$ , i.e., for anticyclonic motion (counterclockwise in SH), the latter will contribute to negative fq, while cyclonic motion will tend to stabilize.

The baroclinic EPV component (Fig. 8q–t) was almost always positive, thus contributing to destabilization as expected. Positive EPV mainly occurred when the vertical component approached zero or was positive. In areas with |Ro| < 1, which was almost always the case in our Rossby number observations, it is the magnitude of the vertical stratification that determined if EPV will be positive (low  $N^2$ ) or negative (high  $N^2$ ). Considering the southern front,  $N^2$  was consistently lower in the offshore transect (Fig. 5c) than the nearshore (Fig. 5d), and as a result the EPV is more consistently positive in the former. This result indicates that the southern front of the offshore transect is more susceptible to submesoscale instabilities, and the nearshore transect has already become more stable as it slumps (sloping isopycnals) and undergoes restratification (higher  $N^2$ ).

Where EPV is positive, the magnitude of the balanced Richardson number can identify regions susceptible to gravitational ( $Ri^{B} < -1$ ), mixed gravitational–symmetric ( $-1 < Ri^{B} < 0$ ), symmetric ( $Ro^{B} < 0$ :  $0 < Ri^{B} < 1$ ;  $Ro^{B} > 0$ :  $0 < Ri^{B} < Ro^{B-1}$ ), and inertial ( $Ro^{B} < 0$ :  $1 < Ri^{B} < Ro^{B-1}$ ) instabilities (e.g., Thomas et al., 2013, 2008; Thompson et al., 2016; Adams et al., 2017). Stable conditions occur when  $Ri^{B} > Ro^{B-1}$ .

The upper SML on either side of the filament was mainly susceptible to gravitational instabilities (Fig. 8u–x). Mixed and symmetric instabilities were found within the fronts near the strongest horizontal gradients and scattered along the bottom of the SML. Including data where EPV is negative, but near zero, showed mixed and symmetric instabilities occurred consistently within the fronts over the full SML (not shown). The exception was in the southern front of the nearshore, where the occurrence of instabilities was more scattered.

### 4 Discussion and summary

A multi-platform approach combining remote sensing data (SST, SSH), in situ measurements (cross-sections of filament), and drifter data (probing along-filament and in the upwelling region) allowed a comprehensive analysis of a major filament encountered during November/December 2016 in the Benguela upwelling region off the coast of Lüderitz, Namibia. The filament formed in the second week of November 2016 through the interaction of mesoscale cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies, which pulled cold and fresh upwelled water offshore. The filament was about 2 °C colder,  $0.3 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$  fresher, and  $0.3 \,\mathrm{kg \, m^{-3}}$  denser compared to the ambient water and had a width of about 30-50 km, bounded by temperature gradients of about  $0.03 \,^{\circ}\text{C}\,\text{km}^{-1}$ . We observed a double-core structure in the onshore Scanfish transect. The length of the filament (about 400 km) was above average for this region (Hösen et al., 2016). Also based on SST images Hösen et al. (2016) reported that most filaments persist for only 2-12 d, and only rarely do they reach 48 d. Drifter pathways from a depth of 15 m revealed that the filament was still present 40 d after the start of the cruise, while the surface SST signature was already lost. This suggests using SST to predict filament occurrence and lifetime likely leads to underestimates of both. In the vertical, the filament was characterized by a surface mixed layer (SML) less than 40 m deep, 20 m shallower than outside of the filament. At the bottom of the SML was a strongly stratified pycnocline that was thinner on the southern side of the filament (< 10 m) than the northern side (> 20 m) and was the thinnest and weakest within the filament (Fig. 5).

The largest horizontal density gradients were present in the mixed layer on the north side of the filament as well as in the middle of the filament below 20 m depth due to the doming of isopycnals from the upwelled cold waters (Fig. 5a and b). The salinity signature showed freshwater being pushed southward across the southern edge of the filament (Fig. 5c and d). This is consistent with Ekman transport driven by down-front winds, i.e., winds toward the northwest. Outside of the filament there are compensating lateral gradients in temperature and salinity resulting in no density gradient. In spite of the largest horizontal density gradients occurring toward the northern side of the filament, the largest velocities were found on the southern side of the filament and in the middle of the filament for the offshore Scanfish transect (Fig. 6a-d). Figure 8 summarizes the main properties of the filament in a simplified schematic. On the northern side of the filament, the geostrophically balanced part of the along-filament velocities due to the strong horizontal density gradients is directed onshore and counteracts the westward velocities induced by the eddy. Therefore, weaker and sometimes eastward velocities are found on the northern side. Those larger velocities on the southern side of the filament can be explained by the presence of the counter-rotating eddies that resulted in strong westward velocities that are enhanced by the geostrophically balanced westward part.

Submesoscale motions arise through surface frontogenesis that develops along the edges of the cold filament, as it is pulled offshore and strained between the mesoscale eddies. Associated with these submesoscale motions are relatively flat horizontal kinetic energy (KE) spectra for scales < 15 km followed by a steepening of the spectral slope at wavelengths larger than 15 km where the rotational flow component, derived from Helmholtz decomposition, becomes dominant. The divergent component of KE decreased sharply for scales greater than about 15 km, while divergent and rotational components contributed equally for smaller scales. This is consistent with the cross-track KE component dominating for scales > 15 km since for horizontally non-divergent flow  $E_t = nE_l$  (where  $E_t$  and  $E_l$  are across-track and along-track KE components, respectively, and with  $E \propto k_h^{-n}$  the KE spectral power law) and hence  $E_t > E_l$  for n > 1 (Charney, 1971). The transition in slope suggests that unbalanced flow becomes important at wavelengths below 15 km, which corresponds roughly to the first internal Rossby radius of deformation in the region (e.g., Chelton et al., 1998).

The transition of 15 km is relatively small compared, e.g., to the California upwelling region, which has lower mesoscale energy levels than the Benguela upwelling region, the latter being influenced by strong Agulhas eddies. For the California upwelling region Chereskin et al. (2019) report a transition scale of about 70 km for latitudes of about 30-35° N (slightly further poleward than our study area). Rocha et al. (2016) found a transition scale of about 40 km for Drake Passage and identified inertia gravity waves to be dominant for scales < 40 km. Callies and Ferrari (2013) found steep spectra for scales of 20-200 km for the Gulf Stream region, which is very similar to the spectra we found here. In contrast they found flatter spectra (inconsistent with interior QG) for the interior North Pacific which has little eddy activity. Hence our findings corroborate that a smaller transition scale is an indication of high mesoscale kinetic energy (Chereskin et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2018).

We found spectral slopes do not change with depth (not shown), which was also found by Rocha et al. (2016) and is not compatible with SurfaceQG turbulence that predicts a steepening of spectra at deeper depths. The spectral slope close to -5/3 or -2 for scales of 5-15 km may indicate inertial gravity waves, stratified turbulence, or other mixed-layer instabilities that project on the small scales and flatten the spectra. We found evidence for Kelvin–Helmholtz and symmetric instabilities that may contribute to this forward cascade.

Through measurements from different platforms, we could explore different scales and thus capture a range of order of magnitudes for the Rossby number Ro. Because the two considered drifter releases explored nearby areas nearly distinctively, we could analyze spatial and temporal Ro variations in the filament as compared to its environment quasi-separately and simultaneously. We applied a scale-dependent analysis of Rossby numbers to the two drifter releases using secondorder velocity structure functions and found that |Ro| inferred from the drifter trajectories of the southern release (which remains trapped in the filament) reached 0.5 at a scale of 3 km and exceeded 1 for scales smaller than 0.5 km, pointing to the presence of ageostrophic submesoscale dynamics within the filament because the contributions to the small separation regime are mainly from the initial period immediately after the drifter deployments in the filaments. In contrast, for



**Figure 8.** Simplified schematic of filament properties, showing the southern/northern eddy-inducing mesoscale negative/positive Rossby numbers (positive/negative vorticity), isopycnals illustrating the southern and northern boundaries of the filament, and the doming in the middle (black lines). The southern front creates submesoscale negative/positive Rossby number south/north of the velocity maximum (red). The pressure gradient that balances the geostrophic part of the along-filament velocity is shown in yellow. The blue arrows indicate the velocities that are associated with the filament boundaries and the doming of isopycnals in the middle. At the southern front, EPV tends to be more positive for the offshore transect and more negative at the onshore transect, where isopycnals have slumped.

the northern release (which followed many different currents across a larger region outside of the filament) the method revealed that |Ro| reached 0.5 at a scale of 1 km but never reached 1. While for the southern release for a scale < 15 km |Ro| is twice that of the northern release, at larger separation distances (> 15 km), mainly corresponding to periods after the drifters left the filament, the differences between the *Ro* of the two releases diminish and yield  $|Ro| \ll 1$ , consistent with the mesoscale dynamics of the open ocean.

Time series of Ro estimated from the ratio of relative vorticity and f were derived by applying methods of Molinari and Kirwan (1975) to triplets of drifters both inside and outside of the filament revealing horizontal variation in the Rossby number. |Ro| of  $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ , deduced both from the satellite altimetry and from the drifter triplets out of the filament, was linked with mesoscale eddies. The time-dependent |Ro|from this triangle approach showed  $\mathcal{O}(0.5)$  values with occasional values > 1. The drifters' *Ro* had a positive bias, which means that they predominantly sampled inside of the filament on the cyclonic side of the fronts. The maps of Ro interpolated from the ADCP tracks and derived from SSH showed similar values and Ro polarities, while only the former had visible hotspots exceeding 0.5 but not 1. |Ro| was found to be > 1 in the individual transects using Scanfish and ADCP data. For these transects Ro was uniform in the vertical but varied across the filament and its fronts. Higher values were associated with the filament core, where horizontal and vertical velocity shear was highest. High variability (changes in sign) was observed across both fronts.

Overall, our Rossby number analyses support the idea that there are hotspots of elevated  $|Ro| \ge 0.5$  that were linked with the filament, with |Ro| occasionally exceeding  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , often cited as a criteria for submesoscales (e.g., Thomas and Ferrari, 2008). The drifter-derived, scale-dependent Rossby numbers for the two releases are similar for scales larger than about 10 km, while the Rossby numbers inferred from the drifters in the filament start to increase significantly for scales smaller than 10 km. This finding is roughly consistent with the flattening of the KE spectra from the ship ADCP data around a scale of about 15 km.

Our scale-dependent Rossby number reminds to what Callies et al. (2015) observed from spectral estimates in the Oleander and LatMix summer experiments in the western subtropical North Atlantic. They concluded that their observed submesoscale flows follow quasi-geostrophic regimes with Rossby numbers < 0.5 and that only for scales < 1 km do they expect the flows to become strongly ageostrophic with Rossby numbers reaching 1. In fact, our KE spectra from the Benguela upwelling region are obtained for Southern Hemisphere spring and are similar to their summer spectral slopes of  $k^{-3}$  for scales > 15 km. Their spectral slopes change to a frontal  $k^{-2}$  in winter for those, and hence Callies et al. (2015) conclude that in winter, mixed-layer baroclinic instabilities inject energy around scales between 1-10 km, driving an inverse cascade of kinetic energy that energizes the mesoscale, while the summertime flow is dominated by quasi-geostrophic turbulence with a weak forward energy cascade for scales < 15 km.

Our finding of flatter spectral slopes and a moderate increase in Rossby number at scales below 15 km, particularly within the filament, points to the existence of a forward energy cascade at those scales, and the question was whether evidence of processes contributing to the cascade could be found. Within the sloping isopycnals of the southern filament front, positive  $Ri^{B}$  (> 1) values indicate ageostrophic baroclinic instabilities, and low  $Ri^{G}$  values suggest these regions were susceptible to Kelvin–Helmholtz (< 0.25) and symmetric instabilities (< 1). The EPV and instability diagnostics are sensitive to the resolution of the data. Likely, with higher horizontal resolution, density gradients and hence positive EPV would be enhanced, where it is barely positive with the resolution of the Scanfish. The patchiness of the instability analysis results illustrates the challenges associated with connecting mesoscale with smaller-scale processes, and enhanced horizontal resolution and repeat transects in time are needed in future missions. Furthermore, south of the filament along the nearshore transect, the combination of high  $Ri^{B}$ values, relatively high  $N^2$  values (e.g., relative to the offshore transect), and compensating lateral density gradients suggest restratification had occurred through frontal slumping and surface-layer instabilities, followed by mixing (Timmermans et al., 2012). Positive EPV values - an indication of submesoscale instabilities - tended to be found in the upper SML within the fronts and outside the filaments but also in the filament core in the lower SML. The southern front of the offshore transect is more susceptible to submesoscale instabilities, and the nearshore transect has already become more stable as it slumps (sloping isopycnals) and undergoes restratification (higher  $N^2$ ). Evidence for turbulent mixing associated with submesoscale instabilities was recently found by Peng et al. (2020) in a filament closer to the upwelling region and south of the filament used in this study. Peng et al. (2021), who also involved in their analysis two drifter triplets deployed in a more mature phase of the filament, also found moderate Rossby numbers of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  and that the magnitude of the stabilizing vertical vorticity is too small to compensate for the destabilizing baroclinic effect in the central region of the front, thereby indicating that the conditions for symmetric instability are fulfilled. Consistent with our findings, this suggests that indeed, while scales > 15 km are dominated by quasi-geostrophic dynamics, the flatter spectral slopes for scales < 15 km are associated with a forward energy cascade, initiated by gravitational and symmetric instabilities leading to turbulence and dissipation.

#### Appendix A: Filament formation and duration

At the start of the cruise, SLA images and geostrophic velocities show a pair of counter-rotating eddies on the western side of the upwelling front ("A" and "B" in Fig. A1b). The surface current generated between the eddy pair was directed offshore, drawing water from the upwelling region and forming a filament of relatively cold, fresh, and dense water. On 18 November, the third day of the cruise, the filament was visible in an SST image as a winding strand of relatively cold water starting at the upwelling front (approximately 200 km offshore) and extending southwestward over more than 400 km (Fig. A1a). The filament was bounded by horizontal temperature gradients on the order of 0.03 °C km<sup>-1</sup> (dark gray shading in Fig. A1a).

On 21 November, the first 12 surface drifters were deployed on the southern edge of the filament (Fig. A1a). By combining a sequence of SST and SLA images with drifter tracks, the sinuous path of the filament becomes visible (Fig. A1). The drifter tracks show how the filament wound its way between eddy pairs (not always counter-rotating) over hundreds of kilometers (Fig. A1). The filament seemed to originate between an anticyclonic eddy to the north ("A" in Fig. A1) and a cyclonic eddy to the south ("B" in Fig. A1). The meandering of the filament was due to the influence of successive eddies, in particular the apparent merging, weakening, strengthening, and advection (mainly northward) of these eddies. For example, at first the SST shows the cold water filament turning southward at around 11° E (Fig. A1a). However, by the time the drifters have reached this point, the filament has shifted northward, at around 10.5° E (Fig. A1c). The geostrophic currents from SLA data suggest that this shift was driven by the appearance, or strengthening, of a cyclonic eddy ("C" in Fig. A1f).

By the end of the cruise, a coherent filament path of relatively cool water was still visible in SST, but the horizontal gradients at the filament edges were greatly reduced (Fig. A1g). The weak gradients may indicate the filament is reaching the end of its lifetime, as filaments in this region do not tend to last longer than 48 d (Hösen et al., 2016). However multiple drifters released 10 d later (Fig. 1) were still passing westward along a similar path as the original filament (not shown). They were apparently still being pushed by the anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies ("A" and "C", respectively, in Fig. A1). Therefore, although the surface signature of the filament in SST may have disappeared, it would appear that the filament is still transporting water offshore.



**Figure A1.** Sequence of mapped sea surface temperature (SST) images (left panels) and sea level anomaly (SLA) (right panels), showing the development of the filament during the study period. Image dates correspond to  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  18 November 2016,  $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  25 November 2016,  $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})$  1 December 2016, and  $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$  5 December 2016. SLA-derived geostrophic velocity estimates are plotted as black arrows (right panels). Overlaying the images are the drifter deployment positions (filled circles) and tracks up to the date of the respective SST and SLA image. Drifter trajectories from the first (southern) deployment are shown in blue, while drifter trajectories from the second (northern) deployment are shown in red. The ship track from the full cruise is shown as a thin gray line. The letters ("A", "B", and "C") mark the approximate position of select mesoscale eddies, identified from SLA and resulting geostrophic velocities. In (**a**), the gray shading indicates estimated horizontal temperature gradients that exceed 0.03 °C km<sup>-1</sup>. The image dates were selected based on the quality of the SST image and to cover as much of the study period as possible.

*Data availability.* Drifter data are available through the Global Drifter Progam (http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/phod/dac/index.php, last access: 11 May 2021). SSH data were downloaded from https: //data.marine.copernicus.eu/ (last access: 25 January 2019). Code, ship ADCP, and Scanfish data are available here: https://github.com/rpnorth/Benguela (last access: 11 May 2021).

*Author contributions.* RPN programmed the analysis code, did the analyses of ADCP and Scanfish data, and wrote much of the text. JDD analyzed the drifter data, wrote text, and contributed to editing and interpretation. AG wrote text, contributed to interpreting the results and editing the paper, and acquired the funding.

*Competing interests.* The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

*Disclaimer.* Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. We thank the science party and the crew of cruise M132 on R/V *Meteor* for their support and Kerstin Jochumsen as lead scientist. This paper is a contribution to the project L3 (Meso- to submesoscale turbulence in the ocean) of the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 181 "Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean" funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

*Financial support.* This research has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project ID: 274762653-TRR181).

*Review statement.* This paper was edited by Bernadette Sloyan and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

#### References

- Adams, K. A., Hosegood, P., Taylor, J. R., Sallée, J.-B., Bachman, S., Torres, R., and Stamper, M.: Frontal Circulation and Submesoscale Variability during the Formation of a Southern Ocean Mesoscale Eddy, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 47, 1737–1753, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0266.1, 2017.
- Álvarez-Salgado, X. A.: Contribution of upwelling filaments to offshore carbon export in the subtropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean, Limnol. Oceanogr., 52, 1287–1292, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.3.1287, 2007.
- Balwada, D., LaCasce, J. H., and Speer, K. G.: Scaledependent distribution of kinetic energy from surface drifters

in the Gulf of Mexico, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 10856, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069405, 2016.

- Barton, E., Inall, M., Sherwin, T., and Torres, R.: Vertical structure, turbulent mixing and fluxes during Lagrangian observations of an upwelling filament system off Northwest Iberia, Prog. Oceanogr., 51, 223–257, https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6611(83)90009-5, 2001.
- Bettencourt, J. H., Rossi, V., Hernández-García, E., and Cristóbal López, M. M.: Characterization of the structure and cross-shore transport properties of a coastal upwelling filament using three-dimensional finite-size L yapunov exponents, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 122, 7433–7448, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012700, 2017.
- Brüggemann, N. and Eden, C.: Routes to dissipation under different dynamical conditions, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 45, 2149–2168, 2015.
- Buckingham, C. E., Lucas, N. S., Belcher, S. E., Rippeth, T. P., Grant, A. L. M., Le Sommer, J., Ajayi, A. O., and Naveira Garabato, A. C.: The Contribution of Surface and Submesoscale Processes to Turbulence in the Open Ocean Surface Boundary Layer, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 4066–4094, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001801, 2019.
- Bühler, O., Callies, J., and Ferrari, R.: Wave–vortex decomposition of one-dimensional ship-track data, J. Fluid Mech., 756, 1007– 1026, https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.488, 2014.
- Callies, J. and Ferrari, R.: Interpreting Energy and Tracer Spectra of Upper-Ocean Turbulence in the Submesoscale Range (1–200 km), J. Phys. Oceanogr., 43, 2456–2474, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-13-063.1, 2013.
- Callies, J., Ferrari, R., Klymak, J. M., and Gula, J.: Seasonality in submesoscale turbulence, Nat. Commun., 6, 6862, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7862, 2015.
- Charney, J. G.: Geostrophic Turbulence, J. Atmos. Sci., 28, 1087–1095, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028<1087:GT>2.0.CO;2, 1971.
- Chelton, D. B., deSzoeke, R. A., Schlax, M. G., El Naggar, K., Siwertz, N., deSzoeke, R. A., Schlax, M. G., El Naggar, K., and Siwertz, N.: Geographical Variability of the First Baroclinic Rossby Radius of Deformation, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 28, 433–460, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028<0433:GVOTFB>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- Chereskin, T. K., Rocha, C. B., Gille, S. T., Menemenlis, D., and Passaro, M.: Characterizing the Transition From Balanced to Unbalanced Motions in the Southern California Current, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 124, 2088–2109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014583, 2019.
- Dräger-Dietel, J., Jochumsen, K., Griesel, A., and Badin, G.: Relative Dispersion of Surface Drifters in the Benguela Upwelling Region, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 48, 2325–2341, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-18-0027.1, 2018.
- Essink, S., Hormann, V., Centurioni, L. R., and Mahadevan, A.: On Characterizing Ocean Kinematics from Surface Drifters, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 39, 1183–1198, https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-21-0068.1, 2022.
- Hösen, E., Möller, J., Jochumsen, K., and Quadfasel, D.: Scales and properties of cold filaments in the Benguela upwelling system off Lüderitz, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 121, 1896–1913, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011411, 2016.
- IOC, SCOR, and IAPSO: The international thermodynamic equation of seawater – 2010: Calculation and use of thermody-

namic properties, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Manuals and Guides No. 56, UNESCO (English), 2010.

- Kostianoy, A. and Zatsepin, A.: The West African coastal upwelling filaments and cross-frontal water exchange conditioned by them, J. Marine Syst., 7, 349–359, https://doi.org/10.1016/0924-7963(95)00029-1, 1996.
- Lindborg, E.: The effect of rotation on the mesoscale energy cascade in the free atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L01809, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021319, 2005.
- Molemaker, M. J., McWilliams, J. C., and Capet, X.: Balanced and unbalanced routes to dissipation in an equilibrated Eady flow, J. Fluid Mech., 654, 35–63, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112009993272, 2010.
- Molinari, R. and Kirwan, A. D.: Calculations of Differential Kinematic Properties from Lagrangian Observations in the Western Caribbean Sea, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 5, 483–491, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1975)005<0483:CODKPF>2.0.CO;2, 1975.
- Muller, A., Mohrholz, V., and Schmidt, M.: The circulation dynamics associated with a northern Benguela upwelling filament during October 2010, Cont. Shelf Res., 63, 59–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.04.037, 2013.
- North, R. P., Riethmüller, R., and Baschek, B.: Detecting small-scale horizontal gradients in the upper ocean using wavelet analysis, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 180, 221–229, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.06.031, 2016.
- Pearson, J., Fox-Kemper, B., Barkan, R., Choi, J., Bracco, A., and McWilliams, J. C.: Impacts of Convergence on Structure Functions from Surface Drifters in the Gulf of Mexico, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 49, 675, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-18-0029.1, 2019.
- Peng, J.-P., Holtermann, P., and Umlauf, L.: Frontal instability and energy dissipation in a submesoscale upwelling filament, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 50, 2017–2035, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-19-0270.1, 2020.
- Peng, J.-P., Dräger-Dietel, J., North, R. P., and Umlauf, L.: Diurnal Variability of Frontal Dynamics, Instability, and Turbulence in a Submesoscale Upwelling Filament, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 51, 2825–2843, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-21-0033.1, 2021.
- Poje, A., Ozgokmen, T. M., Bogucki, D. J., and Kirwan, A. D.: Evidence of a forward energy cascade and Kolmogorov selfsimilarity in submesoscale ocean surface drifter observations, Phys. Fluids, 29, 020700, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4974331, 2017.
- Qiu, B., Chen, S., Klein, P., Wang, J., Torres, H., Fu, L.-L., and Menemenlis, D.: Seasonality in Transition Scale from Balanced to Unbalanced Motions in the World Ocean, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 48, 591–605, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-17-0169.1, 2018.

- Rocha, C. B., Chereskin, T. K., Gille, S. T., and Menemenlis, D.: Mesoscale to Submesoscale Wavenumber Spectra in Drake Passage, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46, 601–620, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0087.1, 2016.
- Saucier, W. J.: Principles of Meteorological Analysis, in: Principles of Meteorological Analysis, edited by: Hecht, M. W. and Hasumi, H., University of Chicago Press, 438 pp., 1955.
- Shillington, F., Hutchings, L., Probyn, T., Waldron, H., and Peterson, W.: Filaments and the Benguela frontal zone: Offshore advection or recirculating loops?, S. Afr. J. Marine Sci., 12, 207–218, https://doi.org/10.2989/02577619209504703, 1992.
- Stone, P. H.: On non-geostrophic baroclinic instability, J. Atmos. Sci., 27, 390–400, 1966.
- Sukhatme, J., Chaudhuri, D., MacKinnon, J., Shivaprasad, S., and Sengupta, D.: Near-Surface Ocean Kinetic Energy Spectra and Small-Scale Intermittency from Ship-Based ADCP Data in the Bay of Bengal, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 50, 2037–2052, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-20-0065.1, 2020.
- Thomas, L. N. and Ferrari, R.: Friction, Frontogenesis, and the Stratification of the Surface Mixed Layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 38, 2501–2518, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JPO3797.1, 2008.
- Thomas, L. N., Tandon, A., and Mahadevan, A.: Submesoscale Processes and Dynamics, in: Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, edited by: Hecht, M. W. and Hasumi, H., American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., 17–38, https://doi.org/10.1029/177GM04, 2008.
- Thomas, L. N., Taylor, J. R., Ferrari, R., and Joyce, T. M.: Symmetric instability in the Gulf Stream, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 91, 96–110, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.02.025, 2013.
- Thompson, A. F., Lazar, A., Buckingham, C., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Damerell, G. M., and Heywood, K. J.: Open-Ocean Submesoscale Motions: A Full Seasonal Cycle of Mixed Layer Instabilities from Gliders, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46, 1285–1307, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0170.1, 2016.
- Timmermans, M.-L., Cole, S., and Toole, J.: Horizontal Density Structure and Restratification of the Arctic Ocean Surface Layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 42, 659–668, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-0125.1, 2012.
- Wang, Y., Castelao, R. M., and Yuan, Y.: Seasonal variability of alongshore winds and sea surface temperature fronts in Eastern Boundary Current Systems, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 120, 2385–2400, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010379, 2015.